

Phoenix Journal

217



By Gyeorgos Ceres Hatonn

Table Of Contents

CHAPTER 1	1
SOCIALISM’S QUIET GRIP ON A NATION DO THE U.S. CONSTITUTION AND BILL OF RIGHTS NO LONGER APPLY? by Dr. John Coleman 9/97	1
CHAPTER 2	4
IN MEMORIAM TO A BELOVED AND GRACIOUS LADY: ZITA MORRIS by Rick Martin 12/5/97	4
12/6/97 ESU “JESUS” SANANDA	5
12/7/97 #1 HATONN	6
ZITA’S MEMORIAL GATHERING	6
CHAPTER 3	10
THE NEWS DESK by Dr. Al Overholt 12/6/97	10
NOTHING TO DO WITH WEAPONS, EVERYTHING TO DO WITH OIL PRICES	10
RENO’S BID FOR FILES PUTS FBI IN TURMOIL	11
BIG QUAKE PREDICTED FOR THIS WINTER IN OSAKA, JAPAN FOLLOW-UP REPORT	13
POLL: GLOBAL-WARMING TAX FAVORED	13
SNUFFING OUT FLU	14
WARNING: CANCER IN YOUR BATHROOM	14
MTBE POISONING	17
JAPAN ISSUES WARNING	17
NERVE GAS LEAKING, BUT THREAT DISCOUNTED	17
TEMPERING TOOL	18
CHAPTER 4	21
BANKS, BANKSTERS & MONEY WHAT YOU DON’T KNOW CAN ENSLAVE YOU! PART IV IN A SERIES by Calvin Burgin 11/14/97	21
THE TREASURY DEPARTMENT RULES THIS COUNTRY	21
THE AGRICULTURAL ADJUSTMENT ACT	22
PERMANENT AGENCIES TO SOLVE TEMPORARY PROBLEMS	24
LINCOLN SUSPENDS CONSTITUTION	25
HOW DO WE TERMINATE THE EMERGENCY?	25
SUPREME COURT RULES FDR’S “NEW DEAL” UNCONSTITUTIONAL	26
FELIX FRANKFURTER, ANOTHER TRAITOR	27
OUR SYSTEM OF CHECKS AND BALANCES NO LONGER WORKS	28
WE ARE PIRATES’ BOOTY	29
THE DECLARATION OF RIGHTS AGAINST BRITAIN	31
CHAPTER 5	33
CAN YOU HELP US? by Dr. Edwin M. Young, Editor-in-chief	33
ITEM #1:	33

ITEM #2:	34
CHAPTER 6	36
B.A.T.F. AND FEDS TAKE OVER SMALL ARIZONA TOWN	36
IS NEXT WACO BREWING IN ARIZONA? by Ray Bilger 12/13/97	36
NEW RIVER—SHERIFF’S BIG CHANCE	40
CHAPTER 7	45
THE NEWS DESK by Dr. Al Overholt 12/13/97	45
FAMILY KEEPS SAME CHRISTMAS TREE—	
FOR 19 YEARS	45
PLANE FLYING WITHOUT PILOT CRASHES	46
NEW DOLLAR COIN COULD SAVE BILLIONS	46
END NEARS FOR BASES	47
U.S. COURT DISMISSES BID TO GET MARCOS’ FUNDS	48
THE NATIONAL JUDICIAL COLLEGE (NJC)	
SUED FOR ILLEGAL ACTIVITY	48
WHY YOU CAN’T TRUST WALL STREET’S RATINGS	49
VENTURA COUNTY JUDGE WITHDRAWS	
FROM MURDER TRIAL AFTER ARREST	50
SELF-HEATING CONTAINERS	51
CASINO TRIBES GET WARNING	52
DRIVERS LICENSES REVOKED FROM DEADBEAT PARENTS	53
DEFENSE LAWYERS BLAST FBI’S DNA LABORATORY	53
DATA TOUT BENEFITS OF FOLIC ACID	53
LAWMAKERS’ ANSWER TO POST-DIVORCE	54
THE DIVINE TOUCH	56
CHAPTER 8	58
BANKS, BANKSTERS & MONEY WHAT YOU DON’T KNOW	
CAN ENSLAVE YOU! by Calvin Burgin 11/14/9	58
HOW MUCH GOLD IS IN FORT KNOX? PART V IN A SERIES	58
FORT KNOX GOLD SCANDAL	58
AFFIDAVIT	58
A VERY STRANGE GOLD SHIPMENT	60
FORT KNOX GOLD VAULT SECRET MODIFICATIONS	61
THE PHONY “FORT KNOX GOLD INSPECTION VISIT”	62
THE 1954 GOLD INVENTORY	63
WHY?	65
NUMISMATIC NEWS ARTICLE	67
THE GREAT PENNY/PLUTONIUM CAPER	69
CHAPTER 9	73
SOLTEC: KEEPING FEAR OUT OF YOUR CREATIVE EQUATION	73
12/7/97 SOLTEC	73
CHAPTER 10	77
GIFTS OF THE SPIRIT—CHRISTMAS 1977	77
CHAPTER 11	78
MERRY CHRISTMAS, READERS	78

HAVE KLEENEX HANDY FOR: A DOCTOR'S GREATEST CHRISTMAS GIFT ..	78
12/97 DR. JAMES DOBSON	78
DECEMBER 1993	78
THE TINY FOOT	79
CHAPTER 12	84
THE NEWS DESK by Dr. Al Overholt 12/23/97	84
THE BODY ELECTRIC	84
A NEW ZEPPELIN	84
COMPLETE TRACKING OF YOUR AUTOMOBILES	84
NEW LAW WILL STRIP SENIORS	
OF THEIR MEDICAL OPTIONS	86
CAMPAIGN AGAINST BRITISH SUPPORT FOR	
ISLAMIC TERRORISM CONTINUES IN EGYPT	87
FED IS POURING LIQUIDITY INTO FINANCIAL SYSTEM	88
THE FOURTH REICH TOTALITARIAN VISION..	89
OUR MAN IN BAGHDAD	90
NECESSARY EVIL	90
GLOBALIST DESIGNS	91
JAPANESE CARTOON LINKED TO KIDS' CONVULSIONS	91
EPIDEMIC OF HEPATITIS C LOOMS:	93
ANGOLA IS BEING PREPARED AS THE	
NEXT BATTLEFIELD OF BRITAIN'S	
AFRIKA CORPS MARCHER LORDS	94
CHINESE MILITARY DELEGATION HEADED	
BY PLA DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF	94
PROVINCE SHELVES CONTROVERSIAL BILL	95
TOURIST	95
ONE FOR THE PEOPLE	96
COLUMNIST VINDICATED	96
"HE WANTS YOU TO HAVE THIS"	96
CHAPTER 13	98
THE NEWS DESK SPECIAL REPORT by Dr. Al Overholt 12/23/97	98
URGENT NEWS—DANGER SIGNS ON IMMEDIATE HORIZON	98
OVERVIEW:	
.....	99
I - THE FINAL VISIONS OF DANNION BRINKLEY	99
II - SECRET PLAN TO KILL SOCIAL SECURITY EXPOSED	100
III - PROPHECIES OF THE HOUR—	
FROM SCIENTIFIC TO SPIRITUAL	101
CHAPTER 14	104
THE NEWS DESK SPECIAL REPORT by Dr. Al Overholt 11/29/97	104
URGENT NEWS DANGER SIGNS ON IMMEDIATE HORIZON	104
LAWSUIT CHARGES DOJ COLLUSION WITH RUSSIAN MAFIA	104
FAT BUBBA AND THE RUSSIAN MAFIA	104
HOW HIGH DOES IT GO?	105

A PATTERN OF RELATED CASES	106
CHAPTER 15.....	107
THE NEWS DESK by Dr. Al Overholt 12/27/97	107
MORE GOVERNMENT REGULATIONS THAT KILL AND MAIM	107
DOGGED DETERMINATION	108
CAPITOL OFFENSES	109
TALES FROM THE DOME NO ETHICS AT ALL	110
FELONS GO FREE	110
ANTHRAX VACCINE: CURE OR CONSPIRACY	110
WHAT'S YOUR LINE IN THE SAND?	112
BRITS ACKNOWLEDGED RESPONSIBILITY FOR TERRORISTIC ATTACKS, PAID MILLIONS IN DAMAGES	115
UPDATE: SCHWEITZER CONVICTED ON TAX CHARGE	116
LIES GET HIM IN TROUBLE	116
AIDS MEET OPENS	117
THAIS ACT ON FINANCES	117
REPORT URGES DOUBLING POLICE ON RESERVATIONS	117
HAS ANYBODY HEARD OF THE SHIP TITAN?	117
GINSBURG DEFIED JUDICIAL ETHICS LAW	118
NEWS BYTES	118
ON ANGELS' WINGS	119
Index	120

CHAPTER 1

SOCIALISM'S QUIET GRIP ON A NATION DO THE U.S. CONSTITUTION AND BILL OF RIGHTS NO LONGER APPLY?

by Dr. John Coleman 9/97

The following thoughtful commentary has been extracted from the September 1997 issue of Dr. John Coleman's World In Review. Longtime CONTACT readers have been exposed to other, more exotic covert technologies being used to manipulate, harass, mind control, and spy upon we-the-people, but the fundamental issue of freedom (or the erosion of freedom) remains just as important no matter what the examples may be. Our U.S. Constitution and Bill of Rights are being disassembled right before our eyes, piece by piece, and we thank Dr. Coleman for pointing that out through several hidden aspects of the plot at work. How did we get to this sad state of Socialism in America? Mostly by one, small, hardly noticed step at a time.

—Dr. Edwin M. Young, Editor-In-Chief

The huge intrusion into the private lives of American citizens is fast nullifying the protection guaranteed by the *Bill of Rights* and yet, federal agencies are on the Hill almost every week, clamoring for more and more technology that allows total violation of the *First, Fourth and Fifth Amendments* in particular. And every week, Congress allows these agencies more and more leeway to erode further and further the personal liberty and freedom and right to privacy guaranteed by our Founding Fathers.

Recently the French company SAGEM's U.S. affiliate, North American Morpho System inadvertently revealed that the FBI has 630 million cards on file. The information came out when North American Morpho System said it had just completed a two-year project for the FBI which involved scanning 31.5 million fingerprint cards.

“What is wrong with this?” some will say. What is wrong with this is that the *Constitution* does not permit a central police force—which is what the FBI most distinctly is. The FBI has no mandate in the *U.S. Constitution*, having been created in violation of the *Constitution* by renegade Teddy Roosevelt via “executive order” which has no standing in the *U.S. Constitution*. Executive orders are proclamations which can only be made by the monarchy of England and since we in the U.S. do not have a monarchy, there is no room in our constitutional laws for executive orders.

This violent abuse of the *Constitution* and the *Bill of Rights* has accelerated under Clinton to the extent where the House and Senate might as well pack its bags and head for home. Rule by executive order is rule by fiat—rule by tyranny—and this is what is fast developing in the U.S. If I had a million dollars I would challenge every member of the Congress, the Justice Department, the Supreme Court and the Executive order to show me where in the *Constitution* is the power to issue so-called executive orders? Executive orders are sending America to hell in a handbasket, and no one is doing anything to put a stop to this unconstitutional practice which is fast bearing America along with the road to total tyranny.

North American Morpho Systems Inc. scanned over 630 million FBI cards using a specially-constructed computer IBM Risc 6000 with custom software to meet the FBI's demanding image quality specifications. Nobody knows just how much this has cost the American taxpayer. The cards are now part of another unconstitutional enterprise, the "Integrated Automated Fingerprint Identification System" which violates so much of the *U.S. Constitution* that it ought to have rung alarm bells all over the House and Senate, supposedly the guardians of our liberty, but which are fast becoming nullities, a fact which ought to be disturbing the entire nation, but is not.

We are apparently so obsessed with pennant races and football stars that we have become the equivalent of the Romans, kept in ignorance of our coming downfall through "bread and circuses". The system also violates the *10th Amendment* which says that police powers belong to the States, and now we have the FBI enmeshing itself in States' police powers. A people who will allow this is a people ripe for takeover by tyranny.

A Korean-owned company, InScan Inc., a company engaged in people surveillance through iris-scanning techniques is about to provide federal agencies with a new method of people control through scanning the eye's iris. The software is called "IriScan" and the end product is a new, automated biometric identification and the company says it's system will be in worldwide use by the end of the century. Its biometric key will replace passwords and PINs and while this is all to the good, the potential for abuse by any tyrannical central government—especially U.S.—which is fast becoming the new USSR—is immense.

Visionics Corporation has just completed its gigantic face-recognition program for the National Security Agency, the INS, and the National Institute of Justice. None of these federal agencies is mentioned nor expressly implied in the *U.S. Constitution* and they are therefore without a constitutional mandate to operate in the United States. Where in the *U.S. Constitution* is "National Security Agency" mentioned? The answer is, "NOWHERE" and that means the NSA is not a constitutionally-mandated agency. It is no better than the U.S. Department of Education which Comrade Carter established in violation of the *U.S. Constitution* in 1980, in fulfilling one of his many Marxist-oriented election promises.

While Congress is finally bestirring itself to close down the Department of Education, why isn't it doing something about the myriads of rogue agencies which also have no mandate to exist? Perhaps the reason is that we have so many Trojan Horses like Senator Lugar in the Republican Party from where such action would have to originate. The Republican Party has to remove people like Lugar from its ranks if it has any hope of surviving as the party of Jefferson and Lincoln.

When are the American people going to realize that the central government cannot just invent agencies which more and more threaten the liberty of the people? "Oh well, only criminals have to fear these things," is the general retort. That is exactly what Joseph Stalin of the former USSR used to say. That these infringements of our rights and liberties may be prefaced with good intentions is not the point, and let me remind you that the way to the hell of living under a government of tyranny is paved with good intentions.

The *U.S. Constitution* does not allow itself to be violated by "good intentions" and "noble purpose" laws. The *U.S. Constitution* cannot be twisted and squeezed to allow for laws that are "a good thing" just because they may be a "good thing". The *U.S. Constitution* cannot be flouted with impunity by "good

thing, noble purpose” laws, yet this is what is happening today in the U.S. so that the day that our *Constitution* and the *Bill of Rights* will be buried under “good thing and noble purpose” legislation is fast approaching. The test is not that legislation be for a good purpose or well-intended: The ONLY test such legislation can be measured by is, IS IT CONSTITUTIONAL? Does it pass the CONSTITUTIONAL test? The answer in most cases is a resounding “NO”. Yet Congress, elected by We, the People, increasingly places expediency above the *Constitution* and the *Bill of Rights*. Congress has fallen asleep at the tiller and the ship of state is being allowed to drift into the shoals of tyranny.

The latest symbol of a fast-approaching tyrannical government comes from the demand by William Jefferson Clinton that he be allowed to make the rules and regulations pertaining to foreign trade agreements, most of which do not measure up to the constitutional test of whether they can be called treaties. “I want fast-track authority,” says Clinton. Nobody points out that under our *Constitution* it is the Congress (House and Senate) that makes trade treaties, not the president, nor does anyone appear to be speaking out against “fast-track” authority as being absolutely unconstitutional.

The one thing we need to be absolutely certain of is that any of the many attacks on parts of the *Constitution* the Socialist/Marxist/Communist legislators do not like, is an attack on the whole *Constitution*, which cannot be served up as a cut loaf of bread to be consumed slice-by-slice until there is nothing left of it. What I find so astonishing is that unlawful institutions are allowed to be set up all over Washington D.C. whose sole purpose is to nullify and make our *Constitution* of no effect. Take, for an example, a Marxist/Communist outfit like “Legal Action Project of the Center to Prevent Handgun Violence”, whose sole purpose is to destroy the individual *Second Amendment* right of citizens to keep and bear arms. Why is this Marxist/Communist organization not outlawed as an entity bent upon violating what James Madison, James Monroe and Fisher Ames said is interchangeable in the same breath with freedom of religion, freedom of the press, “human rights”, “private rights”, “essential and sacred rights”, which “each individual reserves to himself”.

Is it not then promoting anarchy that the Marxist/Communist Hand Gun Control Inc. is allowed to go on undermining the highest law of the land, the *U.S. Constitution* and the *Bill of Rights*, under the many cynical guises it holds forth as its purpose? James Madison’s *Federalist Papers* commentary that was in front of the Congress when it enacted the *Bill of Rights* described the *2nd Amendment* as confirming citizens “in their right to keep and bear arms”. How then can the right to keep and bear arms guaranteed by the *Bill of Rights* be hived-off from the rest of the *Constitution* which certifies freedom of religion and freedom of speech as individual rights?

Who will stand up for We, the People and make sure that the citizen is not robbed of his individual *Second Amendment* right? This is not about “guns” and “crime”, it is about destroying the entire *Constitution* and the *Bill of Rights*, piece-by-piece, for there is one thing we may be absolutely sure about: the haters of the *Second Amendment* are the haters of the *Bill of Rights* and they will not stop until they have accomplished their Marxist/Communist larger goal of destroying our individual right to be secure in our homes and our papers, free of unreasonable search and seizure. That is the real purpose of gun control!

CHAPTER 2

IN MEMORIAM TO A BELOVED AND GRACIOUS LADY: ZITA MORRIS

by Rick Martin 12/5/97

pict of zita

At 11:15 P.M. on Thursday, December 4, 1997, Zita Morris, age 70, passed on after a three-year bout with breast cancer.

Zita, born May 29, 1927, and raised in Selinsgrove, Pennsylvania, was the second daughter of Roman Martin Spangler and his wife, Sally Fetrow. Zita's older sister, Sheilavay Doll, is now residing in York, Pennsylvania, while her older brother, Roman, passed on some years ago.

Zita received her B.A. Degree in English in 1949 from Bloomsburg State University in Pennsylvania, and went on to receive her Master's Degree in Counseling from California State Polytechnic University in San Luis Obispo, California in 1970.

For most of her professional career, Zita was a teacher of English and History, and then later became a high school counselor. In the 1970s, Zita moved away from her home in Santa Maria, California to become a Hostess for the Royal Caribbean Cruise Lines while residing in Puerto Rico.

Later, she moved to Taiwan, where she taught English for an American school in Taipei. She spent much of the 1970s traveling throughout Asia and the Philippines. She then relocated to Florida, and ultimately moved to Tehachapi, California eight years ago.

Zita is survived by two sons, Jay Brant Cortright, Jr., a clinical psychologist and teacher in San Francisco, residing in San Rafael; and Rick Martin Cortright, a [*well-respected, investigative*] journalist, residing in Tehachapi.

These children were the product of Zita's first marriage to Jay Brant Cortright, now retired and residing in Ft. Bragg, California. Zita was divorced in 1970 and went on to marry, for a brief period of time, Sam Morris, one of the cruise directors for Royal Caribbean Cruise Lines. Zita was single and living alone for the last ten years.

Zita was a vital and energetic woman with many diverse interests. She has many beloved friends in this area and she will be missed greatly.

[Editor's note: For those of you readers who may wish to convey messages directly to Rick, he may be reached at: P.O. Box 958, Tehachapi, CA 93581; (office:) 805-822-9657.]

12/6/97 ESU "JESUS" SANANDA

Rest easy, beloved Rick, I Am Esu to calm the turbulent seas of sorrow at the passing of your dear mother, Zita.

Zita is making her transition, which will take several days to complete. I may tell you that she experienced great joy at being released from that dysfunctional vehicle of a frail body.

She is with others now who are beginning her instruction, for there is much for her to "get up to speed on" so that she may progress, and indeed so that she may be of further assistance to you in your difficult journey.

There is no such thing as death, has it not been said? It is merely the loss of someone loved in the physical that causes the pain.

Is there not great joy in the knowledge that she is being tended by those sent by me? I told you long ago that, when the time came, I would take it. Did you doubt my word?

I will not say that Zita's transition is one free from regret. But these are the things that life's schoolrooms are for—the training and honing of the soul in its journey toward its return to God.

Be not sad, Rick—be of good cheer. Your journey is just beginning and it will take turns that you have not yet a clue about!

But let us give remembrance to the loved energy that *IS* Zita, for she is well aware of that which is said about her and that which is being done on her behalf. And, I may tell you, Rick, that she is proud of you.

Zita was the person who so efficiently and diligently recorded, logged, and filed, in an organized fashion, EACH of the daily writings through Dharma for lo all these years—as may be clearly evidenced by the binders lining the back wall. That shall be her tribute, for few are Trusted in the handling of The Word, and she served with Honor!

Do not act surprised that I would send Sister Thedra to meet with her at her time of transition, for Zita and Sister were close friends and Sister is well trained to bring counsel to Zita at this time. So, too, do not be surprised that Russell Herman would join her, for he owes a debt of gratitude to you, personally, Rick and he will do anything to help you, and this was a splendid opportunity for him to do so while also showing Zita a bit of the bigger picture.

There are, of course, many, many others who will counsel her, for her journey shall be one which shall be filled with wonder and joy, and some hard classes! Zita is not lost to you. Send her your love, your Light, and pray that she be Guided in her continued soul journey.

Each individual must make soul decisions. It is a pathway full of moment-to-moment choices, each choice impacting the next. But of all the lessons, remember well, the greatest of all is love.

Be of good cheer, be kind, let love and peace fill you for all your remaining days, and may you and Zita dwell in the House of the Lord, forever and ever.

Always keep those listening skills finely tuned, for none is above learning. Accept our input graciously, for we DO see farther and we will never lead you astray. There is far to go on this planetary transitional journey. Let us walk the path together, bringing our friends with us along the way—and giving no quarter to those who would come against us. Walk with love and rest in the knowledge that I am ever with thee. I am Sananda.

Aum Du Padmi Cum. [*I am the portal; I am the way; welcome home.*]

So be it and selah!

12/7/97 #1 HATONN

ZITA'S MEMORIAL GATHERING

I am not much given to wallowing in pity at the passage of one who has served well, lived well, and completed their assigned task. Zita did “her mission” and now we shall see if her children and those left behind will do theirs! Rick will do his and the others are very questionable. These are the things that try a parent’s soul at their own passage when the confrontation comes and “I should have done more” rings in the heart and bounces off the ears of GOD.

When a person has a final few days for making lasting decisions, as did Zita, it is a great blessing. It is painful for the ones watching, but the treasure comes from being able to make translation, get lessons, and have very informed decision-making ON THAT OTHER SIDE OF THE “FORGET IT” VEIL.

Zita, I repeat, did NOT have ongoing disease involvement which would take her life, so once she chose her direction, she did what she needed to do to go on and leave those behind to do their work without her burden. Is there a misperception that “there is no burden”? Of course, for it is like “he ain’t heavy; he is my brother” or in this instance “she isn’t heavy for she is my mother” where it is foolish to protest loudly for it is YOU who ends up appearing the fool. God gives life to each of us, and to lie for the benefit of making another feel somehow more useful is indeed without merit for it is at passage that the acute senses WITHIN—KNOW.

When confronted with necessity or even preferences, it is wondrous what an individual can do. Dharma, for instance, thought she could get a few sandwiches ready for Norio’s visit [*Hayakawa*] but certainly “can’t” do another funeral for “my heart is so hurting and times seem quite desperate and I cannot stand on my feet long enough to get anything accomplished—AND, *do a funeral!*”

But it seemed to go well—UNTIL—things went other than as expected. So, you in this place KNOW that Charles, E.J., Pablo, and even Rick, spread out meats, cheese, whatever—so that a gathering could

be as nice as we in limited health and circumstances could make it so that memories could be joyful and not always a “wish” that we had done more or better or whatever. Loving hands could then take the feast and present it, and each could enjoy the contribution.

The next question to me was, “Can’t you just not write today and simply say whatever we need to tell?” No, because I doubt we could make it through a half-hour of speaking, and a word to Zita TODAY is mandatory from your place, or skip it altogether. Ah, no matter what we think we have learned, there is always more.

A soul, even one at rest and peace, WAITS in accomplishing the hours of becoming readied for the greater transition and breaking free in order to move on to uncharted waters. This “wait” amounts to a sequence of events for the soul’s lessons and preparations for FUTURE considerations—and it takes about as long as three full days in your accounting of “time”. And, friends, remember something in that it is only in YOUR OWN PERCEPTION that you would decide what another wishes to do or where to be.

I can use this observation in specifically the case of Zita that she did not want a “time” for viewing and depressed expressions of “oh Zita, you look so sick” or “so thin” or whatever. She wanted no one to view her with her body ill and wasted; she wishes to be remembered in the love and vitality of her BEST self. Can we not do, at the least, that much for our sister? She knew that no one, save we of the other dimension, could answer her inquiries and that each perception presented was only an expression of another’s opinion of what MIGHT BE.

It is time now to put aside the guilts, the wishes of something other than what was, for no change can be made in your dimension of that which has come and gone. This includes a Mother as well, for when passage comes, all memory is left intact and FOREVER.

In the case of Zita, however, Rick is left with the heartfelt desires of a MOTHER, for a son, who finally saw what she was beginning to understand and it will rest on his shoulders to acquire, grow, and become what is the best in those dreams of later years of a worthy presentation of living.

In these instances of knowing, which demand that there will be time consumed away and in great and pivotal things to be accomplished, the body must be released, and those left in the journey must turn to the task, and again I use the term: let the dead bury the dead. If another did not live to bring peace to self at such times of passage, all the worry and sorrow of those who do understand cannot touch a hair of it for those who do not.

I suggest to many of you reading this that it certainly is time to attend your own nests and attitudes, and make peace, for it may well be your LAST OPPORTUNITY. You cannot simply help or assist another instead—you must attend your own for that circumstance is THE circumstance you will be left to view and feel and experience. It is much like making lunch. We can provide the products and lay it forth, but if it is YOU who will be eating—YOU WILL HAVE TO DO IT YOURSELF or be hungry. When you understand this you will have begun to understand life and death and CHOICES.

Why don’t I take the time to tell of all the wondrous things Zita would like to be remembered for? Because they are not the things I would remember with worthy recollection. Neither will I tell all the things lacking

or unpolished or unwanted about the person involved. So, too, are the conditions not mine to judge. Isn't that nice: no reason or aptitude to judge and sentence to punishment? Neither do I need make excuses or give justification to my relationship with or to "that" person for their journey is NOT MINE and I have no right to coerce them into my experience.

People make errors and become childish and demanding, but does that make it right to be so self-centered? Of course, for it may well be WRONG behavior, but each person must have freedom of expression and the RIGHT to make the wrong adventure of himself.

Each person will demand and plead for insight and a strict set of guidelines for moral justification for actions. With knowing comes responsibility, and right down to setting the cheese to the appointed tray becomes a problem if the cheese tray be someone else's, for you will probably choose to set the cheese to the "wrong" column—even though there be no right or wrong—only a decision. We simply don't want to assume responsibilities and when we do so, as with spreading out the cheese, state that you assume the task, and it then becomes yours and you had better think out, in advance, HOW THE CHEESE IS TO BE CONSUMED lest you blow the job.

The next thing to consider carefully is attitudes about care and tending of anyone. Do you simply love to change diapers after an accident? Of course not, but when there is a baby, do you toss out the babe because he dirties his pants? No, but it is silly to assure the world that you loved the job of cleaning up after the fact. Learn to value the great things and toss aside the unpleasant. Allow the departed the gift of remembering the positive contributions and, for goodness sakes, let go of the annoying points. If you can find no "good points"—KEEP THINE MOUTH SHUT—FOR THE WELL-BEING OF YOUR OWN SOUL. Once departed, that "other" could not care less about you or your druthers! It simply is the way it IS. You who hold onto garbage are destined to drag it everywhere you go from now until your own passage—and most of you will cart it right on through and into your next experience and this, beloved ones, is such a stupid thing to do. It is like DEMANDING that *everyone ENJOY your religious choices and belief systems*. WHY? All religions are set forth BY MAN, so why do you follow the most dreadful leaders? You are the precious one in God's creation, so why would you leave your most important segment of journey in growth to ANOTHER WHO DOESN'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT ANYTHING?

People make judgements about me, Hatonn, and they don't even know my name nor do they know how to spell it correctly. Is this MY problem or THEIRS? They have, each of them, every right to think anything they wish about me, turn their eyes to the blinders and fail to listen to the facts—but, does it change anything? Of course not.

So what does all this have to do with funerals and comings and goings? Lots, for in mutual respect and love can people put aside differences, release the poison pens and gossip, and allow a foundation upon which there IS AGREEMENT to begin to heal any rifts or miscarriages. If a person had a talent and a gift in the best or worst of circumstances that followed, that TALENT REMAINS and it should be used with grace and sharing.

I would only point out one reminder, however, about myself, and that is that if you do not "buy Hatonn"—fine. But I remind you that "Hatonn" can be simply a name of some entity, but GOD is the Guy to watch,

judging humanity. Except through me (my teachings and belief) shall ye enter the place of our Father. It simply, once again, IS THE WAY IT IS. I am the way, the truth and the LIFE—BECAUSE I KNOW THE WAY, TRUTH, AND AM THE LIFE! You may disagree and that is your greatest gift of all in choices—but—I KNOW THE WAY, THE TRUTH, AND THE LIFE, and you apparently do not even wait, in instances where silence would bide thee well, long enough to LEARN FACTS. Does that make old “Hatonn” the foolish one? Or, do you toss it off as a bad day for the receiver because I present something which sits disagreeably with your opinions or assumptions?

We need to consider these things as we move on, for we WILL MOVE ON. Change is the only certain thing in your ongoing perceptions. We can make it wondrous and creative or miserable and depressing. Since we all would wish to leave a legacy of good memories, let us consider carefully our own circumstances and honor those who come and go on before us, that we may LEARN and they shall not have lived in vain.

May we always hold the departed in that special place within our beings that they never be forgotten, for memory is all that holds life to reality.

Salu—and to Zita, Welcome Aboard!

CHAPTER 3

THE NEWS DESK

by Dr. Al Overholt 12/6/97

NOTHING TO DO WITH WEAPONS, EVERYTHING TO DO WITH OIL PRICES

It's about the price of oil.
It's not about terrorism or
weapons of mass destruction.

From the INTERNET, from Peter Kawaja, 11/20/97: [quoting]

The Iraqis are right. The United States and Great Britain have unjustly prolonged the embargo against Iraq, which has resulted in the deaths of thousands of children and the elderly. United Nations officials and humanitarian organizations say that [*it's*] not just the Iraqis. The power structures in both countries have large economic interests in Kuwait and in other places where there is oil.

Iraq has more known oil reserves than any other country in the world except Saudi Arabia. Some oilmen even think that Iraq's reserves are bigger than those of the Saudis.

If Iraq's oil is allowed to come back on the world's markets, the price of oil will go down. That's what it's all about.

Hans Blix—director of the International Atomic Energy Agency, which for six years has been overseeing and inspecting the destruction of Iraq's nuclear capability—has stated publicly that the IAEA is “sure Iraq has no remaining infrastructure for nuclear weapons production”.

But, Blix said, he can't certify that, because the United States and Great Britain disagree with other U.N. Security Council members on the definition of “capability”.

Presumably, the U.S. position is that Iraq should execute all its engineers and scientists and destroy all its computers. That's all that's left of Iraq's nuclear program, according to Blix.

Use common sense. Iraq is a small country. Do you think that on-the-ground inspectors working for six years could not find a large store of weapons if such existed? Do you really think that the Iraqi government doesn't want the embargo lifted? The U.N. resolution doesn't authorize prying into every aspect of Iraq's military—only into its weapons of mass destruction.

The United States and Great Britain have just employed the Orwellian technique of double-speak to keep the sanctions on Iraq. They keep demanding that the inspectors prove a negative, which is impossible. Can I swear to you that Martians don't exist? No. I can only tell you that I can't find any evidence that they do.

The legitimate question is: Is Iraq a threat? If the United States did not consider it a threat before the Gulf War, with its war machine intact, why does it now consider it a threat with its infrastructure destroyed, its people ill and hungry, its middle class destroyed, its weapons capability destroyed and continuously monitored?

No, it's oil. That's probably what's behind President Clinton's latest foreign-policy fiasco, slapping sanctions on Sudan. There is oil in the Sudan, but apparently the current government will not honor the deal made with an American oil company by the previous government.

This is really interesting. The previous government was run by a dictator. It was engaged in the same civil war the current government is engaged in. The previous dictator was said by human-rights organizations to be about as bad a chap as bad chaps can be. But there was one difference.

The U.S. government loved the previous dictator. They didn't think that his conduct of the civil war warranted sanctions. In fact, the United States would rush warplanes to the area any time someone looked cross-eyed at its favorite dictator. When its favorite dictator was in power, a friend of mine lived in the country and reported that Standard Oil of California had found what appeared to be large oil deposits. This was later acknowledged by the company.

But after he was deposed, the United States began to say Sudan was a terrorist state and that its conduct of the civil war was terrible. Funny, the United States had never previously shown much interest in the human rights of Sudanese (as it now shows no interest in the human rights of Chinese and Tibetans, not to mention Palestinians). [End quoting]

You can bet one thing—the bickering and threats are all about who is going to control what material “stuff” and also how the Elite can kill off a few billion people by year 2000.

RENO'S BID FOR FILES PUTS FBI IN TURMOIL

From the INTERNET, 11/28/97: [quoting]

A veteran FBI agent resigned in September after refusing a demand by Attorney General Janet Reno to give the Justice Department the names of highly sensitive, secret China contacts. This sent a wave of outrage coursing through the bureau and surely will prompt new congressional concerns about Reno.

Ray Wickman, former head of the FBI's intelligence unit monitoring Chinese operations, was contacted at his home in suburban Washington and told me, “I took my retirement,” but he refused to say more.

However, well-placed—and outraged—bureau sources said Wickman's resignation was his only recourse because of the Justice Department's threatened compromise of FBI intelligence. “It was an insult,” a veteran agent told me.

This shocking development follows months of confusion over the FBI and Justice Department investigation of alleged Chinese attempts to influence American politics. But beyond a suspected Justice Department

cover-up of Clinton campaign scandals, the integrity of the FBI is at stake. New questions were raised about FBI Director Louis Freeh's credibility when he privately and implausibly professed ignorance of the Wickman affair.

