

Phoenix Journal

#175



By Gyeorgos Ceres Hatonn

Table Of Contents

CHAPTER 1	1
THE NEWS DESK by Phyllis Linn 6/1/96	1
BUREAUCRATIC PARENT ABUSE	1
U.S. TROOPS MAY EXTEND STAY IN BOSNIA	2
MARGARINE IS NOT A “HEALTH FOOD”!	2
FEDS POISON SEAGULLS	2
HIV CURE ADD ORDERED OFF WEB	3
JAPANESE HAVE A YEN FOR RODENT PETS	3
MUZAK WARDS OFF TROUBLE	3
HIDDEN BLOOD “TRANSFUSIONS”:	4
WHAT YOU DON’T KNOW CAN KILL YOU!	4
CHAPTER 2	5
WHERE THERE’S SMOKE, THERE’S FIRE POSSIBLE	
MONTANA CONNECTION TO INTERNATIONAL DRUG TRAFFICKING	5
DRUGS ARE TRAFFICKED FROM CANADA TO THE U.S.	5
DRUGS ARE TRAFFICKED FROM CANADA TO THE U.S.	
by Krassimir Ivandjiski	6
1996 FREE SPEECH	9
MONTANA GOVERNOR THREATENS LIABLE ACTION	9
REPLY TO GOVERNOR MARC RACICOT	9
ROE REPORT	12
ROE INVESTIGATIONS	12
GENERAL ALLEGATIONS	13
POTENTIAL WITNESSES	13
BACKGROUND, INFORMATION & ALLEGATIONS IN SIDNEY, MONTANA ...	16
ADDITIONAL GENERAL INFORMATION	18
BACK TO FREE SPEECH	20
NEWSPAPER HOME PAGE	20
CHAPTER 3	21
F. E. M. A. AND THE NEW WORLD ORDER by Ray Bilger 6/4/96	21
CHAPTER 4	27
THE NEWS DESK by Phyllis Linn 6/7/96	27
SIGNS OF ESCALATION AT JUSTUS TOWNSHIP	27
CORPSES PILE UP IN NATION’S CAPITAL	27
HIPPOCRATIC OATH UNDERGOES SURGERY	28
MAD COWS WORK AS AD COWS	28
WHITE BUFFALO CALF BORN	29
FIRED HOUSE HISTORIAN SUES GINGRICH	29
CHAPTER 5	31
A CONGRESS BOUGHT, A NATION SOLD:	
THE LOOTING OF AMERICA THROUGH THE BANKS AND S&LS	

by Ray Bilger 6/13/96	31
CHAPTER 6	37
THE NEWS DESK	37
BIG CHANGES FOR NATO	37
RENO'S WAR ON ILLEGAL ALIENS	37
A FRESH LOOK AT BLOOD-SUCKING LEECHES	37
U.S. LEFT VIET AGENTS FOR DEAD	38
NOW THEY TELL US: MEDICARE IS GOING BROKE FAST	39
WHAT IT TAKES TO FILL THOSE PEWS!	39
CHAPTER 7	41
"PROFESSOR" SOLTEC: THESE ARE GRAND TIMES FOR THOSE PREPARED by Soltec 6/13/96	41
CHAPTER 8	44
WHISTLEBLOWER TELLS REAL IRAN-CONTRA AGENDA TO DUPED AMERICAN PUBLIC by Rick Martin 6/3/96	44
CHAPTER 9	69
THE NEWS DESK	69
HEALING LODGE AS ALTERNATIVE TO JAIL	69
JAIL TENTS	70
NASA PUTS CRAY-2 OUT TO PASTURE	71
BYE-BYE SOCIAL SECURITY	71
GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS	72
LATEST WEAPON: SEX FLAKES!	72
STATE STRAWBERRIES LINKED TO ILLNESS	73
CHAPTER 10	74
ZIONISM—THE TERRIBLE SECRET OF THE COLD WAR by Eustace Mullins 6/16/96	74
CHURCHILL'S CONFESSION	74
GERMANY: DELENDA EST	75
REFUSAL TO FACE REALITY	75
ARAB TREACHERY	76
ZIONIST ATROCITIES	77
ALWAYS A MINORITY	77
NEO CONSERVATIVE FRONT IN THE U.S.	78
ZIONISM AND THE CIA	78
MARGARET THATCHER	79
MINISTRY OF FEAR	80
STORMY WEATHER	80
COLBY AND OPERATION PHOENIX	80
BOORDA'S SUICIDE	81
LOYALTY TO ISRAEL	81
POLLARD: MASTER SPY	82
THE NEWSWEEK ORDEAL	82
MASS MURDER	83
GOD'S WILL	83

CHAPTER 11	84
GRANDMA ON THE “OLD GOLD CERTIFICATE”	
by Mrs. Russell Hermann 6/19/96	84
CHAPTER 12	87
THE GREAT TRANSFORMATION	
IS UNDERWAY! by Germain 6/17/96	87
CHAPTER 13	90
THE GREATEST AWAKENING OF MANKIND EVER ON THIS PLANET	
6/25/96 ESU “JESUS” SANANDA	90
CHAPTER 14	93
BANKSTERS’ SHENANIGANS	93
THE SORDID STORY OF SANTA BARBARA SAVINGS & LOAN	
by Ray Bilger 6/25/96	93
CHAPTER 15	99
MASTER TEACHER	99
HYPNOTIST ORMOND MCGILL SHARES WISDOM by Rick Martin 6/17/96	99
CHAPTER 16	109
THE NEWS DESK by Phyllis Linn 6/28/96	109
“CONSPIRACY THEORIES” SLAMMED	109
DISEASE LINKS TO ASPARTAME	110
POTENT PESTICIDE COCKTAILS	110
FEDERAL BUILDING USED FOR ORGY	111
GULF WAR VETERANS ALERT DISTRIBUTE WORLDWIDE	
June 25, 1996	112
CHAPTER 17	114
ARCHANGEL MICHAEL’S CAUTIONS ABOUT UPCOMING	
EARTH CHANGES	114
7/7/96 LORD MICHAEL	114

CHAPTER 1

THE NEWS DESK

by Phyllis Linn 6/1/96

BUREAUCRATIC PARENT ABUSE

Here's an example of how government "protects" our children. Paul Craig Roberts makes this report in the May 19 issue of the *WASHINGTON TIMES WEEKLY*, [quoting:]

In March, without parental notification, 50 sixth-grade girls, who attend J.T. Lambert Intermediate School in East Stroudsburg, Pa., were forced by public authorities to undergo a genital exam. When the youngsters found they were required to lie naked, spread-eagled on a table, they burst into tears and tried to run from the room, but were physically restrained. Outraged parents have been unable to get any explanation, and reporters have been frustrated by both school and state health officials, who will not return telephone calls.

What happened at J.T. Lambert is the opening gun of the final assault on the family. It will soon be happening to your child in your school district. As in East Stroudsburg, the authorities will be looking for signs of parental sexual abuse of their children. "Child advocates" maintain parents are the primary perpetrators of child sex abuse. After years of agitation, "child advocates" succeeded in getting themselves federally funded in 1974 when Congress passed CAPTA, the Child Abuse Prevention & Treatment Act. Powers and funding were expanded in 1985 with the Children's Justice Act.

In the years since, a bureaucracy has been created with the Orwellian name of Child Protective Services endowed with Gestapo-like power. Moreover, during the two decades, a significant percentage of school administrators, teachers, prosecutors, police detectives and social workers has been conditioned through participation in work shops, seminars and conferences to the idea that parents are child abusers. In other words, parents have become suspects. Indeed, they are such suspects that policies are in effect that require school teachers, ministers, doctors, hospitals, and child-care providers to report to authorities all signs of possible child abuse, sexual or otherwise. As schools are already required to report signs, it is a short step to genital and rectal exams to look for signs.

The formidable Social Services and Child Protective Services bureaucracies receive taxpayer funds for every child they seize from parents and place in "an out-of-home facility". In 1994, the National Center of Child Abuse and Neglect reports that 126,117 children were seized from parents in 35 states. "Child advocates" are pushing ever more frightening laws, such as the "Children's Welfare Act" in Colorado, which would require parents to participate in a "Home Visitor Program" in order for social workers to assess their potential for "child maltreatment".

Children should be protected from abuse, but not in ways that traumatize and humiliate them and destroy parental control. The draconian police state measures being put in place stem from propaganda that sexual abuse of children by parents is routine, whereas in fact it is extremely rare.

U.S. TROOPS MAY
EXTEND STAY IN BOSNIA

We aren't surprised, right?! From the May 19 issue of the *WASHINGTON TIMES WEEKLY*, [quoting:]

NATO planners concerned about instability in Bosnia are considering a continued American role in the country after the U.S.-led Implementation Force (Ifor) ends its mission in December, diplomatic and other sources said. The sources said such a decision would be made at a meeting of NATO foreign ministers in December, several weeks after the Nov. 5 U.S. election. [*Ha! This decision was made long ago by the Elite planners. It is just fed to us-the-populace in bite-sized bits for easier digestion and assimilation!*] President Clinton has promised to remove the American forces by the end of this year. [*What is a Clinton promise worth to YOU?!*]

MARGARINE IS NOT
A "HEALTHFOOD"!

Due to intense media and AMA propaganda, lots of people think they are very health conscious because they eliminated butter from their diets and substituted margarine. Not a good idea, says Dr. William Campbell Douglass. From the March issue of Dr. Douglass' *SECOND OPINION* (800-728-2288), [quoting:]

I've been hounding margarine for 15 years and now there's hope of putting butter back on the throne, where it belongs. A report from *Oil World, Euromonitor*, tells the whole sordid story of how the little Indian maiden in the corn oil TV commercial has been killing millions of northern Europeans and Americans with vegetable-oil induced cardiovascular disease.

In every single country where there has been a low consumption of margarine and a high consumption of either olive oil or butter, there has been a very low incidence of death from heart disease. No matter whether the population consumed large amounts of butter or not, a high consumption of olive oil (over 11 pounds per year) assured a low incidence of death from heart disease.

And the problem with margarine goes far beyond heart attacks. It has been shown that babies of mothers who consumed a lot of margarine during pregnancy have babies with a low birth weight, which is strongly linked to heart attacks later in life. Then there is the cancer question. Cancer is more common in northern Europe. The trans-fatty acids in margarine are known to be carcinogenic. You can draw your own conclusions.

Action to Take: (1) Avoid all margarine products. In cooking, when in doubt, add more butter or olive oil. Most recipes that call for margarine can easily use butter. Remember that most cheap pastries and many candies are loaded with killer vegetable oils—read the labels and avoid them. (2) Take at least one tablespoonful of extra virgin olive oil daily. [*Considering how contrary this information is to what is generally touted by even the health-food industry, you might want to obtain some of Dr. Douglass' other articles on this subject.*]

FEDS POISON SEAGULLS

From the May 19 issue of *THE ORLANDO SENTINEL*, [quoting:]

BOSTON—Federal officials Saturday began slipping poison into the nests of thousands of seagulls threatening the homes of endangered bird species on an island off Cape Cod. Poisonous margarine-on-white-bread sandwiches [*hmmm, does that tell you something about margarine?!*] were planted in the nests of roughly 5,700 breeding great black backed and herring gulls on Monomoy National Wildlife Refuge, near Chatham. Animal activists who lost a court appeal of the poisonings on Friday delivered antidotes of tuna-and-charcoal filter sandwiches overnight to 2,400 of the birds nesting on the island. [*“Zounds!” says reader 2x6*]

HIV CURE ADD ORDERED OFF WEB

Here is a recent Associated Press article you might find interesting, [quoting:]

DEDHAM, Mass—A woman who advertised on the Internet that she had a cure for HIV and urged callers to dial a 900-number, was ordered by a judge to take the ad off the World Wide Web. “In six weeks you are HIV negative,” the ad said, referring to the virus that causes AIDS. “Even near-terminal patients can recover.” Marjorie Phillips of Brockton offered the cure in a book for \$24, or through a 900-number at \$1.99 a minute. Attorney General Scott Harshbarger, seeking to warn “other cyber-snakeoil salesmen”, obtained a temporary restraining order knocking the ad off the Internet on Tuesday.

The book recommends using a compound of wormwood, cloves and black walnut hulls, said George Weber, who heads the attorney general’s consumer protection and antitrust division. Weber said there is no evidence that those ingredients help treat AIDS. [*Sounds like Hulga Clark’s book, The Cure for HIV and AIDS, doesn’t it, and I’m sure SHE would disagree that there is “no evidence...” So much for free speech, freedom of the marketplace, etc.!*]

JAPANESE HAVE A YEN FOR RODENT PETS

From the May 24 issue of *THE ORLANDO SENTINEL*, [quoting:]

AMARILLO, Texas—Using a vacuum truck to remove prairie dogs from their holes, a pest control company is making a profitable roundup of the burrowing rodents that are highly prized pets in Japan. “These little guys are worth \$700 apiece,” said Gay Balfour, a co-owner of the company Dog Gone and the inventor of the special vacuum. Workers capture three to four dozen prairie dogs a day using the vacuum. This year’s roundup is in its fourth week. [*I wonder if they might find gophers equally amusing—this might be my chance to make a lot of yen!*]

MUZAK WARDS OFF TROUBLE

From the April 26 issue of *THE ORLANDO SENTINEL*, [quoting:]

DALLAS—A McDonald’s’ is hoping to give street toughs the boot by serving up a little Bach with its burgers. Classical Muzak is played inside and outside the restaurant to scare away troublemakers and

loiterers. The music, which the restaurant began playing three weeks ago, already seems to be working, Manager Michael Horn said. And as an added benefit, the restaurant's business clientele enjoy it.

HIDDEN BLOOD "TRANSFUSIONS":
WHAT YOU DON'T
KNOW CAN KILL YOU!

Add this to the information you've been getting about NWO depopulation schemes and designer diseases—some of which are transmitted by blood. From the May 18 *GLOBE AND MAIL* (from Canada), [quoting:]

MONTREAL—When Jane Dunn received a letter from the Ottawa Civic Hospital just before Christmas telling her she may have received tainted blood, she was floored. A nurse with a good grasp of medical procedures, she was certain she had never had a transfusion. What Ms. Dunn had received, however, was the blood product Rhogam, which is given to about 15 per cent of pregnant women. (Women with Rh-negative blood, whose husbands are Rh-positive, risk developing antibodies that can kill their babies, and the drug is a preventive vaccine.)

“I think I'm like most people in this country. I didn't realize that a lot of drugs are blood products, and getting a transfusion isn't the only way you can get blood,” Ms. Dunn said. More than 600,000 Canadians a year are treated with blood and blood products, but many of them don't know it. There is also much misunderstanding about who needs blood. For example, heart surgery and most amputations usually require no blood these days, but much hip surgery does, and infections are increasingly treated with blood products. The most-used blood product is not traditional red blood cells, but intravenous immune globulins (IVIg) a cocktail of antibodies used to treat all sorts of infections. Quebec Premier Lucien Bouchard, for example, owes his life to IVIg because the drug helped his body fight off flesh-eating disease [*Of course, who does he have to thank for GETTING flesh-eating disease?*]

SEE CHART NEXT PAGE

chart of categories

CHAPTER 2

WHERE THERE'S SMOKE, THERE'S FIRE POSSIBLE MONTANA CONNECTION TO INTERNATIONAL DRUG TRAFFICKING

Editor's note: As we keep an eye on the Freeman situation in Montana, one can but also wonder about its value as a distraction from some other larger-picture goings-on. Maybe we need to be diverted from noticing the impending collapse of a very unstable stock market. Maybe attention needs to be drawn away from the engineered demise of the Clintons' reign through Whitewater and other sordid escapades. Maybe. Maybe.