High-level officials at the FBI and the Justice Department, when asked what happened, put out this story on a not-for-attribution basis: When Wickman decided to resign, he was asked to turn in his sources on the Chinese account, but he declined to do so because he was concerned about their "low quality".

That sounds like bureaucratic nonsense, and close colleagues of Wickman in the bureau say it certainly is. They say Wickman quit after, not before, he refused to turn over his sources. Far from being of low quality, the Chinese sources and the intelligence derived from them are regarded by FBI professionals as the best in the bureau. What's more, they consider these files as the most sensitive kept by the FBI.

The word that the Justice Department, clearly on Reno's orders, was demanding raw files sent shock waves through the bureau. The anger transcends the suspicion that Reno, the supposedly nonpolitical attorney general, was seeking to protect President Clinton politically and goes to the integrity of the 89-year-old FBI.

"The purpose of the FBI is to safeguard sources," a senior FBI agent, appalled by the Wickman affair, told me. "The whole idea is to keep sources secret from the Justice Department. If Justice is going to have full access to our files, we have no purpose."

Reno, who generally has managed to convey a reputation of unshakable integrity, is viewed with fear and loathing throughout the FBI. No recent attorney general has been so suspected of attempting to compromise law enforcement.

But efforts to penetrate the Chinese secrets preceded Reno. A few years ago, according to FBI sources, an inspector general's draft report recommended closing down the unit because it was "inefficient". The reason: refusal to reveal their operations to the inspector general. The draft report was torn up.

There also is suspicion in FBI ranks of their bright young director, who is so popular among both parties on Capitol Hill. Freeh, a 47-year-old former federal district judge, when asked by a Republican member of Congress about Wickman's resignation last month, replied he had heard nothing about it.

"Of course, he heard about it," a disdainful FBI official told me.

Nobody in the FBI will talk on the record about what happened, and most (including Wickman) refuse to talk even not-for-quotation. But they soon may be forced to tell all under oath by Sen. Arlen Specter (R-Pa.), a member of both the Governmental Affairs Committee investigating campaign-finance scandals and the Judiciary Committee, which has jurisdiction over the Justice Department.

"I would like to bring the Department of Justice and FBI officials in to testify in closed session," Specter told me. The former Philadelphia district attorney has been increasingly critical of Reno and now may have doubts about Freeh, as well. They and their subordinates will be summoned to explain what's going on. And the senators also would be able to hear the truth from Ray Wickman, a loyal and principled public

servant who stood up to the attorney general's power play. [End quoting]

This is another show for "we the people". **Don't ever believe that these shenanigans aren't well planned!**

BIG QUAKE PREDICTED FOR
THIS WINTER IN OSAKA, JAPAN
FOLLOW-UP REPORT

Excerpted from a LETTER from a CONTACT subscriber in Japan, 11/16/97: [paraphrasing]

He says that he thinks it will be the SECOND WEEK IN JANUARY 1998.

Also, he states someone in Kobe who foresaw all that transpired in the Kobe quake is now seeing the same for Osaka: a massive quake that will be felt in Kobe and bring down a few buildings there in addition to whatever it does to Osaka.

An additional prediction he gives is that there will be a quake in Hiroshima this winter probably between December 21 and January 22 (if not it'll occur by March 22, 1998.) This will also be a large quake of M6.1-M7.2 that could cause extensive damage to Hiroshima. I'm told it is due to the many nuclear explosions going off around the Pacific Rim which have finally weakened the area in Hiroshima to the extent that this is now imminent. [End quoting]

Don't forget about the major sympathetic quakes that will likely happen in California following any major activity in Japan.

POLL: GLOBAL-WARMING
TAX FAVORED

Excerpted from *THE ORLANDO SENTINEL*, 11/21/97: [quoting]

Nearly three of every four Americans say they would pay a nickel a gallon more for gasoline to address global warming. But most view it as less of an environmental threat than toxic waste or pollution of the air and waterways, a poll says.

About one-fourth of those surveyed said they worry a great deal about the warming of the Earth because of heat-trapping gases. Nevertheless, 73 percent would pay 5 cents a gallon more for gasoline and 60 percent as much as 25 cents more to deal with the potential problem—if other nations address it as well.

The poll, conducted by the Pew Research Center for the People and the Press, comes as the United States and nearly 160 other countries prepare for climate negotiations in Kyoto, Japan, next month to work out binding reductions of greenhouse gases by industrial nations.

The survey was conducted by telephone from Nov. 12 to 16 with a nationwide sample of 1,200 adults. It has an error rate of 4.5 percentage points. [End quoting]

Who actually believes questioning 1200 people gives any kind of accuracy regarding what over 200 million people think? We're told what to think and do!

make 'em ride bicycles

The Free Market reports that the Environmental Protection Agency, which rarely scruples to use its formidable powers to tutor lesser beings in the ways of environmental probity, operates a fleet of luxury automobiles that averages 6.9 miles per gallon. This is 75 percent lower than the standards the EPA is trying to impose on American consumers. [End quoting]

The Elite always think they are above their own rules and laws and it's time we let them know they have to live by them also!!

SNUFFING OUT FLU

From POPULAR SCIENCE magazine, December 1997: [quoting]

INFLUENZA kills 20,000 people a year in the United States alone. Vaccinations can prevent the spread of flu, but who likes shots? Now there's an alternative: a nasal spray administered by doctors or nurses. The spray vaccine is at least as effective as flu shots. In clinical trials this year, only 1 percent of the 1,070 children who received the spray got the flu. Invented at the University of Michigan School of Public Health, the vaccine spray could be available by prescription within two years. <www.aviron.com> [End quoting]

The Elite want to make it as easy and painless as possible for you to get your bug doses.

Very few children—according to them—came down with the flu. **BUT** they don't tell you how many came down with other illnesses or died—or will once they are through testing and use their purposefully contaminated vaccines.

WARNING: CANCER IN YOUR BATHROOM

Excerpted from the INTERNET, 11/97: [quoting]

WOULD YOU...

Wash your hair and brush your teeth with brake fluid, engine degreaser or antifreeze?

YOU PROBABLY ARE AND DON'T EVEN KNOW IT!!!

You and your family may be exposed to potential cancer-causing agents every time you enter the bath-

room.

Potentially harmful ingredients are in everyday personal care products.

Have you ever read the labels on your deodorant, shaving cream, mouthwash and toothpaste BOX???

Product warning labels are shown for a reason. It is a fact that many manufacturers use certain harmful chemical ingredients mainly because they're CHEAP and give the ILLUSION of working properly. But residues of more than 400 toxic chemicals have been found in human blood and fat tissue.

From 1950 to 1989, the overall incidence of cancer in the U.S. has risen by 44% (smoking-related incidences account for less than 25% of the increase). Childhood cancer is up 20%.

Today, cancer is the leading cause of death for women aged 35-74. Baby Boomers have three times the cancer rate of their grandparents. In 1901 cancer was considered a rare disease. Statistics show that 1 out of 8000 persons had cancer.

Today, according to the American Cancer Society, 1 out of 3 people have cancer. By the year 2000, 1 out of 2 persons will be touched by cancer. [*emphasis mine*]

How much cancer, and other illnesses, are linked to chemical exposure? Sometimes it depends on which "expert" you listen to but even OSHA admits there are at least 880 neurotoxic (harmful to your nervous system) chemicals used in personal care products, cosmetics, and perfumes.

DID YOU KNOW...

There is a proven significant trend towards the incidence of ALZHEIMER'S disease among long term users of ALUMINUM-based antiperspirants? But almost all store brands use aluminum.

DID YOU KNOW...

That three of the most popular shaving creams combine two chemicals which together form a likely cancer-causing agent? They're even listed right on the label. But how many people would know this? The manufacturers are betting "not many". A product that does is a popular KID'S SHAMPOO!

Sodium lauryl sulfate (SLS) and propylene glycol are two of the most common ingredients found in many personal care products BUT...

DID YOU KNOW...

That the journal of the American College of Toxicology reports that SLS is easily absorbed into your skin and builds up in your heart, liver, lungs, and brain...even if you wash it right off after using it! The Medical College of Georgia has done testing showing that SLS forms dangerous levels of cancer-causing nitrosamines when combined with several other common ingredients found in many personal care products. Your skin is the largest breathing organ of your body, and many chemicals can be absorbed through it. Nicotine and

medicine skin patches wouldn't work if the skin couldn't absorb. Yet most shampoos contain SLS.

DID YOU KNOW...

That propylene glycol, used in many facial moisturizers and hand/body lotions, has been found to cause kidney damage and liver abnormalities in scientific and animal testing. Propylene glycol may damage cell membranes causing rashes, dry skin, and surface damage to the skin. Sierra brand anti-freeze for your car is mostly propylene glycol (read it right off the label). Most auto anti-freezes will switch to propylene glycol (from ethylene glycol) in the near future. Is this the best thing to be putting on your skin?

DID YOU KNOW...

That KIDS' bubble baths have warning labels on them? Why? It is because the sodium lauryl sulfate in them eats away at the mucous lining of the skin and causes urinary tract infections.

DID YOU KNOW...

That the Research to Prevent Blindness, Inc. reports that sodium lauryl sulfate builds up in the eye tissue and may be a cause of eyesight problems in many children. You will find sodium lauryl (or laureth) sulfate in most shampoos.

DID YOU KNOW...

That many children are rushed to the emergency rooms each year due to alcohol poisoning from drinking mouthwash. One of the most popular brands of mouthwash is 26.9% alcohol. In adults, high-alcohol mouthwash increases the risk of oral cancer by as much as 60%.

From the information above, it is little wonder that cancer is on the rise. All these harmful chemicals found in our everyday products, combined with the pollution of our air and water, has created an environment that is no longer friendly. However, there are safe alternatives that we can use. 1-888-724-3502 - PIN# 601842 [End quoting]

I have not checked out this number and make no comments except the article sounds logical and reasonable and if you wish to investigate further I give you the source.

I feel that most of these chemicals are put into these products as a planned method to help fulfill the Elite's "Plan 2000" of killing off most of the population by year 2000—one way or another—but making it look like natural forces at work.

CONTACT readers probably recall the articles we published on the removal of the nutrition in our foods that has been going on for most of the 20th century.

Please, don't get paranoid over this type of information—fear is your worst enemy—but do take heed and control what you can. Fore-warned is fore-armed. God helps those who **help themselves to stay alive and well!**

MTBE POISONING

From UNKNOWN newspaper: [quoting]

Tosco Corp, a major oil company, says methyl tertiary butyl ether (MTBE) which is used as a smog-cutting additive in gasoline may be tainting drinking water supplies and should be banned. Tosco's stand puts it in opposition to the rest of the oil industry which has invested billions in developing additives such as MTBE in response to requirements of the federal Clean Air Act. Now MTBE production has become a profitable \$3 billion a year sideline industry for U.S. refiners and the petroleum industry has gotten hooked on its federally-ordered "cure" for reducing pollutants in gasoline. But there's another twist: California's state-mandated gasoline formula is just as effective at reducing pollution without MTBE as it is with the "smogcutter", and the petroleum industry wants to keep that a secret. [End quoting]

What kind of odds do we have when the oil companies will lose money. Besides it helps the Elite's program to bleed you of your money through medical expenses before you die, as planned, from their chemicals and bugs.

JAPAN ISSUES WARNING

From *THE MODESTO BEE*, 11/25/97: [quoting]

Japan sent its clearest warning yet Monday that ailing financial companies will be allowed to go under and that the government will not embark on any corporate rescue missions. Finance Minister Hiroshi Mitsuzuka said the stability of Japan's financial institutions depends on having companies like Yamaichi Securities Co. resolve their own problems. Yamaichi Securities—one of Japan's four largest securities firms—closed its doors Monday, unable to cope with its \$24 billion debt. In doing so, it became the third Japanese financial company to collapse in a month. [End quoting]

It's also about time the U.S. made the corporations take the responsibility of their actions instead of always having the taxpayers bail them out.

NERVE GAS LEAKING, BUT THREAT DISCOUNTED

From *THE SPOKESMAN-REVIEW*, 11/27/97: [quoting]

HERMISTON, Ore.—A leak of the deadly nerve gas GB has been detected inside a storage area at the Umatilla Chemical Depot, the U.S. Army reported.

The leak, which workers discovered Monday, poses no danger to the public, employees or the environment, depot spokeswoman Donna Fuzi said.

"The agent was detected at an extremely low level inside an M-55 rocket chemical storage structure," she said. "No agent was detected outside the structure."

An estimated 7.2 million pounds of mustard gas, GB and VX, another nerve agent, are stored in concrete igloos at the depot about 11 miles west of Hermiston.

Sophisticated equipment enables workers to detect leaks while they still are in vapor form, Fuzi said.

A specially trained crew will isolate the leaking device so it can be sealed in a steel overpack container, she said. [End quoting]

Isn't it interesting how anytime the government is involved in pollution—even with direct death-causing chemicals—that it's "Oh, there really isn't any problem. It's really only a small leak, etc. that won't harm...". While the animals all around the areas die off.

TEMPERING TOOL

Grandpa Will was the kindest,
most patient person
I have ever known.
I was surprised to find
he wasn't always that way.

From *GUIDEPOSTS*, November 1997, P.O. Box 1479, Carmel, NY 10512: [quoting]

When I was growing up I sometimes got into school-yard scraps. One day after a brawl I slunk into the house, ducking my head to hide my black eye.

"How was school today, Ernest?" my mother called. When I didn't answer she came to the front hall. "Ernest! Not again!" She wet a towel and gently pressed it over my eye. "You have to learn how to control that temper of yours, young man."

"But, Ma, the other kid started it."

"I don't care," she said. "It takes two to make a fight."

The next day she sent me to visit Grandpa Will Shubird. I found him out by his toolshed. "Morning, Ernest," Grandpa said. His smile faded when he saw my bruise. "Now, you've been staying out of trouble, haven't you?"

"Not exactly . . ." I couldn't lie to Grandpa. He knew I had a hair-trigger temper—unlike him. He had never so much as raised his voice to me, not even when I had let his buggy roll down the hill with my brother and sister inside it. Grandpa had just calmly talked to me about what a dangerous thing I had done.

"There's no reason to let your anger get the best of you," he said now.

“What if another kid pushes me?” I protested. “Aw, you wouldn’t understand. You never get mad.” Grandpa Will scratched his chin. “Son, I do understand. I used to get into fights too.”

“You?”

“Yep. I threw things. I kicked in doors. Even marrying your grandmother didn’t mellow me. I had the meanest, rottenest temper around,” he said. “Until something made me change.” He beckoned me to the doorway of the toolshed and pointed to a battered piece of iron sitting on a shelf inside. “See that wedge? One day when your uncle J. D. was a boy we were using it to split firewood. Halfway through a big oak log the wedge popped out. I banged it in deeper, but it came out again. Steaming mad, I raised the hammer over my head and smashed it down with all my might. Bam! The wedge went flying. It hit J. D. on the head and knocked him out cold.

“Fortunately J. D. was all right, though he did get a good knot on his head. I was horrified at what I’d done. I went back to the woods and knelt by that log and prayed, ‘Lord, I’ll do anything to get over this terrible temper of mine.’

“Later that day I missed a nail and hit my thumb. I was about to throw the blasted hammer across the road when I suddenly saw in my mind that wedge flying through the air.”

“Then what did you do?” I asked skeptically. I couldn’t believe my gentle grandfather had ever had a temper.

“I stopped short and said a prayer,” Grandpa told me. “And you know, when I finished asking the Lord for his help, my sore thumb didn’t seem so important.

“I didn’t want that wedge ever to hurt anyone again. So I put it up. But I learned the problem was me, not the wedge.

“Then I had a real test a few years later. A neighbor confronted me on the church steps, brandishing a knife. ‘Will Shubird,’ the man snarled, ‘Word’s out you said my boy’s runnin’ a still. I don’t like that kind of talk. I feel like carvin’ yer gizzard.’

“Now the old Will Shubird would have grabbed a stick and prepared for combat. Instead I looked him straight in the eye and said, ‘Brother Sam, what you heard ain’t so. I know you don’t want to get into trouble over something that ain’t true. Let’s forget about it and go on into church.’ Sam was so surprised he put his knife in his pocket and walked away.

“I haven’t flown off the handle since that day in the woods,” Grandpa said.

“The Lord done his part, and remembering this ol’ wedge helped me do mine.”

From then on I tried to do my part whenever I felt my temper about to get the best of me. It wasn’t always easy, especially when another kid put up his dukes, but slowly I discovered my grandfather was right. By the time the prayer left my mouth, whatever I had gotten all fired up about never seemed to matter anymore. What did was trying to be more like Grandpa Will, the kindest, most patient person I’ve ever

known. Ernest Shubird, Montgomery, Alabama [End quoting]

Probably most of us can gain much from this story.

CHAPTER 4

BANKS, BANKSTERS & MONEY WHAT YOU DON'T KNOW CAN ENSLAVE YOU!

PART IV IN A SERIES

by Calvin Burgin 11/14/97

The following is Part IV of the excellent investigative historical series from Calvin Burgin which began in the 11/18/97 issue of CONTACT as the Front Page story.

THE TREASURY DEPARTMENT RULES THIS COUNTRY

On March 11, 1933, President Roosevelt, in his first radio “Fireside Chat”, makes the following statement:

“The Secretary of the Treasury will issue licenses to banks which are members of the Federal Reserve System, whether national bank or state, located in each of the 12 Federal Reserve Bank cities, to open Monday morning.”

It was by this action that the Treasury took over the banking system.

Black's Law Dictionary defines the Bank Holiday of 1933 in the following words:

“Presidential Proclamations No. 2039, issued March 6, 1933, and No. 2040, issued March 9, 1933, temporarily suspended banking transactions by member banks of the Federal Reserve System. Normal banking functions were resumed on March 13, subject to certain restrictions. The first proclamation, it was held, had no authority in law until the passage on March 9, 1933, of a ratifying act (12 U.S.C.A., Sect. 95b). *Anthony v. Bank of Wiggins*, 183 Miss. 883, 184 So. 626. The present law forbids member banks of the Federal Reserve System to transact banking business, except under regulations of the Secretary of the Treasury, during an emergency proclaimed by the President. 12 U.S.C.A., Sect. 95.”

Take special note of the last sentence of this definition, especially the phrase, “present law”. The fact that banks are under regulation of the Treasury today, is evidence that the state of emergency still exists, by virtue of the definition. Not that, at this point, we need any more evidence to prove we are still in a declared state of national emergency.

From the Agricultural Adjustment Act of May 12, 1933: “To issue licenses permitting processors, associations of producers and others to engage in the handling, in the current of interstate or foreign commerce, of any agricultural commodity or product thereof...”

This is the seizure of the agricultural industry by means of licensing authority.

In the first hundred days of the reign of Franklin Delano Roosevelt, similar seizures by licensing authority were successfully completed by the government over a plethora of other industries, among them transpor-

tation, communications, public utilities, securities, oil, labor, and all natural resources. The first hundred days of FDR saw the nationalization of the United States, its people and its assets. What has Bill Clinton talked about during his campaign and early presidency? His first hundred days.

Now, we know that they took over all contracts, for we have already read:

“No contract is considered as valid as between enemies, at least so far as to give them a remedy in the courts of law of either government, and they have, in the language of civil law, no ability to sustain a *persona standi in judicio*.”

They have no personal rights at law. Therefore, we should expect that we would see in the statutes a time when the contract between the Federal Reserve and We, the People, in which the Federal Reserve had to give us our gold on demand, was made null and void.

Referring to House Joint Resolution 192 (June 5, 1933): “That (a) every provision contained in or made with respect to any obligation which purports to give the obligee a right to require payment in gold or a particular kind of coin or currency, or in an amount of money of the United States measured thereby, is declared to be against public policy; and no such policy shall be contained in or made with respect to any obligation hereafter incurred.”

Indeed, our contract with the Federal Reserve was invalidated at the end of Roosevelt’s hundred days. We lost our right to require our gold back from the bank in which we had deposited it.

Returning once again to the Roosevelt Papers:

“This conference of fifty farm leaders met on March 10, 1933. They agreed on recommendations for a bill, which were presented to me at the White House on March 11th by a committee of the conference, who requested me to call upon the Congress for the same broad powers to meet the emergency in agriculture as I had requested for solving the bank crisis.”

What were the “broad powers”? That was the War Powers, wasn’t it? And now we see the farm leaders asking President Roosevelt to use the same War Powers to take control of the agricultural industry. Well, needless to say, he did. We should wonder about all that took place at this conference, for it to result in the eventual acquiescence of farm leadership to the governmental take-over of their livelihoods.

THE AGRICULTURAL ADJUSTMENT ACT

Reading from the Agricultural Adjustment Act, May the 12th [1933], Declaration of Emergency:

“That the present acute economic emergency being in part the consequence of a severe and increasing disparity between the prices of agriculture and other commodities, which disparity has largely destroyed the purchasing power of farmers for industrial products, has broken down the orderly exchange of commodities, and has seriously impaired the agricultural assets supporting the national credit structure, it is hereby declared that these conditions in the basic industry of agriculture have affected transactions in agricultural commodities with a national public interest, have burdened and obstructed the normal currents of com-

merce in such commodities and rendered imperative the immediate enactment of Title 1 of this Act.”

Now here we see that he is saying that the agricultural assets support the national credit structure. Did he take the titles of all the land? Remember Contracts Payable in Gold? President Roosevelt needed the support, and agriculture was critical, because of all the millions of acres of farmland at that time, and the value of that farmland. The mortgage on that farmland was what supported the emergency credit. So President Roosevelt had to do something to stabilize the price of land and Federal Reserve Bank notes to create money, didn't he? So he impressed agriculture into the public interest. The farming industry was nationalized.

Continuing with the Agricultural Adjustment Act, Declaration of Emergency:

“It is hereby declared to be the public policy of Congress...” Referring now back to Prize Cases (1862) (2 Black, 674): “But in defining the meaning of the term ‘enemies’ property’, we will be led into error if we refer to Fleta or Lord Coke for their definition of the word, ‘enemy’. It is a technical phrase peculiar to prize courts, and depends upon principles of public policy as distinguished from the common law.”

Once the emergency is declared, the common law is abolished, the *Constitution* is abolished and we fall under the absolute will of Government, called public policy.

All the government needs to continue is to have public opinion on their side. If public opinion can be kept, in sufficient degree, on the side of the government, statutes, laws and bills can continue to be passed. This is why it is so important that they also control the media, which they do. The *Constitution* has no meaning. The *Constitution* is suspended. It has been for over 60 years. We're not under law. Law has been abolished. The quickest way to learn this is to end up in court. Try to claim your “Constitutional rights” and the judge will jail you for contempt of court. MANY THOUSANDS of victims have learned this fact the hard way. You will learn you are under “Admiralty Law”, which is British law for ships at sea, foreign lands and enemies.

We're under a system of public policy: War Powers.

So when you go into that courtroom with your *Constitution* and the common law in your hand, what does that judge tell you? He tells you that you have no *persona standi in judicio*. You have no personal standing at law. He tells you not to bother bringing the *Constitution* into his court, because it is not a Constitutional court, but an executive tribunal operating under a totally different jurisdiction.

From Section 93-549: “Under this procedure we retain Government by law—special, temporary law, perhaps, but law nonetheless. The public may know the extent and the limitations of the powers that can be asserted, and the persons affected may be informed by the statute of their rights and their duties.”

If you have any rights, the only reason you have them is because they have been statutorily declared, and your duties well spelled out, and if you violate the orders of those statutes, you will be charged, not with a crime, but with an offense.

Again from 93-549, from the words of Mr. Katzenbach:

“My recollection is that almost every executive order ever issued straddles on several grounds, but it almost always includes the Trading With the Enemy Act because the language of that act is so broad, it would justify almost anything.”

Speaking on the subject of a challenge to the Act by the people, Justice Clark then says:

“Most difficult from a standpoint of standing to sue. The Court, you might say, has enlarged the standing rule in favor of the litigant. But I don’t think it has reached the point, presently, that would permit many such cases to be litigated to the merits.”

Senator Church then made the comment: “What you’re saying, then, is that if Congress doesn’t act to standardize, restrict, or eliminate the emergency powers, that no one else is very likely to get a standing in court to contest.”

No *persona standi in judicio*—no personal standing in the courts.

PERMANENT AGENCIES TO SOLVE TEMPORARY PROBLEMS

Continuing with Senate Report 93-549: “The interesting aspect of the legislation lies in the fact that it created a permanent agency designed to eradicate an emergency condition in the sphere of agriculture.”

These agencies, of which there are now thousands, and which now control every aspect of our lives, were ostensibly created as temporary agencies meant to last only as long as the national emergency. They have become, in fact, permanent agencies, as has the state of national emergency itself. As Franklin Delano Roosevelt said: “We will never go back to the old order.” That quote takes on a different meaning in light of what we have seen so far.

In Senate Report 93-549, we find a quote from Senator Church: “If the President can create crimes by fiat and without congressional approval, our system is not much different from that of the Communists, which allegedly threatens our existence.”

We see on this same document, at the bottom right-hand side of the page, as a Title, the words, “Enormous Scope of Powers... A Time Bomb.”

Remember, this is Congress’ own document, from the year 1973.

The reason agriculture is so important to the understanding of this picture is because land is the source of all physical wealth. Most people might not look to agriculture to provide them with this type of information. But let us look at Title III of the Agricultural Adjustment Act, which is also called the Emergency Farm Mortgage Act of 1933: “Title III—Financing—And Exercising Power Conferred by Section 8 of Article I of the *Constitution*: To Coin Money And To Regulate the Value Thereof.”

From Section 43: “Whenever the President finds upon investigation that the foreign commerce of the United States is adversely affected...and an expansion of credit is necessary to secure by international agreement a stabilization at proper levels of the currencies of various governments, the President is autho-

rized, in his discretion... To direct the Secretary of the Treasury to enter into agreements with the several Federal Reserve banks...”

Remember that in the *Constitution* it states that Congress has the authority to coin all money and regulate the value thereof. How can it be then that the Executive branch is issuing an emergency currency, and quoting the *Constitution* as its authority to do so?

Under Section 1 of the same Act we find the following:

“To direct the Secretary of the Treasury to cause to be issued in such amount or amounts as he may from time to time order, United States notes, as provided in the Act entitled “An Act to authorize the issue of United States notes and for the redemption of funding thereof and for funding the floating debt of the United States, approved February 25, 1862, and Acts supplementary thereto and amendatory thereof.”

LINCOLN SUSPENDS CONSTITUTION

What is the Act of February 25, 1862? It is the Greenback Act of President Abraham Lincoln. Let us remember that when Abraham Lincoln was elected and inaugurated, he didn't even have a Congress for the first six weeks. He did not, however, call an extra session of Congress. He issued money, he declared war, he suspended habeas corpus; it was an absolute Constitutional dictatorship. There was not even a Congress in session for six weeks.

When Lincoln's Congress came into session six weeks later, they entered the following statement into the Congressional Record: “The actions, rules, regulations, licenses, heretofore or hereafter taken, are hereby approved and confirmed...”. This is the exact language of March 9, 1933 and Title 12, USC, Section 95 (b), today.

HOW DO WE TERMINATE THE EMERGENCY?

We now come to the question of how to terminate these extraordinary powers granted under a declaration of national emergency. We have learned that, in order for the extraordinary powers to be terminated, the national emergency itself must be canceled. Reading from the Agricultural Act, Section 13: “This title shall cease to be in effect whenever the President finds and proclaims that the national economic emergency in relation to agriculture has been ended.”

Whenever the President finds by proclamation that the proclamation issued on March 6, 1933 has terminated, it has to terminate through presidential proclamation just as it came into effect. Congress had already delegated all of that authority, and therefore was in no position to take it back.

In Senate Report 93-549, we find the following statement from Congress:

“Furthermore, it would be a largely futile task unless we have the President's active collaboration. Having delegated this authority to the President—in ways that permit him to determine how long it shall continue, simply through the device of keeping emergency declarations alive—we now find ourselves in a position

where we cannot reclaim the power without the President's acquiescence. We are unable to terminate these declarations without the President's signature, so we need a large measure of Presidential cooperation."

It appears that no President has been willing to give up this extraordinary power, and, if they will not sign the termination proclamation, the access to, and usage of, extraordinary powers does not terminate. At least, it has not terminated for over 60 years.

Now, that's no definite indication that a President, from Bill Clinton on, might not eventually sign the termination proclamation, but 60 years of experience would lead one to doubt that day will ever come by itself. But the question now to ask is this: How many times have We, the People, asked the President to terminate his access to extraordinary powers, or the situation on which it is based, the declared national emergency? Who has ever demanded that this be done? How many of us even knew that it had been done? And, without the knowledge contained in this report, how long do you think the blindness of the American public to this situation would have continued, and with it, the abolishment of the *Constitution*? But we're not quite as in the dark as we were, are we?

In Senate Report 93-549, we find the following statement from Senator Church:

"These powers, if exercised, would confer upon the President total authority to do anything he pleased."

Elsewhere in Senate Report 93-549, Senator Church makes the remarkable statement:

"Like a loaded gun laying around the house, the plethora of delegated authority and institutions to meet almost every kind of conceivable crisis stand ready for use for purposes other than their original intention... Machiavelli, in his Discourses of Livy, acknowledged that great power may have to be given to the Executive if the State is to survive, but warned of great dangers in doing so. He cautioned: Nor is it sufficient if this power be conferred upon good men; for men are frail, and easily corrupted, and then in a short time, he that is absolute may easily corrupt the people."

Now, a quote from an exclusive reply written May 21, 1973, by the Attorney General of the United States regarding studies undertaken by the Justice Department on the question of the termination of the standing national emergency: "As a consequence, a 'national emergency' is now a practical necessity in order to carry out what has become the regular and normal method of governmental actions. What were intended by Congress as delegations of power to be used only in the most extreme situations, and for the most limited durations, have become everyday powers, and a state of emergency has become a permanent condition."

SUPREME COURT RULES FDR'S
"NEW DEAL" UNCONSTITUTIONAL

From United States v. Butler (Supreme Court, 1935):

"A tax, in the general understanding and in the strict Constitutional sense, is an exaction for the support of government; the term does not connote the expropriation of money from one group to be expended for

another, as a necessary means in a plan of regulation, such as the plan for regulating agricultural production set up in the Agricultural Adjustment Act.”

What is being said here is that a tax can only be an exaction for the support of government, not for an expropriation from one group for the use of another. That would be socialism, wouldn't it?

Quoting further from *United States v. Butler*:

“The regulation of a farmer’s activities under the statute, though in form subject to his own will, is in fact coercion through economic pressure; his right of choice is illusory. Even if a farmer’s consent were purely voluntary, the Act would stand no better. At best it is a scheme for purchasing with federal funds submission to federal regulation of a subject reserved to the states.”

Speaking of contracts, those contracts are coercion contracts. They are adhesion contracts made by a superior over an inferior. They are under the belligerent capacity of government over enemies. They are not valid contracts.

Again from *United States v. Butler*:

“If the novel view of the General Welfare Clause now advanced in support of the tax were accepted, this clause would not only enable Congress to supplant the states in the regulation of agriculture and all other industries as well, but would furnish the means whereby all of the other provisions of the *Constitution*, sedulously framed to define and limit the powers of the United States and preserve the powers of the states, could be broken down, the independence of the individual states obliterated, and the United States converted into a central government exercising uncontrolled police power throughout the union superseding all local control over local concerns.”

Please, read the above paragraph again. The understanding of its meaning is vital.

The United States Supreme Court ruled the New Deal, the nationalization, unconstitutional in the Agricultural Adjustment Act and they turned it down flat. The Supreme Court declared it to be unconstitutional. They said, in effect, “You’re turning the federal government into an uncontrolled police state, exercising uncontrolled police power.”

What did Roosevelt do next? He stacked the Supreme Court, didn't he? I think the ones he appointed were Benjamin Cardozo, Hugo Black, Stanley Reed, Felix Frankfurter, William Douglas, Frank Murphy, James Byrnes, Robert Jackson, and Wiley Rutledge. At least some of them were secret Communists. And in 1937, *United States v. Butler* was overturned.

At midnight, November 16, 1933, Roosevelt sat down with Soviet Foreign Commissar Maxim Litvinov (a Jew whose real name was Wallich) and concluded the Roosevelt-Stalin Pact that began turning control of the U. S. government over to the Soviets. Read *The Iron Curtain Over America* by John Beaty for more details than you ever wanted to know on this conspiracy.

FELIX FRANKFURTER, ANOTHER TRAITOR

Roosevelt appointed Felix Frankfurter to the Supreme Court on January 17, 1939. Frankfurter was Jewish, born in Vienna, Austria, to a long line of Rabbis. His parents came to the Lower East Side of New York City. He attended City College of New York, then Harvard Law School. He was openly Communist in his younger years, involved in such things as defense of Sacco and Vanzetti who were Red Anarchists (which also involved Emma Goldman, discussed later in this document).

A letter dated February 23, 1921, from J. Edgar Hoover, the Special Assistant to the Attorney General at the time, to W. L. Hurley, Office of the Undersecretary of State, Department of State, Washington, D. C., is State Department decimal file number 861.00/8795, and reads:

“Receipt is acknowledged of your letter of the 4th instant (U-H/861.00/7885) referring to copy of dispatch No. 62 from the American Consul at Reval, dated December 1, 1920, relative to the disseminators of Bolshevik propaganda, submitting a list of the same and requesting a reply, the substance of which will be communicated to the American Consul at Reval.

“From an examination of the list of names and addresses submitted, it is indicated that at least the major portion of the list of thirty-two names is authentic, particularly because of the notation, J. Ferguson (evidently Isaac Ferguson); Felix Frankfurter; Jacob Hartman and Fred Biedenkapp;—all known to be actors in this movement.”

(Signed) J. E. Hoover

Special Assistant to the Attorney General Frankfurter testified in behalf of convicted Communist spy Alger Hiss. Frankfurter was the one who put together Roosevelt’s “brain trust” in 1932 (Memphis *Commercial Appeal*, James Reston, June 25, 1976, p. 6). He was a leader (along with Walter Lippmann—real name Isadore Lipschitz) in the Intercollegiate Socialist Society, which changed its name later to the League for Industrial Democracy.

OUR SYSTEM OF CHECKS AND BALANCES NO LONGER WORKS

From the 65th Congress, 1st Session Doc. 87, under the section entitled *Constitutional Sources of Laws of War*, Page 7, Clause II, we find:

“The existence of war and the restoration of peace are to be determined by the political department of the government, and such determination is binding and conclusive upon the courts, and deprives the courts of the power of hearing proof and determining as a question of fact either that war exists or has ceased to exist.”

The courts will tell you that is a political question, for they (the courts) do not have jurisdiction over the common law.

The courts were deprived of the *Constitution*. They were deprived of the common law. There are now courts of prize over the enemies, and we have no *persona standi in judicio*. We have no personal standing under the law. Also from the 65th Congress, under the section entitled *Constitutional Sources of Laws of War*, we find:

“When the sovereign authority shall choose to bring it into operation, the judicial department must give effect to its will. But until that will shall be expressed, no power of condemnation can exist in the court.”

From Senate Report 93-549: “Just how effective a limitation on crisis action this makes of the court is hard to say. In light of the recent war, the court today would seem to be a fairly harmless observer of the emergency activities of the President and Congress. It is highly unlikely that the separation of powers and the *10th Amendment* will be called upon again to hamstring the efforts of the government to deal resolutely with a serious national emergency.”

So much for our Constitutional system of checks and balances. And from that same Senate Report, in the section entitled, “Emergency Administration:” “Organizationally, in dealing with the depression, it was Roosevelt’s general policy to assign new, emergency functions to newly created agencies, rather than to already existing departments.”

Thus, thousands of “temporary” emergency agencies are now sitting out there with emergency functions to rule us in all cases whatsoever.

WE ARE PIRATES’ BOOTY

Finally, let us look briefly at the courts, specifically with regard to the question of “booty”. The following definition of the term, “prize” is to be found in *Bouvier’s Law Dictionary*: “Goods taken on land from a public enemy are called booty; and the distinction between a prize and booty consists in this, that the former is taken at sea and the latter on land.”

This significance of the distinction between these two terms is critical, a fact which become quite clear shortly.

Let us now remember that “Congress shall have the power to make rules on all capture on the land and the water.” To reiterate, captures on the land are booty, and captures on the water are prize.

Now, the *Constitution* says that Congress shall have the power to provide and maintain a navy, even during peacetime. It also says that Congress shall have the power to raise and support an army, but no appropriations of money for that purpose shall be for greater than two years. Here we can see that an army is not a permanent standing body, because in times of peace, armies were held by the sovereign states as militia. So the United States had a navy during peacetime, but no standing army; we had instead the individual state militia.

Consequently, the federal government had a standing prize court, due to the fact that it had a standing navy, whether in times of peace or war. But in times of peace, there could be no federal police power over the continental United States, because there was to be no army. From the report “The Law of Civil Government in Territory Subject to Militia Occupation by Military Forces of the United States”, published by order of the Secretary of War in 1902, under the heading entitled The Confiscation of Private Property Enemies in War, comes the following quote:

“4. Should the President desire to utilize the services of the Federal courts of the United States in promot-

ing this purpose or military undertaking, since these courts derive their jurisdiction from Congress and do not constitute a part of the military establishment, they must secure from Congress the necessary action to confer such jurisdiction upon said courts.”

This means that, if the government is going to confiscate property within the continental United States on the land (booty), it must obtain statutory authority.

In this same section, we find the following words:

“5. The laws and usages of war make a distinction between enemies’ property captured on the sea and property captured on land. The jurisdiction of the courts of the United States over property captured at sea is held not to attach to property captured on land in the absence of Congressional action.”

There is no standing prize court over the land. Once war is declared, Congress must give jurisdiction to particular courts over captures on the land by positive Congressional action. To continue with this:

“The right of confiscation is a sovereign right. In times of peace, the exercise of this right is limited and controlled by the domestic *Constitution* and institutions of the government. In times of war, when the right is exercised against enemies’ property as a war measure, such right becomes a belligerent right, and as such is not subject to the restrictions imposed by domestic institutions, but is regulated and controlled by the laws and usages of war.”

So we see that our government can operate in two capacities: (a) in its sovereign peacetime capacity, with the limitations placed upon it by the *Constitution* and restrictions placed upon it by We, the People, or (b) in a wartime capacity, where it may operate in its belligerent capacity governed not by the *Constitution*, but only by the laws of war.

In Section 1 of the Act of October 6, 1917, the Trading with the Enemy Act:

“That the district courts of the United States are hereby given jurisdiction to make and enter all such rules as to notice and otherwise; and all such orders and decrees; and to issue such process as may be necessary and proper in the premises to enforce the provisions of this Act.”

Here we have Congress conferring upon the district courts of the United States the booty jurisdiction, the jurisdiction over enemy property within the continental United States. And at the time of the original, unamended, Trading with the Enemy Act, we were indeed at war, a World War, and so booty jurisdiction over enemies’ property in the courts was appropriate. At that time, remember, we [*citizens*] were not yet declared the enemy. We were excluded from the provisions of the original Act.

In 1934 Congress passed an Act merging equity and law, abolishing common law. This Act, known as the Federal Rules of Civil Procedures Act, was not to come into effect until 6 months after the letter of transmittal from the Supreme Court to Congress. The Supreme Court refused transmittal and the transmittal did not occur until Franklin D. Roosevelt stacked the Supreme Court in 1938.

But on March the 9th of 1933, the American people were declared to be the public enemy under the

amended version of the Trading With the Enemy Act. What jurisdiction were We, the People, then placed under? We were now the booty jurisdiction given to the district courts by Congress. It was no longer necessary, or of any value at all, to bring the *Constitution of the United States* with us upon entering a courtroom, for that court was no longer a court of common law, but a tribunal under wartime booty jurisdiction. Take a look at the American flag in most American courtrooms. The gold fringe around our flag designates Admiralty jurisdiction.

Executive Order No. 11677 issued by President Richard M. Nixon August 1, 1972 states:

“Continuing the Regulation of Exports; By virtue of the authority vested in the President by the *Constitution* and statutes of the United States, including Section 5 (b) of the Act of October 6, 1917, as amended (12 U.S.C. 95a), and in view of the continued existence of the national emergencies...”

Later, in the same Executive Order, we find the following:

“...under the authority vested in me as President of the United States by Section 5(b) of the Act of October 6, 1917, as amended (12 U.S.C. 95a)...”

Section 5 (b) certainly seems to be an oft-cited support for Presidential authority, doesn't it? Surely the reason for this can be found by referring back to the words of Mr. Katzenbach in Senate Report 93-549: “My recollection is that almost every executive order ever issued straddles on several grounds, but it almost always includes the Trading With the Enemy Act because the language of that act is so broad, it would justify almost anything.”

The question here, and it should be a question of grave concern to every American, is what type of acts can “almost anything” cover? What has been, and is being, done, by our government under the cloak of authority conferred by Section 5 (b)? By now, I think we are beginning to know.

Has the termination of the national emergency ever been considered? In Public Law 9412, September 14, 1976, we find that Congress had finally finished the exhaustive study on the national emergencies, and the words of their findings were that they would terminate the existing national emergencies. We should be able to heave a sigh of relief at this decision, for with the termination of the national emergencies comes the corresponding termination of extraordinary Presidential power. But yet we have learned two difficult lessons: that we are still in the national emergency; that power, once grasped, is difficult to let go. And so now it should come as no surprise when we read, in the last section of the Act, Section 502, the following words:

“(a): The provisions of this Act shall not apply to the following provisions of law, the powers and authorities conferred thereby and actions taken thereunder (1) Section 5(b) of the Act of October 6, 1917, as amended (12 U.S. C. 95a; 50 U.S.C. App. 5b)”

The bleak reality is, the situation has not changed at all.

THE DECLARATION OF
RIGHTS AGAINST BRITAIN

The alarming situation in which We, the People, find ourselves today causes us to think back to a time over two hundred years ago in our nation's history when our forefathers were also laboring under the burden of governmental usurpation of individual rights. Their response, written in 1774, two years before the signing of the *Declaration of Independence*, to the attempts of Great Britain to retain extraordinary powers it had held during a time of war, became known as the "Declaration of Rights." And in that document, we find these words:

"Whereas, since the close of the last war, the British Parliament, claiming a power of right to bind the people of America, by statute, in all cases whatsoever, hath in some acts expressly imposed taxes on them. and in others, under various pretenses, but in fact for the purpose of raising a revenue, hath imposed rates and duties payable in these colonies established a board of commissioners, with unconstitutional powers, and extended the jurisdiction of the courts of admiralty not only for collecting the said duties, but for the trial of causes merely arising within the body of a county." We can see now that we have come full circle to the situation which existed in 1774, but with one crucial difference. In 1774, Americans were protesting against a colonial power which sought to bind and control its colony by wartime powers in a time of peace. In 1994, it is our own government which has sought, successfully to date, to bind its own people by the same subtle, insidious method.

Another BIG difference is that at that time, Americans had the same (or better) rifles as their oppressors. Today, Americans still have rifles (a right fast disappearing) while the oppressors have helicopters, tanks, and star wars weapons.

Article 3, Section 3, of our *Constitution* states:

"Treason against the United States, shall consist only in levying War against them, or in adhering to their Enemies, giving them aid and comfort. No Person shall be convicted of Treason unless on the Testimony of two Witnesses to the same overt Act, or on Confession in open Court."

Is the Act of March 9, 1933, treason? That would be for the common law courts to decide. At this point in our nation's history, the point is moot, for common law, and indeed the *Constitution* itself, do not operate or exist at present. Whether governmental acts of theft of the nation's money, the citizens' property, and American liberty as an ideal and a reality which have occurred since 1933 is treason against the people of the United States, as the term is defined by the *Constitution of the United States*, cannot even be determined or argued in the legal sense until the *Constitution* itself is re-established.

Much of this information on the War Powers Act came from "War And Emergency Powers" by Gene Schroder, *et al.* The complete report is available on the Internet or from the American Freedom Coalition, 9 Northcrest Circle, Rockwall, TX 75087. They have available many exhibits of copies of original documents.

[*To be continued.*]

CHAPTER 5

CAN YOU HELP US?

by Dr. Edwin M. Young, Editor-in-chief

Many of you out there in reader-land are strikingly generous as far as helping keep *CONTACT* afloat financially. Boy, are you ever!

You do this within often very limited, fixed-income means, especially those of you in your senior years, and some of you do this on a surprisingly regular basis. What a vote of confidence.

Believe me, we can literally *FEEL* the love and desire to help that emanates from a crumpled and worn \$5 bill enclosed for our use. And the magnificence of such giving becomes all the more exquisite when you KNOW how much the giver actually needed that \$5! There are no words to adequately express the magnitude of such giving. Just as “the call compels the answer”, so too does such heart-full Giving set into motion a multiplied Regiving—for such is the expansive nature of God’s Law.

Your encouraging notes accompanying such donations always say something like, “Keep up the great work; use this for whatever you need to get The Truth out.” While that’s always been a tall and complicated order to fulfill—this business of getting out The Truth—we also never lose sight of there being an equally touching implicit Trust conveyed in those notes, Trust about our doing this job with financial responsibility. Call it “pinching pennies” if you want.

We even gave up the practice of an annual Christmas luncheon for the past several years because we could not justify even this once-a-year “thank you” to staff and volunteers as funds started to get really tight some time ago. Always the paper MUST come first.

For a lot of people, however, it is difficult to get motivated to help us within such a vague, general picture of routine newspaper production, wherein ones cannot readily appreciate a “return on the investment”. These ones need more tangible goals upon which to focus their help, financial or otherwise.

With that in mind, let me take you “behind the scenes” to explain two immediate, concrete needs we have which possibly some one(s) out there in reader-land can help us with. Both of these are financial needs. Both are quite concrete and obvious.

ITEM #1:

This is a renewal subscription to *Executive Intelligence Review* and their fast-turnaround *Executive Alert Service* publication. Three months of *Executive Alert Service* usually costs \$1000, and one year of *Executive Intelligence Review* goes for \$400. The EIR people are willing to discount the *EAS* cost to \$600 if we renew the *EIR* subscription for a year at the same time, bringing our total bill to \$1000 for a 3-month extension of *EAS* and a 1-year extension of the *EIR* publication. We most recently utilized excerpts from *EAS* in order to bring you the in-depth financial news and clues to a very precarious economy that comprised last week’s Front Page story.

During a recent conversation with the EIR people, I was told that “several *CONTACT* readers” were responsible for paying for our current subscription to *EAS*, which expires in a few weeks. When I suggested that maybe these “*CONTACT* readers” would be willing to renew the subscription, my inquiry was deflected to: why don’t we consider the “deal” mentioned above.

Let me digress for a moment into the matter of utilizing source material and the “costs” for doing so. Basically these vary all over the map, though it is true that many genuine sources are willing (and even enthusiastic) to share The Truth freely, even though, like us, they also typically squeak by on only a shoe-string budget.

For example, a similarly useful publication to *EIR* and *EAS* (from our point of view, though each fulfills a slightly different niche) is Dr. John Coleman’s superb *World In Review*, which we utilize regularly, such as on the Front Page this week, and two weeks ago (originally for the Front Page, but that had to move once it became evident that the planned Killer Bugs were an even bigger threat at that moment than the volatile Middle East; sometimes it’s a really close call!). John’s subscription rate is \$65 for one year.

Now, as far as utilization of material goes, just comparing these two sources, we have unlimited, enthusiastic permission to quote from *World In Review*. We have a much more limited and specific permission arrangement from the EIR people, especially where *EAS* is concerned. So that you can more accurately calibrate the nature of the comparison I am making, neither of these publications take paid advertising.

As a “humorous” aside here, we once asked *Newsweek* for permission to reprint just ONE map from ONE of their issues, in conjunction with an earthquake alert from Commander Soltec a few years ago. THAT cost us a lot of hassle, phone calls back and forth, faxes galore, legal lectures and documentation to fill out—and then, finally, an arm-and-a-leg in fees!

So you can see what I mean when I say that the “costs” TO US of bringing you some avenues of timely information can vary all over the place. It depends upon the specific philosophical focus and hospitality of the source. We have found, over the years, that we work best with those who wish to share information as freely as we do.

Unfortunately, it is not often that other publications are willing to acknowledge, especially in any tangible way, the “free publicity” (and attendant increase in PAID sales for them) that we provide if we elect to utilize some of their material. Such seems to be the nature of modern business “ethics”. If we received credit, say over the radio airwaves, from just 10% of show hosts or their guests who quote our material as their own, we would be doing very well indeed!

We don’t make the rules, but we do have to work within them—as brutal as they can sometimes be in forsaking graciousness for bucks.

Now let me change the subject from making the rules to making the paper—literally.

ITEM #2:

We need approximately \$2000 to pay for a new (faster and more flexible) computer to do the layout work

that literally results in creating the master pages for printing what you are holding and reading now. The old “main” computer has been getting taxed enough, by production demands requiring newer and more sophisticated software, that it has been crashing on a regular basis, grinding production to a halt and causing much anguish (and hair pulling) about lost work. You can imagine what this does to morale, too!

However, the situation is actually more heart-warming than the above matter-of-fact paragraph conveys, and I think I’m here going to “spill the beans” a bit about the truth of this, without giving out specific names that would only cause embarrassment to the gracious giving that has ALREADY occurred.

Yes, I said already.

The truth of the matter is that “some ones” who already put hours and hours into helping to get out this newspaper every week, and who receive very little in funds upon which to live, have already purchased the new computer for our use. It is in place; it is working quite well; it is sparing tempers and saving morale (and hair). These generous “ones”, who shall remain anonymous, took it upon themselves to liquidate what little savings they had and directed that toward the purchase of the new computer. They did this compassionate act quietly, several weeks ago—so that *CONTACT* could have this machine to use, even though it was understood that there were no funds in our financial coffers with which to even hope to repay this “loan” anytime soon.

The next step is to link together the two main computers and upgrade several software packages—all of which will facilitate the complex task of getting this publication out to you.

So, when I said, at the beginning of this Item, that we need \$2000, that is an immediate need. Better yet would be donations toward a “computer fund” to support future upgrades of newspaper production software, computers, and related peripherals such as document scanners and data back-up and retrieval technology.

Can *you* help us? Will *you* help us? Thanks!

CHAPTER 6

B.A.T.F. AND FEDS TAKE OVER SMALL ARIZONA TOWN IS NEXT WACO BREWING IN ARIZONA?

by Ray Bilger 12/13/97

Are the B.A.T.F., the C.I.A. and the Department of Defense about to pull another Waco or Ruby Ridge in Arizona? Are the Mafia, Janet Reno and Hillary Clinton involved in a conspiracy 30 miles north of Phoenix to help save President Clinton from his campaign fund-raising problems? Is all of this connected to possible future plans for Phoenix being the new national capital after Washington, D.C. falls? These are important questions that require serious answers.

New River, population about 12,200, was a quiet little town about 30 miles north of Phoenix, Arizona, until the B.A.T.F. recently discovered 106 “very dangerous explosive chemicals” there in a green shed and in underground bunkers on property formerly owned by a man named Chuck Byers, 56, and now in trust for his children. But there’s much more to the story. This little town that was a stagecoach stop as early as 1868 is the newest target for the urban expansion of the fast-growing city of Phoenix. And the area where New River lies takes in 216 square miles, the largest unincorporated area in the State of Arizona. That’s 3-1/2 times larger than the entire city of Phoenix! Is the city of Phoenix trying to annex the area to bring it within city limits?

Del E. Webb Corporation, a major developer and one of seven Del Webb Corporations in Arizona (Del Webb was the Las Vegas developer and casino owner who built the Flamingo for Bugsy Siegel in 1946, and also built the Sahara), is planning to build 14,474 homes here, for up to 100,000 new residents. Locals are not exactly happy about all this planned growth. They moved up here to escape the city. And, they smell something fishy about the development and the B.A.T.F. operation. What the general public is not being told is that Gosnell Construction Co. (Mafia controlled) and Max Dunlap are planning on building two casinos on Mt. Gavilan, near the Byers property!

Del E. Webb Corp. has one little problem though: In order to complete their project they need an access road on the north side of the huge development, and Chuck Byers’ 100-acre ranch is to provide that access. Byers’ property, however, can only be taken from him if it’s ruled to be a hazard to the citizens in the area. But the Feds have now taken possession of the property and will not allow Byers to return because of the allegedly dangerous chemicals and explosives, pursuant to a court order of 1989, although no charges have been filed.

Now, Byers has offered to go in and cart off the explosives himself. He says they’re safe enough to move and he knows how to handle them. The B.A.T.F. says, “No way”. Byers also asserts that the investigation into the explosives stash is a C.I.A. attempt to choke-off information Byers has about the agency illegally selling arms to terrorists overseas. Byers has received death threats because of this. It is a known fact that among Byers’ various local businesses was a plant in Phoenix where he produced specialty grenades and explosives for federal agencies, including the C.I.A., the F.B.I. and the B.A.T.F., for all branches of the military, and police stations around the country.

As a consequence of Byers' activities over the years, he has filed a number of federal lawsuits against the government, and in each and every case he appeared before U.S. District Court Judge Carl A. Muecke. When the B.A.T.F. took legal action against Byers this year, what judge do you think they used? You guessed it, Judge Carl A. Muecke. Coincidence or conspiracy?

The B.A.T.F. planned to blow up the shed and bunker on Saturday, October 18, 1997, and burn the chemicals—evacuating the citizens of New River for 24 hours for safety reasons because of the toxic fumes [see end of chapter document.]. The burning would directly affect, at a minimum, 6,000 people. But, if the operation was not successful, toxic contamination could prevent residents from ever returning, and Del E. Webb Corp. could move in for a big land-grab and purchase properties for \$100 per acre!

All of this activity in New River has been overseen from the beginning by Maricopa County Sheriff Joe Arpaio, an old friend of Jack McLamb (knowledgeable retired policeman who helped negotiate an end to the standoff at Ruby Ridge, Idaho). The sheriff and the B.A.T.F. held a town meeting in New River on Tuesday, October 14, 1997 (four days before the planned explosion), which was covered by local Phoenix television station, FOX TV Channel 10, as well as Channels 3, 5 and 12. That meeting, with hundreds of very outraged and very outspoken residents, was anything but calm. One man shouted, "We're not going to sit out here in New River and let them (the Feds) walk all over us!" Another man said, "I got news for you. If somebody kicks my door down, I'm firing!"

Sheriff Arapaio couldn't understand the citizens' outrage. After all, the Feds just wanted to clean things up. The citizens were siding with Chuck Byers, who was also at the meeting and again offered to clean things up himself.

The B.A.T.F. said the explosives were too dangerous to move, that they had to be exploded where they were. Yet, on September 23, 1997, they set off a huge explosion, right near the green shed, which shook the ground three miles away. That alone would appear to prove that the materials are safe enough to move.

There is serious concern among some residents that not only is the Mafia involved (through Gosnell Construction Co.), but that Hillary Clinton (spotted in Phoenix in late August) wants the B.A.T.F. to look good here to put a feather in Janet Reno's cap, so Republicans will ease up on pressuring Reno to push for an independent counsel regarding Bill's campaign fund-raising problems!

And, some residents have reported seeing trucks with big padlocks going into Byers' property and wonder why they are going there and what they are bringing in. Perhaps they are planning a real big explosion?

In addition, and most disturbing, there have been helicopters, without lights, flying at night low over New River upsetting people and cattle. This was reported and shown on local television, and the B.A.T.F. reluctantly admitted responsibility. The B.A.T.F. has also been seen on nightly patrols in the area, carrying weapons and wearing full black outfits with black face masks. Will the B.A.T.F. next hit them with bright lights and loud music at night, playing Nancy Sinatra singing *These Boots Are Made For Walking*? (Remember Waco?)

Opposition was so great to the B.A.T.F. plan that the detonation has been temporarily called off while

Sheriff Arpaio brought in the Department of Defense (formerly the Dept. of War) to make a further study. And residents have now hired their own environmental lawyer to fight the B.A.T.F.

To get a real feel for what residents themselves are going through, here is an open letter from one concerned homeowner to the *Associated Press*. Maybe you saw this on the *A.P.* wire service or on your own local TV news (Ha, ha!): [quoting]

DATE: October 24, 1997

TOTAL NO. PAGES: 2

FROM: L.G.

TO: News Desk—*Associated Press*

FAX NO. 254-9573

MESSAGE: NEW RIVER “BURN” MUST BE STOPPED PERMANENTLY

I do not believe Arizona’s New River citizens would mind staying out of the way while removal of the suspect toxic materials are in progress. NEW RIVER DOES NOT WANT A TOXIC UNCONTROLLED BURN by people they do not trust, and who will leave, while we are left to live with the concern of resulting sickness, cancers, and lowered property values that will hurt many families—such as in Maryvale with its cancer problems.

According to munitions expert Mr. Charles Byers, the proposed “CONTROLLED” BURN, as planned, WILL NOT BE CONTROLLED because the burn will not be in a suitable, contained building with toxin-cleaning air scrubbers.

Toxic Dirt. After closing up our houses as tightly as we can, we are told to “Wipe down our counters” when we return from the evacuation, but, for a starter we cannot wipe down our yards for our toddlers who play in the dirt and eat the dirt. Our chickens will be scratching in dirt suspect of producing cancer-causing eggs. (I lived close to Love Canal in New York where too many children came down with cancer.) The toxicity problem goes on and on!

Toxic Water. Mr. Byers feels the blast of extremely high heat from the proposed burn will drive toxins straight down into our water table. We live here for the clean atmosphere and water and have paid thousands of dollars for our family wells and don’t want to give up our clean water and wells!

Toxic Air. We have sudden blasts of tricky winds here, blowing this-way-and-that through the canyons and around the hills, and it is very possible toxic air from the proposed burn could travel 10 miles or so before coming down and killing unsuspecting citizens. What about our families breathing toxic dust from the air coming **down the flues when** we turn on our furnaces? The flues are difficult to clean out, especially for the many senior citizens.

The agencies involved claim they are afraid to jiggle the alleged hazardous materials, so, WHY did they, unannounced, BLAST EXPLOSIVES CLOSE TO THE CLAIMED HAZARDOUS MATERIALS last month, reportedly breaking windows and shaking the community as well as moving desks at the elementary school (almost 1-3/4 miles away), frightening the children—yet the hazardous materials remain

intact, and, because they are stored near the **blast** area, they surely were jiggled darned hard.

WHY?

The people in charge of the scheduled burn should COOPERATE WITH 40-YEAR MUNITIONS EXPERT CHARLES BYERS who had government munitions contracts with our armed forces, and trained SWAT teams and police officers. Mr. Byers tells us he is unafraid of his materials, which the B.A.T.F. is determined to burn. His offers to move them away from New River have officially been turned down, yet he is still willing to help us if he will be allowed to do so. His jail-time dues to society have been paid. **GIVE HIM AND NEW RIVER A CHANCE!**

If the agencies involved in the planned burn are running scared, there are two possibilities for safely removing the suspected hazardous materials:

1. **USE THE BOMB-CONTAINMENT UNIT which we understand THE CITY OF PHOENIX HAS OFFERED FREE OF CHARGE to remove the materials to a suitable location for disposal. WE HEAR B.A.T.F. REFUSED THE OFFER.**

WHY?

2. **REQUEST MR. BYERS TO EITHER ASSIST IN REMOVING THE SUSPECT MATERIALS OR, PER HIS OFFER, LET HIM REMOVE THEM HIMSELF. B.A.T.F. COOPERATION HERE WOULD SHOW TRUE CONCERN FOR OUR CITIZENS.** Mr. Byers, who has worked with those same materials for so many years (IF they are the same ones he left on the property), claims he feels safe in personally removing them and has offered to do so, but MR. BYERS' OFFER HAS REPORTEDLY BEEN TURNED DOWN BY THE B.A.T.F.

WHY?

THE B.A.T.F. STILL INSISTS ON GETTING AN "OK" TO BURN, now from the Dept. of Defense, INSTEAD OF CHOOSING A LOGICAL AND SAFER ALTERNATIVE.

WHY?

Allow Mr. Byers onto the property and show respect for him and our community by asking for his advice and/or help. He is offering to remove the materials himself and feels he can do it safely, IF these are the materials he left on his property years ago. He has worked with them in contracts with our government and should know what he is talking about!

Or: Use the bomb-containment unit offered by the City of Phoenix—free of charge.

Please, our entire community needs your help! Anything you can do in saving New River from the planned toxic burn will be sincerely appreciated by all of us.

Sincerely,

/s/L.G.

New River

(1-3/4 miles from proposed “Burn”.)

[End quoting]

Sheriff Joe Arpaio does not realize the power that a county sheriff has under the *Constitution*, or, if he does, he may have been paid off handsomely not to exercise that power. Here is a letter from Joe’s old friend, Jack McLamb, Executive Director of American Citizens and Lawmen Association, requesting that Joe defuse the situation and become a local hero. The letter was hand-delivered to Sheriff Joe, but apparently he has not responded to it. Jack McLamb’s letter has a lot of excellent and important information for all Americans: [quoting]

NEW RIVER—SHERIFF’S BIG CHANCE

October 22, 1997

Sheriff Joseph M. Arpaio

Maricopa County Sheriff Department

102 West Madison

Phoenix, Arizona 85003

Dear Brother Joe,

I’ve watched you do good for the people of Maricopa County over a number of years now and, I, along with multitudes across America, *commend you for* much of what you have done to protect the citizens.

There is only one area in which many in Arizona and the U.S. believe you have dropped the ball as the People’s protector. This area has to do with outside government intrusion into *your sovereign county jurisdiction*. Either this is an area about which you are not knowledgeable, or else *it* is an area concerning which you are not willing to protect your people *from government agencies*. *This* invasion by the Feds into New River, an area under your jurisdiction—coupled with your stepping aside, leaving *the people you are sworn to protect* to their uncertain future—is a very bad, compounded mistake.

In America today, the people are beginning to realize that many of their problems are caused by ever-increasing federal intervention into every aspect of their lives. If you could feel the trend of the people to seek out leaders (and protectors) who will protect them from the Wacos, Ruby Ridges and the OKCs within their home towns, you would realize that *it is time for every sheriff* to respond to the call, doing ***nothing more than*** enforcing their lawful authority within the bounds of the county they were elected to serve.

Joe, you have been a good sheriff in so many ways. But you need to recognize that today, as the people’s fear and distrust of the federal government grows, perhaps *the most important service they expect of you* is to stand up for the people when a state or federal agency intrudes upon your jurisdiction and their community.

Sheriff Joe, I know how **tough** this is for you, because the Feds are your family members. But, Brother, I tell you **this** out of love: **It is your sworn duty** to control all, within your power and jurisdiction, who would come against the rights and expressed wishes of those you serve. If you think you are popular now, you *cannot even imagine what* it would be like if you actually *stayed in charge* when the Feds come to town.

The climate is perfect—like never before—for men and women of courage to take a stand against federal encroachment into state and county jurisdiction. Sure they will threaten you with suspension of certain federal fund “carrots”, but what you will make up in **monumental public support** will be unbelievable. There will then be no area wherein you cannot be depended upon to defend the people.

Let me offer you a scenario:

(1) Sheriff Joe holds a news conference and faithfully announces that he is taking back control of the investigation and the entire scene in question, within his county.

(2) Sheriff Joe takes his own local explosives experts (with their **extensive** military and government training) to the scene at New River, and there makes his own assessment of how to solve the problem.

(3) Sheriff Joe will determine if there is need for federal intervention in this situation, or whether he and his own experts can handle it themselves.

(4) Sheriff Joe solves the **problem without burning** either the chemicals or his supporters in New River. (Joe, I have talked with some of the experts in our state, and most say that there is probably a way to solve the problem other than by burning *or* blowing up the chemicals.)

(5) Sheriff Joe has now gained the confidence of all **the people** across America, by retaining his jurisdiction and doing his duty, even where his federal “family” agencies are involved. Seriously, Joe, this can be a very good time for you. You have never had such a chance to shine in the face of all Americans feeling the pressure from federal intervention into their lives. Sometime soon you will have to make a decision of this kind; I hope you can see that this is the perfect time.

Your brother,
/s/ Jack McLamb
Exec. Director, ACLA,
American Citizens and
Lawmen Association

[End quoting]

For those citizens seriously interested in taking back America from the Federal Monster that is eating us alive, realize that we stand the best chance of doing this, while at the same time shedding no blood and expending no bullets, if we can do it on a local county level. And realize that the county sheriff is the most powerful elected official in local government.

The following article is from the *Knoxville Journal*, August 7-13, 1997. Copies of this article should be circulated throughout America, and especially to every county sheriff: [*see box on p. 12*]

We can take back America from the crooks and criminals in high places if we can get our county sheriffs to wake up and support and uphold the *Constitution* and the Constitutional Rights of all citizens.

The position and stance taken by Sheriff Mattis [*See end of chapter document.*] in Wyoming is supported by American Jurisprudence, a series of law books which comprise a legal encyclopedia supported by extensive case law. Under 70 Am.Jur. 2d, Section 2, we find:

“The office of sheriff reaches far back into English history, and was identified with the county concept brought to this country by the English colonists. The office of sheriff continues to carry with it all of its Common Law duties and powers, except as modified by statute. Thus, because at Common Law the sheriff was the chief law enforcement officer of the county, the sheriff remains the chief law enforcement officer of the county...

“The sheriff and his deputies have also been said to be officers of the court, with the duty to execute all writs returnable to court.”

And, under 70 Am.Jur. 2d, Section 46, we find:

“The office of sheriff carries with it, in the United States, all of its Common Law duties and powers... (A)s a general rule, sheriffs, within the scope of their respective jurisdictions, are given power, and have the duty, to preserve the peace, enforce the criminal laws, and to arrest and commit to jail all felons, traitors, and other misdoers...

“A sheriff who has ‘Notice’ of an offense and does not do his duty to prevent it is guilty of a misdemeanor, and any knowledge from any source is notice within the statute. *Thompson v. Reichman*, 135 Tenn. 653, 188 S.W. 225.”

And, *Black’s Law Dictionary*, 4th Revised Edition, 1968, says of the county sheriff that he is “The chief executive and administrative officer of a county.”

By the Common Law, and most probably by the powers granted in your own State Constitution, if the **citizens inform or Notice the county sheriff** that officials are committing treason, then it is the duty of the county sheriff to go and arrest those so charged, whether they be B.A.T.F. agents, or I.R.S. agents, or even the President of the United States come to speak in your county. This would include the activities of the B.A.T.F. in New River.

As far as Phoenix being a new national capital, this idea was something Richard Hoagland alluded to in an interview on Art Bell’s radio talk-show in September of 1997. What significance this may have in reality has yet to be determined and may have more to do with events during or immediately after any coming Earth Changes, as part of the plans of the Elite.

Even the Governor of Arizona, Jane Dee Hull, has been contacted about the problem. Jane has paid lip

service to the residents of New River, telling them she will do what she can to have an independent analysis, but it appears she has been told to stay out of it, and she is complying.

If you want to voice your opinion to Sheriff Joe Arpaio in Maricopa County, Arizona, you may write to him at Maricopa County Sheriff Department, 102 West Madison, Phoenix, Arizona 85003. Sheriff Joe has now agreed to have two scientists from the local Dynamics Company examine the chemicals, but it is not clear whether their opinions will have been bought and paid for. The B.A.T.F. was not allowing the scientists on the property, and the citizens of New River are seriously concerned that this evil plan is going to move forward whether they want it or not!

Let's see if we can wake up our county sheriffs to perform their Common Law functions of ferreting out the corruption which is rampant in America, all the way down to the local level. Hopefully, we citizens can stop the madness about to erupt in New River, Arizona, and tell our own county sheriffs we're ready to take back America.