And then there is the following information, which has been widely circulating since at least early February of this year. This version is extracted from the Internet. The subject matter concerns, "The north-central American state, Montana, [which] has for a long time served as a principal channel for the drug traffickers passing from Canada into the United States." Hmmm.

While CONTACT cannot verify the accuracy of the following report, we share it with you readers for whatever merit it may have as a possible contribution to the bigger picture and for supplying possibly yet another "convenient" reason for a strong Federal presence in Montana at this particular time. Coincidence? Only time will tell as the truth will, eventually, come out. However, considering our government's longstanding covert involvement in the international drug business (Clinton and Mena, Arkansas; Ollie North and Iran-Contra; etc.) one can not help but entertain the thought of connecting some very interesting dots.

DRUGS ARE TRAFFICKED FROM CANADA TO THE U.S.

This article was transmitted to a major Montana newspaper who had contacted the AP to research an article published in *Sofia* on February 1, 1996. I can attest that most of the info in the article is absolutely true. [Attorney Arnie] Hove, Jeanne Wolfe, Richard Hobbs, editor of the *Chinook Opinion* can be contacted and will give you bits of info; nobody knows about the article. Please be advised that my identity cannot be disclosed at this time but I am from Montana. MAC MAN

P.S. Please try to get this out to as many people as possible.

Date sent: Fri. 2 Feb 1996 23:54:52 +0200
From: 0518@main.infotel.bg (cts 272807920)
To: Subject: article from *Bulgaria Confidential*

Follows the text of the article published on the 1st February in my paper, the monthly *Bulgaria Confidential*. Yesterday I was approached by the local correspondents of AP. But they are very unprofessional and even do not know where Montana is. Because they have problems with the translation from Bulgarian into English they will be "checking the reliability of the newspaper". What idiots—they should check first of all

the article but they do not have the slightest idea about those things. More than that, one of the local AP people is connected to the money laundering through an insurance institution. That is why if there is some interest please contact me directly. At the end there is a short CV of mine just for the record and if there are some questions. Contact me by fax/phone 011-359-2 72 80 79 or my office 011-359-2-87 21 78. Please have in mind that I have already some expenses and further information should be paid.

Sincerely yours: Krassimir Ivandjiiski

DRUGS ARE
TRAFFICKED FROM CANADA TO THE U.S.
by Krassimir Ivandjiiski

Bulgaria Confidential disclosures, published for the first time incriminatory information about the traffic of drugs in the state of Montana /USA; local officials are involved; the scandal can shake the entire North America.

The following disclosures were made with the assistance of tens of people in the U.S., Canada and Europe, fighting against drug trafficking and international terrorism. Today some of them are not alive. Others continue the struggle, and have sought (sic) the cooperation of *Bulgaria Confidential*. In this way we assist the FBI, CIA, and Interpol. When we speak about the biggest enemy of the modern world—the Mafia and narcotraffic, there are no former enemies. There is a present human interaction. The following lines have been written wearily and tiresomely. But that is exactly what the fight with drug trafficking looks like.

The north-central American state, Montana, has for a long time served as a principal channel for the drug traffickers passing from Canada into the United States. The small American cities on the Montana-Canada border—Libby, Conrad, Havre, Chinook, and Sidney—have long been well known to the federal agencies as depots and destinations in the smuggling of heroin, cocaine and marijuana from the Canada-based Sicilian, Columbian, and Chinese Mafia. They execute their operations from Vancouver, in Canadian British Columbia. From there the Mafia directs its criminal activity within USA and Latin America.

The strategy of the drug traffickers is simple. The border of the state of Montana, famous for its clean environment, and untouched national parks and game reserves, stretches vastly and is almost unguarded. The traffickers also utilize the lack of border surveillance of the Canadian (sic) and Canadian border provincial governments. But more important to the Mafia is the availability of police protection from corrupt state and local government connections.

Sidney, Montana

From a closed police investigation in 1991, instituted by the police commissioner in the town of Sidney—Richard Hobbes linked the former deputy county attorney Phillip Carter and police detective David Schettine in large-scale Montana smuggling operations since the early 1980s. The drug operations in Sidney are also associated with the mysterious deaths of at least three people, who have known about the traffic and have cooperated with the FBI in its investigation: Jerry Herdt, Michael Wolfe, and Bruce Madsen. Michael Wolfe is alleged to have told acquaintances that he will be murdered by David Schettine shortly before he was found burned to death across the North Dakota border, not far from Sidney. Other numerous wit-

nesses have been harassed and falsely arrested after it was found that they had given information to the Montana criminal investigation bureau and the FBI.

Havre-Chinook, Montana

Since the 1980s the former Chinook County Attorney Donald Ranstrom has been linked to a large scale crime operation involving tons of cocaine and marijuana. Eye witnesses from the Chinook area have reported to the FBI that they have seen attorney Ranstrom unload hundreds of large packages of cocaine from a private airplane at the Chinook airport. Confidential information obtained recently has attributed statements to two Montana state prison inmates, Mr. James Wilson and Mr. David Bone that they had been directed to execute Chinook drug dealer Richard Cowan and his wife Bernadette in 1987. The killings occurred after the couple had threatened to go to the police to expose the drug operations in Chinook. The Cowans advised their friends that if anything should happen to them, "It would be because attorney Donald Ranstrom had ordered it." The conversation was recorded and has been made available to the FBI in the United States.

Attorney Dan Ranstrom is also closely associated with a president of Chinook's Montana Bank branch. The Montana Bank has offices through the state, and has been fined for its role in bankrolling the Kurth family drug operations. Two former employees of the Chinook, Montana Bank have provided information given to the FBI regarding money laundering operations of drug profits by Mr. Bill Larson and Donald Ranstrom. To date the information has not lead to any arrests in the U.S. One theory is that attorney Ranstrom has used his influential contact with popular republican governor, Marc Racicot to obstruct any investigations.

Libby, Montana

Libby-Eureka, in northeast Montana, has for a long time served as a drug depot, sent by the Sicilian Mafia of Joseph Bonanno from Canada. After a clandestine landing strip was established in the region, for planes shipping the drugs, local Montana Highway Patrol Officer Michael Remz began to stop the Bonanno vehicles over a three-month period, until one day he was found shot dead by his patrol car. To date we have no information whether Bonanno has abandoned the drug-smuggling operations at the Libby-Eureka landing strip.

Lambert, Montana

Clinton Mullen is the owner of a successful business in Lambert, Montana. During the spring of 1994 he sought the legal advice of Sidney attorney Phillip Carter. In his office Carter approached the Mullen family to haul drugs from Los Angeles to Sidney, Montana. Mullen declined the offer. Carter warned Mullen that he would make life for him "A Living Hell". Soon after, the Mullen firm was vandalized and burglarized, and the local police would not assist the Mullens. A few weeks later the Mullens were stalked and attacked outside a nightclub. Mr. and Mrs. Mullen placed reports with the local Police that an attorney and close friend of Phillip Carter, Gary Ryder had ordered the attack while in the nightclub. More than eight witnesses gave statements under oath to the local police confirming the Mullens' story. The Montana attorney general's office was called in to investigate the reports and did not clear attorney Ryder. At the same time the Mullens made their report Mr. Gary Ryder harassed the Mullens, the ir (sic) witnesses and their

attorney Mr. Arnie Hove at the local police station. Afterwards the Mullens hired a private investigator from Phoenix, Arizona to gather information. He travelled to Sidney in an unmarked car and found that he was followed by a police car, when he went to talk to witnesses. One witness, Anita Tomalino, received threats on her telephone that if she continued to talk, she would be killed. A recording of the threats made on the answering machine, was given to the FBI. By this time Clinton Mullen had retained Circle, Montana attorney Arnie Hove to sue Phillip Carter, Gary Ryder for attempted murder and robbery. During the same period Hove began to provide information to the FBI about attorney Carter and other individuals involved in drug operations in Sidney and eastern Montana. Both Hove and Mullen are now being sued in civil court by attorney Ryder. Hove has complained privately that he is now targeted in the Montana supreme court's commission on practice by Ryder, to lose his license because Ryder is on the commission on practice board.

Helena, Montana

Helena is the state capital of Montana. Here Governor Marc Racicot has a residence. Before the governor election in 1992, Racicot had been Montana Attorney General. It is from his office that the order to ignore all signals of drug trafficking in Sidney, Chinook, Lambert, Havre, and Libby was issued. Afterwards Racicot was elected state governor. Despite numerous witnesses who came forward with information Racicot advised news reporters he could find no evidence implicating those suspected of drug trafficking. During the investigation more than 20 witnesses were arrested on questionable charges. They were threatened by attorney Carter and Police Officer Schettine. Several witnesses died under mysterious circumstances. News stories by newspapers in Billings, Butte, Miles City and Chinook have implied that there may be a Helena influence protecting the drug operations. Racicot is a popular politician in Montana. Few, however know Racicot's history of substance abuse. He explains it by admitting he is a recovering alcoholic. Other information will also indicate long time involvement with attorney Donald Ranstrom and Phillip Carter. Marc Racicot has expressed privately his ambitions for the republican nomination for vice-president in 2000, despite that beneath his governor's window passes one of the biggest drug channels. If in the near future the expected arrests take place a national scandal will erupt in Montana, which will lead to the destruction of one of the big drug channels from Europe to Canada through the USA, Mexico and Columbia...

A big tragedy is hidden behind these statistical sentences. The tentacles of the "octopus" pass from Canada through the vast in territory, but inhabited by only 800,000 people, state of Montana. Because of its beauty and nature, it is desired by the American millionaires. But the octopus has already nested its lair here, and expects new victims. For the first time in the history of drug trafficking, through this publication, *Bulgaria* truly assists in the destruction of the global narco-web. For understandable reasons, we have not published all the information that we possess.

Krassimir Ivandjiiski, publisher of *Top Secret—Bulgaria Confidential* and other newspapers, born 1947, master of science international economic and diplomatic relations, journalist since 1972, author of more than 2000 publications and several books, head of international department of the largest former Bulgarian official newspaper, head of offices for Central Europe /Prague, Warsaw, Wien, and Africa/Addis Ababa, Harare, speaks English, Russian, Polish, Chezh (sic), Spanish, Bulgarian; used as a consultant by different international political and economic institutions, covering high-level meetings and conferences; co-president of the political movement "National Movement for Neutral Bulgaria"; available for consulting, marketing, coordinating, investigative, investment oriented communications and projects through Eastern Eu-

rope.

Please note: This message has been anonymized on request of its sender. If you would prefer not to receive anonymous mails from this machine, please contact postmaster@bi-node.zerberus.de and say so. For further information regarding this service, please send mail to remailer@bi-node.zerberus.de with subject 'remailer-help'.

1996 FREE SPEECH
MONTANA GOVERNOR THREATENS
LIABLE ACTION

Office of the Governor
State of Montana

April 25, 1996

Free Speech Newspaper
18631 N. 19th Avenue
Suit 128-200
Phoenix, AZ 85027

I have been sent a copy of Volume 1, Number 1, of a publication entitled *Free Speech*. On the front page of the issue is an article by Krassimir Ivandjiiski, purporting to describe drug trafficking in Montana. I also understand that the article is accessible on the Internet. A number of libelous statements and defamatory untruths are included in the article, including statements that I have a history of drug abuse and that I am a recovering alcoholic.

By this letter I am demanding a retraction of the article's contents, an explanation for its publication, and a reason why further legal action should not be taken against you and your newspaper.

Sincerely,

MARC RACICOT
Governor

REPLY TO GOVERNOR MARC RACICOT

April 29, 1996

Governor Marc Racicot
Office of the Governor
State of Montana
State Capitol
Helena, Montana 59620-0801

Re: "Drugs Are Trafficked from Canada to US" by Krassimir Ivandjiiski, Top Secret—Bulgaria Confidential, February 1, 1996.

Dear Governor Racicot:

I am in receipt of your letter of April 25, 1996 to *Free Speech Newspaper* demanding a retraction of the reprinted article we published from the February, 1996 *Top Secret—Bulgaria Confidential* by Krassimir Ivandjiiski. I am surprised that you have contacted *Free Speech Newspaper*. From what I understand the article has also been published by a wire service in England, a publication in Vancouver, B.C., in Australia, and has been extensively republished on the internet.

The thrust of the article is that there is unchecked political corruption in Montana involving drugs and law enforcement personnel. The conclusion reached by journalist Krassimir Ivandjiiski is that you have had personal knowledge and/or culpability in the covering up of investigations while you were Attorney General and during the course of your term as Governor, and that you have either ignored the reports or actively participated in the suppression of the witnesses.

Prior to publishing the article one of our staff researchers contacted sources in Montana which corroborated key premises of the Ivandjiiski article as to drug trafficking around the Havre area and the Sidney-Fairview-Lambert area. Our researcher, who has investigated extensively the Bonanno crime family operations in Arizona, also contacted investigator Michael Roe after he obtained a copy of the second report which was transferred to the FBI in Montana. Investigator Roe was adamant that his contact in the Montana Attorney General's office told him that you had given specific instructions that the files be ignored. Numerous other individuals in Montana also very freely gave *Free speech Newspaper* similar accounts.

It is clear to *Free Speech Newspaper* that you should answer some questions regarding your role in the investigations:

(1) Did you not approve of a complaint made by Sidney attorney Phillip N. Carter to the FBI, after affidavits and witness statements emerged, claiming Sidney attorney T.R. Halvorson attempted to illegally wiretap or employ electronic surveillance on the office of Phillip N. Carter, allegedly to gather information concerning Mr. Carter's supposed involvement in drug trafficking?

(2) Did you not personally prosecute Chinook Police Chief Bernie Brost, after he had begun an investigation of then Blaine County Attorney Donald Ranstrom? Did you as, required by law, disclose to the defense exculpatory information that Police Chief Brost had allegedly observed, along with several other local policemen, drug flights into the Chinook airport, which were allegedly destined for and supervised by Blaine County Attorney Ranstrom?

(3) Were you not ultimately responsible to supervise the 1991 investigation of alleged drug allegations made originally by Sidney City Councilman Richard Hobbs? Did you in fact know that investigator Dan Skultetich advised many of the witnesses he was "not interested in any incidents beyond three years old", concerning the targeted lawyers and law enforcement personnel?

(4) Did you not personally investigate allegations of criminal wrong doing against Blaine County Attorney

Donald Ranstrom in Chinook, Montana and in so doing refused to question or interview many of the witnesses?