ADDED NOTE—Just prior to the *CONTACT* going to press, information was received from the *Sonoran News*, phone (602)488-2021, that “Unbeknownst to New River residents, a burn of Chuck Byers’ shed was scheduled for Dec. 17...pending approval by all the agencies involved.” Gov. Jane Hull put an immediate stop to the plans saying, “Let’s leave these people alone until after Christmas... We’re not going to do anything...until there’s something more logical than what’s been going on.” What a surprise that would have been!

Also the *Foothills Sentinel*, phone (602)488-3436, has just reported that “a surplus City of Phoenix civil defense siren” was just installed in New River “to warn the community in the event of an accidental fire in chemicals stored on Chuck Byers’ property.” Now, just how does the B.A.T.F. “accidentally” start a chemical fire? And, *The Arizona Republic*, the biggest newspaper in Phoenix, as of Saturday, Dec. 13, 1997, now refers to the Byers residence as “**the compound**”.

The situation remains extremely tense, volatile and explosive, with the B.A.T.F. (apparently under pressure from criminal elements, including those in government) operating totally out of control and hell-bent on proceeding at any cost. There are explosions heard on the Byers property every day. Again, if you are considering commenting to any agency or party involved, do not hesitate to do so if you want to see a stop to this madness.

This is dead serious, folks. Concerned Citizens of New River (C.C.N.R.) are now flying the American Flag upside down, a signal of distress in instances of extreme danger. Please see their new website at <http://www.web-writer.com/newriver/>

Will your community be the next target?

[See next 4 pages for documents.]

2 pages you are evacuated

2 pages sheriff boots feds

CHAPTER 7

THE NEWS DESK

by Dr. Al Overholt 12/13/97

FAMILY KEEPS SAME CHRISTMAS TREE— FOR 19 YEARS

It's still green and fresh.

From UNKNOWN fax, 1997: [quoting]

UNLIKE most of their neighbors, the Walker Family Of Toledo, Ohio, won't be buying a Christmas tree this year—because they've had the same one since 1978 and it's still fresh and green!

“We call it our Christmas miracle,” says Don Walker, 68. “Everyone loves to come and see it every year because it's a blessing from God at this special season.

“We got the tree from our son, Bobby, two days before Christmas 19 years ago.

“I'll never forget it. I remember it like yesterday.

“Bobby came home from college for the holidays and came running into the house with a tree. He said it was the best tree in the world and would last forever.

“He died in an auto accident the following week on his way back to school,” Don says, wiping a tear from his eye. “It was a bad winter storm and his car skidded off the road.

“He was really a great kid—and after the accident we were totally devastated, as you can imagine. We took down all the Christmas decorations, and I put the tree out back by the compost heap. But it refused to die. A month later it was still beautiful, so I brought it back into the house.”

Don's wife, Dottie, adds: “At first I thought it was a crazy idea but it was a reminder of Bobby so I said OK. It was like he was still with us through that tree. Bobby said it would last forever—and darned if he wasn't right.”

For nearly two decades the Walkers have kept the tree in their living room, adorned with Christmas ornaments.

“We never take it down,” says Dottie.

“Frankly, some of our friends thought we were a little crazy at first, but it made us feel better.

“I asked some college professors about the tree, and they said it's impossible for it to last 19 years. But the proof is right before your eyes.

“We feel like our son is watching over us from Heaven, keeping the Christmas tree beautiful forever.” — Ken O’Hara [End quoting]

Another miracle to boggle the atheist scientists.

PLANE FLYING
WITHOUT PILOT CRASHES

From *POPULAR SCIENCE* magazine, December 1997: [quoting]

Urbana, Ohio—A small airplane took off without its pilot Sunday and flew for nearly two hours before crashing in a field.

Paul A. Sirks of Dayton had landed the single-engine plane at Urbana’s Grimes Field airport because of mechanical problems, said police Officer Mike Hurrhes.

The plane’s engine stalled on a taxiway and Sirks got out to restart it by hand-turning the propeller. Once the engine started the empty plane taxied away without Sirks, nearly hitting another plane and a hangar before becoming airborne.

The plane circled the area for about five minutes before heading northeast, tracked by another private pilot and State Highway Patrol aircraft.

It finally went down about 90 miles away in central Ohio, some 50 miles northeast of Columbus, said Patrol Lt. John Born. Authorities said it may have run out of fuel. [End quoting]

As a pilot, it’s awfully hard for me to believe that there was no remote control at work here. Either that or it certainly was a “miracle”, which to me would still be “remote control” from SOME source!

NEW DOLLAR COIN
COULD SAVE BILLIONS

If at first you don’t succeed
(in introducing a \$1 coin) try, try again

Excerpted from *THE SPOTLIGHT*, 11/24/97: [quoting]

Remember the Susan B. Anthony \$1 coin debacle? Well, Congress is ready to try again—with some changes. The Anthony coin looked like a quarter and felt like a quarter and often was confused for a quarter.

The proposed revised coin would be about the size of a quarter, but it would have a gold color and a distinctive edge.

On November 9, by unanimous consent, the Senate approved a revamped dollar coin, but left the specific design up to the secretary of the Treasury.

As *The SPOTLIGHT* went to press, the House had yet to act on a companion measure, but if it doesn't pass this year, it is expected to pass early next year.

The upside of a \$1 coin is that it will save money. The full substitution of the \$1 coin for the \$1 bill would save the federal government about \$450 million per year over the next 30 years, according to estimates of the Federal Reserve Board and the General Accounting Office.

The coin would cost 8 cents to produce versus 4 cents for each \$1 bill, but \$1 bills wear out in about 1.4 years, compared to 30 years for the coin. The coin's longer life alone would account for a savings of about \$150 million a year.

But what the government isn't telling you is that the saving could actually be in the billions. Why, then, the silence? Likely because the government would have to explain that we borrow our paper currency into existence and pay interest on it. That's the purpose of the Federal Reserve—to loan our own money to us.

According to the Treasury Department, as of August 31 of this year, there were 6,381,195,165 \$1 bills in circulation. If we pay interest of \$.06, that comes to \$382,871,709.90 in just the first year the coin replaces the bill—because we don't pay interest on coins.

The new coin would require 30 months to prepare for production with an estimated \$73 million investment at the U.S. Mint. As to design, one suggestion has been that the coins would have 50 different designs on the reverse, one for each state. The revamped dollar coin measure was part of a larger coin bill.

Sen. Olympia Snowe (R-Maine) introduced S. Res. 150, which would direct the Treasury Department to put a likeness of Margaret Chase Smith on the obverse. The measure was referred to the committee on Banking, Housing and Urban Affairs. [End quoting]

Very, very interesting. They finally admit that paper dollars cost and cost and cost us. Maybe we should do the same with the fives, tens and twenties—using light, thin metals. Think of all the money the government (and taxpayers) could save.

Only daydreaming; those crooks in Washington probably will decide that we have to borrow the coins from them, now, too.

END NEARS FOR BASES

From *THE MODESTO BEE*, 11/15/97: [quoting]

President Clinton will sign a \$268 billion defense bill, effectively abandoning a promise to save jobs at bases being closed in Texas and California, including McClellan Air Force Base in Sacramento, congressional and administration officials said Friday. [End quoting]

Anybody who trusts Clinton to keep a promise deserves whatever they get. Clinton is probably the biggest liar that ever occupied the White House—and there have been many proficient ones.

Why not close the bases—with the U.N. “protecting” us, we will no longer need all of these bases. What we really need—according to the Elite—is facilities for **concentration camps for us peons**, and why build new ones when they no longer need these bases?

U.S. COURT DISMISSES
BID TO GET MARCOS’ FUNDS

From *THE DAILY NEWS*, Los Angeles, 12/4/97: [quoting]

A federal appeals court rejected a renewed effort Wednesday to tap Swiss banks for part of nearly \$2 billion in damages against Ferdinand Marcos’ estate for torturing and killing nearly 10,000 Filipinos.

The 9th U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals ordered dismissal of a suit filed last year in Los Angeles that sought to freeze Marcos’ funds in Swiss banks and transfer them to a lawyer for the victims.

In a 3-0 ruling, the court said the orders sought in the suit would conflict with a freeze already ordered on the bank accounts by the Swiss government at the request of the Philippines. The Philippine government wants \$1.55 billion from Marcos’ estate as reimbursement for the alleged theft of public funds.

In addition to dismissing the suit, the court ordered U.S. District Judge Manuel Real of Los Angeles to take no action in any case involving Marcos funds in Swiss banks.

An earlier order by Real, requiring the banks to transfer the funds to California, was overturned by the appeals court last year. Wednesday’s ruling overturned Real’s refusal to dismiss the 1996 suit.

According to press reports, Swiss banks hold at least \$500 million linked to Marcos and his associates. Besides the human rights victims and the Philippine government, there are claims against the money by Marcos’ family and a U.S. corporation.

Marcos ruled the Philippines from 1972 to 1986, then fled to Hawaii, where he died in 1989. [End quoting]

Knowing the rotten court system—especially the 9th U.S. Circuit Court—this is probably a scam to allow their friends to get hold of the funds.

THE NATIONAL JUDICIAL COLLEGE (NJC)
SUED FOR ILLEGAL ACTIVITY

From the INTERNET, 12/7/97: [quoting]

A lawsuit has been filed against the National Judicial College (NJC) in Reno, Nevada, the college that trains most of the judges in this country.

The suit was filed because Dean Kenneth Rohrs threw June Wisniewski out of the building/library twice for trying to get information about the Conference “Media and the Courts—Working Together to Serve the American People”.

NJC has gotten 44 grants from the State Justice Institute, funded by Congress, within the last 10 years, and just received four million dollars from the Nevada State Legislature to build a National Center for Courts and the Media, with a matching grant from the Reynolds Foundation in Tulsa, Oklahoma. The NJC Library is a Federal Depository which is mandated to be open to the public, but only judges, attorneys and the press are allowed in the library and in the building. The general public are only allowed in on a very limited basis, if at all.

Wisniewski testified at the Nevada Senate Finance Committee hearing on June 2, 1997, that if the legislature was going to give the Judicial College four million dollars in state funds, and they receive federal grants, the library and the building—including course and conference materials—should be open to the public. However, Senators Raggio and Rawson said that the building should not be open to the public, and gave them the state funds anyway. The NJC President Robert Payant lied to the legislature on June 2, 1997, because a confirmed phone call to Donald Pray on July 2, 1997, a month later, confirmed that the Reynolds Foundation has not yet given the matching four million dollars.

For additional information or comments, call June Wisniewski, 1375 Mill Street #313C, Reno, Nevada 89502 (702) 324-6856 phone/fax.

June Wisniewski is a free-lance reporter who writes for the *American's Bulletin*, the *Grass Roots*, *Media Bypass*, and others. She also submitted the information for the latest story on Morris Dees in the *Spotlight*.

(*Spotlight* did not give her credit.) She used to, on occasion, do research at the Judicial College until she asked for the information on the Media and the Courts materials from the conference of the same name which was held at the College. I guess they didn't want her to confirm that they are telling the judiciary and the media to not talk to, nor listen to, the public. [End quoting]

Can't you just imagine the shenanigans that go on in those classrooms where the Elite program their judges?

WHY YOU CAN'T TRUST WALL STREET'S RATINGS

Expert Insights

From *SHAREHOLDER REPORT*, fall 1997: [quoting]

A few years ago, I was interviewed by *Consumer Reports* over lunch. The bill came to \$15 plus tax and I naturally offered to pick up the tab. But the interviewer raised her hand in protest and insisted on paying her share. No free lunches; no conflicts of interest.

Unfortunately, Wall Street does not operate by the same rules. For example, *S&P*, *Moody's*, *AM Best* and others rate thousands of insurance companies, accepting huge payments from them for each rating. Worse, if a company doesn't like its rating, most Wall Street rating agencies will agree to cease publication of the rating.

This is a blatant conflict of interest that can be devastating to investors. In the early 1990s, the savings of over six million Americans were frozen in failed insurance companies that had been rated “excellent” or “superior” by Wall Street.

The public outcry was so great, Congress asked its nonpartisan watchdog agency, the US General Accounting Office (GAO), to conduct a detailed investigation. The GAO’s conclusion: Every one of the Wall Street agencies consistently failed to warn of trouble until after the failures occurred. In one case the GAO found that *S&P* didn’t issue a warning until one year after. In another, *S&P* withdrew the rating at the company’s request prior to the failure and never issued the warning. Many of *Best’s* companies with “unpublished” ratings also failed.

If you think financial failures are a thing of the past, look again. Despite low inflation and a strong economy, major failures are taking place right now in an industry that few investors pay attention to: property and casualty insurers. And in the months ahead, many large health companies that have jumped willy-nilly into the business of managed care are going to announce huge revenue declines or outright losses, with some failures inevitable. And if you believe you’re immune from Wall Street’s ratings game, consider this: Similar conflicts of interest can affect municipal bonds, corporate bonds and money-market instruments—rated by the very same companies that the GAO found to be so inaccurate.

Wall Street’s so-called “stock ratings” are equally suspect. Indeed, the very same Wall Street firms that earn big fees for underwriting new bond or stock issues also control most of the stock ratings. At Morgan Stanley for example, when an individual analyst dares to issue a negative report on a corporate customer, he or she risks getting fired, according to the *Wall Street Journal*. Dozens of other major Wall Street firms operate in exactly the same way.

There are some exceptions, but they prove the rule. For example, when Merrill Lynch issued a negative report on Consec a couple of years ago, Consec fumed. But in this case, Merrill Lynch stood by its analyst. Result: Consec fired Merrill Lynch as its lead underwriter and switched the business to none other than Morgan Stanley.

So the next time you see a stock rated “buy”, “long-term buy”, “accumulate buy” or any other of the sugar-coated designations now common on Wall Street, you’ll know why they never say “sell”. Buyer beware! Stick only with investments that are recommended or managed by advisers who are truly independent. [End quoting]

Wall Street is the den of thieves for this world. How can anyone trust them?

VENTURA COUNTY JUDGE WITHDRAWS
FROM MURDER TRIAL AFTER ARREST

From *THE DAILY NEWS*, Los Angeles, 12/9/97: [quoting]

Ventura County Superior Court Judge Robert C. Bradley has been arrested on suspicion of driving with a blood-alcohol level more than twice the legal limit, forcing him to withdraw from a high-profile murder trial.

Bradley, 56, was taken into custody by the California Highway Patrol near his Ojai home about 9 p.m. Saturday after failing a field sobriety test, said CHP spokesman Dave Cockrill. He was driving alone when he was pulled over after failing to use a turn signal and rolling through a stop sign.

Bradley identified himself as a Ventura County judge at the scene and requested a breath alcohol test, which showed a .21 blood-alcohol level. In California, a motorist is considered impaired with a .08 blood-alcohol level.

“Naturally it’s very sad and I know he’ll work through it,” said Ventura County Public Defender Kenneth Clayman. “(Bradley) is such an outstanding human being, such a wonderful judge, and certainly one of the two or three best judges on the bench up here.”

Clayman said he expected the judge would be treated like any other defendant in the local criminal justice system.

But unlike other drunk driving suspects, Bradley was not booked into the jail or required to spend the night there, Cockrill said.

Officers instead drove him home amid concerns for his safety and because it would be difficult to remove all inmates from areas of the jail so that Bradley could be booked in a routine manner, he said.

Also, Bradley was not cited and released as is done with other drunk driving suspects. CHP officers decided to forward a complaint to the District Attorney’s Office on Monday.

Assistant District Attorney Kevin McGee said his office would refer the misdemeanor case to the Attorney General’s Office because of conflicts of interest. Bradley is a former chief deputy district attorney in Ventura County and was set to begin jury selection in the Michael Dally murder trial next Monday.

Court officials announced Monday that Bradley has withdrawn from the capital murder trial against Dally, a Ventura grocery store manager accused of conspiring with his girl friend to kidnap and kill his wife. [End quoting]

Can you imagine an honest, outstanding human being who drives drunk and is highly likely to murder someone by “accident” with his car and also is a judge—in, of all places, Ventura County??

SELF-HEATING CONTAINERS

From *POPULAR MECHANICS* magazine, January 1998: [quoting]

self heating
unit

Hot drinks will soon be only a push of a button away, even if a stove or microwave oven is nowhere in sight.

Coffee, tea, hot chocolate, baby formula or soup will be warmed by self-heating units that hold a few ounces of crushed limestone and a pouch of water. Pushing the button punctures a seal, causing water to flow over the mineral. This initiates a chemical reaction that, after about 2 minutes, causes the contents of the container to begin to heat to a predetermined temperature.

Once heated, the beverage will remain warm for at least 20 minutes. Increasing the amount of mineral would make it possible to achieve as much as a 75°F increase in temperature—sufficient to heat foods as well as beverages.

L.L. Knickerbocker, which plans to distribute the containers, says the yearly U.S. market for self-heating products could be \$15 billion. [End quoting]

Sounds like a brilliant idea.

CASINO TRIBES GET WARNING

From SPIRIT OF '76 fax, 11/26/97: [quoting]

Indian casino gambling could be phased out if the tribes don't reach an agreement soon with the state to extend their compacts, Gov. Tommy Thompson [*Wisconsin*] said Tuesday.

"They're playing with a great deal of fire right now if in fact they call my bluff," Thompson said. Thompson said he was ready to allow the tribal compacts to expire.

"I'm just letting everybody know how strongly I feel about this... I'm willing to reach an agreement, as I said from day one, but they have to resolve these issues," Thompson said.

Tribes have been operating casinos under seven-year compacts. They start to expire at the middle of next year. Thompson said he is willing to end the agreements in mid-February if the tribes don't make concessions on non-gambling issues. Those issues include environmental and fishing regulations tied to treaty rights, and the handling of taxes between the tribes and local and state governments.

The state is seeking more of a share of the revenue from tribal casinos. The tribes now contribute a total of \$350,000 a year to help the state regulate gambling. [End quoting]

Always keep the Native American tribes broke and begging. However, **no ones except the Elite are intended to escape this time.**

DRIVERS LICENSES REVOKED
FROM DEADBEAT PARENTS

From *THE ORLANDO SENTINEL*, 12/3/97: [quoting]

More than 11,000 deadbeat parents in New Mexico have had their drivers licenses revoked for failing to pay child support, officials said Tuesday. Under a state law which took effect Monday, any mother or father whose child support payments are at least 30 days late and \$1,000 behind will have their licenses taken away. The Department of Motor Vehicles revoked the licenses Monday, and officials said they would start to seize the assets of those offenders if they failed to pay by the end of the year. [End quoting]

Don't sit back and just say they deserve this. This is a small part of a much larger plan where all of us are going to suffer if we allow them to get away with this. I hope all of us are smart enough to see that they are picking us off small groups at a time and hoping the majority will keep their noses out of the problems until the majority are the minority and it'll be too late.

DEFENSE LAWYERS BLAST FBI's
DNA LABORATORY

From *THE MODESTO BEE*, 11/26/97: [quoting]

The FBI's DNA laboratory is rife with incompetence and routinely biases its findings toward the prosecution, an organization of defense lawyers said Tuesday. FBI memos, obtained through the Freedom of Information Act, include statements from the FBI's own personnel that improper procedures have been used in the laboratory to analyze DNA specimens, said Gerald B. Lefcourt, president of the National Association of Criminal Defense Lawyers. [End quoting]

How many times have we heard similar stories? They fire the whistleblowers and continue on with their games of intrigue and deception.

DATA TOUT BENEFITS
OF FOLIC ACID

From *THE DAILY NEWS*, Los Angeles, 12/5/97: [quoting]

Adding folic acid to women's diets during childbearing years can reduce brain and spinal cord birth defects by almost half, says a study that reinforces federal plans to fortify bread and cereals with the vitamin. Dr. James L. Mills of the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development said the study shows that adding even a small amount of folic acid a day can have a strong effect on the number of babies born with neural tube defects such as spina bifida.

“There has been a lot of debate if a small amount of folic acid will do anything to prevent neural tube

defects,” said Mills. “Nobody knew what minimum dosage was needed.”

Now, he said, it is clear that daily dosages as small as 100 micrograms of folic acid can reduce the number of such birth defects by around 22 percent. Dosages of 400 micrograms cut the defects by about 47 percent, Mills said. “We found that we couldn’t eliminate all of the neural tube defects, but we could eliminate quite a few of them,” said Mills, co-author of the study published today in the British medical journal *Lancet*.

One hundred micrograms of folic acid daily is what experts believe people will receive once all U.S. wheat, rice and corn are fortified at the rate of 140 micrograms per 100 grams of grain. The Food and Drug Administration has ordered that folic acid be added to all food grains by the start of 1998. Many breads, cereals and pastas already are being sold with the nutrient added. A pound totals about 454 grams, and a microgram is one-millionth of a gram.

Dr. Richard B. Johnston, medical director of the March of Dimes, which supports birth defect research, said the *Lancet* finding is reassuring because it shows that fortifying food will have some effect. But he said women of childbearing age should not depend on food alone to get effective levels of folic acid.

Johnston said women who might bear children should follow the Public Health Service and National Academy of Sciences recommendations of taking daily vitamin pills providing at least 400 micrograms of folic acid.

“That’s the only way to be really sure that there is enough folic acid in the diet,” he said.

Experts recommended fortifying grains after studies showed that few women of childbearing age follow the folic acid recommendations.

There was concern, however, that putting too much folic acid in grain products would mask symptoms of pernicious anaemia in elderly patients who might eat lots of bread and cereal. The FDA finally set the supplement level at 140 micrograms per gram [*sic*] of grain.

Folic acid occurs naturally in leafy vegetables, such as spinach, and in orange juice and liver, Mills said. In the *Lancet* study, Irish and American researchers monitored the blood levels of folate, which comes from folic acid, in a group of 100 women of childbearing age in Dublin. [End quoting]

It looks like the Elite have been pressured into allowing some help, but then they counteract this good by their bigger push to do more and more vaccinations. They win one way or another.

THEY CAN BE STOPPED IF WE WAKE UP AND APPLY PRESSURE.

LAWMAKERS’ ANSWER
TO POST-DIVORCE

From *THE DAILY NEWS*, Los Angeles, 12/9/97: [quoting]

A congressional resolution regarding child custody has fathers in a lather, and rightly so.

On the surface, HR Concurrent Resolution 182 seems innocuous, even “good”—aimed at protecting children of divorce from physical abuse and emotional distress. But reading between the lines, the resolution effectively eliminates fathers from the custody equation.

It is the “sense of Congress”, the resolution says, that joint custody of children shouldn’t be allowed if one parent objects.

In real life, where a majority of women are awarded primary custody of their children, the resolution means a divorcing mother who doesn’t feel like dealing with her ex can eliminate him from her children’s lives. Yes, children can still visit their fathers under the resolution, but practically speaking, children and fathers lose each other in such limited arrangements. Visiting isn’t parenting.

We all know that all divorcing women are selfless and have only their children’s interests at heart. They’re never vindictive, never motivated by self-interest. Not one woman in America ever would object to joint custody without good reason. And while we’re at it, you can eat all the pizza you want without gaining an ounce. And Santa will bring you \$1 million if you read this entire column.

Given the current 50-percent divorce rate, the resolution means that many of America’s children could grow up without fathers. Add to that number the 30 percent of children already born to unwed mothers, and we’re breeding a generation of emotionally vacuous children. One can hardly wait to become elderly in such a society.

The linchpin of the resolution is domestic violence and the need to protect women and children from, guess who, abusive fathers. Translated, the resolution essentially says that since men are beasts who usually beat their wives and children, they should be denied parenting rights.

That’s, of course, a worst-case application of the resolution and probably a distortion of intent. But as family disputes go, the trend is decidedly in a worst-case direction, and distortion defines gender debate these days. In our increasingly divisive society, we need to replace lines in the sand with common ground.

For starters, let’s agree that child custody is an almost unsolvable problem.

Just as young people getting married for the first time are blinded by infatuation, lust and impossible expectations, divorcing folk are blinded by disappointment, anger and pain. In either case, we’re asking emotionally and intellectually disabled people to make the most important decisions of their lives. Results are bound to be substandard.

Let’s also agree that joint custody, though ideal in the abstract, can be a nightmare, both for parents who don’t much like each other, and for kids who would like to know where they live without a calendar. As a family mediator once described joint custody: “Parents think their children have two homes; children think they have no home.”

A quick review of our child-custody history reveals consistent incompetence.

We were wrong when fathers had absolute power over offspring, as was true until the mid-19th century. We were wrong in assuming mothers always were the best caregivers during the tender years, though I still maintain that, with rare exceptions, infants need their mothers most. We have been wrong in the past two decades in assuming joint custody, whether legal or physical, was always preferable.

But never have we been more wrong than we are right now as we devise ways to deprive children of their fathers.

We don't need special resolutions to tell us abusive parents shouldn't have custody of children. That's a no-brainer, like saying you shouldn't drop babies on their heads. People who beat up their kids lose them, case closed.

Under no other circumstance should a child be deprived access to either parent. That's what HRCR 182 would say if Congress really had any sense. [End quoting]

Why don't these congressmen protect these kids from abusive and torturing congressmen? This is just another of their tricks **to destroy the families.**

THE DIVINE TOUCH

From *GUIDEPOSTS*, November 1997, P.O. Box 1479, Carmel, NY 10512: [quoting]

My practical nature served me well in running my own business, but when it came to my faith it was an impediment. I went to church every week. I read the *Bible*. I believed in God. Yet somehow I just didn't feel Him in my life. Certainly not the way my husband, Ed, and others I knew did. They didn't need any *tangible* proof to trust God was always with them.

For a long time I struggled to find that same assurance. But it never seemed to come, no matter how I worked at it. *Maybe I'm not meant to believe the way God wants us to*, I thought dispiritedly. I used to enjoy the quiet Sunday-morning fellowship at church. Now going to services began to make me uncomfortable. Worshiping among people of "true" faith, I felt a little like I didn't belong.

I confided my doubts to my husband. Even his encouragement didn't soothe me. *Lord, I know you're there*, I kept praying, *but I just don't feel your presence like I should.*

At church one Sunday the pastor spoke about Thomas, the doubting apostle. I paid particular attention because I could identify with Thomas. He too needed tangible proof of Christ's presence. What would it take for me?

Halfway through the sermon I felt a firm, comforting hand on my shoulder. I looked at my husband, grateful for his understanding. Then I saw his hands folded in his lap. I turned to the man on my right. He was holding a hymnal.

Puzzled, I glanced at the people in the pew behind me. They were all sitting back; not one of them was touching me. But the gentle pressure remained on my shoulder. Warmth enveloped my entire body and I

felt strangely reassured.

Then I heard the pastor reciting words from the *Book of John*: “Do not doubt, but believe.” Suddenly I knew whose hand was on my shoulder. —*Suzanne S. Pluhar* [End quoting]

How many of us can relate to this story? And Merry Christmas from The News Desk.

CHAPTER 8

BANKS, BANKSTERS & MONEY

WHAT YOU DON'T KNOW

CAN ENSLAVE YOU!

by Calvin Burgin 11/14/9

HOW MUCH GOLD IS IN FORT KNOX?

PART V IN A SERIES

The following is Part V of the excellent investigative historical series from Calvin Burgin which began in the 11/18/97 issue of CONTACT as the Front Page story.

FORT KNOX GOLD SCANDAL

On June 21, 1975, Dr. Peter David Beter:Peter David;Beter issued his Audio Letter No. 1. He had earlier begun to reveal information that showed that the gold had been removed from Fort Knox. Most of the following information on this subject came originally from Dr. Beter, who was himself an intelligence operative and was receiving information from very high-level sources. Beter was, among many other positions, the legal counsel to the Import/Export Bank, appointed to the position by President John F. Kennedy. He came into possession of papers which consisted of the manuscript of an unpublished book about Fort Knox which was being written by the late Mr. Stanley Tatom. Tatom was a mechanical engineer and was stationed at Fort Knox as an Army Major in the 1942 to 1943 time period. Major Tatom was in charge of some secret but major modifications of the Fort Knox Gold Depository at that time—modifications whose purpose was to make gold retrieval easier. On April 28, 1943, President Franklin D. Roosevelt visited Fort Knox to view the progress of this work.

After the war, Tatom returned to civilian life as a mechanical engineer and businessman. Tatom probably knew more than any other man on Earth about the Fort Knox Gold Retrieval System, and years later he decided to write a book about it; but he never got to finish polishing it up for publication because in October 1973 he died on an operating table under some very strange, mysterious circumstances! One story is that he bled to death for lack of availability of blood of his type, even though the operation was not an emergency one. And it was only the following month, November 1973, that the final huge shipment of gold out of Fort Knox began, taking until early March 1974 before the shipment was completed. Tatom had become friendly with Senator Goldwater some years prior to his death, and Goldwater knew all about the book and obtained the manuscript to read it. In particular, Senator Goldwater had the crucial Chapter 12 which gave the details on the Retrieval System—but he was sitting on it.

Beter obtained an affidavit from former Congressman Frank Chelf of Kentucky. This affidavit is as follows: [quoting]

AFFIDAVIT

State of Kentucky, County of Marion

I, Frank Chelf, of 216 East Main St., Lebanon, Kentucky 40033, being first duly sworn on oath, hereby depose and say:

(1) That I was a United States Congressman from the 4th Congressional District of Kentucky for 22 years, ending January 3, 1967.

(2) I have always felt that the gold supporting our currency is a vital component of our economy and should not have been sent abroad nor anywhere else. We are giving money we do not have to people we do not know in order to please people who hate our guts.

(3) In August 1963 I charged that the United States Government was moving gold quietly as a church mouse out of Fort Knox, and that the gold was constantly and surreptitiously on the move.

(4) I learned of the Fort Knox gold shipments from my civilian friends in my native County of Hardin.

(5) In January 1965 I made a new request for information regarding gold shipments out of the Government's storage vaults at Fort Knox. I sent this request to President Johnson in a telegram. Fort Knox is located in my 4th Congressional District.

In response to my previous requests for gold removal information, Treasury officials had been courteous and most friendly, but always noncommittal or evasive. As a member who had entered into his 11th term in Congress, I felt I had the right to question those Treasury appointees who have to do with our gold in Fort Knox in order to ascertain the figures of the gold supply of the United States. I believe the press and all American citizens are entitled to know the facts pertaining to our gold shipments.

(6) I retired from Congress after 22 years of uninterrupted service, but I was interested in the United States gold supply because most of it was stored in my Congressional District.

The Government was taking gold out by twilight in trucks, and I accused them of it and proved it on them because I had people who were posted who are friends of mine. They were telling me in the Treasury that they were not taking the gold out, but I had friends who told me the hour and the minute when they'll come out for another load. Oh yes, they've taken a lot of gold out of there they won't admit. It's terrible.

/s/Frank Chelf

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 7th day of April 1975. [End quoting]

And there follows the seal and the signature of the Notary Public, Dessie Kessler, the Notary Public in and for that County of Marion.

The hemorrhage of America's gold was begun in 1961 with the initiation of the so-called "London Gold Pool Agreement", but the stage was set for all of this over 30 years ago during World War II. Question: Where do you think the International Monetary Fund originally got its gold?

In June 1975, David Rockefeller expected to persuade the IMF (International Monetary Fund) to sell its gold hoard, and the Rockefeller interests were poised to buy it secretly, thereby completing their worldwide corner on gold. To depress gold prices so that they could then buy the IMF gold at bargain-basement rates, arrangements were made for the United States Treasury to sell off a pittance of gold on June 30,

1975, in a so-called Dutch auction. Under this arrangement all successful bidders buy at the same price as the lowest successful bid. Many financial articles have pointed out that this curious set-up was a prescription for pushing down gold prices, and that the Treasury had for some time been campaigning to do just that.

The June 30 gold auction was held as scheduled, but it did the Rockefellers no good. At the IMF meeting in June they failed to get the IMF agreement to sell its gold—and for only one reason. The French Government, through its own Intelligence sources, was able to confirm Beter’s charges that America is gold poor. They knew that the United States did not have the huge gold hoard which was officially claimed, and therefore that the Treasury threat to use it to hold gold prices down was a gigantic bluff. Therefore the French refused to play ball and the Rockefellers were feverishly seeking a way through accommodation or pressure, to remove the French obstacle to their gold corner.

Still another factor had to do with Nelson Rockefeller’s sudden trip to England. It was claimed to be merely a vacation but was not announced up to the last minute for security reasons. That was not the truth. Nelson Rockefeller was summoned to England in no uncertain terms by the British Government. They were becoming increasingly aware of the role the Rockefeller empire played in Britain’s economic strangulation since World War II, and Rockefeller was invited to come there for discussions and negotiations that were less than cordial. It involved economic and financial matters. Rockefeller and the British were fighting over the gold.

A VERY STRANGE GOLD SHIPMENT

There was an official document obtained by Beter from the United States Mint entitled: “GOLD SHIPMENTS FROM THE UNITED STATES BULLION DEPOSITORY, FT. KNOX, KENTUCKY, January 1, 1961, to June 30, 1974.”

Based on strictly confidential information, and with pictures, Beter was able to ask the following question of the United States Mint under circumstances in which they were under great pressure to give us a reply. The question was:

“What was shipped in the four tractor-trailer loads on January 20, 1965, from Fort Knox to railroad yards across the river to Jeffersonville, Indiana?”

This shipment did not show on the official listings. Here is the astonishing answer contained in the letter from Mrs. Mary Brooks, the Director of the United States Mint, dated June 19, 1975:

“On January 20, 1965, 1,762,381.353 fine ounces of gold from the Fort Knox Bullion Depository was shipped by way of rail from Jeffersonville, Indiana, to the United States Assay Office, New York, New York.”

There was no explanation as to why this nearly 2-million-ounce shipment did not appear on the official listing. *More on this important shipment will be disclosed later.*

FORT KNOX GOLD VAULT
SECRET MODIFICATIONS

The Chairman of the privately-owned Federal Reserve System, Dr. Arthur Burns, admitted in a letter to Congressman John Rarick that the assets of the Federal Reserve do not include gold; and yet, at the same time, official statements of the Federal Reserve did list gold as a prime asset, and they still do today. This discrepancy has never been cleared up.