These are the most fundamental questions raised from the information which we have received and which we believe you are personally and ethically responsible for. You have apparently used your “prosecutorial discretion” for the advantage of personal friends and as a result enough bodies have accumulated to cause you problems.

The *Bismarck Tribune* carried a front page article April 14, 1996 about the Wolfe-Madsen murders in the Sidney area. The bodies were found in North Dakota, 1.1 miles across the state line. According to the article you are in receipt of petitions from area residents with 2,555 signatures requesting a look at the deaths. Since the publication of the article in *Free Speech Newspaper* we have received overwhelming responses from Eastern Montana concerning the deaths. Mr. James Horrack has made an affidavit advising that Mr. Michael Wolfe told him he was fearful attorney Phillip N. Carter and Officer David Schettine were going to kill him. Michael Wolfe wrote a similar letter to his mother, and he told at least four friends that he “would be murdered by David Schettine” only days before his death.

We have also found that James Horack was interviewed under pretext in a most unusual fashion by Deputy County Attorney Gary Ryder in February, 1995 before Mr. Ryder left the Sidney area. Newspaper accounts from the *Sidney Herald* indicate both the Wolfe home and their attorney’s office were burglarized during the course of their inquiry into the death of Michael Wolfe.

Our newspaper, like most small newspapers, does not have enough resources to turn over every stone. But we know that voluminous documentation supports the *Michael Roe Report*. We also know attorney Arnie Hove of Circle, Montana has additional independent material corroborating similar drug allegations made in Sidney, Lambert, and Fairview against Phillip N. Carter and David Schettine. We are aware that libel actions have been filed against at least ten witnesses who have had the courage to come forward. Most of the actions have not been prosecuted which means they were likely filed to shut up the witnesses and warn the press not to get involved. We are aware that many of the Sidney witnesses who gave statements to the Montana Criminal Investigation Bureau were then prosecuted by Mr. Carter and Mr. Ryder assisted by Officer Schettine.

We are aware that Lambert trucker Clinton Mullen and his wife Rena testified under oath that they were approached by Phillip N. Carter to haul drugs for them. They respectfully declined. They were subsequently beaten outside a Sidney nightspot and numerous witnesses claim that Mr. Carter’s close friend Gary Ryder instigated the beating. Shortly afterward while the investigation into the beating had not been resolved, County Attorney Ryder prosecuted Clinton Mullen on a ludicrous felony theft charge, and then after the charge was thrown out in District Court, Mr. Ryder appealed the decision to the Montana Supreme Court where it was also disregarded. Mr. Ryder is now suing Clinton Mullen and his former attorney Arnie Hove for allegedly ruining his “reputation”.

We are aware that two Montana prison inmates have implicated individuals around Havre including Donald Ranstrom, in the murders of Richard Cowan and his common law wife Bernadette Doiron. We are aware that reports of drug flights to Lambert, Montana were ignored in the investigation made by your office when you were Attorney General. We are aware that your investigator Dan Skultetich told Sidney City

Councilmen Richard Hobbs that an eye witness admitted to using illegal drugs with attorney Carter while he was prosecutor. We are aware that the resultant report stated effectively that “no evidence of criminal involvement was found”. We believe this statement is false and calculated to protect a drug ring and a series of killings linked to several attorneys and police officers in Montana.

The pattern of lawsuits and harassment of witnesses by the suspects will not be tolerated by the world press. *Free Speech Newspaper* will not be bullied Governor Racicot. We stand by Krassimir Ivandjiiski and believe his article to be a well researched, well written product of good journalism. We take your threat seriously and we intend to make sure no person in Montana is allowed to suppress the news of the drug trafficking and killings. *Free Speech Newspaper* will publish continued articles concerning clear official duplicity in the “Hi-Line Murders”. We offer you an opportunity to publish your response to the above inquiries and the Ivandjiiski article. In the event you do not respond within ten days of the date of this letter we assume that you do not further dispute the assertions in the Ivandjiiski article and this letter. We will print your reply uncensored.

Very truly yours,
Ron Paulson

ROE REPORT

THIS IS THE FIRST OF 45 AFFIDAVITS AND REPORTS CIRCULATING AROUND MONTANA CONCERNING THE MONTANA DRUG SCANDAL. THE KEY DATES ARE THAT THE ROE INVESTIGATION BEGAN IN SUMMER OF 1992. THE INVESTIGATOR WAS MET WITH UNUSUALLY ACTIVE SURVEILLANCE...THE WOLFE-MADSEN MURDERS OCCURRED MID-DECEMBER 1993 PRIOR TO THE SECOND REPORT’S SUBMISSION.

NOTE: The following document has been scanned from the original. Certain names have been omitted. Some scanning errors may remain.

ROE INVESTIGATIONS

To: Mr. James Wilson, Federal Bureau of Investigation, P.O. box 2647, Great Falls, Montana 59403

February 2, 1994

Re: Alleged Criminal wrongdoings in the State of Montana.

Dear Sir: This is the second report that I have put together regarding the above entitled matter. Our first report, according to Mr. Alexander, did not reach you.

This investigator first became involved with the following investigation in the summer of 1992 when I was hired by a Mr. Russell Haugen to investigate civil complaint that he had against a banker in Chinook, Montana by the name of Bill Larson. Mr. Larson is the President of the Chinook Branch of the Bank of Montana.

In the course of the investigation, a number of people that I interviewed indicated to me that they did not want to get involved by testifying against Mr. Larson as they were afraid of the subject as he was “protected” and Mr. Larson was alleged to be involved in any number of things including: (1) The laundering of drug money through his bank. (2) Personal drug usage. (3) Conspiracy in the murder of two people in Chinook—a man by the name of Richard Cowan and his girlfriend Bernadette Doiron who were killed on or around January 21, 1987. Two people were convicted in the killings, Lloyd James Wilson and Robert Henry Bone. (4) The subject was alleged to be involved in the transportation of narcotics. In the course of my investigation this investigator found two people who will be invaluable in helping in any investigation you may decide to conduct. Both of these people know the potential witnesses and can help get these witnesses to talk to you. They also know where these witness may be contacted. These two people are:

(1) Mike Perry: Mr. Perry is the editor of the *Chinook Opinion* newspaper. Mr. Perry can be contacted at 406-357-2680. Mr. Perry can be of immense help in substantiating the material relative to this in the Chinook/Havre area of Montana.

(2) (Name Withheld): Mr. (Name Withheld) is an attorney in (Location Withheld) Montana and can help with the witnesses in this area. (Name Withheld) can be contacted at 406XXXX

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

In the course of this investigation the general allegations were that Mr. Larson is and has been involved with a man by the name of Don Ranstrom who is the Blaine County Attorney, in the importation, use and sale of narcotics, in the murder previously mentioned, and in laundering funds for Ranstrom & the other people involved in the narcotics operation. Much of the information we report here is very dated and the statute has run. However if you interview these people, most will tell you that the people involved are still involved and these witnesses may be able to lead you to current involvement.

I will not go through a step-by-step, day-by-day record of my investigation but will list the people you may wish to be interviewed and a brief overview as to what they can testify to. In the interest of brevity we will not include anything as to the civil case we first started with unless it has something to do with the alleged criminal activity.

POTENTIAL WITNESSES

Mr. Mark Harshman: Chinook, Montana, (406) 357-311. Mr. Harshman is the attorney for Lloyd James Wilson, one of the men who pled guilty to the murder of Richard Cowan. From other witnesses this investigator was led to believe that the killing of Mr. Cowan was on the orders of Mr. Ranstrom, the Blaine County Attorney. In conversations that I have had with Mr. Harshman he states that Mr. Wilson will testify that he and Mr. Bone killed Richard Cowan on the orders of two local drug dealers, brothers by the names of Pete and Fred Dion. These two people are alleged to be the people who run the narcotics for, and under the protection of, Mr. Ranstrom. Mr. Wilson now states that everyone knew that Ranstrom was running the narcotics but he has no personal knowledge.

Mr. Lloyd James Wilson: Can be contacted through his attorney Mr. Harshman. Currently serving his sentence at the Montana State Prison at Deer Lodge, Montana. See section above under the heading of

Mark Harshman. He is alleged to have killed Mr. Cowan to keep Mr. Cowan quiet. Mr. Cowan had a court hearing and had bragged to friends that he was not going to go to jail and that he had threatened Ranstrom with exposure if Ranstrom didn't help him.

Mr. Robert Henry Bone: Mr. Bone has kept quiet about his involvement until just recently. Mr. Bone is now, I understand, willing to talk about the killing of Mr. Cowan and Miss Doiron. Mr. Bone is also willing to state that he ran packages of either dope or money from Mr. Dion to Mr. Ranstrom's house prior to the killing of Mr. Cowan. Mr. Bone states that he realized who the man was that he delivered the package to (Mr. Ranstrom), after he and Mr. Wilson had been arrested and taken into custody and they were [taken to Mr. Ranstrom's home after his arrest. It is this investigator's understanding that he is willing to testify to this and more concerning the drug dealing in the area of Havre and Chinook and can indeed provide more information on the activities of the Dion brothers and others, possibly including Mr. Ranstrom. I would suggest that the first contacts involving Mr. Bone might also be made through Mr. Mark Harshman.

Mr. Jamie Sharples: Mr. Sharples is a Jeweler in the town of Chinook and was, and may still be a major drug dealer in Chinook. Mr. Sharples recently informed Mr. Mike Perry, editor of the *Chinook Opinion*, that he (Mr. Sharples) would be willing to go undercover for the "feds" to nail the Dions, Ranstrom, another drug dealer by the name of Gail (Pegleg) Schulte, and others involved in the drug trade in Havre and Chinook. Mr. Sharples may be having current problems with law enforcement and may be looking for a way out. I would suggest that you contact Mr. Sharples through (name omitted).

Mr. Bernie Goldman: Mr. Goldman is a former attorney in Missoula who was caught and convicted of possession for resale and who became a government witness against several of his former associates in the drug business. According to statements of several witnesses, Mr. Goldman dealt with and has evidence that would incriminate both Mr. Ranstrom and another attorney by the name of Phillip Carter who lives in Sidney, Montana. Mr. Carter is the former County Attorney in Sidney and is the owner of a bowling alley in Havre, Montana which, according to witnesses, has been used as a meeting place to set up drug deals. There are allegations that Mr. Carter and Mr. Ranstrom are tied together in the drug business. It is my understanding that Mr. Goldman is now in the Witness Protection Program.

(Name Withheld): (Name Withheld) XXXXXXXXXXXX lives with her husband, XXXXX on a XXXXXXXX of Chinook Montana and she works as the XXXXXXXXXXXX in Chinook and is one of the assistants to Bill Larson, President. In my interview with XXXXXXXXXXXX she was very guarded in her answers as to any improprieties on the part of Mr. Larson. XXXXXXXXXXXX is very loyal to the bank, but detests Mr. Larson. After interviewing her for some time she stated that she knows for fact that Mr. Larson has been involved in any number of illegal or improper activities involving the bank, and states that she has these improprieties documented, but refused to allow this investigator to see these documents and stated that she was saving them and, as soon as she retired from the bank, was going to use them to "get" Mr. Larson. At the time of my interview with XXXXXXXXXXXX she stated that the Bank Auditors were auditing the bank and that Mr. Larson was "scared to death" about something. She also states that several years ago another banker in Montana was arrested for laundering money and that this banker died shortly after his arrest when he fell down a mountain and that Mr. Larson became very paranoid after this incident and began to worry so much that he actually lost his hair. If the information I have received is true and there is a weak link in this operation it would be Mr. Larson. It is this investigator's opinion, based upon what have been told that a thorough criminal audit of this bank branch might well uncover any of the alleged

wrong doings by Mr. Larson. I also believe that direct contact by yourself with XXXXXXXXXXXX would force her to bring forth any documentation she has.

XXXXXXXXXX: (Name Omitted) worked for the Bank of Montana at Chinook and now resides in the Salt Lake City, Utah area. She stated to Mike Perry that the Chinook Bank, run by Mr. Larson was “the dirtiest bank she had ever seen.” She initially quit the bank because of the improprieties she noted because she didn’t want to be involved.

Mr. Bernie Brost: Mr. Brost is still living in Montana and can be contacted at 406-765-151g (sic). I would contact Mr. Brost either through Mr. (Omitted) or through (Omitted). In my interview with Mr. Brost he came across as very honest but very paranoid. Mr. Brost stated that he was the former Chief of Police in Chinook, Montana and that as such he attempted to do his job in a professional and honest manner. He stated that he had started an investigation into the possibility of illegal activities, possibly involving drugs, on the parts of various people in Chinook, including Mr. Larson, Mr. Ranstrom, Chinook Police Officer, Alan Delk and others when he was called into the office of the mayor and fired for no reason. Mr. Brost stated that he knows of two other police officers who have been fired, forced off of the Chinook department because of their investigation into the activities of some of these people. He stated that an Officer Jim Wagoner and Officer John McCracken as well as the former Chief of Police Jerry Liese were fired for attempting to investigate Ranstrom and Larson and another man by the name of Kurt Hebbelman, whose father John Hebbelman Jr., owns a flying service in Chinook. According to Mr. Brost these officers observed a man whom they believed to be Mr. Larson pick up a briefcase from a person identified as Mr. Hebbelman, at the Chinook Airport, and delivered this briefcase to the residence of Mr. Ranstrom. The transfer of the briefcase took place in the early morning hours and the airplane landed in the dark, with no landing lights on. They felt this was very suspicious and instituted the investigation that eventually got them fired. Brost then informed this investigator about the conversation he had with Kelly Sawyer (See enclosed addendum “A”, the data given this investigator by Mike Perry). This subject states that he has documentation to prove much of what he has said, but also indicated that he is very worried about possible retaliation against himself by Mr. Ranstrom.

NOTE: PLEASE STUDY THE ENCLOSED ADDENDUM “A” REFERRED TO ABOVE, GIVEN THIS INVESTIGATOR BY XXXXXXXXXXXX FOR ADDITIONAL PERTINENT INFORMATION.

Darrel And Ramona Doney: They can be contacted thru Mr. Mike Perry in Chinook, Montana. They have personal knowledge of the people involved in the drug trafficking and the murders in and around Chinook.

Mike Perry: Mike Perry can be reached at the *Chinook Opinion* in Chinook, Montana at 406-357-2680. Mr. Perry’s address is P.O. 809 (sic), Chinook, Montana 59523. Mr. Perry has been investigating the various allegations of improprieties in the area of Chinook for the past 11 years. Mr. Perry has many people, in addition to those above, who are willing to talk and testify. For example, Mr. Perry has information on a man by the name of Harshaw who allegedly killed his girlfriend and then killed himself. Mr. Perry has one or more people who maintain that Harshaw was killed on the orders of (Name Omitted). There is also a man by the name of Rocky Kallousa who committed suicide in the Havre City Jail, who, according to some of Mr. Perry’s sources, was killed to keep him quiet. Although Mike Perry is a newspaper man he can be counted on to help and at the same time maintain his silence about any investi-

gation. He can be totally trusted. In the course of my investigation, there were allegations that several other County Attorneys in Montana were involved with Mr. Ranstrom in the narcotics business.