The foundations were laid for the Fort Knox Gold Scandal during World War II when extensive hush-hush modifications were made to the Fort Knox Gold Depository. Originally the Fort Knox Gold Depository building was designed around a huge vault with two levels, the ground floor and the basement. One entered the building through the front entrance you have probably seen in pictures, passed through a vestibule, and found himself in a corridor running to left and right. This same corridor went all the way around the building on all four sides of the huge vault. To reach the vault door, one would enter the building at the front entrance, follow the corridor to the right, and then continue on around the corner and along the right side of the building. Part way down this corridor one would come to the vault door, which was on the left or inner side of the corridor. On entering through the vault door, one found himself in another corridor inside the vault. Fronting on this corridor were a series of storage compartments about the size of jail cells (Beter called them bird cages) but with solid metal doors with individual locks on them. These cells or compartments were arranged in a sort of cellblock with the vault corridor passing all the way around it. That is, one could head off down this corridor inside the vault, walk around a center cellblock with compartment doors facing onto the corridor on all four sides, and finally wind up where he started. There were 20 of these jail-cell-like storage compartments inside the vault on the first floor of the vault. There were also stairs with which one could walk down to the basement level of the vault; and the arrangement at the basement level was the same—a square cellblock of 20 compartments fronting on a corridor which went all the way around.

What is described so far was the main or Outer Vault, however *it was not where the gold was kept!* These small compartments, 40 in all, were for the storage of all sorts of other things—secret documents, precious metals other than gold, and a variety of other things.

But these were not where the gold was kept—instead, there was a sort of vault-within-a-vault known as the “Central Core Vault”, which was reserved strictly for the storage of gold. Access to the Central Core Vault, which was located centrally and below ground, could only be obtained from a point at the basement level inside the Outer Vault structure described. Moving gold in and out of the Central Core Vault was, therefore, a relatively slow and tedious process.

But in the 1942-43 time period, major modifications were made to the Fort Knox vault structure under the direction of a mechanical engineer named Stanley Tatom, who was serving as an Army Major at that time. A rapid retrieval system for the gold was built in the rear of the Depository building where there are a pair of huge doors into which trucks can back for loading and unloading.

First, the six bird-cage compartments running along the rear of the Outer Vault on each floor were deleted. The vault corridors, which had formerly gone all the way around the cellblock on each floor, were then walled off where the row of compartments along the rear had been deleted. Thus the vault corridors no

longer went all the way around, but now formed a “U” configuration with the base of the “U” turned toward the front of the Depository building. By lopping off the rear portion of the Outer Vault in this manner, space was created in the rear to accommodate the rapid retrieval system. In this space, in the center adjacent to the truck doors, was installed a powerful screw-type elevator passing from the ground floor down and to the level of the Central Core Vault into which the elevator gave access. At the top of the elevator—that is, at the ground level in the rear of the Depository building, a massive vault door was installed. In effect, this vault door serves as nothing but a very elaborate elevator door since the only thing you can enter in, when you open it, is the elevator which then takes you down to the Central Core Vault where all gold is supposed to be stored.

Finally, the original access to the Central Core Vault from a location in the two-level main vault where the compartments are, was deleted. The building’s interior walls and decor were then restored to something like their original appearance.

But now, thanks to the secret modifications, there is no longer a vault-within-a-vault arrangement. Instead, there are now two separate and independent vaults. One is a vault with all the jail-cell-like compartments (“bird-cages”) in it, which was shown to the visitors that September. The other vault, which cannot be reached from within the vault the visitors saw, is the gold vault, the Central Core Vault, which can be reached only by the elevator in the rear of the building.

THE PHONY “FORT KNOX GOLD INSPECTION VISIT”

Beter publicly challenged the Government to test his charges in court, and offered to go to jail as a rabble-rouser if he could not back up his charges. Their only public response was to stage the so-called “Fort Knox Gold Inspection Visit” on September 23, 1974—and that, too, was a total fraud.

The compartments in the vault shown to the visitors were never intended for storage of gold; and, what the visitors saw were not gold bars—not even junk gold! What was seen by the visitors is a commodity known as “show gold”—lead bars covered with a layer of gold that is just thick enough to stand up under handling. This even helps explain the high alloy content responsible for the strange redness which many of the visitors noticed. Pure gold is extremely soft, and a thin layer over lead could all too easily be damaged and reveal the lead underneath. Highly alloyed gold—that is, impure gold—was therefore used so that it would withstand handling. Thus they saw “junk gold” all right, but it wasn’t even junk gold all the way through!

The visitors of Fort Knox had no way of knowing that there are two vaults, and no one told them. They were led to believe that the vault they entered with all the compartments was The Vault, and the Treasury had seen to it that none of the invited visitors were experts on gold, much less on the mysterious legendary place known as “Fort Knox”.

The closest brush that the visitors had with stumbling onto the truth came when a reporter asked Mrs. Mary Brooks, the Director of the Mint, why the compartments were numbered in such a curious fashion—1 to 14 on one floor, and 21 to 34 on the other. Mrs. Brooks helpfully replied that she didn’t know. The missing numbers—15 to 20 and 35 to 40—are those of the cells that were deleted in the secret modifica-

tions during the 1942-43 time period.

THE 1954 GOLD INVENTORY

After the wartime modifications to Fort Knox were made, over 10 years were allowed to pass before the next major step in 1954. At that time a super-secret complete inventory was taken of the Fort Knox gold. This was not the same as a relatively cursory audit, so-called, of the gold which was done in 1953. The project in 1954 involved a complete count with weighing and assay sampling of all the gold there—about three-quarters of a million 400-ounce bars worth a total of 12 billion dollars (\$12,000,000,000) at that time, and that was at the old price of \$35 per ounce. That's twice as much as the Treasury ever claimed to have. In addition to all the weighing, counting, and checking against records, the 1954 inventory included the extraction of a plug of gold from every one-hundredth bar for assaying, and these samples were sent to Assay Offices all around the country to minimize the chance of any collusion to falsify the results. This seemingly enormous job was kept completely secret, and was completed in only nine weeks. All of the gold was in the Central Core Vault at that time—none was in the bird-cage compartments.

The contrast with the so-called GAO audit of the Fort Knox gold in 1974 can hardly be overstated. The alleged gold stock in 1974 was only half as large, and they can claim to have examined only about 20% of that. Assay samples were taken from only about every thousandth bar—they were not plugged but merely small chips were taken which could be taken from a corner, say, without cutting through into the lead underneath. All the 99 samples were sent to a single location, the New York Assay Office, and only 54 of these have ever been stated to have been returned—with undefined results.

Finally, the results of the alleged 1974 GAO audit—which was performed, by the way, by 13 Treasury employees and only two GAO representatives—have never been published. The closest thing to it is a ridiculous little document printed in February 1975, which presents no findings of fact concerning the gold and timidly says only “We believe” the gold is there!

But returning to the 1954 gold inventory, the question arises:

“Why was it a secret? After all, the law requires an annual physical inventory of the nation's gold reserves.” This law has been generally circumvented and ignored; but one would think that when its requirements were satisfied for once, in 1954, the fact would have been made public. The reason for the secrecy of the comprehensive 1954 inventory is that its purpose was not that defined by law. Instead, the Rockefeller interests were simply taking stock of the American gold reserves which they intended to start spiriting away a few years later.

In about 1960, after those who had worked on the secret 1954 inventory were safely gone from Fort Knox, the next step was taken. A system of record-keeping was set up to allegedly keep track of the gold by means of special ribbon-like metal seals on the doors of the compartments in the main vault—not where gold is supposed to be stored at all.

These seals had been in use on these compartments ever since 1937 when the gold was initially stored at Fort Knox. But gold was never in those compartments, just other things as mentioned earlier. Nevertheless, attention was cleverly shifted to the old Outer Vault with the compartments, as if that was where the

gold was. Seals were put on doors of compartments with gold alleged to be inside, and these seals were thereafter checked by the so-called “Annual Settlement Committees” in lieu of actually opening the locked compartments and checking the contents. Of course for all any Settlement Committee thereafter really knew, the compartments could have been empty since there was no way to see in through the solid door of each compartment. United States Mint personnel have stated, for the record, that the peephole through which the 1974 Fort Knox visitors peeped into an unopened cell, was drilled especially for that occasion. Thus they were at last ready for the looting of America’s gold. The record-keeping system of the United States Mint now reflected only the status of the compartments in what remained of the original Outer Vault!

Meanwhile the gold was actually still stored in the completely independent Central Core Vault, reachable only by means of the elevator in the rear. And in 1961 the looting began under the cloak of the London Gold Pool Agreement initiated that year.

Gold began flowing like water out of Fort Knox and the other Depositories, arousing the concern of Congressman Frank Chelf and others, but all attempts to stem the tide were brusquely waved aside by Rockefeller agents within our government. By 1968 this gold hemorrhage was used as an excuse to set up the two-tier Gold Market in place of the London Gold Pool.

In September 1975, because of the revelations Beter had made about the gold theft out of Fort Knox, the Rockefellers arranged for some gold to be returned. Under the terms of the Agreement, five-million ounces of IMF gold was to be returned to the United States, from whence it came. This gold was originally promised for sale to the “insiders” within the Rockefeller circle, but now they wanted instead to display or use it in gold auctions to keep the public fooled. In this connection, on August 21, 1975, shortly before the IMF meeting, the Treasury even held a well-publicized meeting with private gold dealers to discuss the question of holding auctions. This was pure propaganda and bluff, and intended to lend weight to any future auctions of gold obtained from the IMF. The frantic maneuverings to snatch some IMF gold for propaganda auctions is simply a replay of what they did in December to have a little gold to auction off. On December 9, 1974, the Treasury illegally emptied the tiny “Exchange Stabilization Fund” of its two million ounces of gold. That was done to have something for the Treasury to sell in small propaganda auctions, since the rest of our gold reserves were long gone.

On August 31, 1975, at the beginning of their so-called September meeting, an interim or conditional Gold Sale Agreement was made which specified the following: Of the approximately 150 million ounces of gold now owned by the IMF, one-sixth or 25 million ounces was to be sold on the open market or to member Central Banks. True to Rockefeller tradition, by the way, this IMF gold sale to suit their own purposes has been painted as a philanthropic move to raise money to aid poor and developing nations.

Another one-sixth or 25 million ounces was to be returned to member nations of the IMF in proportion to the amounts of gold each nation originally contributed. Under this clause the United States was to receive about five million ounces worth about \$700,000,000 at then-current market prices. It was this five million ounces of gold, equal to only about two percent (2%) of what the United States Treasury officially claimed to have, that the Conspirators wanted desperately to get their hands on in case they needed it for propaganda auctions or even another carefully staged “peep show” at Fort Knox.

The remaining two-thirds of the IMF gold, or about 100,000,000 ounces, was to “stay put” in the IMF for

the time being. There it would remain as backing for the so-called “Special Drawing Rights”, or SDRs, of the IMF. The SDR was to become the new international monetary unit or standard of measure, the yardstick; and then the other strong currencies that make up part of the basket of the 16 currencies which constitute the SDRs, would be the reserve currencies of the future. The dollar, therefore, gave up its sovereignty as a sole reserve currency. The joker in this deck is that over half of an SDR is made up of the American dollar and British pound sterling, both of which lack gold backing.

Beginning January 1976 the IMF members agreed not to affix an official gold price for a period of two years, provided the January 1976 agreement on Exchange Rates takes place. On January 2, 1976, gold was \$140.35 per ounce on the open market.

What the IMF agreed to in principle was to allow its members, including the United States, to ask their respective governments to abolish the official price of gold, which was now \$42.22 an ounce, once the Exchange Rate agreement was reached in January 1976. This means that for the following two years there would be only a “market price” for gold, subject only to supply and demand plus massive “massages” by the Rockefeller interests to cause the price of gold to skyrocket. In other words, gold would be going private for two years starting in January 1976. David’s plan was to officially repeg gold then at \$2,000 an ounce—almost fifty times the official price of \$42.22 an ounce!

The Treasury at that time held less than 800,000 ounces of gold—a mere pittance left over from the 2,000,000 ounces it illegally took from the Exchange Stabilization Fund on December 9, 1974. The rest was used for the propaganda auctions by the Treasury in January and June of 1975.

The *Daily News Digest* for the week of September 1, 1975, headline was just one word: [quoting]

WHY?

The Fort Knox gold story is far from dead. There is something rotten, and the stink has spread across the land like a fog. Thousands of readers have followed our series closely. Like them, we have waited in vain for satisfactory answers to questions raised by Dr. Peter David Beter and his associate, Ed Durell. An exchange of letters with the United States Bureau of the Mint has produced nothing but double-talk.

The key question regarding the Central Core Vault still remains a mystery. Is the gold there or isn’t it?

Why is it so difficult to send a delegation to Fort Knox, open the Vault and reveal its content—or lack of content? Why have no members of Congress taken it upon themselves to settle this matter? Is courage such a missing virtue in Washington that this can’t be or won’t be done?!

Why has the nation’s press avoided the story with an intensity that is amazing? Is this not perhaps the biggest news story in the civilized history of the world? It certainly has that possibility. An evil group of individuals that can loot a nation’s Treasury, as has been charged, to the tune of \$11,000,000,000 certainly deserves some attention, doesn’t it?

And the radio and TV industry didn’t even mention the initial charges, and covered only the “peep show” staged at Fort Knox last September.

But the newspapers, where are they? Why the blackout on the Fort Knox story? Is there some powerful force that scares them into submission? Or would they lose so much advertising that they can't run the story? Or do the major editors think the story is so outrageous as to merit no attention? Outrageousness certainly didn't prevent Jane Fonda and others from getting front-page coverage!

Yes, something stinks. IS THE GOLD THERE OR ISN'T IT? Which of our Congressmen has the guts to find out? [End quoting]

On September 5, 1975, a reporter posed the following question to Dr. Abdul-Rahman Al-Atteqi, Minister of Finance of Kuwait, at the National Press Club: "None of the oil-producing states spoke during the World Bank and IMF meetings. Why not?" Dr. Al-Atteqi answered:

"Addressing people seems to be of no meaning. If the United Nations or World Bank meetings had a time to listen exactly as good listeners should, everyone would speak, but most of the speeches just go into the air. Nobody hears it—whispering, most of the delegates out of the room—and then it is a text in a book. If it happens, sometimes somebody reads it. This is why. And secondly, it is known who runs the policy of the Monetary System of the world, and we cannot for the time being compete with them. We are in their hands. So this is a fact. We have to live with it unless we break through—and we are looking for that time." In its December 15, 1981 issue, a nationally circulated tabloid called *The Globe* published a cover story about our missing gold. It was titled appropriately "66 BILLION DOLLARS IN GOLD GONE FROM FORT KNOX".

The Globe appears on newsstands and supermarkets and drug stores all over the United States but its editorial control lies outside the American blackout, in Canada. On Sunday December 13 an even harder-hitting article was published in England in *The Sunday Express* of London. It was titled: "UNITED STATES PROBES FORT KNOX ROBBERY". The article, written by correspondent David Markham, begins:

"The American Gold Commission in Washington will this week begin an examination of Treasury documents to decide whether 7000 tons of gold, enough to fill 300 lorries, has been stolen from Fort Knox, the world's biggest and most protected bullion store." The article then reviewed the basic charges Beter made together with his friend Mr. Edward Durell, and it mentions that the Treasury was trying to refute their charges by providing certain documents to the Gold Commission. The article then zeros in on the question of the missing 165 million ounces of Fort Knox gold that Beter reported on in the spring of this year.

The *London Sunday Express* article focused in on the awesome amount of missing gold. They asked the Treasury Department to explain it, and now listen to the incredible reply they received. Quoting once again from the article:

"At the Treasury Department in Washington Jerry Nisenson, Deputy Director of Gold Market Activities, said: 'We have investigated the claims of Dr. Beter and his supporters and we contend that the gold was not stolen. There is no cover-up. They have misinterpreted our books. The gold was being refined into better quality gold and those ounces just 'went up the chimney'.' Three hundred (300) truckloads of gold went up the chimney?? Who is smoking what, here? If that happened, then enough gold dust should have settled out of the air to gold-plate New York City.

The United States Treasury Department is continuing its cover-up of what *The London Sunday Express* article says “would amount to the biggest theft in criminal history.”

Having successfully robbed Fort Knox, which is the biggest depository, the New York Assay Office was next in line.

In late October rumors circulated briefly in New York City that the Reagan Administration planned to close the Assay Office. For that reason, the rumors said that the gold there might be moved out and taken to the West Point Depository up the Hudson River from New York. An article about it was published in the *New York Daily News* for October 27, 1981. Treasury officials immediately denied it all, saying no shipments of gold out of the New York Assay Office were imminent. But as usual, they lied.

At 10:00 P.M. Saturday night November 7, 1981, a secret meeting got under way at the New York Assay Office. Those present included: Donna Pope, Director of the Mint; Dr. Alan Goldman, Deputy Director of the Mint; James Edwards, Officer in Charge at the West Point Depository; New York Assay Office employees, and others. The entire group remained at the Assay Office overnight. Then at 5:00 A.M. the following Sunday morning, November 8, they departed. They were accompanying the first secret shipment of gold out of the New York Assay Office ‘reportedly’ bound for the West Point Depository. The shipment consisted of four (4) truckloads totaling 2.18-million ounces. Shipments have been continuing like this since. Every shipment leaves in the dead of night in elaborate secrecy. Everyone at the Assay Office who knows about the shipments has been sworn to secrecy about them. Meanwhile the gold stock there was being depleted rapidly—four (4) tractor-trailer loads at a time. Beter reported that shipments of four truckloads each left the New York Assay Office on December 10 and December 11. The combined total amounted to 144 skids with 80 bars each, or over 11,500 bars totaling over 4-1/2 million ounces. Additional shipments of four truckloads each were scheduled for December 17 and 20. There was a mad rush to complete all shipments before the end of the year. New York Assay Office employees who saw all this going on were given the excuse that this was being done “for security reasons”; but that is ridiculous.

In spite of the security problems at the Assay Office, security at West Point is vastly inferior. The West Point Depository was never designed for gold bullion safekeeping. It was mainly for the storage of pennies. It was not designed as a fortress like the New York Assay Office. There’s no high-security gold vault at West Point. There were no iron gates, no bars, no military guards; and unlike the New York Assay Office which is situated in Lower Manhattan, the West Point Depository is isolated, totally isolated. Anything could go on there and no one would know.

NUMISMATIC NEWS ARTICLE

The September 20, 1994, issue of a publication for coin collectors and dealers called the *Numismatic News* had a front-page article titled: “FEARS OF A GOLD DRAIN LED TO 1974 FORT KNOX TOUR” by Eric von Klinger, *News* Editorial Staff. This article summarizes the generally accepted position as presented by the government propagandists. Note when reading the article, that they never actually inventoried the gold. For one thing, they were never even in the real gold vault! The report was reported to Nelson Rockefeller!

Here is the article: [quoting]

It could have been a bombshell, but when Dr. Peter David Beter told a congressional subcommittee that gold was missing from Fort Knox he was met mostly by incredulity.

Dr. Beter made the charge before the House Banking and Currency Subcommittee in April 1974. In the June 7 issue of *National Tattler*, he repeated the charge that “international speculators have drained Fort Knox of much, if not all, of its gold.”

The nation’s gold depository was then thought to contain more than 147 million ounces of gold, officially worth more than \$6 billion and at free market prices worth about \$22 billion.

The stockpile was depleted, all right, but only from its peak in 1943 of about 445 million ounces. That creditors had made a recent run, seriously depleting the gold reserves, was a statement “totally and completely without a foundation”, Thomas W. Wolfe, director of the Office of Domestic Gold and Silver Operation, said.

Mint Director Mary Brooks, whose responsibilities included supervision of U. S. gold and silver depositories, termed Dr. Beter’s contention “preposterous and ridiculous”.

Nevertheless, rumors snowballed.

U. S. Rep. Philip Crane, R-Ill., introduced a bill Aug. 8 for an audit of “all U. S. holdings of monetary gold and reserves, wherever they may be held”.

“We need to quell these rumors if they are unfounded, and the best way to do that is with a full and comprehensive audit,” Crane said. “If, on the other hand, the audit shows some of the gold is missing, then we must begin an investigation immediately.”

Treasury Secretary William Simon invited members of the House subcommittee as well as of the Senate Finance Committee to tour Fort Knox. Brooks announced a General Accounting Office audit would be conducted at a cost of \$1.5 million to \$2 million.

On Sept. 23, 1974, the tour took place.

Sen. Walter D. Huddleston, D-Ky., was present. From the House were Reps. Clair W. Burgener of California, John B. Conlan of Arizona, Crane, John H. Rousselot of California, Gene Snyder of Kentucky and Chalmers P. Wyle of Ohio, all Republicans.

Also present were Brooks, Wolfe, U.S. Treasurer Francine Neff and representatives of the press. Among the latter was Clifford Mishler, then senior editor of *Numismatic News*, today president of the *News*’ parent company, Krause Publications.

The only other “unauthorized” person ever allowed inside the gold vault was President Franklin D. Roosevelt during a visit in 1943, Mishler wrote. (Brooks added that the then governor of Kentucky may have accompanied FDR.)

Compartment 33, the only one of 13 gold-containing rooms opened for viewing, contained 36,236 gold

bars of .8994 fineness, obtained from coin melts of the 1930s, Mishler reported.

Reporters were permitted to view the contents of all the other gold compartments through peepholes.

As massive as the amount of gold at Fort Knox was, it represented only little more than half of all 276 million ounces of U. S. gold holdings, Mishler noted. Major amounts were also at the Denver Mint and the New York and San Francisco assay offices.

The Fort Knox depository was completed in 1936. The last audit of the gold prior to 1974 occurred in 1953 at the direction of then Treasury Secretary George M. Humphrey.

The new audit (or “settlement”, as it was termed officially) was expected to take two months. The GAO would be joined by representatives of the Treasury Department and the Bureau of Customs beginning Sept. 24.

Their method was to make a random audit of three of the 13 gold compartments, counting 91,604 bars. GAO inspectors selected two of the three compartments, and Rep. Crane picked the third, a GAO spokesman told *Numismatic News*.

They counted 91,604 bars, each measuring 7 by 6.25 by 1.75 inches.

“Out of those, we selected a random sample from each melt and weighted them and took some chips out of each to be sent to New York (assay office) for assaying,” a GAO spokesman told *Numismatic News*. “There were three steps: the actual counting, weighing of the bars and assaying.”

Ninety-five bars, each weighing about 27.5 pounds, were assayed, the spokesman said.

“The results of the assays indicated that the recorded finenesses were within the tolerances of the Mint established,” the GAO report to Congress on Feb. 10 stated.

The report was submitted to Carl Albert, speaker of the House of Representatives, and Vice President Nelson Rockefeller, president of the Senate.

Oddly, the report came on the eve of the Feb. 12 meeting of the Assay Commission. The commission declared the nation’s coinage to be of approved standards—no longer containing any gold, and silver only in the premium collector edition of Eisenhower dollars. [End quoting]

THE GREAT PENNY/PLUTONIUM CAPER

On September 19, 1975, a mini-scandal involving the United States Bureau of the Mint broke nationwide—“THE GREAT PENNY CAPER” in the words of the *Wall Street Journal*. Two years earlier the Treasury had 1-1/2 million experimental aluminum pennies minted supposedly at the suggestion of Mrs. Mary Brooks, the Director of the United States Mint. Samples went to Senate and House Banking Committee members, and a few went to Mrs. Brooks’ own office. In the end, the aluminum penny idea was scrapped, and all those million-plus experimental coins were melted down—all, that is, except a

dozen or so that seemed to have disappeared in the Senate, the House, and the Bureau of the Mint offices. Since they are potentially worth thousands of dollars as collectors' items, the Government professed great concern about the situation, and may even be forced to mint the low quality aluminum pennies after all, if they can't be recovered. An Assistant United States Attorney said:

“This may just turn out to be the world's worst blunder by the Bureau of the Mint, an egregious case of negligence.” Here is a perfect example of the look-over-there distraction tactics that the Rockefellers always use. They made a big to-do about a few pennies while they covered up the Fort Knox Gold Scandal.

Dr. Beter reported on October 15, 1975, that CIA operatives had stolen from 40 to 60 pounds—POUNDS, not ounces or grams—of deadly radioactive Plutonium-239 from various stations in the United States. Plutonium-239 is the deadliest substance imaginable, and is the material used for nuclear weapons; and these 40 to 60 pounds of stolen plutonium have been processed into an incredibly dangerous radioactive superpoison so lethal that one (1) gram—1/28th of an ounce—is enough to kill over 60,000 people; and THIS INSANE ILLEGAL POISON WAS STORED IN THE “CENTRAL CORE VAULT” AT FORT KNOX!

The Central Core Vault, which was originally designed to house the nation's gold, had been emptied of that gold and turned by the Rockefellers' spy establishment into a chamber of death, containing enough radioactive superpoison to kill over one-third of the world's population!

The clandestine operation involving the plutonium poison was not only insane, but it has been botched as well. This radioactive liquid poison in the Central Core Vault was stored in a number of lead-lined casks to somewhat resemble large milk cans in outer appearance. Most of these were put there as long ago as 1968, even before the last of the gold left Fort Knox. They have not been subject to the safety precautions spelled out for radioactive materials by the former Atomic Energy Commission, and the containers began corroding long ago. Leakage began occurring several years ago as a result, and it's steadily accelerating now. The entire United States Bullion Depository at Fort Knox and its environs are already contaminated with radioactivity, increasing daily!

In July 1975, Beter issued a correction to his AUDIO LETTER No. 2 for July 1975. He said it is true that it takes two keys and two people to open the vaults in the Bullion Depository, but the Commanding General of Fort Knox is not one of them! The two people with the keys are the Treasury agent in charge and his deputy, both Treasury officials. Furthermore, control and authority over the depository building and the immediate grounds within the fence surrounding it, lies exclusively with the Treasury Department. The United States Army has no authority whatsoever over the Bullion Depository. The Army never becomes involved in any way with the activities at the Depository except when the Treasury requests Army guard duty for major shipments of gold.

Beter said that what you probably think of as Fort Knox, the famous Bullion Depository with small grounds and a fence around it, is properly called the “United States Bullion Depository at Fort Knox, Kentucky”. It is a little Treasury island located geographically within a huge Army Reservation that is called Fort Knox. Access to the Bullion Depository is possible without passing through any Army guard stations, and without the Army even having to pay any attention. There is a controlled-access divided public highway, US 31-W,

that runs from Louisville north of Fort Knox south to Elizabethtown, and it runs smack through the middle of the huge Fort Knox Military Reservation. If you stay on that highway, you can drive all the way through Fort Knox without stopping or being interfered with in any way. But if you take any of the exits onto a crossroad within the Fort Knox Reservation, then you will come to a guardhouse within a few hundred feet. Driving north or south along Highway 31-W through Fort Knox, you come to a point at which you get a good view of the imposing Bullion Depository, which sits on a hill perhaps a quarter-mile east of the Highway. If you take the nearest exit from Highway 31-W, you find yourself on a crossroad that goes right past the Depository, right up to an Army guardhouse where you will, of course, be stopped. But about 50 feet before you reach the Army guard, there's a wide driveway that leads into the Bullion Depository itself. If you turn into that driveway, the Army guard 50 feet down the road will certainly see you, but he need not take any action. Instead, it's up to the Treasury guards to either admit you or keep you out of the Depository grounds itself. Thus the Bullion Depository at Fort Knox is virtually impregnable for any potential thieves from the outside. The Treasury people at the Depository need only squawk once for help, and the Army will be swarming all over the area in moments.

But for an "inside job" through the Treasury itself, the Bullion Depository is a sitting duck. Access to the Depository is exactly the same as it would be if the Depository were located clear outside the Fort Knox Military Reservation, since no Army checkpoints have to be passed; and inside the fence that surrounds the Depository building, everything is strictly up to the Treasury—unless they call upon the Army for assistance. So the Treasury Department had to come to terms at some level with the Intelligence people responsible for the radioactive plutonium poison. The United States Treasury is now acting as a nuclear banker for the United States Intelligence Community, which worked for the Rockefeller Brothers. They wanted to put their deadly valuables in a great big safety deposit box—the Central Core Vault—and the Treasury allowed them to do so.

The people who went to Fort Knox on September 23, 1974—six Congressmen, one Senator, and over 100 news media people—were all exposed to this radiation without their knowledge. Far from being warned of this hazard, the very existence of the Central Core Vault was deliberately hidden from the unsuspecting visitors. As a result, every one of those visitors has grounds for a lawsuit against the federal government and against the Treasury officials personally responsible for this terrible trick, under the Federal Tort Claims Act. Dr. Beter said that "had I known about the radioactive plutonium poison at that time, I would have publicly warned everyone to stay away. As it is now, all I can do is to suggest that those who visited Fort Knox last year be checked up medically. It is even more urgent that those who work at the Depository be checked. I am informed that those who have worked there for a sufficient period of time may already have the beginnings of cancer. Most doctors are unfamiliar with the effects of radiation poisoning, and it can easily be misdiagnosed. Elevated blood sugar, irritability, dizziness, itching, elevated temperature, and a number of other symptoms can result from radiation poisoning."

Knowing what we know now, it is obvious why the man who invited the visitors to Fort Knox, United States Treasury Secretary William Simon, knew better than to go there himself. It also explains why the Government has so steadfastly refused to admit the existence of the Central Core Vault. They dare not open it now, even for a peep show. And on October 9th, President Ford abruptly canceled—yes, canceled—a scheduled trip to Louisville, Kentucky, near Fort Knox; just 16 hours after Beter had publicly broken the plutonium poison story in Los Angeles. Before that, the puppet President probably knew nothing about it.

Up to then, the main effects of the radioactive contamination seeping out of the Central Core Vault had been confined mostly to the immediate vicinity of the Bullion Depository itself. But the Central Core Vault was never intended to house radioactive substances. Its walls, ceiling, and floors are made of reinforced concrete several feet thick, but any such concrete structures form thousands of cracks all through it over a period of time—some visible, some microscopic. That makes no difference for gold storage; but for radioactive materials, the concrete structure of the Central Core Vault is like a giant sieve with tiny holes on all sides. Once the radioactive poison gets out of those lead-lined storage-tank cans, as it is doing, a good fraction of it will eventually find its way outside. **A major catastrophe—radioactive poisoning of the entire southeast portion of the United States—is now a real possibility unless steps are taken to prevent it.** But there is no way to neutralize this radioactive poison. All that can be done is to seal it off from the environment. Even if there were some other safe place to take the leaking cans of poison for storage, which there is not, it would not be safe to open the Central Core Vault now, much less enter it. This means that the United States Bullion Depository at Fort Knox must be abandoned forthwith, and a massive tomb of lead and rock built around it to contain the radiation. The contents of the Depository—any left-over dregs of gold still there, the stores of curare poison and other drugs and poisons for the Intelligence Community, Bureau of Engraving plates, important documents, everything—has already been subjected to radioactive contamination, and are unsafe so that they might just as well be entombed with the Depository itself. Needless to say, a project like this—the abandonment of the Bullion Depository and the construction of a radiation-shielding mound over it—could scarcely be done and kept secret. Thousands of people drive by the Depository every day on the public highway, US 31-W; and even if that highway were closed, the fate of the Bullion Depository would necessarily become known if these corrective steps were taken.

[To be continued.]

CHAPTER 9

SOLTEC: KEEPING FEAR OUT OF YOUR CREATIVE EQUATION 12/7/97 SOLTEC

Good morning, my friend. It is I, Toniose Soltec, come in the Radiant One Light of Creator Source. Be at peace.

Allow for the unfolding of the drama that you ones find yourselves in at this time. Many decisions are being made at all levels of consciousness that will have impact upon you ones in the physical. Allow for the changes to come forth in their natural order. Resist NOT, and the ride will be far less draining. Allow for the NATURAL FLOW of experience.

Hold loosely to the past ways of doing things, for there will be great need for you ones to be “adaptable” in the coming days, months, and years. There is a very real mission to get done, and yet there are few who will have the fortitude to see it through to completion. These are the experiences that will challenge you to go within and tap the Inner Strength that will eventually lead to a higher level of inner and outer awareness. This is GROWTH!

Allow for the free-will choices of others. Be slow to judge the person, for you do not know another’s contract. Discern the actions of those who impact your daily lives around you. Go within for your Guidance and ask for clarification of what is right for YOU. Sit not long on the fence of indecision. Make your choices only after giving deliberate thought to all of the possible consequences of the outcome of making your decision.

Your life experiences are for YOU to decide and no other can tell you how to live YOUR experience. A wise man will gather as much data as he can prior to his choosing. However, in the end, the choice and the responsibility of the choice are up to the individual.

You are each “cutting” your own path through this experience, and the uniqueness of each one’s path is as much a part of the experience as is the destination. Follow your HEART and it will lead you to that which you are seeking. If you just blindly follow another, you will only find that which the other is seeking, and that is rarely what you are seeking.

This is not to say that ones cannot function as a team to accomplish their collective goals. Quite the opposite! How do you think that we of the Hosts accomplish missions on a scale such as this planetary transition and transformation? However, that collective goal should be a part of YOUR heart intent, else you act under “false” pretense, and such can only generate restlessness—and certainly NOT provide the inner feeling of satisfaction you all crave that comes from following your own true path.

Be not afraid of going against the “norm”. Who cares what your neighbors or relatives “think”? Do you live your life in fear of what others “think” about you? If so, why? Is their way better

than yours? Do they even have a “way”? Or are they simply critical of you from their own reactions of fear?

We of the non-physical realms often witness you ones efforting to compare yourselves to one another in such a manner as to melt consciousness into one giant statistical “norm”. What is normal? What is RIGHT? What is good?

There are no wrong choices in your experience. Even in making choices that lead you away from your Creator, you have in fact presented self with more information from which to again make other choices. Hopefully these new, later choices will then be more en-Light-ened. A wise man will always strive to make better choices.