Based upon information received we also conducted an investigation in the Sidney, Montana area and interviewed several people who state as follows:

(Name Omitted) is an attorney in (Omitted). And can be contacted at (Omitted). His address is (Omitted). Much of the following information in this report, comes from, or as a result of (Omitted).

BACKGROUND, INFORMATION & ALLEGATIONS IN SIDNEY, MONTANA

This investigator was sent to Sidney, Montana in an attempt to substantiate charges that a former County Attorney (and now City Attorney) by the name of Phillip Carter was involved in the illegal harassment of a man by the name of Clint Mullen Jr. and was as well as being heavily involved in illegal drug trafficking in the Sidney, Montana area. This investigator was hired by Clint Mullen Jr., through his attorney, Mr. Arnie Hove who is the County Attorney in Circle, Montana.

Mr. Clint Mullen Jr.: Clint lives in Sidney, Montana with his wife and son and can be contacted at 408-708-3327, and 406-798-3680 (h). Mr. Mullen owns a trucking company by the name of *Mullen Trucking*. Mr. Mullen is a hard working individual who, except for a few minor brushes with the law enforcement as a teenager, has led a rather normal life.

Mr. Mullen informed this investigator that he had no problem with the law until after he was approached by Philip Carter, then County Attorney in Sidney at which time Mr. Carter suggested that Clint haul drugs for him around the country. Clint refused and Carter informed him that he (Carter) could make Clint's life a living hell, at which time Clint told Carter to "go to hell".

Since that time Mr. Carter has interfered with Mr. Mullens' business, filed law suits against him, and according to Mr. Mullen may be the person behind the physical assault against Mr. Mullen that happened at a Sidney bar by the name of The Triangle Club. Owned by (Name Omitted). This assault may be important to you because if indeed it was instigated by members of the County Attorney's office, it would be a major violation of Mr. Mullen's Civil Rights, and abuse of power, by those involved.

The assault took place in the morning hours of September 12, 1993 when another member of the County Attorney's office in Sidney, a man by the name of Gary Ryder was in the Bar when Mr. Mullen, his wife Rena, her mother Cathy Berglund, Cathy's half-brother Darryl Berglund and Clint's brother Clay walked into the bar. After Mr. Mullen and his friends sat down in the bar they noticed Mr. Ryder going from table to table and pointing to and gesturing at the Mullens party and laughing. He was approached by Philip Carter, then County Attorney in Sidney, at which time Mr. Carter suggested that Clint haul drugs for him around the country. Clint refused and Carter informed him that he (Carter) could make Clint's life a living hell, at which time Clint told Carter to "go to hell".

When the bar closed, a group of people who Mr. Ryder had been talking to and to whom he had pointed out Mr. Mullen to, jumped Mr. Mullen and his brother and attempted to beat them severely. This group of

people were Sidney area residents and include the following:

(1) Monte Schade who lives in Sidney and is a former football player for Montana State University and the Canadian Football League. Mr. Schade is alleged to be very close to Mr. Ryder and to Phillip Carter as he is currently attempting to become a Probation Officer in Sidney, Montana.

(2) Marty Schade (Monte's brother) is a college student and works at the Yellowstone Mercantile in Sidney and lives at 302 Pleasant Avenue, Fairview, Montana.

(3) Guy Meldy who lives in Sidney at 815 4th S.W. and is the athletic coach at the Sidney High School.

The assault was witnessed by the following people:

(1) Cathy Berglund, Rena Mullens' mother. She resides at 621 4th Street, Sidney, Montana. She states that the Schade brothers started the fight without warning by just walking up to Clint Mullen Jr. and hitting him without saying anything.

(2) Darryl Berglund, Rena Mullens' half brother, states he did not see what started the fight but looked over and saw three men, the two Schade brothers and Guy Meldy on top of Clint Mullen Jr.

(3) Dan Miller, who lives with Darryl Berglund in Sidney, states that he did not see who threw the first punch, but saw the fight and saw three men on top of Clint Mullen Jr.

(4) Anita Tomalina can be contacted at 406-482-5142. She works at the *Auto Parts Store* in Sidney and lives behind the *Sidney Pocket*. She states that she saw the three men jump on top of Clint Mullen, in the parking lot of the Triangle Club and start to hit him. She stated that she did not see Clint do anything to start the fight and in fact she saw Clint backing up and telling the three men he did not want to fight. Please note that after talking to me Ms. Tomalina went home for lunch and found a message on her answering machine from an unknown male telling her that she had better keep her mouth shut about the assault on Mullen or she would be next. The only people who could have known that I was talking to Ms. Tomalina were the Sidney Police Department who had me under surveillance

Please note that on the last day of my investigation in Sidney, Montana, this investigator approached the sheriff of the county who was very angry and upset that I attempted to interview one of his deputies without his (the sheriff's) permission. After discussing the situation with the sheriff, the sheriff cooled down and explained that he had nothing against me, but had no use for the Mullens' attorney, Arnie Hove and was very bitter about being named as a defendant by Mr. Hove in a lawsuit against the county. Eventually, the sheriff adopted the attitude that he would cooperate any way he could but, as the County was a Defendant in the lawsuit, he felt that the County Attorney should be present at any interview with he or his deputy, Mr. Rankin. It was at this point that I first found out that a lawsuit had been filed by Mr. Hove on the part of the Mullens against the County, on alleged prior bad acts, prior to the assault.

Mr. Jack Lorenz: This investigator met with Mr. Jack Lorenz in Sidney, Montana. Mr. Lorenz can be contacted at 406-747-5600 in Fairview, Montana. Mr. Lorenz stated that he has evidence of drug involvement on the part of Mr. Carter and a Sidney detective by the name of David Schettine. He stated that

would only give this information to a Federal Agency, as he knew better than to trust local, county or state agencies. He stated that he had firm evidence of wrong doing.

Mr. Andy Hollom: Mr. Hollom was, many years ago, a very close friend of Clint Mullen Jr's brother Clay Mullen. Clay and I attempted to locate Mr. Hollom at his family farm outside of Sidney but could not locate him. It appeared that there was no electricity at the farm, but there was ample evidence that someone was living there. We spent a great deal of time looking for Mr. Hollom as it was generally acknowledged that he has worked for Mr. Carter selling drugs. The people we spoke to who knew Mr. Hollom stated that he had stated this on more than one occasion but stated that Andy was in hiding because he was afraid of Mr. Carter.

We spoke to Andy's brother-in-law, Randy Schleve in Williston who stated that he grew up with Mr. Hollom and that he has been involved in drug dealing all of his life. According to Randy, Andy was present at a raid at his (Andy's) uncle's house when the "Narcs" broke through the door and arrested Andy's uncle and would have arrested Andy also except Andy ran through the back of the house and disappeared into the night. Randy states that Andy is OK, but is really spooked at this point and stated that he may be up on the Indian reservation letting things "cool off".

We also spoke with Andy's sister-in-law Gaylee Hollom who is married to Andy's brother Bill. She stated that she would try to get a message through to Andy to call this investigator. However, we never did receive a call from Andy.

(Name Omitted): Mr. XXXXXX is a photo journalist and lives in Sidney. He can be contacted at 406-XXX-XXXX. This investigator had a detailed conversation with this individual and he stated that he is a former drug user and pusher. He states that he is very close to the drug community and would be willing to go undercover for a federal agency to purchase drugs from Carter and other "dirty" police officers in Sidney. He stated he would only work with a federal agency as he did not trust any of the local or state officers. He stated that his reason is that he now knows how stupid that drugs are and he is seeing younger and younger kids starting to use dope and it has to stop.

Billy Joe Mullen: She lives at MCR 84, Box 3, Lambert, Montana and is the sister of Clint Mullen Jr. She states that when Mr. Carter was the County Attorney he was buying her drinks in a local bar when he knew that she was only 16 years old.

ADDITIONAL GENERAL INFORMATION

In the course of this investigation we talked to and interviewed many other people, who either refuse to give any information or who talked to us with the understanding that they would not be called on to testify and stated that they would deny even talking to this investigator if approached by an attorney or a law enforcement agent, regardless of the circumstances. Be advised as follows.

Mr. Arnie Hove has a secretary who we met with in the presence of Mr. Hove. The secretary did not give this investigator her name but stated that she, her husband and children live across the street from a man by the name of Kenny Phillips. Mr. Phillips lives at HCR 57, Box 4018. She states that Mr. Phillips has a weed control business with a Sidney Police Officer by the name of Smitty and that one week a month Mr. Phillips drives to Louisiana and returns and that, after returning, 20 to 40 people drive into Mr. Phillips'

driveway, every day for “days on end”, walk up to the door, stay 2-3 minutes and come out with a brown bag. She further states that several days after his return she has seen this police officer by the name of Smitty drive into Mr. Phillips’ driveway go up to the door, talk to Mr. Phillips and then, leave with a larger brown bag. She states that “everyone knows that Smitty and Mr. Phillips are in the drug business together”. She states also that her children play with the Phillips children and that her children have told her that the Phillips talk about drugs all the time. This potential witness states that she is very scared and does not want to do anything that will get Smitty or Carter after her or her family.

There are a number of people in the Sidney, Montana area who are alleged to be drug dealers working for Mr. Carter, including the following:

1. Darby Dahl, Stockman Bar, Sidney, Montana.
2. Keith Stouts who recently moved to Klackamas, Oregon.
3. Terry Brownell Lives in Sidney at Lincoln & 5th S.W.
4. Sam Simard, lives south of Sidney.

Enclosed herein is a report this investigator received from Mr. (Name Omitted). This report was completed by Darrel Chenoweth and details information on drug activity in the area of Sidney, Montana. We will not detail this information as we are attempting to reduce duplicate information that you have been, or should have been, given by (Name Omitted) or others.

The following is a list of names of people who came up in our investigation and their alleged knowledge or involvement with Phillip Carter in the drug scene in the Sidney area. .

Ron Welnel, Montana State Prison, Deer Lodge, alleged to have been a dealer for Phillip Carter.

Ron Transrud lives in Grassy Butte, North Dakota, parents live in Scoby, Montana, has information that will tie Phillip Carter to drug activity in the Sidney area.

Lonnie Boschee, works for Holly Sugar in Sidney. Alleged to have drug information ties to Carter.

(Name Omitted), lives south of Sidney, 406-482-8605, allegedly involved in drugs with Carter.

Ms. Terry Lee (maiden name Alanouette), lives in Denver, Colorado, has ties to Carter.

Allen Burke, lives 204 11th Ave. S.W., Sidney, has alleged ties to Carter and drugs.

Wendy Valle, a card dealer from Canada, lives in Miles City, Montana, has information on Carter and is willing to go undercover to nail Carter.

Becky Tyler, her husband works for the County Dump and she is a (omitted) in Great Falls, Montana. Has information on Carter.

David Linde, Sidney, Montana, 747-5039. Info on the drug scene in Sidney.

John Welnel, owns Triangle bar, his brother Ron Welnel is a prisoner at Deer Lodge.

“Taco” Oliveras, at Deer Lodge, has direct tie to Carter and drugs. In conclusion, regarding the possible violation of Civil Rights on the part of Mr. Carter against Clint Mullen Jr: I ran a check on the civil suits filed against Mr. Mullen, many of them involving Mr. Carter and we find that in the 16 civil suits we uncovered, there is no affidavit of service on file showing that Mr. Mullen had knowledge of the suits in 8 of these suits and the files in two more show service by regular mail. Mr. Mullen claims that he was never notified of these lawsuits, until after judgement had been entered against him. The suits with no affidavits of service are as follows: DV93-00S, D03-042, DVG-121 Dval-aDo DY92-02tt, DV92-063 DVS2-075 and D`193-019. The two suits where service was shown by mail are 1)V93-034 and DVg1-124. If indeed there is a pattern of harassment and denial of due process this could be part of that pattern.

There were many other people, as we mentioned before, who were interviewed but refused to talk to us, or denied knowledge of any wrongdoing. We have not detailed the conversations with these people.

We will discontinue this report at this point. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call.

Sincerely,

Michael W. Roe, Phoenix, AZ

BACK TO FREE SPEECH
NEWSPAPER HOME PAGE

Free Speech

18631 North 19th Avenue, Suite 128-200
Phoenix, Arizona 85027
(602) 238-5567

e-mail: CallMe@FreeSpeechNews.com

CHAPTER 3

F. E. M. A. AND THE NEW WORLD ORDER by Ray Bilger 6/4/96

There is to be observed today a great unhappiness and unrest among the general population with the way things are in the world: wars, famine, disease, violence, plagues, murder, suicide, unemployment, hate, chaos and confusion, etc., in most places. It might actually be more accurate to say: unhappy with the way things have been intentionally created for us. It is most interesting to note (and this paper is an examination of it) that a mere 3% of the world's population has done (and is doing) this to the other 97% of us.

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (hereinafter F.E.M.A.) was originally formed as a national executive branch agency at the very end of the Carter Administration. Its purpose was to do nothing more than coordinate national flood relief, hurricane relief, the Red Cross, etc. These various relief agencies would now all be under one umbrella to better coordinate their functions in the event of a national emergency.

After Ronald Reagan was elected to the presidency he installed Louis Giuffrida as the head of F.E.M.A. Giuffrida was an old cold warrior from Reagan's California days, whose specialty was supervision of unrest and dissent. Giuffrida, U.S.M.C. Lt. Col. Oliver North and Vice President George Bush began to turn F.E.M.A. into an instrument of domestic anti-terrorism.

During the early 1980s when plans were discussed for a possible direct military strike into Central America (remember the Sandinistas were running Nicaragua, with the U.S. supporting the Contras), there were concomitant plans for the United States involving domestic military exercises or a war games scenario called "REX 84", or Readiness Exercise, 1984. The operational plan of REX 84 was undertaken under F.E.M.A. The main rationale of REX 84 was to round up 400,000 undocumented Central American aliens, and then incarcerate them in ten military detention camps.

This plan was thoroughly rehearsed. However, if you have rehearsed the rounding up of half-a-million aliens, you have also rehearsed the rounding up of half-a-million critics of the administration or the government. We must remember that those who would conduct this sort of activity comprise a group of people who have equated political dissent with treason. It would appear they are apparently unable to differentiate between emergency procedures, which everyone agrees are necessary, and suppressing political dissent.

Recall, if you will, the Iran-Contra Hearings held by Congress and aired nationwide on television. At one point in the hearings, Representative Jack Brooks of Texas was questioning Lt. Col. Oliver North as follows:

[QUOTING:]

Rep. Jack Brooks:

“Col. North, in your work at the N.S.C. were you not assigned at one time to work on plans for the continuity of government in the event of a major disaster?”

Col. North’s Attorney:

“Mr. Chairman!”

Sen. Daniel Inouye(Chairman):

“I believe that question touches on a highly sensitive and classified area, so may I request that you not touch upon that, sir?”

Rep. Jack Brooks:

“I was particularly concerned, Mr. Chairman, because I read in Miami papers and several others, that there had been a plan developed by that same agency, a contingency plan in the event of an emergency that would suspend the American *Constitution*, and I was deeply concerned about that. I’m wondering if that was the area in which he had worked. I believed that it was...”

Sen. Daniel Inouye:

“May I most respectfully request that that matter not be touched upon at this stage. If we wish to get into this, I’m certain that arrangements can be made for executive session.”

[END OF QUOTING]

And, of course, we know that executive session means they go behind closed doors to discuss what they don’t want the general public to hear about. But if they are discussing suspending the *Constitution of the United States of America*, doesn’t every citizen have a full right to know exactly what they are talking about, right now? We would have that right if we were functioning under the *Constitution*. What most people have not yet realized is that we have been functioning in the New World Order (hereinafter N.W.O.) for many years, and, as such, we function under the United Nations Charter, within the Treaty Power of the *Constitution*. (Please see the case of Sei Fujii v. State, 217 P.2d 481, from California, 1950)

Those who are aware of what is happening will know that they were talking about REX 84 and the F.E.M.A. plans. So what was operation REX 84, really? Silencing of all dissidents. Mass detention facilities, otherwise known as concentration camps, have been set up in a number of major U.S. military installations on the secret order of ex-President Reagan. The Executive Orders which established these camps have been canceled because the camps are now in place. The White House issued a highly classified National Security Decision Directive (N.S.D.D.) which set forth urgent instructions which “activated” 10 huge prison camps at key defense command locations across America.

Two trustworthy sources (career Army officers) revealed that this would involve an unprecedented roundup of “security suspects”, coast to coast. They also said this vast police operation would apply “C&C” (capture and custody) measures against political opponents, resisters, and outspoken critics whom our

government considers “dangerous”.

Four of the principle civilian concentration camps are located at Ft. Chaffee, Arkansas; Ft. Drum, New York; Ft. Indiantown Gap, Pennsylvania; and, Camp A.P. Hill, Virginia. Each camp is designed to hold at least 25,000 prisoners. Additional facilities are being readied at Oakdale, California; Eglin A.F.B., So. Florida; Vandenburg A.F.B., California; Ft. McCoy, Wisconsin; Ft. Benning, Georgia; Ft. Huachuca, Arizona; and in the Justice Dept. detention and interrogation center at Camp Krome, Miami, Florida.

Federal agencies involved would include F.B.I., C.I.A., U.S. Marshals, I.N.S., U.S. Customs, U.S. Coast Guard, National Guard, and local and state police. According to a military source, it will begin with aliens and refugees, but that may expand quickly. Another source close to the program claims another target will be “major, organized tax resisters”.

Through his own sources, the author of this paper has confirmed this as very valid information. The information is not intended to scare us, but rather to enlighten us into action which might reverse this.

Not a single one of the sources just mentioned could cite a constitutional or legal precedent for such a staggering mass roundup of civilians by American authorities during “peacetime”. Of course, most informed people know we are not in peacetime but rather in full Martial Law. Note the gold-fringed flag the next time you are in or near a courtroom, or notice it in the Senate and House of Representatives. You will see it behind the President when he speaks. This is not the flag of the United States of America, which is red, white and blue. The gold-fringe denotes the Admiralty/Maritime flag and it definitely confers a jurisdiction alien to the U.S. of A. It is the “Executive” flag of Martial Law.

The majority of Americans have lived all their lives under Martial Law and don’t even know it. Under the powers delegated to the President in a declared state of emergency the office of the Presidency wields powers ordinarily exercised only by Congress. This vast range of powers confers enough authority to rule the country without reference to normal constitutional processes.

To better understand how all of this fits into the N.W.O. and the United Nations (hereinafter U.N.) it is necessary to first examine an extremely powerful organization called the Council on Foreign Relations (hereinafter C.F.R.).

On May 30, 1919, several members of the delegations to the Paris Peace Conference (which was dominated by Baron Edmond de Rothschild of France) met at the Hotel Majestic in Paris to discuss setting up a group which would advise their respective governments on international affairs. At a subsequent meeting on June 5, 1919, it was decided that it would be best to have separate organizations cooperating with each other. Consequently, these planners organized the C.F.R. which would be based in New York. It was not officially founded until July 29, 1921.

Money for the founding of the C.F.R. came from J.P. Morgan, Paul Warburg and John D. Rockefeller, among others. This was the same group of wealthy men who were instrumental in forming the Federal Reserve. The C.F.R. was, and still is, the most powerful private organization which advises the government of the United States on foreign policy. It is worth noting that all of President Bill Clinton’s cabinet members are current active members of the C.F.R. Have you ever even heard the C.F.R. mentioned in

public?

In 1945, at the founding conference of the U.N., held in San Francisco, California, 47 members of the C.F.R. were in the United States delegation. To make certain that the United States would not back out of joining the U.N., as it did with the League of Nations, the international body would this time be located on American soil. It was determined that this would make the American public less resistant to the move. The land for the U.N. building was “graciously” donated by John D. Rockefeller, Jr. The land had been a slaughterhouse and the soil where the U.N. buildings now stand had been fully saturated with the blood of slaughtered animals. If we fully understand the real purpose of the U.N., we will realize that no place else could be more fitting.

With the establishment of the U.N., the C.F.R. had accomplished a major step toward the eventual achievement of a one world government (the N.W.O.). Thus, the immediate purpose of the U.N. was merely to warm Americans up to the idea of global government. Many Americans today continue to view the U.N. as a “good organization”. It is truly amazing what a thorough media publicity campaign can accomplish. Anyone who may still think the U.N. has any good intentions should read the U.N. Charter in its entirety.

The term New World Order has been used privately by the C.F.R. since it was founded to describe the coming one world government. In the last few years, however, C.F.R. members, for the first time, began using the term publicly to start the conditioning process necessary so that the unsuspecting public might brace and prepare themselves for what lies ahead. The C.F.R. believes that if the American people hear the term often enough, they will be less likely to resist or feel threatened when that fateful day arrives.

How many people realize that one of the symbols portraying the term “New World Order” was placed on the back of our one dollar bill during the Franklin D. Roosevelt Administration? Check it out, it’s still there today. It consists of a multipart message including the pyramid containing the eye of Osiris. Under the pyramid, written in Latin, we find the words “Novus Ordo Seclorum”. Translated from the Latin it means “New World Order”.

David Rockefeller, one of the directors of the C.F.R. from 1949 to 1985, speaking in June 1991, at a Bilderberger (another N.W.O. group) meeting in Germany, stated, “We are grateful to the *Washington Post*, the *New York Times*, *Time* magazine and other great publications whose directors have attended our meetings and respected their promises of discretion for almost forty years”. He went on to explain, “It would have been impossible for us to develop our plan for the world if we had been subject to the bright lights of publicity during those years. But, the world is now more sophisticated and prepared to march towards a world government. The supranational sovereignty of an intellectual Elite and world bankers is surely preferable to the national autodetermination practiced in past centuries”.

The complicity of the media in concealing the plans of the C.F.R. from the public is easy to understand when we realize that C.F.R.’s 2,670 current active members include the top echelon of all the major media organizations (including, along with those already mentioned, *Newsweek* magazine, the *Wall Street Journal*, *National Review*, C.B.S., N.B.C., A.B.C., C.N.N., P.B.S., A.P., U.P.I., Reuters, the *Boston Globe*, *Los Angeles Times*, the *Baltimore Sun* and the *Washington Times*—have we missed anyone?).

The membership of the C.F.R. also includes the top echelon from all the major oil companies, major

industry (including the Big 3 automakers), all the major banks, college and university presidents, major figures from all the branches and affiliations of the military, the Dept. Of State, foreign ambassadors, many agencies of our government, as mentioned before, all of the President's cabinet members, and, of course, the Federal Reserve System, the House of Representatives, and the U.S. Senate!

As far as enforcing the plans of the N.W.O., the National Guard has been enlisted into the plan as well as all other police and government agencies, all in the name of maintaining the peace, of course. People will certainly be upset and should be expected to retaliate. But as more people have become aware of these evil plans it became obvious that some of our own people in these different policing agencies could not be counted on to cooperate with such illegal and unconstitutional activities of government. Thus, the final card has now been played, the United Nations Peace Keeping Force, an international police force. It has long been known that foreign troops could do what resident troops could not or would not do—arrest and imprison their own innocent friends and neighbors. How many Americans realize that for some time now there have been stationed on American soil, foreign U.N. troops including, among others, Chinese soldiers? Just don't expect any officials to ever admit this. But they are there when they need them.

As James Paul Warburg, foreign agent of the Rothschilds, in his testimony before the U.S. Senate on February 17, 1950, stated confidently, "We shall have World government, whether or not we like it. The only question is whether world government will be achieved by conquest or consent."

Those who follow what is happening in the news (which is more often than not exactly the opposite of what they tell us) should have noticed that on October 22, 1993, President Bill Clinton announced that he would like to use National Guard troops to help fight crime in the streets of Washington, D.C. He also suggested that this is not the only place where this could be done. He said he would like to strengthen police forces in cities nationwide by 100,000. He requested the Justice Dept. to determine if his actions would be legal. With the understanding you should now have from reading this far, think of the implications of Bill Clinton's remarks. By the way, he is a member of the C.F.R.

For those who may wonder about the expansion of emergency plans under F.E.M.A., persons living in Pennsylvania, for example, should realize that there is a Pennsylvania Emergency Management Agency (P.E.M.A.) which will coordinate under F.E.M.A. Also, for example, for those who live in Bucks County, Pennsylvania, there is a Bucks County Emergency Management Agency (B.E.M.A.), which will coordinate under P.E.M.A. These State and Local agencies appear to be everywhere, again, ostensibly for emergency preparedness, but we know what the government really has in mind for them, and us.

To conclude this, and to show that the "One World Controllers" have missed nothing in orchestrating their plans, here is a quote from the Thursday, September 23, 1993, issue of the *Dallas Morning Star*: "The United States is one of the most dangerous places in the world for young people, according to a United Nations report released last week. And while many other places are getting better, the United States is getting worse. A report from the United Nations Children's Fund called 'The Progress of Nations' said that 9 out of 10 young people murdered in industrialized countries are slain in the United States."

While the U.N. report may not be accurate (statistics are almost always manipulated and fabricated to produce a desired public opinion), don't be too surprised when the President requests those U.N. troops, and the National Guard, and others, to police the streets of America. The Founders of the United States

of America could never in their wildest nightmares have imagined a One World Government based upon the enslavement of its peoples. It would do well for everyone to get informed, and then inform others, as to what is actually happening to our country. We must tell our government that we want them to govern with our consent.

CHAPTER 4

THE NEWS DESK

by Phyllis Linn 6/7/96

SIGNS OF ESCALATION AT JUSTUS TOWNSHIP

From the May 30 issue of *THE ORLANDO SENTINEL*, [quoting:]

pict jordan montana

JORDAN, Mont.—The FBI ordered reporters and cameramen to leave their hillside vantage point overlooking the Freeman compound Wednesday but said it was **not a prelude** [*with the government, a denial is as good as a confirmation!*] to a move against the **anti-government extremists** [*how's that for "objective reporting"?*].

Officials said they decided to evict the media after a Fox Television news crew went to a fence around the compound Tuesday night and negotiated for interviews with the Freemen without telling the government beforehand. But Fox Chairman Roger Ailes claimed the journalists were being used as scapegoats, adding in a statement that the FBI had planned to remove the media well before his staff made contact with the Freemen.

The very next day, the same newspaper reports the arrival of black armored cars and a rescue helicopter to its staging area, including this statement, [quoting:]

A source familiar with the FBI strategy said the highly publicized arrival of the armored vehicles and helicopter was not a sign that authorities plan to move on the Freemen immediately.

CORPSES PILE UP IN NATION'S CAPITAL

A recent Associated Press articles makes this report, [quoting:]

WASHINGTON—Insect infestation, fetid air and stacked bodies plague (*interesting choice of words!*) the District of Columbia morgue, the latest city office to fall victim to the mounting cash shortage in the nation's capital. City officials said that it will cost about \$800,000 to make immediate repairs and that \$3 million will ultimately be needed to improve conditions at the morgue. But that may be hard to come by for a city with an accumulated deficit of \$378 million. "We should have these body bags, but we don't," said autopsy technician Andrew Mason. "What is a morgue without a body bag? We have to use sheets."

The Washington Post reported Saturday that because the facility's crematorium broke down a month ago, 74 corpses, more than three times the facility's intended capacity, were stockpiled throughout the facility. The cash-strapped city has no other way to dispose of corpses and this week the air conditioning went out in the facility. Many bodies were stored on tattered fiberglass racks or rusted gurneys, the *Post* said. One body was on the floor, and some were in body bags that had split open, exposing the faces of the dead.

HIPPOCRATIC OATH UNDERGOES SURGERY

From the June 2 issue of *THE WASHINGTON TIMES, NATIONAL WEEKLY EDITION*, [quoting:]

The HIPPOCRATIC oath has been revised extensively for political correctness and other purposes in recent years, and some doctors charge this has weakened and stripped the oath of the "father of medicine" of its focus. Dr. Paul R. McHugh, Henry Phipps professor and director of the department of psychiatry and behavioral sciences at Johns Hopkins, expressed his concerns about oaths being recited in medical school commencements in a commentary published this May in the journal *Nature Medicine*. He argued that some of the revised versions of the 2,500-year-old oath, including those now in use at the Johns Hopkins School of Medicine and Harvard, tend to be more self-centered" than patient-centered and are ridden with a lot of "vague precept". Dr. McHugh's commentary examines deletions his institution made in the Hippocratic oath, beginning in the '60s, and scrutinizes substitute versions drafted at Johns Hopkins in 1985 and 1994. His analysis also looked at revised versions of the Hippocratic oath that were recited by Harvard University medical school students beginning in 1989 and 1994.

Dr. McHugh said the "custom of amending" the Hippocratic oath at Johns Hopkins was prompted by the "uproar" that followed the 1968 medical school graduation, when students recited the original Hippocratic oath, in which they pledged "not to give a woman an abortive remedy".

Dr. [Bernard] Nathanson said Dr. Louis Lasagna, a former professor of medicine, pharmacology and experimental therapeutics at Hopkins, "sanitized the classic oath, eliminating the reference to abortion." "Lasagna's version...also purged the strictures against euthanasia, sexual relations with patients, specific obligations to those who taught him...and the crystalline sense of obligation to do good and avoid harming those in his charge," said Dr. Nathanson, a former abortion doctor who now is a leading abortion foe.

Dr. McHugh also cited one big change in language between Johns Hopkins' 1985 and 1994 oaths. In the earlier oath, doctors promised they will perform "no operation for a criminal purpose". But in 1994, they changed that to say they will perform "no operation without a justifiable purpose." [*And people are capable of justifying just about ANYTHING!*] He criticizes Harvard's decision, at the start of its 1989 and 1994 oaths, to "replace the Hippocratic aim—the benefit of the sick—with the 'service of humanity'". Serving humanity may conflict with "the benefit of the sick", he said, particularly if sick people are identified as burdens. [*These changes dovetail nastily with the depopulation schemes of the power-mongering Elite.*]

MAD COWS WORK AS AD COWS

From the May 28 issue of *THE ORLANDO SENTINEL*, [quoting:]
LONDON—A farmer hit by the mad cow ban on British beef put his cows to work Monday as billboards. “This is a bit of a gimmick but an interesting one,” said Harry Goode as he fitted eight cows with specially made plastic coats. The cows, who are advertising ice cream, graze in a field beside a highway near Birmingham so they are seen daily by thousands of motorists. Goode has been unable to find a buyer for his cattle as the European Union has slapped a worldwide ban on British beef in fear of mad cow disease.