Many are simply stuck in routine habits that generate more of whatever it is that they already have. “Why rock the boat?” is a phrase we often hear you ones use as an excuse to avoid overcoming your fear of the unknown. Is mediocrity YOUR desire? Look around you and know that what you have in your day-to-day experience is a product of your desire.

Many are going within at this time and asking for direction. Many want to do more, yet claim that they do not know how.

You start by taking the first step! If you want to help spread The Word, but you only have one dollar that you can spare at this moment, can you not take that dollar and copy an article and pass it to just one person who may be interested? In doing so, have you not just taken a step toward achieving your goal?

In the act of taking the physical action, you will have set into motion GREAT CREATIONAL ENERGIES that will begin to come back to you—amplified. Perhaps the next week you will find that you have two dollars extra that you can spend toward the same goal.

You ones must learn to exploit your creative ingenuity. There is plenty that you each can do, right in your own city or town, toward helping to spread the information that will stand as testament to what is REALLY taking place in your world.

Again we get back to the subject of fear! Many of you will simply not speak up when an opportunity arises—out of fear that someone may speak or think badly of you.

Why do you think that we have offered, in these pages of *CONTACT*, such extensive information regarding the subject of mind control? We effort toward helping you to recognize how subtle yet pervasive are the methods in daily use in your lives.

You live in a society which has been taught and trained to believe that you must all operate within certain parameters in order to “fit-in” or be “cool” or be “normal”. Pursuing this goal is a religion for many on your planet!

Yet this situation is also why so many of you who are reading this message don’t quite “fit-in”

with the average mentality that seems to surround you. It is the FEAR of rejection that keeps the masses stuck in the artificial “norm” of the day. These ones can only mimic what they see on television or experience in their social gatherings, such as at school, church, or in their work place.

Many are constantly being “preached to” as to what is appropriate and what is not. Many do not wish to “rock the boat” when it comes to disrupting the “norm”.

This is a deliberate assault aimed at stifling individual creativity!

When you look within for your answers to the question “What can I do?” — can you not see that the answer is often there, staring you in the face. Oh, to be sure, that answer may not at first be as glorious or as glamorous or as “warm and fuzzy” as you imagined (or hoped) it would be. But, if you persist through the first steps of the creation process, you may find the inner satisfaction of creating something that would not have existed otherwise! And, by the way, this is not a trite statement when you remember that EACH of you Lighted ones are UNIQUE aspects of Creator, and thus have within you the potential for UNIQUE solutions.

Let us take, for example, that you wish to help awaken others around you to the REAL state of the world. Can you not gather and copy older or current articles from this publication and share them with ones who may be interested? In time you may find that there are MANY, right in your own neighborhood, who would love to discuss issues addressed in such articles. We choose our material wisely.

How will you ever know if you don’t take the first step? There are MANY out there who have already done this and have, in effect, established “teams” of like-minded people in their towns or cities. This is a unity of purpose.

Like attracts like. Those who operate from a position of fear will likely be repelled by anything that disrupts their comfortable feeling of security that stems from the lies they are fed by the controlled media. These ones, though they may now laugh at or ridicule you for attempting to share Truth with them, will later be the ones who come to you most desperately, seeking The Truth that they shunned before.

Please know that it is, ironically, the more aware ones who are likely to react in this manner. Why? Because these ones can think through the implications of what you offer, and such makes them very uncomfortable. Thus the reactions.

These are the ones we are here to assist through the transition at hand!

These are our brothers that YOU, as Ground Crew, have come to help! The gratitude will not be evident, in most cases, until after the fact. However, when you cause another to think past what is the confining “norm”, please know that you are helping to fulfill God’s promise to send wayshowers in these times of change.

This is not an easy challenge, and many of you are weary of being bashed by the very ones you have come to help. And THIS is your challenge! From time to time, there is need to offer a reminder, such as this, of why you are there at this time.

May you find the Inner Conviction to stay the course. There are great inner rewards, in the way of growth, that are to be gained. I am your Brother in service, neither greater or lesser than another; I simply serve in my own unique and earned capacity at this time. In Light and in service to OUR Father, I am Ceres Anthonious Soltec.

Salu—and in keeping with the TRUE spirit of this season, a season to be mindful of working toward the emerging of the Christed Energy Presence through you ones walking along the Lighted path, I wish you a Merry Christmas!

CHAPTER 10

GIFTS OF THE SPIRIT—CHRISTMAS 1977

December 13, 1977

To my friends—men, women, children

Give the gifts of the spirit, each day as you live,
Inspiration, too, comes by your nature to give,
Follow your vision faithfully, in all that you do,
The way is provided, because God will see you through,
Search for the ways, and share these gifts with others,
Oneness always in heart, for they are your brothers,
From the spirit we have wisdom, love, joy and peace,
The gentleness and goodness we share, may they never cease,
Heed now the words, of God's great gift of love,
Ever to accept if fully, 'tis heaven sent from above,
Surely, the gift of God's Spirit, shines daily in your heart,
Providing your life with contentment, and lets you do your part,
Indeed! The Gifts of the Spirit, fill hearts in endless ways,
Rejoicing in our fellowships, as it has been for always,
Infinite are these gifts, and God's gift of love to man,
They fill us with gratitude, and it's ours to command.

—*Jim Carroll, Johnson City, Tennessee*

CHAPTER 11

MERRY CHRISTMAS, READERS
HAVE KLEENEX HANDY FOR:
A DOCTOR'S GREATEST CHRISTMAS GIFT

The following poignant Holiday Season message and story was submitted through Dr. Al Overholt as a News Desk Special Report. It has been extracted from Dr. James Dobson's "Focus On The Family" newsletter called Family News for December 1997 (Focus On The Family, Colorado Springs, CO 80995; phone: 1-800-232-6459).

As this is the last issue of CONTACT which most of you will see before Christmas, we thought this powerful story of faith and respect for Higher Wisdom may help to counteract the dark materialism that otherwise so poisons and cheapens the True Meaning of this season for remembering our spiritual heritage.

On behalf of the entire CONTACT staff, may your Holiday Season be warmed and Lighted by the gift of words we share below. And may the New Year offer many opportunities for sharing your own special gifts with those around you.

—Dr. Edwin M. Young, Editor-In-Chief

* * *

12/97 DR. JAMES DOBSON

Dear Friends,

Christmas is a time for tradition, which is defined as “the handing down of stories, beliefs and customs from generation to generation”. Millions of families depend on these traditions each year to help them celebrate the birth of the Christchild and explain the meaning of his coming to their children. There is something about revisiting familiar symbols, activities and foods that reminds us of what is important in our lives.

In that spirit, I want to share a tradition of my own this month. I'm returning to a letter that I sent to nearly 2 million people in December 1993. More than a million families have been added to our mailing list since then, and those newcomers did not read my earlier letter. Therefore, I am repeating it this month, not only for their benefit, but also for our long-time friends who will enjoy reading this remarkable story again. Let's go back, then, exactly four years to the time when these words were penned.

DECEMBER 1993

I have a little Christmas present for you. No, it won't come wrapped in pretty paper or adorned with a bright colored ribbon. But I believe you will enjoy this little remembrance. You are, in fact, holding my gift in your hand at this moment. It is a true Christmas story that will touch your soul during this blessed time of the year.

Do you have time right now to read something simply for enjoyment? I doubt it. We are all so busy during the holidays that we can hardly pause even to receive a blessing. Nevertheless, I am suggesting that you take a few moments just for yourself. You deserve a break today. Situate yourself in your most comfortable chair—perhaps with a cup of hot cider and a few (low-fat) cookies—and read the inspiring words of Dr. Frederic Loomis. This obstetrician’s story is included in an outstanding collection of writings entitled *Christmas in My Heart*, compiled by Dr. Joe Wheeler. If this piece doesn’t light your fire, you have wet wood.

These are Dr. Loomis’ own words, recalling the delivery of a baby many years ago, before cesarean procedures were commonly used to rescue infants at risk. He called his story:

THE TINY FOOT

Two years after I came to California, there came to my office one day a fragile young woman, expecting her first baby. Her history was not good from an emotional standpoint, though she came from a fine family.

I built her up as well as I could and found her increasingly wholesome and interesting as time went on, partly because of the effort she was making to be calm and patient and to keep her emotional and nervous reactions under control.

One month before her baby was due, her routine examination showed that her baby was in a breech position. As a rule, the baby’s head is in the lower part of the uterus for months before delivery, not because it is heavier and “sinks” in the surrounding fluid, but simply because it fits more comfortably in that position. There is no routine spontaneous “turning” of all babies at the seventh or eighth month, as is so generally supposed, but the occasional baby found in a breech position in the last month not infrequently changes to the normal vertex position with the head down by the time it is ready to be born, so that only about one baby in 25 is born in the breech position.

This is fortunate, as the death rate of breech babies is comparatively high because of the difficulty in delivering the after-coming head, and the imperative need of delivering it rather quickly after the body is born. At that moment the cord becomes compressed between the baby’s hard little head and the mother’s bony pelvis. When no oxygen reaches the baby’s bloodstream, it inevitably dies in a few short minutes. Everyone in the delivery room is tense, except the mother herself, in a breech delivery, especially if it is a first baby, when the difficulty is greater. The mother is usually quietly asleep or almost so.

The case I was speaking of was a “complete” breech—the baby’s legs and feet being folded under it, tailor-fashion—in contrast to the “frank” breech, in which the thighs and legs are folded back on a baby’s body like a jackknife, the little rear end backing its way into the world first of all.

The hardest thing for the attending doctor to do with any breech delivery is to keep his hands away from it until the natural forces of expulsion have thoroughly dilated the firm maternal structures that delay its progress. I waited as patiently as I could, sending frequent messages to the excited family in the corridor outside.

At last the time had come, and I gently drew down one little foot. I grasped the other, but for some reason I could not understand, it would not come down beside the first one. I pulled again, gently enough but with a little force, with light pressure on the abdomen from above by my assisting nurse, and the baby's body moved down just enough for me to see that it was a little girl—and then, to my consternation, I saw that the other foot would *never* be beside the first one. The entire thigh from the hip to the knee was missing and that one foot never could reach below the opposite knee. And a baby girl was to suffer this, a curious defect that I had never seen before, nor have I since!

There followed the hardest struggle I have ever had with myself. I knew what a dreadful effect it would have upon the unstable nervous system of the mother. I felt sure that the family would almost certainly impoverish itself in taking the child to every famous orthopedist in the world whose achievements might offer a ray of hope.

Most of all, I saw this little girl sitting sadly by herself while other girls laughed and danced and ran and played—and then I suddenly realized that there was something that would save every pang but one, and that one thing was in my power.

One breech baby in 10 dies in delivery because it is not delivered rapidly enough, and now—if only I did not hurry! If I could slow my hand, if I could make myself delay those few short moments. It would not be an easy delivery, anyway. No one in all this world would ever know. The mother, after the first shock of grief would probably be glad she had lost a child so sadly handicapped. In a year or two she would try again and this tragic fate would never be repeated.

“Don't bring this suffering upon them,” the small voice within me said. *“This baby has never taken a breath—don't let her ever take one. You probably can't get it out in time, anyway. Don't hurry. Don't be a fool and bring this terrible thing upon them. Suppose your conscience does hurt a little; can't you stand it better than they can? Maybe your conscience will hurt worse if you do get it out in time.”*

I motioned to the nurse for the warm sterile towel that is always ready for me in a breech delivery to wrap around the baby's body so that the stimulation of the cold air of the outside world may not induce a sudden expansion of the baby's chest, causing the aspiration of fluid or mucus that might bring death.

But this time the towel was only to conceal from the attending nurses that which my eyes alone had seen. With the touch of that pitiful little foot in my hand, a pang of sorrow for the baby's future swept through me, and my decision was made.

I glanced at the clock. Three of the allotted seven or eight minutes had already gone. Every eye in the room was upon me and I could feel the tension in their eagerness to do instantly what I asked, totally unaware of what I was feeling. I hoped they could not possibly detect the tension of my own struggle at that moment.

These nurses had seen me deliver dozens of breech babies successfully—yes, and they had seen me fail, too. Now they were going to see me fail again. For the first time in my medical life I was deliberately discarding what I had been taught was right for something that I felt sure was better.

I slipped my hand beneath the towel to feel the pulsations of the baby's cord, a certain index of its condition. Two to three minutes more would be enough. So that I might seem to be doing something, I drew the baby down a little lower to "split out" the arms, the usual next step, and as I did so the little pink foot on the good side bobbed out from its protecting towel and pressed firmly against my slowly moving hand, the hand into whose keeping the safety of the mother and the baby had been entrusted. There was a sudden convulsive movement of the baby's body, an actual feeling of strength and life and vigor.

It was too much. I couldn't do it. I delivered the baby with her pitiful little leg. I told the family the next day, and with a catch in my voice, I told the mother.

Every foreboding came true. The mother was in a hospital for months. I saw her once or twice and she looked like a wraith of her former self. I heard of them indirectly from time to time. They had been to Rochester, Minn. They had been to Chicago and to Boston. Finally I lost track of them altogether.

As the years went on, I blamed myself bitterly for not having had the strength to yield to my temptation.

Through the many years that I have been here, there has developed in our hospital a pretty custom of staging an elaborate Christmas party each year for the employees, the nurses and the doctors of the staff.

There is always a beautifully decorated tree on the stage of our little auditorium. The girls spend weeks in preparation. We have so many difficult things to do during the year, so much discipline and so many of the stern realities of life, that we have set aside this one day to touch upon the emotional and spiritual side. It is almost like going to an impressive church service, as each year we dedicate ourselves anew to the year ahead.

This past year the arrangement was somewhat changed. The tree, on one side of the stage, had been sprayed with silver paint and was hung with scores of gleaming silver and tinsel ornaments, without a trace of color anywhere and with no lights hung upon the tree itself. It shown but faintly in the dimly lighted auditorium.

Every doctor of the staff who could possibly be there was in his seat. The first rows were reserved for the nurses and in a moment the procession entered, each girl in uniform, each one crowned by her nurse's cap, her badge of office. Around their shoulders were their blue Red Cross capes, one end tossed back to show the deep red lining.

We rose as one man to do them honor, and as the last one reached her seat and we settled in our places again, the organ began the opening notes of one of the oldest of our carols.

harpist

Slowly down the middle aisle, marching from the back of the auditorium, came 20 other girls singing softly,

our own nurses, in full uniform, each holding high a lighted candle, while through the auditorium floated the familiar strains of *Silent Night*. We were on our feet again instantly. I could have killed anyone who spoke to me then, because I couldn't have answered, and by the time they reached their seats I couldn't see.

And then a great blue floodlight at the back was turned on very slowly, gradually covering the tree with increasing splendor: brighter and brighter, until every ornament was almost a flame. On the opposite side of the stage a curtain was slowly drawn, and we saw three lovely young musicians, all in shimmering white evening gowns. They played very softly in unison with the organ—a harp, a cello and a violin. I am quite sure I was not the only old sissy there whose eyes were filled with tears.

I have always liked the harp, and I love to watch the grace of a skillful player. I was especially fascinated by this young harpist. She played extraordinarily well, as if she loved it. Her slender fingers flickered across the strings, and as the nurses sang, her face, made beautiful by a mass of auburn hair, was upturned as if the world that moment were a wonderful and holy place.

I waited, when the short program was over, to congratulate the chief nurse on the unusual effects she had arranged. And as I sat alone, there came running down the aisle a woman whom I did not know. She came to me with arms outstretched.

“Oh, you saw her,” she cried. “You must have recognized your baby. That was my daughter who played the harp—and I saw you watching her. Don't you remember the little girl who was born with only one good leg 17 years ago? We tried everything else first, but now she has a whole artificial leg on that side—but you would never know it, would you? She can walk, she can swim, and she can almost dance.

“But, best of all, through all those years when she couldn't do those things, she learned to use her hands so wonderfully. She is going to be one of the world's great harpists. She enters the university this year at 17. She is my whole life, and now she is so happy...and here she is!”

As we spoke, this sweet young girl had quietly approached us, her eyes glowing, and now she stood beside me. “This is your first doctor, my dear—our doctor,” her mother said. Her voice trembled. I could see her literally swept back, as I was, through all the years of heartache to the day when I told her what she had to face. “He was the first one to tell me about you. He brought you to me.”

Impulsively I took the child in my arms. Across her warm young shoulder I saw the creeping clock of the delivery room 17 years before. I lived again those awful moments when her life was in my hand, when I had decided on deliberate infanticide.

I held her away from me and looked at her.

“You never will know, my dear,” I said, “you never will know, nor will anyone else in all the world, just what tonight has meant to me. Go back to your harp for a moment, please—and play ‘*Silent Night*’ for me alone. I have a load on my shoulders that no one has ever seen, a load that only you can take away.”

Her mother sat beside me and quietly took my hand as her daughter played. Perhaps she knew what was in my mind. And as the last strains of *Silent Night*, *Holy Night* faded again, I think I found the answer, and the comfort, I had waited for so long. [From *Christmas In My Heart*, Book 2, by Joe L. Wheeler.]

* * *

Perhaps you can see now why I returned this year to Dr. Loomis' powerful story. It speaks volumes about the worth of every child, especially the handicapped and needy. Jesus once referred to such individuals as "the least of these, my brothers". Each of them is precious. Since my years of service on the staff of a large children's hospital, my greatest respect and admiration have been reserved for the mothers and fathers, like those described in the story, who have devoted their lives to the task of raising a physically or intellectually challenged boy or girl. If that is your circumstance today, you may not receive the acclaim you deserve in this life. The media and the world outside may not even know you are there. But the Lord has seen your sacrifice. If you remain true to your calling, He will say those incredible words on Resurrection Morning, "Well done, thou good and faithful servant."

There is another profound lesson tucked within the story we have read. It focuses on God's sovereign will, which is preeminent whether or not we understand or agree with it. Dr. Loomis almost overlooked that truth. He apparently concluded that Providence had made a disastrous mistake, tempting him to take matters in his own hands. But there was a purpose—a divine plan—unfolding in that delivery room that he failed to comprehend. We simply must not attempt to "play God" in matters of life and death, which are the exclusive prerogatives of the Creator. Our obligation is to trust Him even when the pieces don't fit. As I attempted to say in my book *When God Doesn't Make Sense*, God makes sense even when He doesn't make sense. There is an order, an intelligent design, to the universe even when everything seems to be swirling out of control.

This Christmas, we celebrate the birth of the King who brought that symmetry and meaning to the human family.

CHAPTER 12

THE NEWS DESK

by Dr. Al Overholt 12/23/97

THE BODY ELECTRIC

From *POPULAR SCIENCE* magazine, December 1997: [quoting]

body elect.

FORGET THE Internet. The ultimate network may be you. IBM's Almaden Research Center's prototype Personal Area Network uses the human body to transmit electronic data. The technology creates an external electrical field to carry data, using a current that is weaker than the natural currents in the body, at speeds comparable with PC modems. Among the possibilities the technology raises are exchanging electronic business cards with a handshake, and paying for goods merely by walking past a scanner. <www.almaden.ibm.com> [End quoting]

It won't be long before God's people won't need electronics to accomplish reading other people's minds. Our lives will be such that we won't mind that people know who and what we truly are.

A NEW ZEPPELIN

From *POPULAR SCIENCE* magazine, December 1997: [quoting]

zeppelin

SIXTY YEARS after the *Hindenburg* disaster halted rigid-airship flight a new, updated Zeppelin flew successfully in September. A 246-foot prototype, the Zeppelin NT (for new technology), combines a clever new airframe with revised propulsion systems for more agile, helicopter-like takeoffs and flight. Carbon-fiber composites and aluminum alloys in the airframe halve the weight of previous designs and drastically reduce construction cost. <www.zeppelin-nt.com> [End quoting]

Sounds like a very interesting way of taking a sight-seeing flight. Of course a small spaceship would be nicer—**which the Elite already have.**

COMPLETE TRACKING OF YOUR AUTOMOBILES

From SPIRIT OF '76 fax, J. Ray, 11/4/97: [quoting]

It is NOT just the Satellite you must worry about. It is the sensors (loops) in the ground/roadways all across Amerika that you should be VERY concerned about. (Not to mention those ELF-Microwave Towers.)

These roadway systems operate from a controller hidden off the roadway (powered by CDS/photovoltaic cells and battery backup in remote areas, with an RF unit if phone line not available) and act as repeaters, or transponders. They work similar to the EAS (Electronic Article Surveillance) systems used for anti-shoplifting in retail stores. No battery is necessary to identify, say, a piece of clothing, or a CD you are removing from the store. Likewise, when you drive over the road loop, your vehicle (mass/metal) energizes or activates it, which causes it to talk to and receive (data) from your vehicle CHIP—which “wakes up” (all of this in the blink of an eye). Your vehicle ID is reported, speed, etc., and location. This system can also be used to shut down your vehicle and is most likely the method they will rely on and use—NOT a satellite system. These transponders communicate via several methods: Voice Grade Poll & Select, Multiplex, Fibre Optics, with DDD/Direct Distance Dial backup. From a county or state collection point or central office, they may then route via satellite/cell phone piggy back. However, the local node would be able to contain downloaded data on thousands to umpteen “flagged” vehicle IDs which would execute a shut-down locally if communications were severed from the host.

These Controllers are solid-state CPUs and WILL WORK through y2k [*year 2000 possible computer shutdowns*]. So, do not let your guard down—y2k will not make your life easier or make the IRS screw up and go away—JUST THE OPPOSITE.

At present, big brother can actually clock speeders and issue tickets (if they so desire), all automatically. Technically, 70-95% (a **guesstimate**) of the US driving population can be picked up tomorrow for multiple speeding violations. They are presently “monitoring” YOU. If they started sending you tickets or using that system to tag you and back it up in court—their little secret would be out. So, for now, you get away with it unless you are tagged by local police radar/laser. It is conceivable that, sometime in the future, you may be pulled over when not even speeding, and arrested for 500 (or so) speeding violations all exceeding the limit by more than 15 MPH over the prior 5 years (example), your vehicle impounded, and you carted away to jail, not collecting \$200 on the way. Big Brother has been here, and the systems that are in place would boggle your mind. You are receiving this from someone who was a consultant in this very line of R&D, tracking and identification systems, covert surveillance, FIGS systems, and more.

Y2k is NOT a “blessing”—those putting out such information are either ignorant, fantasizing, or disinformation agents.

Those of you who have followed my information on the PLAGUES of the Gulf War (GWS) know some of my background, and why I make the statements I do—they are not theories, but just the FACTS, Ma'am. Later—Peter Kawaja [End quoting]

On the 12/8/97 *Art Bell* radio program, Art talked with a person who was telling about some place in the U.S. where they have cameras and speed detectors planted along the roads to photograph your car and plates and document your speed and your location—then you get a ticket in the mail. **No cops and no**

way to defeat the ticket. Think what this can do to your family life.

NEW LAW WILL STRIP SENIORS
OF THEIR MEDICAL OPTIONS

From *THE DAILY NEWS*, Los Angeles, 12/2/97: [quoting]

HOW would you like it if you were on Medicare and found out the federal government had made it practically impossible for you to get medical care outside of their system? What if you couldn't just go out and spend your own money for medical treatment and pay the doctor yourself?

On Jan. 1 anyone 65 or older and enrolled in Medicare will face just such a restriction. Unless Congress decides to reverse course when it reconvenes next year, Medicare patients will find their right to contract privately with a physician of their choice has been taken away. No other Americans are subject to such limits on their medical freedom.

How did this happen? The Clinton administration, behind closed doors and upon the threat of a veto, insisted the Balanced Budget Act of 1997 include special language governing doctor-patient relationships for everyone enrolled in Medicare.

Here's how it works: If you are on Medicare and want to go outside the system to pay your doctor directly, with your own money, for a medical treatment or procedure already covered by Medicare, you can do so. But there's a catch: Your doctor will first have to sign an affidavit agreeing not to submit a payment claim to Medicare for any other Medicare patient for a full two years.

In other words, your doctor will be dealt a substantial financial blow if he does business with you on a private basis. Your right to contract privately with him outside of Medicare will depend entirely on your doctor's ability or willingness to give up all other Medicare patients for two years. Of course, very few doctors can make such a sacrifice. And few will, leaving seniors with no one to turn to but Medicare. The fine print actually takes away the freedom of seniors to get medical services covered by Medicare outside of Medicare's rigid structure.

Now bear in mind: This isn't a law being debated in Congress. This one has already passed. It will take effect in January. By what right does Congress presume to limit a personal transaction between a doctor and a patient. If this law is allowed to stand, what will prevent the government from encroaching even further on the rights of individuals to do what they want with their own money?

This new law is likely to have some unintended consequences. By forcing doctors to choose between staying in or getting out of Medicare, some of the best doctors will likely leave, restricting their practice to wealthy seniors who don't need Medicare in the first place. The result: Medical freedom for seniors will become the exclusive preserve of the very wealthy. This will create the very kind of "two-tiered" health-care system liberals say they oppose.

If you are a Medicare patient, the law places your concerns beneath what the Clinton administration and Congress think is best for you. You may like a particular doctor and want to take advantage of his special

skills. You may want to receive special medical care (such as psychiatric help) and keep your treatment confidential from Medicare bureaucrats. Or you may simply cherish the convenience of dealing directly with a doctor outside of Medicare's maze of rules and regulations. Too bad.

Curiously, nothing like it is found in the laws regulating any other government health insurance program. Such a statutory obstacle to private doctor-patient agreements does not apply to Medicaid, the Veterans Administration health-care system, the Indian Health Service, the military health-care system or the Federal Employees Health Benefits Program (the plan that covers members of Congress, their staffs and millions of federal workers and retirees). All these folks will still be free to go outside of their insurance programs if, for any reason, they think they can get better care from a doctor privately.

But not seniors. The law turns Medicare into a second-class health-care system for the elderly. It's a perfect example of what the Clinton administration has been trying to do ever since the American people rejected its plan to bring practically all of America's health-care system under federal control: take control of it piecemeal, one bit at a time.

If politicians are smart, they will broaden, not narrow, the medical choices available to America's seniors.

By Robert E. Moffit, deputy director of domestic policy studies at The Heritage Foundation (www.heritage.org), a Washington-based public policy research institute. [End quoting]

Plus the Elite plan to do away with Social Security altogether very soon. It's coming down fast. The stock market is very scary! The potential of another war very soon is very scary! The potential for riots is very scary! The potential for deadly diseases is very scary!

ARE YOU PREPARED PHYSICALLY AND SPIRITUALLY?

CAMPAIGN AGAINST BRITISH SUPPORT FOR
ISLAMIC TERRORISM CONTINUES IN EGYPT

From the INTERNET, 12/12/97: [quoting]

The official newspaper *Al-Ahram* published an article today which seems to be a preparation to a campaign calling for punishing Britain according to international law. The author of the article, Dr. Yahia Al-Banna, who is a government advisor, writes that "the 'solidarity paragraph' in the *UN Charter* demands that states should reach international understandings and cooperation in time of common dangers". Al-Banna adds that 'common dangers' means war. However, he adds that international terrorism and organized crime are also a common danger to the nations of the world. "These criminal organizations follow a hierarchical pattern of organizing. At the base there are the ones who carry out the criminal acts. At the top, there are the leaders whose task is to plan and provide the financial resources and the political power required. Those are called 'the master minds'", writes Al-Banna. Al-Banna then goes directly to the point: "From reviewing the facts of the Luxor incident, it becomes obvious that the planning was done by the leaders who are living abroad..., then, after the attack, they—from Britain, where they live—announced their support of the cowardly attack on the innocent tourists. That announcement by itself was enough reason to demand their extradition [from Britain to Egypt]." Al-Banna then makes direct attacks against

the British. “It is amazing that, while British Interior Minister Jack Straw revealed that the leaders of the terrorist organizations have made of London a center for their groups, the British government decided to grant political asylum to four terrorist leaders who were convicted in Egypt,” says Al-Banna. He draws the conclusion: “This means that England has dropped its international commitments towards fighting terrorism, especially as the legions of terrorists threaten the security and stability of the Middle East; thus violating the resolutions of international agreements and the international agreements on banning and punishing terrorism, such as the 1937 agreement, the European agreement on terrorism (1976), the New York Agreement (1973) and the Montreal agreement on avoiding attacks against safety of aviation.” Al-Banna differentiates between political refugees and international criminals and terrorists, adding: “Britain has become the center of the leadership of Egyptian terrorists; Switzerland has become a center for the Algerian terrorists. This has no explanation. It would not help them to claim ‘defending democracy in other countries’, an excuse no more valid for protecting terrorists.” Most significantly, Al-Banna turns to the United States to ask: “What is the U.S. going to do about this? When it had to do with Sudan’s harboring of terrorists, President Clinton issued a ban on Sudanese diplomats travelling to the U.S. While in the Luxor attack, the U.S. Administration merely declared that southern Egypt is a terrorist-infested area without mentioning the European states that are harboring the terrorists.” Finally, Al-Banna concludes: “There is no doubt that the direction of the threads of the case reveal eventually that there are common interests [between Britain and the terrorists—ed.], despite the difference in objectives. Through targeting tourism, leaders of terrorism are aiming at pressing the government to hold dialogue, in preparation to overthrowing it. Meanwhile, the states that are helping these terrorists are aiming at keeping the countries of the region in a continuous state of anxiety due to the activities of the Islamic groups to defame Islam and give these states the right to fight them as a whole [Muslim states and individuals—ed.] as a new enemy following the fall of communism.” [End quoting]

This certainly shouldn’t surprise *CONTACT* readers. It does give some more details of how the Elite pull the wool over the sheeple’s eyes, however.

FED IS POURING LIQUIDITY INTO FINANCIAL SYSTEM

From the INTERNET, 12/11/97: [quoting]

EIR has confirmed the figures which have been published over the past week by *New York Post* columnist John Crudele, showing that the Federal Reserve is pumping extraordinary amounts of liquidity into the U.S. financial system. Crudele says that this is a sign that the Fed is expecting trouble, that the Fed “has been buying back truckloads of Washington bills and bonds from financial institutions, injecting billions in liquidity into the money supply....” Crudele says that no one pretends to know what the Fed is worried about: it could be that U.S. banks are being hit by the turmoil in Asia, it could be that Asian financial institutions are gobbling up dollars to stay afloat, and this is creating a drain on the American money supply.

EIR has independently confirmed that, during one-week period from Dec. 3 to Dec. 10, the Fed pumped \$9.8 billion into the financial system through what it calls “Treasury bills and coupon passes”, in which the

Fed purchases up and monetizes U.S. Treasury notes and bonds, permanently injecting that amount of funds into the U.S. financial system. *EIR*'s preliminary estimate is that this is equivalent to a 2.5% increase in America's currency in circulation in one week!

(Crudele reported last week that the M3 money supply increased by 10% over the past 13 weeks.) The *Wall Street Journal*'s explanation for all this is that there is a high demand for cash around the Christmas season, and that this is why the Fed is executing these coupon passes, which permanently add liquidity to the banking system. However, *EIR*'s inquiry suggests that last year at this time, the rate of increase was around 0.6% per month—not over 2% per week. It's going to be a green Christmas this year! [End quoting]

And a **very dark** New Year the way things are progressing.

THE FOURTH REICH TOTALITARIAN VISION...

From the INTERNET, courtesy of James Seabourn, 12/8/97: [quoting]

Sen. Hagel laid bare the concealed ambition of those who take refuge behind the “needful falsehood” of global warming: The subjugation of human society to a centralized Elite with global jurisdiction and unaccountable power. To paraphrase Timothy Wirth, globally minded eco-adepts are eagerly “riding” the global warming issue, convinced that centralizing power in order to regiment humanity is “the right thing”. In his notorious eco-spiritual tract *EARTH IN THE BALANCE*, Vice President Gore decrees that “the effort to save the global environment” must become the “single shared goal [and] the central organizing principle for every institution in society”. A regime that can compel conformity to a “single shared goal [and] central organizing principle”.

The UN's green agenda shares Gore's totalitarian ambitions. The Kyoto conference, as Wirth indicated, is merely another step along a path laid out at the UN's 1992 “Earth Summit”. That event created AGENDA 21, some 700-plus pages of detailed plans for the creation of “global governance” through the United Nations. Environmental activist Daniel Sitarz, who edited a UN-sanctioned 300-page edition of the global master plan, explained that “AGENDA 21 proposes an array of actions which are intended to be implemented by every person on earth... It calls for specific changes in the activities of all people... Effective execution of AGENDA 21 will require a profound orientation of all human society, unlike anything the world has ever experienced—a major shift in the priorities of both governments and individuals and an unprecedented redeployment of human and financial resources.”

It is the effort to create a global eco-regime that inspired the “needful falsehood” of global warming. “The notion of climate disaster has been widely propagated by those who want to impose controls,” explains Dr. S. Fred Singer, professor of environmental sciences at the University of Virginia. “To be effective, these wildly expensive constraints must be applied globally, condemning most of the world's population to a life of continued poverty.”

William Norman Grigg, *The New American*—12/8/97

After obtaining a map of California/Nevada, all the survivors of the UN/Depopulation program will be living in less than 20% of the lands! What about your area?

Check out these web sites for more information: <www.utexas.edu/ftp/coe/cofe> <www.libertymatter.org>, James M. Seabourn [End quoting]

It appears that the Elite are shifting their takeover of the planet **into high gear!**

OUR MAN IN BAGHDAD

Excerpted from *THE NEW AMERICAN*, 12/22/97: [quoting]

That old Satan, Saddam Hussein. Once again, as if on cue, he has been trotted out to boost the global military and diplomatic agenda of the New World Order. This time Saddam has earned the condemnation of the “world community” for threatening to shoot down American U-2 reconnaissance planes and refusing to allow U.S. members of the United Nations inspection teams onto suspected chemical and biological weapons sites. A more useful and dependable demon for America’s foreign policy establishment, epitomized by the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR), would be difficult to invent. But invent him “we” have, says CFR double-dome Fareed Zakaria. In an essay published in the September 16, 1996 issue of *Newsweek*, two weeks after President Clinton’s cruise missile attack on Iraq, Zakaria challenged critics who saw in Saddam’s continued brutal reign a “failure of diplomacy in the Middle East”. According to Zakaria, managing editor of the CFR’s flagship journal *Foreign Affairs*, “Nothing could be further from the truth. If *Saddam Hussein did not exist, we would have to invent him*. He is the *linchpin* of American policy in the Mideast.” (Emphasis added.)