WHITE BUFFALO CALF BORN

From the May 22 issue of the *RAPID CITY* (South Dakota) *JOURNAL*, [quoting:]

another white
buffalo calf

PINE RIDGE—A white buffalo calf reportedly has been born on the Joe Merrival ranch near Oglala. Merrival doesn't pretend to understand how or why his small herd has given life to what Great Plains tribes regard as the most rare and sacred of animals. He knows only this: It has now happened twice within two weeks. His first white buffalo calf was born on April 27. It soon developed scours, a diarrhea-like condition, and died 25 hours later. The second, born 13 days later, is healthy and nursing at the side of its 1,200 pound mother.

Before April 27, two white buffalo calves had been born this century. The first, a white buffalo bull named Big Medicine, was born in Montana in 1933 and died 26 years later in 1959. The second, a female calf named Miracle, was born Aug. 20, 1994 in an exotic-animal farm in Janesville, Wis.

Merrival's two white buffalo calves are said to be the first born on Indian lands in an Indian herd owned by an American Indian rancher. It is an event not lost on the elders and spiritual leaders of the Pine Ridge Reservation. The White Buffalo Calf Woman legend holds that she is one of their most sacred symbols. She gave the tribe the Sacred Buffalo Calf Pipe and taught the Lakota their seven sacred ceremonies and four great virtues: courage, wisdom, generosity, and fortitude. According to traditional Lakota beliefs, the people, the buffalo and White Buffalo Calf Woman all came from Wind Cave in the Black Hills.

The first calf left the people, said the medicine men, because the people are weak and divided. The second white calf is a symbol for unity, a message for Indian people, and all people, to repair life's Sacred Hoop. It is also a reminder, they said, that all nations must stop the destruction of Mother Earth and that Indian people must begin healing themselves by returning to traditional ways.

In the rush of stories following the 1994 birth of the Wisconsin calf, some reported the odds of a white buffalo birth to be one in 6 billion. Others said it was 10 million to one or a million to one.

FIRED HOUSE HISTORIAN
SUES GINGRICH

From the May 26 issue of the *THE WASHINGTON TIMES, NATIONAL WEEKLY EDITION*, [quoting:]

Fired House historian Christina Jeffrey has filed suit against Speaker Newt Gingrich and three House Democrats for \$16.7 million, accusing them of falsely portraying her as harboring Nazi sympathies. The lawsuit, filed May 15 in U.S. District Court in Washington, seeks damages from Mr. Gingrich, his press secretary, Tony Blankley, and three Democratic representatives, Charles E. Schumer of New York, Barney Frank of Massachusetts, and Maxine Walters of California. It demands a jury trial.

Mr. Gingrich had no immediate comment on the lawsuit, which also accuses him of breach of contract and violating Mrs. Jeffrey's constitutional rights. Mr. Gingrich hired Mrs. Jeffrey, then a history professor at Kennesaw State College in Georgia, for the \$85,000-a-year historian job shortly after he was elected speaker in 1995. He fired her a few days later after complaints that her 1986 review of a Holocaust course for the Education Department had criticized it for not presenting Nazi and Ku Klux Klan views. The Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith, which attacked her at the time, has since become an ally in her drive to reclaim her reputation [*What's the angle?*]

CHAPTER 5

A CONGRESS BOUGHT, A NATION SOLD: THE LOOTING OF AMERICA THROUGH THE BANKS AND S&LS

by Ray Bilger 6/13/96

This article shall serve to provide information concerning the financial community's foul play and downright theft during the 1980s and early 1990s, which left the taxpayers of America with a staggering debt. It will also serve as background for a second article which will deal directly with "The Sordid Story of Santa Barbara Savings and Loan" now in the writing stage.

Money is the life blood of the American economy. It allows the exchange of wealth with a speed and flexibility that a mere barter system cannot begin to approach. Although banking is of ancient origin, little is known about it prior to the 13th century. Many of the early "banks" dealt primarily in coin and bullion, with most of their business being money changing and supplying foreign and domestic coin of the correct weight and fineness. In America, it was English banking until the Revolution of 1776. A short period then ensued with individual states creating their own currency. The establishment of our *Constitution* in 1789 then paved the way for the first bank here, called the "Bank of the United States", which was pushed through the Congress by Alexander Hamilton.

Savings and Loan Associations (S&Ls) in the United States were originally called "building and loans" and filled a void, created by the banks, by providing home loans. The first of these was formed in 1831 in Frankford, Pennsylvania. This was the Oxford Provident Building Association.

By the 1920s there were some 12,000 S&Ls nationwide, with regulations varying from state to state. In the stock market crash of 1929 and the ensuing Great Depression, both the banks and S&Ls suffered badly (1,700 S&Ls failed).

The banks had the Federal Reserve System, created by Congress in 1913, which gave the illusion of federal control and safety that the S&Ls did not have. As the S&Ls were an integral part of the economy, industry pressures caused President Hoover to create the Federal Home Loan Bank Board (F.H.L.B.B.) in 1932, with 12 regional Federal Home Loan Banks (F.H.L.B.). The F.H.L.B.B. was responsible for all federal regulation of S&Ls. In 1934, Congress established the Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation (F.S.L.I.C.) which insured deposits at member S&Ls.

As long as there has been money involved with government, and greedy people who always want more of it and the power it wields, there has been corruption to buy what influence could not be obtained through the ballot box. With the election of President Ronald Reagan in 1980, the floodgates were opened for the most corrupt elements in America to do virtually anything their devious minds could think up. Our milk-and-cookies President really did seem to be asleep at the wheel, while Vice President George Bush actually appeared to be more in control (behind the scenes, of course).

During the 8-year administration of President Reagan, the United States of America amassed a national

debt greater than that accumulated during the previous 204 years of this country. What was the cause of this overwhelming debt of 1980-1988? It is apparent to many that crooks and criminals in high places enjoyed much freer reign in the 1980s than they ever had before. The deregulation of the Savings and Loan industry and the Banking industry played no small part in this. It became possible for almost anyone to purchase, or open anew, an S&L, to jack up its value on paper to millions of dollars by various fraudulent schemes, to then steal everything, walk away, and let the tab be picked up by the taxpayers. The orchestrating and conducting of these activities was undertaken by corrupt and corruptible entities and individuals, many with criminal connections.

On October 15, 1982, President Reagan signed into law the Garn-St. Germain Act, authored by Senator Jake Garn and Rep. Fernand St. Germain. This Act deregulated the S&Ls in a way that would eventually lead to a staggering debt— with the rich getting richer, and the poor expected to pay for it all. It allowed investing in basically anything. (Rep. St. Germain was wining and dining in Washington on the charge account of the U.S. League of Savings Institutions, to the tune of \$10-\$20 thousand a year that he never reported. The Justice Dept. did not prosecute him even though they found “substantial evidence of serious and sustained misconduct.”)

By 1990, deregulating the banks had caused considerable damage, and while there were 3,200 S&Ls in America, the fact that there were 14,000 banks (with larger assets) meant that their deregulation could lead to total economic collapse.

Most people should be aware of the five U.S. Senators (DeConcini, McCain, Glenn, Cranston, and Riegle) involved with accepting campaign contributions from Charles Keating of Lincoln Savings and Loan. To think that this was an isolated case is utter foolishness. Senator William Proxmire (D-Wisconsin), after his retirement from Congress in 1988, summed things up pretty clearly. [quoting:]

After serving thirty-one years in the Senate, every day of that time on the Senate Banking Committee, eight years as Chairman, I am convinced that good, moral people serve on that Committee. I am also convinced they are sincerely honestly hypnotized by a system of thinly concealed bribery that not only buys their attention, but frequently buys their vote.

The special interests that make these contributions know exactly what they’re doing. They know just what changes they want to make, for example, to free them from restrictions designed to keep insured bank deposits from being used for risky investment. A little change can make it possible for them to make or lose millions, while the taxpayers make nothing, but can lose billions.

The S&L and bank lobbyists know that. Here is precisely why they raise millions of dollars for campaign contributions. Any Senators or House Members who believe they are getting this big money because the lobbyist admires their character, or personality, are kidding themselves. These contributions to members of committees with jurisdiction over the contributors industry are bribes, pure and simple. [End quoting]

Rep. Henry Gonzalez, who chaired the House Banking Committee, has stood alone in trying to do something to correct the problem. [Quoting him:]

Charters issued to financial institutions are given for public reasons. Banks are supposed to serve the

public. They have a public character. It is the public that suffers when bank owners and officers buy and sell banks like used cars, when they engage in self-dealing, when they plunder and steal. We have seen the pattern of flagrant and squalid misconduct in these institutions. There is no reason to doubt that other institutions are being stripped and raided this very day.

We have found regulation that is forgetful, benign, and on some levels pitiful. Inadequate regulation is what has made possible the kind of outlandish sordid conduct we have discovered. We have lifted only a corner of the rock. What we have seen is enough to disgust anyone.

Corrective action is needed both at the state and federal level. Administrative regulation can be—and must be—strengthened. State statutes need to be strengthened. Federal statutes probably need updating, and yet at the bottom this is the ultimate truth: no law is going to replace efficient, honest and aggressive regulation. [End quoting]

What activities were enough to disgust anyone? The best book on the subject of the S&L debacle is *Inside Job—The Looting of America's Savings and Loans*, by Stephen Pizzo, Mary Fricker, and Paul Muolo, 1989; McGraw-Hill Publishing Co. (This author spoke with Mary Fricker in preparing this article.) Some of what the book reveals includes the following:

Edwin Gray took office in May of 1983 as chairman of the F.H.L.B. He was one of the only people in the regulatory apparatus determined to straighten things out, and, consequently, suffered numerous attempts to be removed from office. (The five Senators involved with Charles Keating met with Ed Gray in an attempt to have him back off. He didn't.)

On March 14, 1989, Ed Gray received a videotape from the F.H.L.B. in Dallas, Texas, concerning Empire Savings and Loan of Mesquite, Texas. The video appeared to be taken from the passenger seat of a car driving along Interstate 30 in the outskirts of east Dallas. The video showed thousands of half-finished condominiums stretching as far as the eye could see. The condos, financed by Empire, were left to the weather and the hot Texas sun. The camera zoomed in on stacks of building materials rotting in the weather. Loose wiring and insulation swayed out the sides of the condos in the hot prairie winds.

Later, Ed Gray said, "I was sick after watching it. I could not believe anything so bad could have happened." The Empire collapse cost the F.S.L.I.C. (i.e., the taxpayers) \$300 million.

Dr. Duayne Christensen, a dentist-turned-real-estate speculator, got tired of begging for loans and in January 1983 he opened North American Savings and Loan in Santa Ana, California. He got together with a flashy real estate lady from Oak Grove, California, Janet F. McKenzie, and they began to do business.

One of their projects was a 20-unit condominium project in Lake Tahoe, Nevada. They bought it for \$4 million, then sold it back and forth to artificially increase its value to \$40 million. By the time North American collapsed in 1988 it cost the taxpayers \$209 million.

Texas and California were the two worst states for S&L failures. Ed McBirney was chairman, C.E.O., and majority shareholder of Sunbelt Savings and Loan in Dallas. Sunbelt had seven aircraft, and McBirney

threw some wild and crazy parties. One Halloween he entertained at his palatial North Dallas home dressed as a king. He served broiled lion, antelope, and pheasant, and had a fog machine going for atmosphere. McBirney produced whores for his customers the same way an ordinary businessman might spring for lunch. When Sunbelt collapsed, it would cost the taxpayers \$1.2 billion.

Michael Rapp, a.k.a. Hellerman, who looted Flushing Federal Savings and Loan, among others, said in his autobiography that he had worked his swindles on Wall Street in the 1970s on behalf of the Lucchese and Gambino families, and a law-enforcement official said the dividing of the loot from his S&L swindles in the 1980s was the subject of a sit-down between the Lucchese and Genovese families. The authors of the book state in no uncertain terms [quoting]:

At nearly every S&L we researched for this book we found clear evidence of either mob, Teamster, or organized crime involvement. Only one conclusion was possible: the mob had played an important role in the nationwide fraternity that looted the savings and loan industry following deregulation.

[And]

Time and time again during our research we ran into people at failed S&Ls who claimed to have connections with the C.I.A. [End quoting]

Another excellent book on the subject of the banks and S&Ls during this same time period is *Who Will Tell the People*, by William Greider, 1992; Simon & Schuster. Mr. Greider quotes part of a conversation he had with Stuart Eizenstat, President Carter's domestic policy advisor, and later a lawyer for corporate interests. Mr. Greider had asked, doesn't that sound as if the law is up for grabs? Mr. Eizenstat responded in exasperation [quoting]:

Of course the law's up for grabs. The law's always up for grabs. That's why you win elections and appoint judges. That's why Reagan appointed five hundred federal judges. The law is not an inflexible instrument like a cannon that can be lined up and fired. It's a flexible human instrument that responds to political power.

That's what having political power is all about, for chrissakes. When you have the power of the presidency, you have the capacity to put people in place who will be sensitive to upholding these laws. When you lose that authority, you're left with futile rear-guard actions. [End quoting]

Not surprisingly, according to the authors of *Inside Job*, every time investigators looked into a failed S&L they found fraud. It was the name of the game. Almost no one wanted to do anything about the problem, apparently because so many were in on the take.

A common practice used by S&Ls to avoid troubles associated with audits of their fraudulent policies was simply to hire a federal regulator to join their particular S&L. One such example was Roy Green, president of the F.H.L.B. in Dallas, Texas, who retired to be hired by an S&L in Santa Barbara, California.

Those who spoke out about the financial problems created by deregulation were dismissed as irresponsible alarmists. But were they? In early 1987, S&L regulators said it would cost \$15 billion for the

F.S.L.I.C. to close all insolvent thrifts. By the end of 1987 the figure was \$22.7 billion. In mid-1988 it was up to \$35 billion. By October, 1988 it was estimated at \$50 billion. The General Accounting Office (G.A.O.) said it was more like \$60 billion. But there was powerful action to sweep this all under the rug until after the Presidential elections. When President George Bush finally announced his S&L bailout plan in February of 1989, analysts put the cost at about \$205 billion for the first ten years, and a total of \$360 billion over three decades.

Meanwhile, over at the banks, in 1987 alone, 184 banks failed, costing the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (F.D.I.C., insurance fund for the banks) \$3 billion. In 1988, 221 banks were closed at a cost of up to \$9 billion.

In this author's personal correspondences in 1992 with Rep. Henry Gonzalez regarding this financial mess, and what could be done about it, the Hon. Mr. Gonzalez responded in a letter dated July 10, 1992, in part as follows [quoting]:

As you probably know... I talked out and warned of the impending S&L crisis for many years before serious notice was taken, and the exact same thing is happening now with regard to commercial banks. I have held hearings in the Banking Committee and I have spoken out about this numerous times, but thus far I have not been able to garner support for addressing the worsening situation. [End quoting]

And why was Rep. Gonzalez not able to garner support among his congressional colleagues? Because they are all on the dole, as Senator Proxmire pointed out. Back in early 1988, Mr. Gonzalez was "almost hysterical" (his own words), and called a press conference to state again the incredible problem, and to unveil an emergency plan to immediately stop the losses. Nobody from the press came to his press conference.

And speaking about being on the dole, there is an excellent new book about former Senator Bob Dole entitled *Senator for Sale*, written by Stanley Hilton, released in paperback in February 1996, (St. Martin's Press) about a Senator on the dole.

How many people realize that there are approximately 40,111 lobbyists just for the Senate alone? That's 401 lobbyists for each Senator! And with the Senators having to raise thousands of dollars per day for their campaigns, it would appear that ready money is easily available. Washington, D.C. is a place where deals are made, with about 10,000 deals done per day. Given these statistics, who do you think are the Senators' real constituents? Of course, there are always many ways to look at any given situation, and the rationalization can certainly be made that a particular lobbyist's corporation may employ thousands of workers in the Senator's home state. However, this author is more inclined to believe the words of Sen. William Proxmire.

One major problem with the F.H.L.B.B. was that after it took over control of failed S&Ls, it would clean them up and then re-sell them, in many cases back to the same corrupt elements that looted them in the first place. The F.H.L.B.B. was eventually closed down and replaced with a new organization, the Resolution Trust Corporation (R.T.C.), but there is little indication that this troubled policy was changed.

We close this article by noting that a *Los Angeles Times* survey found that 53 percent of Californians

believe their state legislators routinely take bribes from special interests. If we do not demand accountability from our elected officials, should we ever expect things to be any different?

CHAPTER 6

THE NEWS DESK

by Phyllis Linn 6/14/96

BIG CHANGES FOR NATO

From the June 4 issue of the *JOHNSON CITY PRESS* (Tennessee), [quoting:]

BERLIN—Easing France’s re-entry into NATO, the United States and its allies approved historic changes in the 47-year-old European defense structure Friday to prepare for Bosnia-like crises in the next century. Where once NATO was poised to attack should Russian tanks roll across the plains of Germany, the 16-member security alliance intends to reinvent itself to cope with unforeseen conflicts even beyond Europe. [*Nothing is “unforeseen” to the Elite creators of such conflicts.*] Slow to respond to the ethnic bloodshed in Bosnia, the alliance will be revamped to be able to react more quickly to conflicts, with European commanders and using U.S. weapons and possibly troops, providing Washington concurs.

RENO’S WAR ON

ILLEGAL ALIENS

From the May 30 issue of *THE ORLANDO SENTINEL*, [quoting:]

WASHINGTON—Gov. Lawton Chiles (Florida) and U.S. Attorney General Janet Reno on Wednesday unveiled a campaign to curb illegal immigration in Florida, starting with inspections at airports and screening of job applicants in the tourism industry. The plan calls for 240 more federal officers for Florida as part of the immigration crackdown ranging from ports to worksites. Reno, former chief prosecutor in Dade County, said Florida **will serve as a model** for how to uproot and fend off illegal arrivals. [*Of course, illegal aliens aren’t really the focus—YOU are!*]

A FRESH LOOK AT

BLOOD-SUCKING LEECHES

No, this article isn’t about lawyers, but it is an eye-opener! From the June 2 issue of the *JOHNSON CITY PRESS* (Tennessee), [quoting:]

use for leeches chart

Used extensively to “bleed” patients in 19-century medicine, leeches were once believed to cure anything from headaches to gout. But as medicine progressed [*medicine progressed?!*], the practice fell out of favor. Then, about 10 years ago, the blood-sucking worms began to regain attention. Used in 1985 by microsurgeons in a Boston hospital, leeches helped save the ear of a 5-year-old boy who had been bitten by a dog. From there, doctors began to give them a second look as a method to help restore blood

circulation to grafted tissue.

“The blood will flow into an area (of grafted tissue), then it stagnates,” [Jim] Thigpen [*clinical pharmacist at Johnson City Medical Center*] says. “Your heart pumps in, but a lot of the blood flow on the venous side just works by gravity or whatever. So it doesn’t flow out. A leech sort of primes the pump, he says, and gets the blood flowing properly again.

Leeches can be obtained from the Charleston farm [*Biopharm Ltd., Charleston, S.C.*] 24 hours a day. That’s because, Thigpen says, when leeches are needed, they’re needed in a hurry—before affected tissue deteriorates. “We order them a dozen at a time,” Thigpen says. “They give you an extra one when you order 12.” The 13 leeches live for four to six months. “They eventually starve if you don’t feed them,” Thigpen says. “But you can’t feed them anything or you contaminate them so they can’t be used on another patient.”

In the hospital’s first case, a young girl’s severed ear was reattached successfully—much in the same way as the landmark case in 1985. The leeches have since been used twice more—once for a man whose fingers had been severed and once for a plastic surgery patient.

It takes just a moment for the leech to attach, then about 30 minutes to an hour for it to finish feeding. Because a leech won’t be hungry again for up to six months after a feeding, a new leech must be used each time and the old leech destroyed to avoid the risk of contamination. “The leech will drink out only about a teaspoon—that’s all they say the leech drinks,” Thigpen says, “but (because of anticoagulants the leech secretes) the site oozes for 12 hours. An anesthetic present in the leeches bite makes sure the whole process is painless. “but the neatest thing of the whole process is how effective they are,” Thigpen says. So far, medicine has been unable to duplicate the leeches’ benefits, and the strange little worms’ place seems secure. [*Another item for your emergency first aid kit, perhaps?! I am kidding about that, I guess, but I was surprised to find out that leeches apparently do have some validity as a medical treatment.*]

U.S. LEFT VIET AGENTS FOR DEAD

From the June 9 issue of the *SAN JOSE MERCURY NEWS* (California), [quoting:]

WASHINGTON—Newly declassified government documents prove that the United States, after sending hundreds of Vietnamese commandos into North Vietnam during the 1960s, deliberately declared them dead, lied to their wives and then buried their story under a shroud of secrecy [*OUR government did THAT??!*]. Nearly 200 of those secret agents survived capture, torture and prison and are alive in the United States—at least seven in the San Jose area. They are asking the government for back pay—a total of \$11 million, for their prison time—and help in getting 88 fellow commandos out of Vietnam.

The documents, stamped “secret” or “top secret”, were declassified Wednesday after 14 months of news reports, diplomatic cables and legal documents supporting the commandos’ claims. Last year, a handful of the former commandos told the *Mercury News* they felt abandoned after enlisting in a “shadow war” on behalf of the CIA and the Pentagon. Even after surviving imprisonment and torture, they were treated as “fictional people” when they tried to immigrate to the United States, they said.

In 1961, the CIA's Saigon station, led by William E. Colby [*recently "drowned"*], began recruiting Vietnamese commandos, many of them Roman Catholics who fled the communist North in the 1950s and knew the local dialects. Those selected as airborne agents were schooled as saboteurs, trained in parachute drops and psychological warfare and dropped into North Vietnam. They never came back. In 1964, when colonels from the U.S. military's Special Operations Group in Vietnam took over the program, they found more than 200 missing agents on the payroll. Some were dead, but many had been captured alive and shackled in prisons.

In December 1965, the payroll documents show, the colonels began crossing off the names of agents who were alive—"declaring so many of them dead each month until we had written them all off," as Marine Col. John J. Windsor told the Joint Chiefs of Staff in a secret 1969 statement. The military had a range of reasons and rationalizations, said Sedgewick Tourison [*former Defense Intelligence Analyst*], who wrote a book on the affair called *Secret Army, Secret War*. "One, we had fools running our covert operations" in Vietnam in the early 1960s, he said. "Two, we knew they had been captured, and they'd get their money if they ever came back, so if they were declared killed in action, it was no big deal. Three, we needed money for cross-border operations into Laos—so they killed off people to save money. By 1967, "it was so embarrassing, they had done it for so many years, nobody thought these men would ever come home, so what they did was declare them all dead," he said.

NOW THEY TELL US:
MEDICARE IS GOING BROKE FAST

They're getting ready to shut things down—it's time to get us used to the idea. From the June 1 issue of *THE ORLANDO SENTINEL*, [quoting:]

WASHINGTON—Trustees of the Medicare program will report next week that the fund will have an \$86 billion deficit by the year 2002, a key Republican lawmaker said Friday. The forecast came from Rep. Bill Archer of Texas, chairman of the House Ways and Means Committee, which oversees the program that pays hospital bills for nearly 40 million elderly and disabled Americans. Archer said he based his prediction on a private report to him from the Congressional Budget Office.

The next public report by the Medicare trustees, who include three members of the Clinton Cabinet is to be released Wednesday. A year ago, the trustees projected that the Medicare fund would have a surplus of about \$5 billion in 2002. [*Quite a reversal!*] A rapid corrosion of Medicare assets not only poses a threat to the health benefits of the coming generation of beneficiaries, but also makes it harder to balance the federal budget in 2002—the target set both by Republicans and the Clinton White House [*which, as we all know, is an impossibility under our current system of debt currency*].

WHAT IT TAKES TO
FILL THOSE PEWS!

I hope you can appreciate the humor in this as well as the pathos. From the June 8 issue of *THE ORLANDO SENTINEL*, [quoting:]

CHARLOTTE, N.C.—Thirteen-year-old Jonathan Eckert used to dread going to his family's former

church. During Sunday service, he would wander around the church hall with his friends or sit in the back and draw pictures. But now that his family attends Living Images Community Church—where a large screen displays videos and a band plays upbeat music—you can look for Jonathan in a different spot. He'll be in the front row, taking notes. "The service here is more fun, more interesting," Jonathan said. "This is a place where you can be who you want to be."

Living Images is just one of a growing number of churches using technology, such as large screens and advanced audiovisual equipment, to enhance worship services. Ministers say they want to attract people who are disenchanted with traditional services. For congregations such as Forest Hill Presbyterian Church in Charlotte, using technology means projecting words on a large screen. For others, such as Mecklenburg Community Church, it's a full-fledged effort, with sound effects and special lighting. Showing clips from movies to help illustrate a point has also become a popular practice.

"Archaic terminology doesn't resonate with the younger generation because they've been brought up with technology," [Jacquelyn Weedley, director of nurturing ministries for the Western North Carolina conference of the United Methodist Church] said. "If the church doesn't use the language they're familiar with, then we're perceived as having no relevance in their lives." Some pastors hope that technologically enhanced services will make church attractive to young people, at a time when only 33 percent of adults under 30 attend services on a given Sunday, compared with 44 percent of older adults, according to a 1994 study by the Barna Research Group.

But critics, such as the Rev. Joe Mulligan of St. Luke Catholic Church in Mint Hill, N.C., warn that too much entertainment can make a service "gimmicky" or turn it into a show—building barriers and creating a theatrical spectator experience. Priests aren't there to entertain; they're there to engage people in worship."

Pastors at traditional churches may be trying to bring people into worship, but Doug Smith, who attends Mecklenburg Community Church, calls his former church boring. He says he and his kids would "struggle to keep each other awake." But at Mecklenburg Community Church—which features a band and clips from *City Slickers* and *The Money Pit*—Smith says he is engaged and pulled into the service. You're using all your senses and the way it's all brought together...the video clips and the music...it's all climactic toward the message," Smith says.

CHAPTER 7

“PROFESSOR” SOLTEC:

THESE ARE GRAND TIMES
FOR THOSE PREPARED

by Soltec 6/13/96

Be at peace. There is much going on this day and change is on the NEAR horizon for ALL. The Earth, as you call her, is about to convulse in her effort to find balance and peace. It is I, Toniose Soltec, here in Light, that you and others may see.

That which has been prophesied is at hand. You are in the Ending Times of the current cycle of humanity on that orb. This is a time when chaos reigns supreme, for the confusion of change is overwhelming to the masses.

All things continue in a revolving, evolving spiral of never-ending cycles of birth-growth-decay-rebirth. Out of the old harshness of chaos shall spring forth the peace and balance that you ones constantly seek.

These are grand times for experiencing. The Light shall reign supreme. The awakening masses shall overcome or succumb to their ignorance. It is always their choice.

You ask, “Why such a message at this time?”

Why indeed!

This is the time for change. Nothing shall be the way it was. NOTHING!

The past is gone; it only lives in memories. The past has been written and cannot be changed. Let go of the past, for it shall stand in the way of the future if you allow it to consume you.

Past friends and acquaintances shall make their own choices and be held responsible for their own condition. It is natural to want to awaken another, especially one you care deeply for. You must, however, allow each to grow at his or her own pace. THEY must make the effort. There shall be many a broken heart and much sadness for those souled beings who choose to remain in ignorance of spiritual truth.

Remember the past and learn from your mistakes, but do not try to live in the past, for it shall only serve to stagnate your personal growth. Learn from your past experiences. Forgive yourself for those mistakes you can consciously recognize—and move on.

Look forward to the future and create that which your heart desires. There is peace, balance and joy out there for you. You must allow yourself to experience these things else you shall surely miss them.

In the next few months many new energies (new to you in that “conscious” expression) shall be

coming thru to communicate with you ones. Always discern their messages, for names and labels are simply for your identification. You shall soon begin to FEEL the difference and come to know each by their energy pattern.

Much is heating up in the Pacific Northwest. Yes, Alaska is a warning to Japan, and Japan shall serve its wake-up call to the West Coast of the U.S. (How about with some incredible TSUNAMIS?!? Hummmn?)

[Editor's note: Toniose is here referring to the recent large array of earthquake activity centered approximately midway along Alaska's Aleutian Islands chain. The largest of the quakes was eventually reported on the controlled news as a M7.7 and, via the Internet, by the University of Alaska's seismology laboratory, as M7.9, and occurred at 8:03 p.m. Sunday evening 6/9/96. This cluster of activity was located near the Adak Island area and this location is a convergent boundary between the Pacific and North American crustal plates. Between 11 p.m. that Sunday night, when first mention was made about the quake, and 3 a.m. Monday morning, I (E.Y.) never heard the magnitude of this big quake mentioned in listening to at least a dozen news broadcasts on radio. It was skillfully and purposely and astonishingly left unmentioned—but they did warn of Tsunami wave activity all the way down to the coast of Northern California! Thus is the basis for Toniose's timely parenthetical warning above.]

Dream time is long over and those still running around in La La Land shall wish they had awakened to find out what all the commotion was for. Indeed, the changes shall come: "Like a thief in the night", as far as the general masses are concerned.

But, for those who have heard the "alarm clock", you shall see much of what we of the Hosts of Lighted God have told you, time and time again, shall come to pass. There shall be much excitement for those of you who have prepared. God truly helps those of you who make efforts to help selves.