NECESSARY EVIL

Saddam the “linchpin of American policy”? That’s right. Just when you think it is as good as holy writ that Mr. Hussein is “another Hitler”, “the Butcher of Baghdad”, “a global menace”, etc., you find out that he is *essential* to our foreign policy. Then why the nonstop, seven-year vilification of the Iraqi despot by George Bush, Bill Clinton, Dick Cheney, Brent Scowcroft, Madeleine Albright, and the rest of the CFR foreign policy Elite? That’s easy. If you’re going to rally public support behind a massive, costly, perpetual U.S. military presence on the other side of the planet, all that incendiary blather about Saddam as Satan is indispensable. But the great unwashed who will be called upon to sacrifice blood and treasure for this worthy crusade must be made to understand that though Hussein is evil, he is a *necessary* evil. Why is this? Because, as Mr. Zakaria explains, “the end of Saddam Hussein would be the end of the anti-Saddam coalition. Nothing destroys an alliance like the disappearance of the enemy”.

Saddam, you see, is merely the means to an end; that end is a global military/political alliance under the aegis of the United Nations. If Saddam were to disappear, other convincing enemies would have to be invented by the one-world advocates to justify the far-flung deployment of American military muscle in service of the United Nations and global government. But each new “crisis” precipitated by Hussein’s threats or actions raises again those troubling questions among the uneducated American boo-boisie who just don’t get the “complex nuances” of American foreign policy. Why didn’t we finish off Hussein at Desert Storm? Why didn’t we at least disarm or destroy his Republican Guard, the mainstay of his power,

when we had the opportunity? “Had the United States ‘finished the job’ ... it would first have had the unenviable task of governing—or being responsible for—Iraq, with its Kurdish rebellion in the North and its Shiite rebellion in the South,” answers Zakaria. “Saddam is able to manage this because he is a rapacious dictator who runs a police state.”

This same “stability” argument was presented by Brent Scowcroft (CFR) in a September 23, 1996 *Newsweek* op-ed. According to Scowcroft, a national security adviser under Presidents Reagan and Bush, “we never had the objective of destroying Saddam’s regime during Desert Storm.” In fact, he asserted, “had we continued the war and overthrown Saddam, we might be worse off today.” Parroting Zakaria’s false options, Scowcroft claimed that “if we had succeeded in overthrowing Saddam, we would have confronted a choice between occupying Iraq with thousands of American troops for the indefinite future and creating a power vacuum in the Persian Gulf for Iran to fill.” “Put simply,” said Scowcroft, “getting rid of Saddam would not solve our problems, or even necessarily serve our interests.”

GLOBALIST DESIGNS

When CFR savants like Scowcroft talk about “our problems” and “our interests”, it is dangerous to suppose that they are referring to genuine American problems and American interests. They are referring, of course, to *their* “problems”—obstacles that stand in the way of *their* globalist designs. And a major feature of those designs is a permanent U.S./UN military force in the Gulf capable of enforcing UN mandates. As early as August 9, 1990, the *Wall Street Journal*, that venerable business voice of the CFR one-worlders, was opining on the need for “setting up permanent protection of the world’s oil sources around the Persian Gulf”. This was seconded weeks later by Robert W. Tucker (CFR) in *Insight* magazine, with the declaration that “the only possible solution is a permanent American military presence in the region.” On August 20, 1990, *Foreign Affairs* associate editor Warren Getler (CFR) took to the pages of the *Wall Street Journal* to go still further, insisting that the UN must be able to “operate independently” of the U.S. by commanding its own army—“one capable of not just peacekeeping but enforcement”.

So it has gone for the past seven years, with the CFR’s stable of pundits, “policy experts”, and talking heads providing nonstop propaganda for a perpetual deployment of U.S. forces to serve as UN janissaries of the New World Order. The latest major variation on this theme came in the form of an ensemble sonata by Zbigniew Brzezinski, Brent Scowcroft, and Richard Murphy (CFR all) in the May/June 1997 issue of *Foreign Affairs*. Entitled “Differentiated Containment”, this significant statement of CFR insider policy might seem, at first glance, to contradict the aforementioned Zakaria/Scowcroft thesis. “The continued rule of Saddam Hussein poses a danger to the stability and security of the region,” assert the CFR triumvirate. So what should the U.S. do? Simple: “America’s basic goal should continue to be keeping Saddam’s Iraq in a straightjacket”, while adjusting “the fit to ensure the straightjacket holds”. [End quoting]

Any doubts that politicians don’t know what they’re doing should soon disappear for all who are trying to understand what’s going on in this world.

JAPANESE CARTOON LINKED TO KIDS’ CONVULSIONS

From *THE DAILY NEWS*, Los Angeles, 12/18/97: [quoting]

One moment they were happily munching on their dinner and watching their favorite cartoon show on television. The next moment, hundreds of children across the country were shaking and convulsing and being rushed to hospitals.

In a bizarre illustration of the physiological effects that television can have on viewers, more than 700 people were taken to hospitals after having been affected by flashing lights on an animated television show broadcast Tuesday night.

Some children vomited blood and others had seizures or lost consciousness. No one died, though, and no one is expected to. Producers of the cartoon, which is highly popular among kindergarten and primary school children, say they were stumped over how an animation technique that they said has been used “hundreds of times” could trigger such a widespread, violent reaction.

seizure diagram

“There was an explosion scene toward the end of the show,” said Takaaki Kii, a spokesman for Shogakkan Production Company, which produced the show. “But those type of scenes are seen on many animation programs.”

As cartoon makers push the limits to find new ways to entice children to their programs, doctors and psychologists warn that this episode is a stunning reminder of how vulnerable children can be to certain contemporary television shows. The incident is likely to prompt calls here for stiffer controls on cartoons and shows for children.

Children across the country arrived at school Wednesday with the greeting: “Are you OK?”

“I felt a little dizzy toward the end of the program,” one third-grader told a Japanese newspaper. She said that she quickly recovered and added: “I’d be sad if I could not watch the program anymore.”

Not everyone had such a mild reaction. Victims said they got headaches or felt nauseated. Others said they felt groggy or carsick. Some victims recovered within an hour, while others were placed in intensive care with breathing difficulties.

Most victims were children, but some adults also were affected and some spent the night in the hospital.

Television networks immediately pulled the show, called “Pokemon” (Pocket Monsters), which features some popular characters created by the video game and toy maker Nintendo Company.

The show was first broadcast at 6:30 p.m. Tuesday and about 20 minutes after it started, there was a scene in which what is described as a vaccine bomb explodes in an attempt to kill a computer virus. A bright red explosion fills the screen as a soldier wanders into digital space. The red and blue lights flashed for about

five seconds.

The scene apparently combined almost simultaneously two techniques that are frequently used in cartoons. The first, called “pakapaka” in Japanese, uses different color lights flashing alternatively to cause a sense of tension. The second, called “flash”, emits a strong beam of light.

It was this climactic scene that apparently triggered the convulsions and vomiting. At one hospital in western Tokyo, six children between the ages of 9 and 15 arrived at the hospital after they had convulsions.

A pediatrician at the hospital told a Japanese newspaper that the symptoms appeared similar to the episodes some children might experience when they play certain video games. All six victims had returned home by midnight.

It is not clear whether all 700 victims, who ranged from toddlers to people in their late 50s, have seizure disorders. So far, no evidence has emerged that any were epileptic.

But doctors have long been aware that certain stimuli, including strobe-like lights, can set off seizures in people playing video games in dark arcades or watching a flickering image on a damaged television set. [End quoting]

Hopefully, *CONTACT* readers will know that this was highly likely to have been a well planned test of terrorism by a bunch of bastard child abusers!

EPIDEMIC OF HEPATITIS C LOOMS:

From the INTERNET, 12/8/97: [quoting]

[mjb] [Source: The Scientist, Dec. 8, 1997] the World Health Organization estimates that 170 million people are infected worldwide. Hepatitis C usually takes 10 to 20 years before it causes cirrhosis of the liver, or cancer, which is usually fatal.

In the United States, the CDC estimates that 4 million people are infected, and that the peak of the epidemic is yet to come.

Hepatitis C is responsible for 8,000 to 10,000 deaths each year in the United States, and mortalities are expected to triple within 10 years without effective treatment.

At present, there is no cure for the disease, only treatments with interferon, which does not work in a majority of the cases. Research aimed at producing a vaccine has so far been unsuccessful, and federal government funding for hepatitis C research is a meager \$11.9 million per year. [End quoting]

What good are vaccines except to fool the public who won't wake up to what the Elite are doing?

ANGOLA IS BEING PREPARED AS THE
NEXT BATTLEFIELD OF BRITAIN'S
AFRIKA CORPS MARCHER LORDS

From the INTERNET, 12/11/97: [quoting]

An *EIR* review of numerous sources regarding the fragile situation of Angola's 1994 Lusaka Accord ceasefire, between the MPLA government and the opposition UNITA movement of Jonas Savimbi, points to preparations by the Angolan government for a "military solution" to UNITA's influence, particularly in the Lunda Norte diamond mining area.

One source, familiar with private security company/NGO "demining" operations in Angola, stated that the Angolan army (FAA) has moved its own military sappers into the region, which suggests that the so-called "humanitarian" demining operations are being superceded for military reasons.

The source agreed that the wedding of corporate entities with privatized paramilitary and intelligence units, often with an NGO cover, awakens comparison to the British East India Company model. "There are Gurkhas all over the place, brought in by two British companies." Defense Systems Ltd, the Privy Council's paramount asset in Africa for privatized military expertise, runs a Gurkha operation, dating from their use of Gurkhas to protect British sugar plantations in Mozambique, a part of DSL's dealings with Tiny Rowland's Lonrho company in the 1980s.

UNITA's internet homepage claims that the government's late May 1997 offensive into the Lunda diamond area, shortly after Kabila's coming to power in Zaire, was heavily influenced by East Bloc-trained Angolan military and intelligence specialists.

In January of 1986, East Germany's STASI conducted discussions in London with Lonrho executives on coordinating mutual interests in southern Africa, including Angola. Preliminary analysis suggests that exactly this combination—British intelligence plus former East Bloc-trained Angolans—is responsible for getting the government to act to circumvent the U.S government's explicit opposition to a military solution.

The U.S. was responsible for demanding the removal of Executive Outcomes from Angola in 1995. With the removal of EO though, Defense Systems Ltd took a more prominent role in the country. According to the U.S./Angola Chamber of Commerce, DSL handles security for almost all of the oil companies in Angola. [End quoting]

The Elite aren't letting any place in the world have any real peace.

CHINESE MILITARY DELEGATION HEADED
BY PLA DEPUTY CHIEF OF STAFF

From the INTERNET, 12/12/97: [quoting]

Xiong Guangkai arrived in Washington for two days of talks with their Pentagon counterparts. The talks are designed to put into place a more formal basis for cooperation, as was discussed between President

Clinton and President Jiang at their summit in October. The discussions will cover the planning of military-to-military visits throughout the year, as well as regional security issues, such as North Korea. The two officials will also discuss ways to coordinate joint humanitarian operations. [End quoting]

Humanitarianism to the Elite means that they are going to give you what they want you to have and that is **to slave for them or die!**

PROVINCE SHELVES CONTROVERSIAL BILL

No government control over public libraries

From *THE TORONTO STAR*, 11/10/97: [quoting]

A controversial bill which could have given politicians control of books in libraries, was shelved Friday.

The Minister of Citizenship, Culture and Recreation, Isabel Bassett, announced Friday the province will not be proceeding with Bill 109, the Local Control of Libraries Act.

She also announced, speaking at a library policy forum, that government has restored \$18 million in provincial funding for libraries in 1998.

“One of the primary motivations behind the proposed framework was the desire to focus Ontario’s resources on building a strong provincial library network,” Bassett said. “It has become increasingly clear that the best way to ensure the strong growth of that network is through continued provincial partnership with local libraries.” [End quoting]

Hopefully, you involved in winning this battle won’t rest on your laurels, but work harder to make bigger gains.

TOURIST

Excerpted from *THE SPOTLIGHT*, 10/27/97: [quoting]

Crowning years of negotiations, Jewish and Polish leaders have signed a \$93.5 million spending plan to upgrade and enlarge Auschwitz, a major tourist attraction. In the words of the official announcement, “about 1.5 million people, mostly Jews, perished.” Until 1991, the official figure was 4 million, but in the light of the evidence brought forward by revisionist historians, the Polish government was forced to modify the figure downward by 2.5 million, abandoning the orthodox 4 million claim. All history textbooks and encyclopedias now have to be revised. The curator of Auschwitz, Franciszek Piper, has admitted that the gas chamber was “reconstructed” after the war. However forensic examinations conclude that no gas chambers for killing humans existed before the “reconstruction”. [End quoting]

After many years of pressure the Jews are backing down on this issue. But, while they are doing this I’ll bet they’re planning to gain a bigger coup.

We must never relax our vigilance!

ONE FOR THE PEOPLE

Excerpted from *THE SPOTLIGHT*, 10/27/97: [quoting]

The IRS has filed a “notice of voluntary dismissal” in the case of an elderly farm couple who faced jail time because they refused to file tax returns. Jacob Lapp, 70, and his wife Barbara were to appear in U.S. District Court where it was expected they would be arrested when the IRS took the dismissal action. The Lapos, who are Mennonites, said they could not complete tax forms to satisfy the government without lying, and they couldn’t lie. [End quoting]

The IRS has gotten enough bad press for a while. So what have they got to lose from showing a little compassion to a couple who they could gain much from by good publicity.

In the meantime they are making it easier for them to fleece more millions of us peons.

COLUMNIST VINDICATED

From *THE MODESTO BEE*, 11/13/97: [quoting]

TORONTO—A human rights tribunal ruled Wednesday that a North Vancouver newspaper columnist did not violate anti-hate laws by writing that Jews had orchestrated a “propaganda exercise” based on the Holocaust. The ruling came in a bitter, closely followed case involving a complaint by the Canadian Jewish Congress that a 1994 weekly newspaper column by Doug Collins violated the hate-law section of British Columbia’s Human Rights Act. [End quoting]

It’s very good to read about these wins. **Keep up the pressures!**

“HE WANTS YOU TO HAVE THIS”

Where was hope
on this dark Christmas night?

From *ANGELS ON EARTH*, NOV./DEC. 1997, *Guideposts*, 39 Seminary Hill Rd., Carmel, NY 10512: [quoting]

It had been the loneliest Christmas of my life. All my years of partying and hanging out with the wrong crowd had cost me my family and friends. I thought about the revolver lying in my dresser drawer. *Is that my only choice?* I took a deep breath, and decided to take a walk to clear my head.

In the bitter cold I trudged along the streets of Harrisburg, Pa., where I was the city editor of the local newspaper. It was 1966. I had had so much going for me. After serving in the Marines I went to school and worked my way up the reporting ranks. But what good was success now?

I wandered far from my apartment toward the outskirts of the city, in a deserted area with few streetlights. I thought of families snug in bed after a day of festivities. I had thrown all that away. *God, wherever you are, I'm willing to change everything. Please, give me hope,* I pleaded.

Then I saw a pair of headlights penetrating the darkness. A car stopped across the street from me. The driver got out. I tensed, and knotted my fists inside my trench coat pockets as he approached.

He thrust a small book at me. "God has sent me to give you this."

"Who are you?"

"It doesn't matter," he replied. His voice was gentle. "God loves you. He wants you to have this. Go home and read *Romans 8:28*."

"Wait a minute," I said. "Do I know you?" It was so dark I couldn't make out his features.

"*Romans 8:28*," the stranger called over his shoulder as he headed back to his car.

My reporter's instinct kicked in: *I'll follow him. When he opens his car door and the light comes on I'll get a better look at his face.* I reached the curb just as he opened the door. But no light came on. He started the motor and drove away.

A chill that was more than the December cold rushed over me.

Back at my apartment I didn't even take off my coat before plopping down on my bed with the *Bible* the stranger had given me. I turned to the *Book of Romans*, then the eighth chapter, twenty-eighth verse:

"And we know that all things work together for good to them that love God, to them who are the called according to His purpose."

On the darkest Christmas of my life I found what I had been praying for: A messenger had brought me the gift of hope. [End quoting]

Isn't it comforting to know he hears our pleadings when we think we have nothing more left within with which to get us through another minute?

CHAPTER 13

THE NEWS DESK SPECIAL REPORT

by Dr. Al Overholt 12/23/97

URGENT NEWS—DANGER SIGNS ON IMMEDIATE HORIZON

From the INTERNET, by *Urgent News* Editor, Christopher Goodheart, courtesy of Candace, 12/8/97:
[quoting]

We have the greatest opportunity the world has ever seen, as long as we remain honest—which will be as long as we can keep the attention of our people alive. If they once become inattentive to public affairs, you and I, and Congress and Assemblies, judges and governors would all become as wolves. —Thomas Jefferson

Prior Knowledge is a key issue these days. Those who have paid attention to the alternative media via talk radio, video tapes and Internet newsgroups know only too well that there has been a lot of covert government involvement behind the scenes of the Waco massacre, Oklahoma City Bombing, Gulf War Syndrome (disease), the AIDS & Ebola contagion, plus the pandemic of cancers and population/mind control in America in general. Yet thanks to massive cover-up and disinformation in the controlled mainstream media, most people ARE NOT ATTENTIVE to this treachery, and ARE NOT AWARE that the wolves are thus everywhere eating like a cancer at the fabric of civilization.

Forewarned is forearmed. There is a major mainstream media push this last month to seed the collective consciousness with fears of economic collapse, biological terrorism in America, a dramatic increase in AIDs, etc. The danger is real largely because the nefarious intent of “desperate” powers-that-be is real.

Desperate people do desperate things and the “media dam” holding back the truth is starting to show cracks. The Internet in particular is bursting with a widespread knowledge of the betrayal and tyranny of Clinton, Bush, Kissinger, Rockefeller, CFR/Trilateral/Council of 300 and their minions. Public awareness is now reaching “critical mass” and about to explode into mainstream outrage and concerted effort to “turn things around”. PLEASE NETWORK THIS MESSAGE FAR & WIDE!

It’s judgment time; the American people must either rise up to throw off the tyranny that is quickly accelerating our own demise, or the wolves-in-sheep’s-clothing among us will feel compelled by their own fear to quickly ramp-up the type of stark terror and lingering fear that paralyzes action and compels people to give up all their freedoms for a little safety [*pseudo-safety*]. It’s them or us, folks.

To hesitate now is asking for the whirlwind. The spirit of fearlessness must sweep the hearts and minds of true patriots who love God and the sovereign rights-of-God Government under the *Constitution*. If we don’t use them—the freedom and opportunity we yet have—we will likely lose it all.

THIS IS A VERY DANGEROUS TIME. THE SET-UP IS IN FULL SWING AND THE FIX IS IN IF

THERE IS NOT A CONCERTED EFFORT TO EXPOSE THIS SET-UP AND DIS-EMPOWER THE POWER ELITE MANIPULATORS OF THE ABUNDANT LIFE.

If you work backwards from the February date for announcing the killing of Social Security (See below), then it would require an economic collapse in January and a major trigger event in December. Any number of vulnerable areas could trigger economic melt-down worldwide over just a few weeks, whether that event is “fate” such as a nuclear strike by North Korea on South Korea (as “foreseen” below), or an “act of God” such as massive El Nino/HAARP-caused geophysical cataclysm, or terrorist bio-warfare retaliation here in America (thanks to CIA bio-warfare “set-ups” and Gulf War intervention in the Middle East).

Some prophecy can be mitigated via the fervent prayers of the righteous for Divine intervention. And some cannot. The third section following goes into some of the “handwriting on the wall”. You don’t have to be a mental giant to see the urgency of the hour. It helps to pray like your life depends on it. It may!

[Editor’s note: For those of you who don’t know Dannion Brinkley from his appearances on Art Bell’s late-night talk-radio program, Dannion was hit by lightning a number of years ago and this event resulted in a spiritual transformation for him, including heightened intuitive abilities. He is the author of two outstanding books: Saved By The Light and the recent At Peace In The Light. He can be reached at P.O. Box 1919, Aiken, S.C., 29802. He works now mostly with people who are nearing their own death experience and says, “The quickest way to change the world is to be of service to others. Show that your love can make a difference in the lives of people and thereby someone else’s love can make a difference in your life. By each of us doing that and working together, we change the world one inner person at a time.”]

OVERVIEW:

- I - The Final Visions of Dannion Brinkley
- II - February Plans to Ax Social Security Exposed
- III - Prophecy As a Warning For this time

I - THE FINAL VISIONS OF DANNION BRINKLEY

At the very end came a 13th vision. I don’t know where it came from. I didn’t see a Being of Light bring it forward in a box, nor did I see one take it away. This vision was in many ways the most important of all because it summed up everything I had seen in the 12 [prior] boxes. Through telepathy, I could hear a Being say, “If you follow what you have been taught & keep living the same way you have lived the last 30 years, all of this will surely be upon you. If you change, you can avoid the coming war.” Scenes from a horrible world war accompanied this message.

Scenes from World War III came to life before me. I was in a hundred places at once, from deserts to forests, and saw a world filled with fighting and chaos. Somehow it was clear that this final war, an Armageddon if you will, was caused by fear. In one of the most puzzling visions of all, I saw an army of women in black robes and veils marching through a European city.

“The fear these people are feeling is an unnecessary one,” said the Being of Light. “But it is a fear so great that humans will give up all freedoms in **the name** of safety.”

I also saw scenes that were not of war, including many visions of natural disasters. In parts of the world that had once been fertile with wheat and corn, I saw parched desert and furrowed fields that farmers had given up on. In other parts of the world, torrential rainstorms had gouged out the earth eating away topsoil and creating rivers of thick, dark mud.

People were starving in this vision. They were begging for food on the streets, holding out bowls and cups and even their hands in hopes that someone or some-thing would offer them a scrap to eat. In some of the pictures, people had given up or were too weak to beg and were curled on the ground waiting for the gift of death. I saw civil wars breaking out in Central and South America and the rise of socialist governments in all of these countries before the year 2000. As these wars intensified, millions of refugees streamed across the U.S. border, looking for a new life in North America. Nothing we did could stop these immigrants. They were driven by fear of death and loss of confidence in God.

I saw millions of people streaming north out of El Salvador and Nicaragua, and more millions crossing the Rio Grande into Texas. There were so many of them that we had to line the border with troops and force them back across the river. The Mexican economy was broken by these refugees and collapsed under the strain.

II - SECRET PLAN TO KILL SOCIAL SECURITY EXPOSED

WASHINGTON—A highly-placed government informant says Social Security will be abolished during a trumped-up financial crisis in February 1998, ending the program that has helped elderly and disabled Americans put food on the table and a roof over their heads since the height of the Great Depression.

“The decision to abolish Social Security has been made, the date has been set, and the only thing the average American can do is wait for the shoe to drop,” the informant, who risked his life to blow the lid off the plot, told a select group of Washington reporters. [Even as President Bill Clinton and key congressional leaders are promising to keep Social Security intact, it now looks like they have other plans in mind.]

“Make no mistake, Social Security is going down the drain. The powers that be can promise to keep it and dance around the problem—and the truth—as much as they like. The fact is, the abolition of Social security is a done deal, so much so that the Pentagon is already preparing for a worst-case public reaction, including riots, work stoppages, anarchy and civil war.” The decision to abolish Social Security even as President Bill Clinton and key congressional leaders are promising to keep the program intact is sure to come as a shock to most Americans.

But the informant says an Elite group of financiers, businessmen and industrialists (international power Elite)—some of them foreign—have been setting the stage for the abolition of Social Security since the mid-1980s. These men, he continues, play a bigger role in government than most Americans know or would like to think.

And the decisions they make, filtered through congressmen who are elected by the people but answer to

the billionaires and power brokers who finance their campaigns, stick—or else. “The feeling among these men is that Social Security has become too great a burden on the federal budget and must be abolished to eliminate the deficit,” explained the informant. “Their interest in the deficit is purely personal,” he continued.

“Their fortunes ride on the ability of the federal government to remain solvent and handle its debt. Without the burden of Social Security, the deficit can quickly be brought under control, increasing their wealth and personal power many times over. To set the stage for such drastic action, these men intend to manipulate stocks on a massive scale, causing the appearance of a financial crash that will serve as a smoke screen and excuse for eliminating Social Security.

“Vicious? Self-serving? Of course it is. But money talks, and if these power brokers don’t have anything else, they’ve got the cash—and they call the shots. In the final analysis, politicians do what they’re told to do.

“And they’ve been told to abolish Social Security in 1998, regardless of the cost in human suffering and misery.”

The informant spoke to a hand-picked group of reporters in a Washington hotel room and went to extraordinary lengths to keep his identity secret. In addition to wearing a heavy leather mask, he spoke through a hand-held device that changed his voice.

To prove he has access to information about the plot to abolish Social Security, he produced a top-secret Pentagon memo that, though heavily censored, speaks of the need for “a full military alert to prevent or contain work stoppages, riots and possible civil war in the wake of an artificial financial crash and ensuing announcement that Social Security has been abolished.”

Neither the White House nor the Pentagon would comment on the whistle-blower’s report, though one highly-placed military insider conceded that the military “seems to be preparing to deal with a major domestic crisis of some kind”.

Note: It’s important to realize that the “shadow government” of power Elite insiders are planning to create a crisis that will impact the economy and justify an accelerated agenda that likely includes Executive Orders, suppression of Constitutional freedoms, FEMA implementation of Martial Law, etc. They know only too well that the self-fulfilling, self-destructive greed-compounded negatives of “scarcity economics” has created massive deficits that reflect the bankrupt morality behind “the value of scarcity” (an oxymoron “contradiction in terms” if ever there was one). World War III is being set up behind the scenes just as was World Wars I & II. While hell on Earth reduces world population to 10% of current levels (the insiders’ stated goal in their *Global 2000 Report*) these godless fallen ones (who consider themselves the gods of Earth) plan to ride out the storm for 2-4 years in their semi-luxurious underground cities. —C.G.

III - PROPHECIES OF THE HOUR— FROM SCIENTIFIC TO SPIRITUAL

There is a repeating pattern of “signs and indicators” of urgent concern regarding events approaching on

the immediate horizon. It's important to realize that civilizations have failed to identify such warnings before their downfall throughout history, and that the momentum of denial and outright angry denunciation of the peril facing us today is just one more sign of the extreme tension mounting between forces of Light and darkness.

While the warfare is still largely spiritual and psychological, pray mightily and be wise as serpents. My intent in sharing the following viewpoints is for you to take it up with a Higher Power. For old souls, the more specific and fiery your invocations then the more penetrating and powerful—like a rifle shot versus a shotgun blast. For younger souls, this whole subject of embodied evil or a conspiracy of fallen ones posing as leaders is too scary or otherwise unthinkable; respect their innocence. And to the living dead who are cut off from their souls and the sense of a living God, the concept of a Higher Power does not compute, having been replaced by a godless materialism; pray that they may be quickened and become a force for good.

Remember, it's all a matter of mind and spirit over matter; if there's higher consciousness, everything matters. But if there's no mind, nothing matters; people are just "things" to be used. Conversely, the man of God sees God in all man. But when the pickpocket sees a saint, what does he see? Pockets. And so it is that a corrupt and callous power Elite in America consider the masses as "useless eaters" who are now to be eliminated to 10% of current levels because the bankrupt scarcity-driven social, political and economic institutions controlled by the power Elite are now clearly disintegrating. God forbid their plans to create and manage war, death and hell on Earth.

The spiritual solution? Call upon the Lord and pray fervently for God's will, intercession, intervention, etc. By God's grace there will be mitigation if not complete clearance of these portents. Man has free will so anything is possible. And to the extent your will is in alignment with God's will, then by the mercy of the Law and God's grace, dispensations will follow. Truly, one in God is a majority.

Regarding a nuclear incident: Art Bell [*talk-radio show*] had remote viewer Ed Dames on last week who relayed his recent vision of a nuclear attack on South Korea by North Korea in the immediate future. Whether the imminent economic collapse of South Korea was forestalled this last week by the \$65 billion IMF bailout is yet to be seen. The fact remains that the Asian Pacific Rim economies are extremely unstable. And desperate (if not pathological) North Korea may seek to exploit any weakness.

Regarding a geophysical trigger event: There have been many reports of increased geomagnetic anomalies on the planet in recent years and a scientist on *Art Bell* gave a report on the increased frequency and intensity of energy disturbances including lightning balls and solar ejecta that he believes have been responsible for numerous cataclysms in Earth's history. Tidal waves as much as a mile high and earthquakes of 10+ on the Richter scale could be repeated. Remote viewer Ed Dames was also on *Art Bell* and foresaw solar flares that burnt much of the Earth to a stubble.

Dames is well known for his vision of plant pathogens that will start killing plants in the Northern Hemisphere by late spring and early summer, collapsing the global economy, and causing mass starvation.

Gordon Michael Scallion: Scallion is a gifted seer who has been extremely accurate with many visions although others have definitely been delayed or mitigated entirely. He's the first to admit that much of

prophecy is a warning to be averted and therefore changed. One thing he sees in a month or two is a major Electro-Magnetic Pulse (EMP) from the planet itself that disrupts sensitive electronic technology. This event is to be the predecessor of a much larger event before 2000 that wipes out all electrical systems. Scallion also has seen massive high-energy solar flares—an arcing of the magnetic field between the Sun and the Earth. Plus massive earth changes along the West Coast with the oceans coming in as far Utah and the Rocky Mountains to the north.

The Biblical Code, Edgar Cayce and Nostradamus: There's a book on a code that computer analysis discovered within the *Bible*. To say that has proven accurate is a safe statement; see for yourself (in major bookstores). And what it says about the near future (nuclear war, etc.) is thereby very disturbing. Add this to the prophecies of Edgar Cayce regarding Earth changes, and throw in Nostradamus regarding Armageddon on the horizon, and you've got a very credible case for serious times ahead.

Your comments, addition, corrections, etc., are welcome.

God Bless, Christopher Goodheart; to subscribe to *Urgent News*, write <safetrek@mcn.net>. [End quoting]

The *Bible* was assembled, transcribed—"doctored", rewritten—by the Elite; so how can the code be an HONEST, ACCURATE and TRUSTWORTHY code for God's people?

Many, I'm afraid, will pass this off as some more "doom and gloom" and they may be right—only God knows for sure. But, I for one will take heed of these warnings. Anybody that is keeping up with what is going on all over this world has to know that some **MAJOR changes are "right around the corner"**.

If you go back in history you'll find that almost all—if not all—major happenings on this planet were foretold and by what methods it would happen. The Elite have been telling us over and over what they are going to do to us and this planet. There is no reason why this should be news. **But besides the Elite's warnings, GOD always warns HIS people of major events and how to prepare for them.**

CHAPTER 14

THE NEWS DESK SPECIAL REPORT

by Dr. Al Overholt 11/29/97

URGENT NEWS

DANGER SIGNS ON IMMEDIATE HORIZON

LAWSUIT CHARGES DOJ COLLUSION WITH RUSSIAN MAFIA

FAT BUBBA AND THE RUSSIAN MAFIA

From a FAX sent by Calvin Burgin, 11/2/97: [quoting]

A \$100 million lawsuit filed in federal court today charges the Department of Justice with collusion with the Russian Mafia. The lawsuit alleges perjury, fraud, torture, and witness tampering by named officers of the U.S. government on behalf of the Russian Mafia.

The lawsuit stems from the case of Alexandre Konanykhine, a Russian banker who blew the whistle on a grand KGB scheme to smuggle hundreds of millions of dollars out of the Soviet Union at the time of its collapse. The loot is still stashed in foreign banks, some in Switzerland, and former KGB officers and Communist Party officials are protecting the secret through their new positions in the Russian Mafia and in the corrupt government of Russia.

After whistleblower Konanykhine was kidnapped by the Russian Mafia, he escaped to the United States where he thought himself protected by the legal system. Words cannot describe the horror he and his wife went through when they discovered that FBI and INS [*Immigration*] agents worked on behalf of former KGB officers in the Russian Mafia to have him returned through extralegal means to Russia. Both the FBI and the INS are part of the Justice Department.

Mr. Konanykhine fought the deportation in court, and after a long legal battle against the Justice Department he was released from custody last July. During the case, reported in the August 25 and September 1 issues of the *Washington Weekly*, the horrible and illegal methods employed by the U.S. government against Mr. Konanykhine and his wife were revealed. Presiding Judge T.S. Ellis, III, found the evidence "disturbing". So much so, that on August 26 he ordered the Justice Department's Office of Professional Responsibility to investigate official wrongdoing. As of today, the OPR has yet to contact any of the witnesses in the case.

Justice Department investigations of itself are notorious for finding "no credible evidence" of wrongdoing by government officials, so a more successful venue may be a lawsuit filed today in federal court by Alexandre Konanykhine.

Mr. Konanykhine charges officers of the Washington District office of the INS, including District Director William Carroll, Assistant District Director James Goldman and District Counsel Eloise Rosas with con-

spiracy with one Lt. Colonel Volevodz of the Russian Military Procuracy to commit illegal extradition of him and his wife to Russia on behalf of the Russian Mafia.

Said officials are alleged to have conducted the following illegal acts:

- (1) perjury;
- (2) fraud on the Court;
- (3) fraud upon the United States;
- (4) conspiracy to defraud the United States;
- (5) giving conflicting testimony on separate occasions as to the same matter;
- (6) conspiracy to kill, maim, or injure persons in a foreign country;
- (7) torture (as defined in 18 U.S.C., Sec. 2340);
- (8) combination to injure other in their reputation, business or profession;
- (9) tampering with witnesses;
- (10) retaliating against witnesses;
- (11) attempt to commit murder;
- (12) deprivation of civil rights under color of law, including the false arrest and imprisonment;
- (13) search and seizure without warrant;
- (14) false publications;
- (15) disclosure of confidential information;
- (16) breach of the confidentiality provisions of 8 U.S.C., Sec. 552a(b).

HOW HIGH DOES IT GO?

The conspiracy is not limited to these named officials of the Clinton administration, however. During the court hearing in July, a witness recounted that Eloise Rosas had told him that “the INS got instructions from the top to cooperate with this case”.

How high is “the top” and what motive does the Clinton administration have to cooperate with the Russian Mafia and former KGB officers? Could it be part of a quid pro quo involving Clinton campaign contributions from criminal individuals such as Grigory Loutchansky?

Alexandre Konanykhine explains that the stakes are on an entirely different scale. “It’s not about how much Russians gave to the Democrats, it’s about how much the Democrats gave to Russians. Billions have been spent to keep Yeltsin in the Kremlin—it now precludes the discussion of whether Yeltsin has built a Mafiocracy instead of a Democracy,” he tells the *Washington Weekly*. “Big corporations which benefit from business in Russia want stability there even if it means stability of a criminal government.”

Second, Konanykhine sees himself as a pawn in the globalization efforts of the FBI. “Director Freeh wants to make the FBI a global organization with presence in each and every country, and the overhyped success of the close and productive friendship with the corrupt Russian government is the linchpin for this globalization of FBI,” he says.

Third, Konanykhine sees a failure of the Clinton administration and the mainstream media to recognize the villains. “Some officials still sincerely believe that Russia is a newborn democracy and that the KGB

successor agencies are now the best friends of the US government. (An) excusable mistake if you recall that Gorbachev, Perestroika, Democracy, the crushed Berlin Wall, etc., were praised everywhere, but the story of the Russian Criminal Revolution of '92-93 has never made its way to the international Press.”

A PATTERN OF RELATED CASES

Lest anyone should believe that the Konanykhine case is just one of those famous Clinton administration “bureaucratic snafus”, Mr. Konanykhine points to the parallel case of Jouri Nesterov, a legal U.S. resident since 1994, who is now fighting a similar deportation to Russia.

Mr. Nesterov claims that he played a small part in a secret and politically explosive scheme by the Russian military to sell sophisticated arms to China, and that most of the proceeds, including his promised fee, were pocketed by high-level officials and allied Russian Mobsters. Those people, he says, now want him back—to silence him.

And again, incredibly, the Clinton administration is helping Russian Mobsters masquerading as government officials to silence Nesterov.

[Published in the Nov. 3, 1997 issue of *The Washington Weekly*. Copyright 1997 The Washington Weekly (<http://www.federal.com>) Reposting permitted with this message intact.]

“We don’t have a bigger problem than the violence which is eating the heart out of this country, and the breakdown of the basic fabric of values that says it is wrong to hurt other people; it is wrong to act in an instant for some momentary advantage in ways that will devastate other people’s lives; it is wrong to take this kind of advantage.” —Bill Clinton [End quoting]

It seems to take a major shock in people’s lives to make them wake up to the fact that almost every government on this planet is run by mafioso-gangster-mishpucka-type individuals, and if they become whistleblowers they will have to contend with them.

Thank God for the few whistleblowers that do come forth, and bless those who do.

CHAPTER 15

THE NEWS DESK

by Dr. Al Overholt 12/27/97

MORE GOVERNMENT REGULATIONS THAT KILL AND MAIM

From *MEDIA BYPASS*, Nov. 1997: [quoting]

There ought to be the equivalent of the Hippocratic oath for government officials and bureaucrats considering the imposition of new laws and regulations designed to make it safer. Clause one should say: "First do no harm."

Imagine all the pain and death—not to mention money—that could be saved with such a philosophy. Think about it.

The latest example is the Environmental Protection Agency's new air pollution requirements set to take effect in December. Among the many mandates of the new regulations is one requiring pharmaceutical and medical companies using ethylene oxide as a sterilizer to be equipped with thermal oxidizers to control pollution emissions.

Sounds fine, right? I mean, who wants to be breathing ethylene oxide? Not me, that's for sure.

But sometimes, as all good doctors and patients know, the cure is worse than the disease. Such as the case with Dr. Carol Browner's latest prescription for clean air.

You see, a few companies came into compliance with the new standards before the deadline. And guess what? Their factories blew up.

A few weeks ago, the Elkhart, Indiana, Accra Pac aerosol packaging plant, equipped with the new EPA-prescribed pollution-control devices, exploded and burned, killing a 33-year-old worker and injuring 70 others. Ironically, thick clouds of toxic fumes forced the evacuation of homes and stores within a mile. Bet those folks are thankful for the new clean-air regs, huh?

A similar explosion occurred June 13 at the Sterilization Services of the Virginia plant.

Fortunately, only one worker was injured in that blast. In just the last three months, other blasts occurred in Wisconsin and Massachusetts. So, you might say, the EPA has been more effective in blowing up buildings than the Islamic terrorists in Hamas. Maybe it's time for a new bumper sticker: "Guns don't kill people, the EPA does".

Now, the EPA is not yet admitting culpability, of course. It usually takes government agencies at least 50 years or so to do that. But it is taking the unusual step of delaying enforcement of its new air pollution

regulations and warning companies against using the very emission-control devices it had previously been requiring.

“We don’t want, in any way, to take a chance with the workers,” said Richard D. Wilson, the EPA’s deputy assistant administrator for air and radiation. Well, I’d say it’s a little late for that. And, of course, there’s no word on whether the U.S. government plans to pay for modifications at other plants that have already complied with the EPA’s rules or whether Browner and company would own up to their responsibility in the death and injuries their actions have caused.

It’s the same old story. We’ve seen it over and over again. First the federal government forces auto manufacturers to produce smaller, more energy-efficient cars. The result, of course, was mass death on the highways. Then airbags were mandated to save us from the carnage. Now, of course, the government is warning us that our kids aren’t safe in the front seat if your car has them.

Maybe we ought to take this new Hippocratic oath even further and require government bureaucrats to live with their own prescriptions. If the EPA wants to mandate the use of ethylene oxidizers, then Carol Browner should have one in her house. Maybe Bill Clinton, too. If they want to mandate that all gasoline sold include the carcinogenic additive MTBE, then perhaps they should lead by example and hoist a few MTBE cocktails on national TV for us. If smaller cars are good for the goose, we shouldn’t see any government ganders riding around in anything but airbagequipped Geos.

Why should the American people continue to serve as guinea pigs in their diabolical pseudo-scientific social experiments?

When are Americans going to realize what people in countries the world over have already learned? Namely, that powerful centralized governments are much more dangerous for children and other living things than the thousand-and-one phony crises they invent for the purpose of creating legalized protection rackets. Whether it’s militia groups or endangered species, fear-mongering is the way government grabs power in a free society.

The Founding Fathers understood the threat more than 200 years ago and placed strict limitations on the power and authority of the federal government. They didn’t like the idea of people in London placing mandates on them—all in the name of protection, of course. The last thing they wanted to do was create another dangerous government monstrosity in Washington.

But here we are, folks. Time for a government Hippocratic oath? Maybe. But, in the case of government, it would be better named the Hypocritic oath. [End quoting]

Amen.

DOGGED DETERMINATION

From *THE DAILY NEWS*, Los Angeles, 12/22/97: [quoting]

As a G-man, Louis Freeh was given the nickname “Mad Dog” for his tenacity. Maybe that’s why

President Clinton didn't throw him any bones last week by endorsing his performance.

As FBI director, Freeh seems unconcerned that Clinton, during a press conference, pointedly dodged a question about his standing at the White House.

Freeh seems content to go about the business of reshaping the fabled agency, resuscitating morale and continuing a campaign for an independent counsel to investigate the campaign finance case.

The White House may think it's undercutting Freeh, possibly even setting him up for his ouster. It's wrong.

As we saw last week, the nation loves dogs, whether they be presidential puppies named Buddy or Mad Dog Freeh. The public especially loves a person of integrity who's confident enough and courageous enough to show some independence.

Freeh's defiance is playing well, both with the public and with Republicans in Congress.

Congress hiked the FBI budget to \$2.9 billion, allowing the agency to buy new computers and bring the force to 3,000 agents.

Freeh deserves credit for rejuvenating the FBI after the Waco and Ruby Ridge disasters. Despite the Richard Jewell fiasco, he's rebuilt morale. And he moved quickly to shake up the problem-ridden crime lab that had lapsed into mediocrity.

He also is pressing ahead with the fund-raising investigation. That's raised speculation by some that if he's too good at his job, the president may pull a Richard Nixon and fire him.

In the past, Clinton has shown he's not averse to such a move. Clinton fired William Sessions, the only director in the FBI's 70-year history to be canned.

Of course, if Clinton tried that move on Freeh, Congress might bite back by initiating impeachment proceedings.

No matter what Clinton does, it appears Freeh won't be his lap dog. Freeh's defiance and independence are just what the country needs right now. [End quoting]

Freeh is not independent! He does what his bosses tells him to do or else he'd be another Vince Foster or Bill Sessions.

It takes "friendly" antagonism between government departments to help cover up the dirty work that is going on behind the scenes.

However, egos do get in the way at times. That is also part of the game—unless it gets too bad—**then, goodbye!**

CAPITOL OFFENSES

TALES FROM THE DOME

NO ETHICS AT ALL

From *MIDDLE AMERICAN NEWS*, by Elizabeth Howard, November 1997: [quoting]

Thanks to John Murtha (D-PA), Billy Tauzin (R-LA) and James Hansen (R-UT), among others, the House will allow only its members to file ethics complaints. Previously, outsiders could file if they obtained letters from three members declining to do so on their behalf. This “reform” virtually eliminates any possibility of members having to answer for wrongdoing except as a result of political vendettas, such as the notorious Bonior-Gingrich feud of recent years.... The Senate “Ethics” Committee has ruled that lobbyists can treat lawmakers to the new D.C. sports arena despite a \$50 limit on gifts. Tickets for fancy club-level seats sell for \$7,500 a season (82 games), or \$91.46 per game. But the arena’s owner “convinced” the committee that a ticket’s “fair market value” is only \$48, conveniently under the limit. [End quoting]

What a racket. The crooks and gangsters are both judge, jury, and defendant.

FELONS GO FREE

From *MIDDLE AMERICAN NEWS*, Nov. 1997: [quoting]

The U.S. Department of Justice under Attorney General Janet Reno is letting 250,000 felons go free. That’s the number of convicted felons who illegally tried to buy guns since the 1994 implementation of the Brady Bill, according to the Bureau of Justice Statistics. If convicted, felons who try to buy guns can be jailed for a minimum of five years. But so far, less than 5 of those 250,000 felons have been prosecuted. The Justice Department has offered no explanation. [End quoting]

The whole idea is to take guns away from the non-criminal and arm the felons because they will help the takeover. But, then the Elite will kill them off because you can’t trust a traitor when they are not one of the Elite—also they’ll want a cut of the pie and the Elite don’t like sharing with their slaves.

ANTHRAX VACCINE: CURE OR CONSPIRACY

Excerpted from the INTERNET, 12/19/97: [quoting]

Dr. Leonard Horowitz, in answer to: “What evidence do you have that anthrax vaccine is contaminated?”:

Look at all the vaccines. For example, the Clinton administration has stated that it’s mandatory that 12-hour-old infants get hepatitis B vaccine. This is insane. It has [*had*] no risk/benefit analysis done to it. We don’t know if this vaccine is killing and maiming more people than it’s helping.

Besides the fact that this particular vaccine has carcinogenic enzymes in it, it doesn’t make any sense whatsoever to give it to infants, because 96-percent-plus of the people who get hepatitis B get it through sexual transmission or IV drug use.

Plus the fact that those who get it develop life-long immunity and they do not develop major long-term problems.

The issue becomes how many people are being harmed by getting these vaccines.

According to the CDC's own data, potentially 25,000 to 35,000 people were injured from that one vaccine. And consider all 18-or-so vaccines that the federal government now is allegedly mandating (you still have religious and spiritual exemptions, so it's really against your civil rights to be told you must get a vaccine for school or work). If you use the CDC's own data, you will find you have an ongoing holocaust of vaccine-induced injuries to the tune of as many as 800,000 vaccine-induced injuries occurring every year in the United States that you don't hear a word about from the federal government or mainstream media. So isn't that strange?

But today in the newspaper you do hear that the federal government wants to reevaluate nutritional supplements because three college wrestlers died of taking hormones. And yet we have 800,000 people sustaining vaccine-induced injuries, and don't hear a word about it. If you don't think, given that information, that there's a covert operation here or an alternative agenda here...

Dr. L.H., in answer to questioning about the government's pressure to vaccinate and the bioweapons scare tactics, etc.:

Look at the motive behind the persuasion, and what is it? They're preparing us for biological holocaust and they're going to blame it on the Muslims, Christian patriots, and militia groups. The militia groups are already dysfunctional because they're penetrated by agitators.

Interesting that our good old friend Larry Wayne Harris is again in the center of this controversy. He alleges to be a Christian and patriot, and yet he goes to the Preparedness Expositions and shows the hardware to produce and disperse the bioweapons and tells you and writes how to go out and produce these bioweapons and distribute them. This man acknowledges being a CIA operative, acknowledges having friends from the CIA that feed him, alleges he was involved with the CDC.

American intelligence officials are seeding authors, reporters, and investigative journalists, saying that it's the Christian patriots who are now the ones who are suspected of being the future terrorists in bioweapons attacks. If this isn't an obvious setup, my name isn't Len Horowitz. Harris is setting up the Christian and patriot movements to be the fall guys for the most untrustworthy people who are in charge of these bioweapons...

Dr. Leonard Horowitz, in answer to why you'd have to be a fool, given the information we have in our possession, to take the anthrax vaccine, or any other vaccine that the FDA and/or the Department of Defense approves or tries to push on military personnel or citizens of the United States.:

Numerous reasons. Every thing from the most apparently benign vaccine to the tetanus vaccine used to sterilize hundreds of thousands of women during experiments, to Gulf War Syndrome, which is undoubtedly related and linked to vaccines. We now have evidence that the potential initiator of Gulf War Syndrome was an AIDS vaccine that was being tested on uninformed and unconsenting troops. [End quoting]

It's not hard to agree with Dr. Horowitz that this is insanity, **Don't miss the interview with Dr. Horowitz that is this week's Front Page story for more shocking information on that which has been created to poison us.**

WHAT'S YOUR LINE
IN THE SAND?

From *MEDIA BYPASS*, from a concerned citizen, Nov. 1997: [quoting]

I could be cute and answer the question you ask. I could name Waco, Ruby Ridge, a host of similar actions. I imagine that most in the gun culture have already considered the issue. What I think you mean to ask is hypothetically what lines have you already drawn? That is a question that I will not address, although I do have some very vague answers for myself.

I have never associated with any militia or even "militia-types". I have talked with a few "crazies" who publicly proclaimed their "lines in the sand" after Waco: "It will never happen again." Then came the Freeman standoff last year. In their minds, this was the call to arms. They were ready to jump into their trucks and cars and see if their dilapidated vehicles could make the 2,000-mile jaunt to Montana. In the end, that did not happen. I am thankful, for that was neither time, place nor case to make a stand.

I agree with the poster that talked about the chance set of circumstances and misperceptions which led to the firefight at Lexington and Concord. The Minutemen were playing with fire and when their bluff was called by the royal authorities, they tried to back down. Some died to keep their personal arms, killed while trying to disengage. Others rushed forward and found themselves engaged when they thought their homes were being burned. In other words, the decision to fight the British was something considered, but not planned to happen as it did. What made the situation significant was the climate in the colonies as that event took place. The groundwork had been laid. If that event had happened earlier, say at the time of the Boston Massacre, it would have been a "shot heard only in the Boston area".

Some observations concerning those revolutionary times. Although it may sound like an anachronism, an almost "federal" system of government was in place in the colonial period, perhaps functioning more effectively then than now. By that, I mean that government truly functioned at different and competing levels in that period.

Townships and colonial assemblies were more than administrative units of the crown, much to the crown's chagrin. The smaller units of government perceived themselves at odds with the central authority, much the way the Founders planned for our system to work. Militias were legitimized in the minds of the population as agents of local and colonial authority. They stood for at least a portion of the established order. When the battle started, the people had to choose which level of government they would support. Certainly, there were plenty of Tories in the lower levels of government, but there were enough who claimed local allegiance to cloud the issues.

I have tried to picture an analogous situation today. I would suggest the following: If Congress passed a law which said part-time peace officers (reserves) are not entitled to carry guns, and the ATF came into an area to disarm the majority of sheriff's deputies in a rural county, the citizens of that county might have

similar feelings to the colonials. This is where citizens would have to choose sides. By the way, my college history class, many moons ago, suggested that at the time of the revolution, the citizens of this nation were divided roughly into thirds: patriots, Tories and fence-sitters.

In spite of brave words by Franklin and others, a tendency of human nature is to prefer order to freedom. We choose the devil we know to the unknown. My prediction: There will be no popular support of armed resistance to the central government unless (until) the abuses of that government begin to interfere directly in the lives of a significant portion of the population.

A case can be made that those conditions exist now, but there is little consensus among people feeling abused. There are any number of isolated cells that are feeling the pressures of government: the agriculture and timber industry, blue-collar workers displaced by changing economic realities and government policies, those who feel the threat of having all communications monitored, smokers, those subjected to greater and greater environmental regulations, those being forced into health maintenance organizations, taxpayers of all stripes, etc. This is on top of those who are persecuted because of their choice to use marijuana and other illegal substances. Each of you can probably name other groups.

However, the reality is that most of us support some of the governmental positions while hating others. It all depends on whose ox is being gored. We are divided while the central authority grows in power with each session of Congress and almost every court decision. On top of that, the various state governments are doing the same kind of things. There are few if any rallying points.

My bottom line: Making a noble stand for principle may be self-gratifying. It may serve your (or my) sense of honor. But armed resistance is futile unless it is in service of a cause that can bear fruit. If 500 armed men and women took a stand in any portion of the nation, and were all massacred by the federal police and military, they would be labeled as crazy or outlaws in the media. It would make the talk-show circuits and court action might follow in four or five years, but the general population would go to work tomorrow and continue business as usual. Congress would gather and Schumer would have a listening audience. Private ownership of firearms would again be curtailed, if not outlawed. Then police, federal and local, would go about the “necessary” task of picking up the guns, with the support of the vast majority of the population.

If we take our cue from the example of the Founders, we focus on action other than armed resistance. In fact, we shift the focus away from guns. Issues such as tax policy, the evergrowing deficit, privacy rights and search and seizure laws, confiscation laws, restrictions on the transfers of money, the shifting role of juries, the usurpation of the legislative prerogative by judicial action and executive decree, the implications of “conspiracy” laws, the breakdown of the infrastructure, government cover-ups, nonsensical laws, rules and regulations, etc.

Perhaps the most effective action prior to the revolution was resistance to the Stamp Act. That revenue act touched multitudes of lives—the lives of people who never thought of picking up a gun and rushing to the sounds of battle. It made people aware of how the crown impinged on every facet of economic life. Such actions set the stage for a significant portion of the population to support those rebels who defended themselves and their homes at Lexington. Without the setting of the stage, no action, whether militarily successful or not, can succeed.

We are engaged in a war of perception. The control of the media is far more important than the role of tanks, 'copters and machine guns. All of those are in the control of the powers that be. If we want to support (even reestablish) constitutional government in this nation, we have to engage in the real tasks of the underground: building a basis for support in the larger population.

Judo is the art of using an opponent's strength to your advantage. Direct confrontation—strength-on-strength encounter—is doomed to failure. Guerrillas have learned to use their limited strength effectively in many "people's actions". They annoy those with power to the point where the power is used, not against the instigators but against the larger population. This causes resentment and greater discontent.

Here is another hypothetical: I wonder what would happen if anonymous flyers began to appear on public bulletin boards—I am not talking about the electronic kind, but the kind in the foyers of department stores—which simply announced and cataloged "Abuses of The Week". They could include judicial decisions, bills introduced in Congress (they do not have to pass), police raids and harassments, whatever. What if they called for no action, were claimed by no group and offered no telephone number for further information excepting a relevant government office. The bottom lines simply read, "If You Don't Believe It, Check it Out For Yourself." The last line, in smaller print, might read: "If you know of other documented abuses, post them here and elsewhere. If you don't believe it, check it out for yourself." Care must be taken to include only things that have really happened. One would have to expect them to be taken down almost as fast as they were put up. They would focus on local and regional issues as well as national ones. There does not need to be any coordination between regions, or with anyone at all. This is all individual action. What do you think would happen if 10 people in each state systematically put up 10 of these per week for a year?

To answer my own hypothetical: I believe the bulletin boards would be covered in glass so that only "approved notices" could be posted. I would expect misdemeanor prosecutions for trespass, vandalism or some such—great fodder for the next Abuse-of-the-Week column. I would also project that ready-made "Abuse of the Week" flyers would be circulating on the Internet, ready to download, print and post. I can see the monikers of the revolution reestablished: "The Federalist Farmer", "Plubius", etc. I can even see the original words of the Founders on some flyers.

And if all that was said is true, and people were invited to check it out and participate, is this not the ultimate employment of freedom of the press and speech? Penny papers and handbills were the most successful weapons of the American Revolution.

If a set of guidelines for formatting "Abuse of The Week" was posted to user groups on a variety of fronts, the implications would be tremendous. The guidelines would instruct the reader to post such things as congressional and legislative actions with nice-sounding names but unacceptable content or implications; regulations established by administrative agencies unknown to the general public (provided as a public service because "ignorance of the law is no excuse"); court decisions that overturn common sense; abusive actions on the part of enforcement agencies; the implications of conspiracy, RICO and forfeiture laws; quotes of American Patriots; quotes of contemporary notables (which they wish they could retract); and evidence of government cover-ups.

The information would have to be technically accurate, even if politically incorrect, and include contact addresses and numbers (politicians, agencies, newspapers, Internet addresses, etc.) where the information

can be verified or responses offered.

The flyers should not be used to support any particular group, i.e., no club meeting dates or contact numbers other than official sources of information, and they should not be signed. For this to be effective, it must be a part of a national anonymous action, adhering to the format will be the only identifier of this movement. The guidelines would state: Do not conspire with anyone to subvert the law. Do this on your own, making the public aware of your concerns and the abuses you see. Include only one issue on each flyer. Remember the “KISS” formula: “Keep it simple, stupid.” The purpose is to invite inquiry, not offer a full explanation. Print on brightly colored paper. Find appropriate places for posting: public bulletin boards, etc. Do not even consider public restroom stalls and walls, telephone poles, windshields in parking lots, etc. Stay legal. Do not litter. Adhere to this format as closely as possible.

That would be the approach I would take if I were serious about drawing lines in the sand. I would try to coordinate a climate-building agenda. If it worked, if thousands of people in this nation took up such a cause and if hundreds of thousands called their elected representatives and other government agencies, the powers that be, ever attuned to public opinion, might back off, making the possibility of armed conflict remote. [End quoting]

What do you think about this?

BRITS ACKNOWLEDGED RESPONSIBILITY
FOR TERRORISTIC ATTACKS,
PAID MILLIONS IN DAMAGES

From the INTERNET, 12/97: [quoting]

As Egypt goes before the world complaining of British-sponsored terrorism, the 125th anniversary of the damage-award in the “Alabama Claims” has just passed unnoticed.

An international tribunal, on Sept. 14, 1872, awarded the United States \$15,500,000 in gold, in payment for Britain’s sponsorship of the building and outfitting of vessels used for the sinking of U.S. merchant ships during the American Civil War (1861-65). The arbitrators’ award came as a result of the Treaty of Washington, signed in 1871.

The rebelling slave owners’ representative in England, James Bulloch—uncle and later mentor of Theodore Roosevelt—had arranged with British shipbuilders and British financiers, to construct warships in Britain. Their piratical use under the cover of the “Confederate Navy”, with many British sailors on board, succeeded in driving the American merchant fleet off the high seas for about two years. Hundreds of thousands more died because of the resultant lengthening of the Civil War, and during that period the British merchant fleet had a tightened monopoly over the transoceanic carrying trade.

Like the terrorists living in England today, who bomb their homelands from London addresses, the traitor Bulloch never returned to America. [End quoting]

The Brit-Elite never change their colors.

UPDATE: SCHWEITZER CONVICTED
ON TAX CHARGE

From *MEDIA BYPASS*, Dec. 1997: [quoting]

A judge has sentenced the leader of the Montana Freemen to 27 months in prison for failing to pay income taxes and refusing to appear for trial, charges unrelated to the armed standoff for which he has yet to stand trial.

Gagged and handcuffed, LeRoy Schweitzer remained defiant once the gag was removed so he could speak prior to sentencing. “I will not willingly participate in this fraud,” he said, claiming he is a citizen of “the country of Montana” and that U.S. District Judge Charles Lovell had no authority over him.

In addition to the prison term, Schweitzer was ordered to pay back taxes of more than \$112,000 and a \$200,000 fine.

“I am a justice of the Supreme Court of Justus Township...” Schweitzer said.

“Will you stand when you address the court, please?” Lovell said.

“I am the court,” Schweitzer replied.

The Montana Freemen held the FBI at bay for 81 days last year around Justus Township, established on a foreclosed family ranch in eastern Montana, where he held common-law court hearings against judges and other officials deemed to be violating the *Constitution*. The standoff ended peacefully on June 13, 1996. Schweitzer and a score of codefendants await trial next year in Billings on an array of charges related to the standoff, including bank fraud tied to allegedly bogus financial instruments, and threatening to kill a federal Judge.

Freemen supporters characterize Schweitzer as a brilliant student of American monetary policy who is responsible for helping expose the “fraud” known as the Federal Reserve Bank. Schweitzer apparently duplicated the same rules and processes used to “create money from thin air” (fractional reserve banking). In fact, Sen. Al D’Amato (R-N.Y.) said at a July 17, 1996 congressional hearing that, the “fraudulent bank drafts” used by Freemen and their supporters to purchase goods and services were not criminal, but rather utilized a legal loophole.

“We didn’t find a loophole—we found the law,” Schweitzer said in a September *MB* interview. [End quoting]

If the Elite can’t get you one way they can get you another. Trusting in **GOD AND DOING YOUR PART** IS YOUR ONLY HOPE FOR SEEING FREEDOM!

LIES GET HIM IN TROUBLE

From *THE MODESTO BEE*, 12/8/97: [quoting]

A 63-year-old spymaster, who taught a course called “Lying as an Art” to Mossad agents, has confessed to making up reports that embellished Syria’s readiness for war. Sunday, a newspaper quoted Yehuda Gil as saying he had been trying to “prove” himself after his retirement. News reports indicate that Gil’s false information last summer provoked responses that could have led Israel to war. [End quoting]
How can anyone ever believe one who teaches how to lie? More and more the chicanery of the Elite will do themselves in.

AIDS MEET OPENS

ABIDJAN, Ivory Coast—More than 20 million people in sub-Saharan Africa carry the virus that causes AIDS, and most of them do not even know it, an expert told an international conference Sunday. “The situation in this region is unprecedented,” said Dr. Peter Piot of the United Nations. [End quoting]

This sounds like some more of WHO’s (World Health Organization) mass long-term suffering and murders.

THAIS ACT ON FINANCES

From *THE MODESTO BEE*, 12/8/97: [quoting]

Thailand will liquidate 56 insolvent finance companies that the Central Bank shut down earlier this year, the government announced today, beginning the biggest-ever overhaul of the country’s financial system. The permanent closures are expected to leave up to 10,000 jobless. [End quoting]

The NWO is gathering up the wealth of the world and to hell with the consequences.

REPORT URGES DOUBLING POLICE ON RESERVATIONS

Excerpted from *THE ORLANDO SENTINEL*, 12/19/97: [quoting]

Native Americans receive less than half the police protection provided to other rural communities and face a “public safety crisis” because of soaring reservation crime rates, according to a Clinton administration report. The report proposes doubling the size of reservation police forces and suggests that a Justice Department takeover of Bureau of Indian Affairs police functions could improve safety. President Clinton has given the Interior and Justice departments until the end of the year to recommend a plan for dealing with reservation crime so he can put it in his 1999 budget. [End quoting]

Another excuse to take complete control of the reservations.

HAS ANYBODY HEARD OF THE SHIP TITAN?

From *THE ORLANDO SENTINEL*, courtesy of Norman (2x6), 12/20/97: [quoting]

Fourteen years before the *Titanic* set sail, a novice writer named Morgan Robertson wrote a novel about a fantastic oceanliner that was wrecked on an iceberg. There were striking similarities between this fictional boat and the not-yet-built *Titanic*. Both could carry about 3,000 people and neither had nearly enough lifeboats. The novel was called *Futility*. The liner in the book was called the *Titan*. [End quoting]

This is timely since the movie *Titanic* was just released.

The author of *Titan* seems to have had some pronounced intuitive abilities as did Taylor Caldwell and a number of other successful writers.

GINSBURG DEFIED JUDICIAL ETHICS LAW

The left-wing's favorite Supreme Court Justice violated the public trust by ruling on cases involving big companies whose stock her family owned. Neither she nor the GOP wants to talk about it.

Excerpted from *MIDDLE AMERICAN NEWS*, Sep. 1997: [quoting]

Over the last four years, Supreme Court Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg illegally participated in rulings on 21 different court cases involving companies in which her husband held significant financial interests, according to reports in *Insight* magazine and the *Washington Times*.

Although federal law requires all judges to disqualify themselves if they, a spouse, or a minor child “has a financial interest in the subject matter in controversy or in a party to the proceedings”, Ginsburg repeatedly failed to recuse herself from decision-making in 21 cases involving Nynex, AT&T, Exxon, General Electric, Procter & Gamble, Johnson & Johnson, and other major American companies in which the Ginsburg family had large stock holdings, the publications said.

Over the same period, two other Supreme Court justices—Sandra Day O’Connor and Stephen G. Breyer—fully complied with the conflict-of-interest law by recusing themselves from cases because of stock holdings in the very same companies.

Ginsburg, arguably the most radical left-winger on the court, is also the wealthiest of the high court’s justices, the *Times* reported. The paper said financial disclosure statements show the Ginsburgs hold assets estimated between \$4.6 million and \$15.4 million. [End quoting]

It’s very easy—like taking candy from a baby—to become very wealthy when you are the judge ruling over the conduct of the companies you partially own.

NEWS BYTES

Excerpted from *New Beginnings* magazine, Dec. 1997: [quoting]

“Globalization has all the appeal of an express train with no driver in the cab,” says Larry Elliot. (*Guardian*, 9/28/97)

“...immediately following US Secretary of State...10 minute address to Arab school children, the Palestinian Authority’s official radio saw fit to transmit the following.... America is the chief of the terrorists.... Oh, Allah, destroy America, her agents and her allies! Cast them into their own traps and paint the White House black....raise the flag of Islam over the Aksa Mosque, Jerusalem and Palestine.” (Moshe Kohn, *Jerusalem Post*, 10/4/97)

“The Palestinian Authority official paper *Al-Hayat alJedida* treated its readers to the following (by Safi Naz Kassam) ‘There is no people or land named Israel. Israel is our patriarch Yacoub....we are the children of Israel....these people (the Jews) are the children of the Zionist entity...not fit to establish a nation or to have their own language or even their own religion.’” (Moshe Kohn, *Jerusalem Post*, 10/4/97)

“General George Marshall”, who later became Truman’s Secretary of State, said America’s greatest diplomatic mistake was recognizing the State of Israel.” (*Instauration*, 10/97) [End quoting]

ON ANGELS’ WINGS

From *FATE* magazine, Sep. 1997: [quoting]

In the fall of 1952, I worked for AT&T in New York City. I worked a split shift; my hours were 9 A.M. to 1 P.M. and 7 P.M. to 11 P.M. I lived in a New Jersey suburb—too far to ride home and back for the afternoon. I would spend those hours at the Metropolitan Museum or the Frick Museum, or sometimes Central Park Zoo.

One day, however, I decided to go shopping. I took a bus to Macy’s, where the street was always busy. As I crossed, I slipped and fell. Believe me, I was scared. The first thing I did was pray.

Suddenly, a great feeling of peace came over me. I felt arms lifting me up, right over the traffic and over the heads of people waiting to cross in the other direction. I was in a daze. Whoever had come to my rescue was not visible.

Stunned, I couldn’t move for some time. But when I finally came to my senses, I found I had been deposited right in front of a book shop. I glanced at the volumes displayed in the window—and every one was about angels. Truly, I believe an angel rescued me and put me there so I would know who saved me.
—Adria Gillis

[End quoting]

Isn’t it a comfort to know that God gave us guardian angels?

Index

A

Albert
Carl 69

B

Beaty
John 27
Beter
Peter David 65
Biedenkapp
Fred 28
Black
Hugo 27
Brooks
Mary 60, 62, 68
Burgener
Clair 68
Burns
Arthur 61
Byrnes
James 27

C

Cardozzo
Benjamin 27
Chelf
Frank 58, 64
Conlan
John 68
Crane
Philip 68

D

Douglas
William 27
Durell
Ed 65

E

Edwards
John 67

F

Ferguson
Isaac 28
Frankfurter

Felix 27, 28

G

Goldman
Alan 67
Emma 28

H

Hartman
Jacob 28
Hiss
Alger 28
Hoover
J. Edgar 28
Huddleston
Walter 68
Humphrey
George 69
Hurley
W. L. 28

J

Jackson
Robert 27

L

Lippman
Walter 28
Lipschitz
Isadore 28
Litvinov
Maxim 27

M

Markham
David 66
Mishler
Clifford 68
Murphy
Frank 27

N

Neff
Francine 68
Nisenson
Jerry 66
Nixon
Richard 31

P

Pope

Donna 67

R

Rarick

John 61

Reed

Stanley 27

Rockefeller

Nelson 60, 67, 69

Rousselot

John 68

Rutledge

Wiley 27

S

Schroder

Gene 32

Simon

William 68

Snyder

Gene 68

T

Tatom

Stanley 58, 61

V

Von Klinger

Eric 67

W

Wolfe

Thomas 68

Wyle

Chalmers 68