Even if you do not notice all the subtle clues along the way, know in your heart that He is there and is helping you along your way. Pay attention to the seeming coincidences for NOTHING HAPPENS BY CHANCE—especially now, and from here on out.

Face your past fears and allow Lighted Truth to show you what the fears are. Know that you have nothing to fear if you be a Lighted Soul of Creator God, for in the Light you shall see and experience a glory beyond anything you can imagine in a third-dimensional, compressed existence of matter.

There may very well be confusion, even for those of you who scribe these messages, for you ALL doubt and cry out for balance.

You come from places where peace and balance is the normal way of life and you long for the return home. For some of you it is out here among the stars. For others it may be a home world planet in a Pleiadean solar system. Each has their own preference. Many enjoy the variety of experience and do not stay in any one experience for too long.

Relax, my friend, I know you are not used to this type of writing. Yet, it is now time for you to experience

same, for you are being prepared for greater tasks such as SPEAKING for we of the Hosts. You, along with some others of the ground crew, shall be called upon to speak to the masses. Do not be frightened; you happen to be quite experienced in this method of communication and shall come to remember this talent as well as others. Be at peace. We shall end this now, for the distractions in your present environment are great.

Toniose Soltec to clear in the most radiant Light of Holy God, Who guides the magnificent transformation now accelerating in your manifestation.

Salu.

CHAPTER 8

WHISTLEBLOWER TELLS REAL IRAN-CONTRA AGENDA TO DUPED AMERICAN PUBLIC

by Rick Martin 6/3/96

In a surprise, spontaneous interview with CONTACT on May 16, 1996, Iran-Contra whistleblower Navy Lt. Commander (retired) Alexander (Al) Martin speaks out to uncover the true agenda behind the public-cover storyline. Al Martin is best known for his key role in setting up the financial laundering banking network to support “the cause”. Al’s discussion with us is candid, refreshingly detailed, and very enlightening. Al Martin has been a key witness in congressional investigations into the Iran-Contra scandal. While some people say this story is long since dead and buried—others notice this is a story that just won’t go away. You decide which.

Rick Martin: What is your specialty?

Al Martin: Iran-Contra, Iraq-gate, BCCI, BNL (*Banca Nazionale Del Lavoro*), things that I was directly involved in.

Rick: Have you written any material?

Al: I haven’t. Certainly there has been much written about me. I am attempting, I am negotiating now with **** for a book contract. I had one offered to me by Simon & Shuster in ’91 which did not work out because it was a “no print deal”—which is, they offered it to me and five or six other people at the time. This is a contract to, essentially, just pay us off and then not print a book. But I am now negotiating with for a real book. But certainly there has been much written about me in both the major media and the alternative media. *Spotlight* has done things before. The last article *Spotlight* did on me was the Oct. 16, 1995 issue. The caption on the article is: “Former Insider Lays Iran-Contra at George Bush’s Lap”.

Let’s put it this way, as his [*George Bush’s*] son (and my former business partner) Jeb was fond of pointing out, if you enter into a scheme to defraud the Treasury and thereby, by extrapolation, the people, of a hundred million dollars and you only have to spend ten million to cover it up, it was a worthwhile endeavor. That’s the great conundrum about all this—I call it the conundrum of the truth. And that is: It’s rather like a coin with two sides and on one side of that coin exists those who perpetrated the mischief, who have, effectively, all of the money and all of the power. And on the other side of that coin lay a barren wasteland of assorted journalists and wanna-bes who have no money or power. Consequently, and hence, the truth is not exposed.

Rick: Sounds right to me.

Al: And there are so few people like me left, perhaps a dozen or two. You know, since Thanksgiving Day 1986, people tend to forget because “the people” don’t have long memories in this country.

Rick: By any chance did you know Gunther Russbacher?

Al: Oh yes, I know Gunther.

Rick: Can you tell me about Gunther?

Al: Gunther's a decent guy. He overplayed his hand, certainly, and did what too many others did by taking the grains of sand of the truth that he had and blending them with some informed speculation and building them up into mountains—mountains which, in Gunther's case, were torn down. When you do what Gunther did, the problem is that it only takes one of the pieces of the informed speculation you mix with the truth to prove to be a fabrication to discredit all that you've done. And certainly, that happened with Gunther. And his undoing was his relationship with Ross Perot.

Rick: Can you tell me about that?

Al: Well, it's already publicly known. He went to Perot and Perot attempted to help him in 1992, out of his predicament. And he went and told Perot an awful lot that, frankly, he couldn't substantiate particularly about the so-called October Surprise incident. The problem with what he said was, he said too much of it was speculation and not enough of it was fact. Although some was fact, of course. When Perot's people went to see him and it was discovered that some of what he said was speculation, they backed away from him. I had talked to Perot several times in May of 1992. Perot would approach me to help him. And Perot nicked me out of \$60,000 and, after that I embarrassed him on the front page of *The Houston Post* and, essentially, forgot about him.

Rick: Let's talk about things that are not publicly known. Is there information that you would like to get out there that has not been out there before?

Al: Well, sure. Not that I want to get it out right now, until I have a book contract signed. Because, from now on, when I do radio shows or interviews or any further television appearances, I want to have a book that I can say I'm marketing. I have tried, certainly, and I've done about a hundred shows in the last few years and in print I have tried to get out a lot of Iran-Contra, Iraq-gate type stuff that has not been previously disclosed publicly—particularly documents and document numbers for the arm-chair investigators that want to file FOIs (Freedom of Information Act) just for the hell of it.

You've got to divide Iran-Contra three ways: Narcotics, weapons, and money. I was on the money end of it, which is the most complex and tedious, certainly, but is also the least publicly revealed.

I'm a political fundraiser. That's what I am. That's where my talents lay; that's what I've always done. And as an adjunct to raising political money I also raised money or in concert with, (not in adjunct to), in the past for special right wing projects for military operations, political operations, cover-ups, that sort of thing. That's what I do and that was my mandate during Iran-Contra. [General] Richard Secord approached me in 1984 and I was given a mandate to devise a series of corporate artifices to provide a continuing and ongoing covert revenue stream, pursuant to the application and supplication of illegal, covert actions of state. That was my exact mandate, but as a consequence of that, I wound up getting involved in narcotics and weapons to a very small degree only because money generated from those state-sponsored operations wound up flowing through accounts that I controlled.

Rick: Were you working all off-shore?

Al: No. As a matter of fact most of my stuff was domestic.

Rick: Really?

Al: I worked through all Iran-Contra sympathetic banks in the United States, of which there were three or four hundred. Banks that were controlled by people, by right wing Republicans who were sympathetic to, as Oliver North used to say, “the cause”.

Rick: How is your relationship with North these days?

Al: None at all; we’re very hostile towards each other. I haven’t talked to him in a couple of years. We, literally, don’t have anything to say to each other anymore.

Rick: Is the same true with you and Secord?

Al: Secord I’m even more hostile towards. I mean, I have helped the Civil Division of Dept. of Justice in their civil lawsuit against Secord—the famous Light Resources case attempting to recover those secreted funds from Switzerland. I have filed several whistle-blower complaints with the Dept. of Justice detailing illicit deposits of money off-shore, which still exist, which were accumulated through illegal activities by those in the government or in the immediate shadows thereof. I don’t have any relationships with any of my former cohorts.

My forte is direct U.S. Government action or immediate shadows thereof.

Rick: Ok. Are you functioning completely independently these days or are you still...

Al: Oh, I’m out of the game. I mean, I was forced out. After 1986 when Iran-Contra collapsed, that was the end for me. And I foolishly elected to go public, which I should not have done.

Rick: Not until you had a book anyway.

Al: Well, I foolishly elected to go public and I foolishly elected to go before the Kerry Commission and every Iran-Contra investigating committee since, and I had a very sharp lesson in the conundrum that those who want to tell the truth have no money or power.

Rick: Did you run into many allies in Washington?

Al: Oh, sure. Many allies, particularly on the Democratic side of the isle—I have many friends that I helped—Henry Gonzalez, Jack Brooks, Bill Alexander. They all headed Iran-Contra probes. The problem is that during the Bush Administration they had absolutely no power. They had no money and no power to protect. And, of course, now the political question has changed again. The issues are still alive. I talk to Jim Leach every few weeks. And Leach in the House Banking Committee still wants to hold some sort of joint congressional hearing with House Intelligence on Mena (Arkansas). And they are actively

attempting to do so, despite the opposition of Henry Hyde.

It's actually easier to get information out now than it was in 1991, 1992, because the George Bush faction in the Republican Party is diminished and on its way out—simply because of the greater equation the people do not want that form of government any more. You know, they don't. As I said on a television show I appeared on, people do not want the George Bush and company Eastern country club Republican cabal, government by secret commission and star-chambers. And, consequently, their star, so to speak, has fallen. And, in fact, it is easier to get things out now. There are less repercussions than there were even four years ago.

Rick: It seems like Iraq-Gate and Iran-Contra have gone completely dead, quiet. It's almost...

Al: There's still plenty of interest in it but the problem is: its interest is in the same places in Congress, the same places within the media, even the mainstream media, that were interested in it all along. And the problem becomes, perennially, lack of resources to detail or reveal information and lack of the ability to build a platform from which to do so. I mean, it has been the same problem all along. It's not a lack of interest; there are plenty of people interested in the subject matter. When I do Tom Valentine's [radio] show there are three thousand listener call-ins, with questions. I still, last week I was invited on *Nightline*, again. There certainly is the interest. The problem is, the type of people who are interested in it and their inability to commit any resources to it.

Rick: Well, Bill Clinton is certainly perpetuating the Star-Chamber type of government.

Al: Oh sure. And, as a matter of fact, I have a complaint filed with Kenny Star's office about my relationship with the Clinton Administration. I was given certain promises in 1992 from Betsy Wright—she was their campaign chief—that I would be taken care of if I kept my mouth shut during the campaign, which I did. And they pulled the rug out from under me; it wasn't three weeks after Clinton was elected.

Rick: You say you've been a fundraiser all this time. Do you understand, financially, what the Freemen in Montana have been doing?

Al: Oh sure. And I also understand the statutes and law that they are relying on and, in many cases, they are essentially correct. The problem is that this argument isn't going to buy them any mainstream publicity because the mainstream media is frightened to even quote the statutes or go into it. It doesn't make up for the fact, nor is it going to put a salve on the wound of what the Freemen really are. And that comes down to be the real problem. They are essentially a part of what has been created in this country of an entire part of the population that is being left behind. And the Freemen are an extreme example of that. People who are burned-out, broke, depressed—people that feel the government screwed them in some fashion—and people that simply don't have the ability to keep up with the changes. And that is what the Freemen represent. And when this thing ends, that's exactly how the government is going to portray them. If you had guys in 3-piece suits and in Brooks Brothers ties holed-up some place, it would be a lot different. But the fact that these people are going to be portrayed as little more than tobacco chewing, camouflage wearing, AK-47 toting burned-out rednecks who already defaulted on a bunch of Ag loans; it's not going to help them.

Rick: With the current trend and climate politically with the passage of the anti-terrorist legislation, it seems like the noose is tightening on America rather substantially. In your view, what are some of the issues that you are most driven to talk about at this point?

Al: Well, I don't talk a lot about HR-1701, which is what you're referring to. I know that's a popular subject matter. What I have been talking about currently is Clinton. Clinton's involvement in Mena, his knowledge of Mena, what Clinton did to help foster the cover-up of Mena during the Reagan Administration as governor. Clinton and Hillary's relationship to the lawfirm of Rose & Hubbel and various Iran-Contra connected corporate activities in Arkansas, that's sort of what I push today. I tend to stay away from the bigger issue and what's going on and the militias and those who are burned-out and feel the government is the enemy. Although all that is true, if you take all those people and put them together and turned them upside down and shook them, you wouldn't get a ten-dollar bill or a college degree out of them.

Rick: Have you been in communication with Terry Reed?

Al: Oh, sure. I'm subpoenaed into his case. And I am also a consultant with his lead counsel on the case. The problem is the case is falling apart. As you know, its supposed to go to trial next month. And there is a gag-order in place by Judge Howard in Arkansas that effectively prevents him from mounting any case.

Rick: The amount of insulation around Jim Guy Tucker is phenomenal in that state.

Al: Of course it is. There are people who want to go after him. There are people that could help. Tommy Goodwin could help, former Commander of the Arkansas State Police. The problem is, Tommy finds himself in a position that he can't do anything. Because there is no one out there—it comes down to the same thing time and time again—there is no one out there with any resources who wants the truth to be told. Now, I've heard people say to me, particularly on these radio shows, that there are perhaps two or three million people of the American population that understand what's going on and understand the truth and do want to hear the truth and do want things to be told. The problem is those two or three million people that want this are not organized and they have no money or power.

Rick: I think that's true.

Al: So consequently there's nothing that can be done. The problem with this is that there is no individual, or group of individuals that one could go and seek resources to develop the truth and have it told to the people. And unless the people wishing to do so have a vested political or economic motive—there is nobody, out of the goodness of their heart, who is going to say, "Let's tell the people the truth," and write out a check for a hundred grand. The only way the full truth can ever come out and ever be developed is if the people that want to hear it organize themselves and be prepared to finance some organization. And there reigns such disorganization that it has not yet been possible to do that. I know, I've tried. The game that the government plays, perpetually, the instilling of paranoia and dissent makes it almost impossible to coalesce the people who are interested in hearing the truth.

Rick: True. Have you ever done Art Bell's program out of Nevada?

Al: No, I've done Lou Epton's show out of Las Vegas.

Rick: Ok, that's good.

Al: I've done Lou's show and Tom Valentine's and Richard Palmquist and Tim Kern's.

When I am able to sign a book contract with **** I do intend to get back on the radio and I would do *Donahue* and *Geraldo*. Well, not *Donahue* now because he's going off, but I would do *Geraldo* Rivera. I've been offered to do his show before and I refused.

Rick: Why did you refuse?

Al: It's not in my best interest.

Rick: I see. Without something in print, you mean?

Al: I have to balance it. Every time I have done a major media broadcast, electronic or in print, there has been some consequence for me—a negative consequence.

Rick: I see.

Al: And I can't stick my neck out—somebody in my position. As I was described in an article in 1988, a front page article in the *Financial Times* (of London) an article was done about Bobby Gates, former BCCI Robert Gates, I can't stick my neck out in the major media because they can't protect me from the heat. No one can. And, therefore, it doesn't pay to do so unless I have or can produce some tangible result.

Rick: Makes sense.

Al: There's only a dozen people left in the fourth-string, like me. When I was described in that publication, described in Alan Friedman's book *The Spider Web*, on a scale of one to ten as being a fourth-string player in Iran-Contra, that's probably about correct. And there's only about a dozen of us left.

Rick: I'm surprised you're still alive, frankly.

Al: It's because I am in limbo, like most of the other people in the fourth-string are. See, when Iran-Contra collapsed the day after Thanksgiving 1986, Ed Meese, as you may or may not remember, had a nation-wide address that evening and he said, "We have a problem. We have committed illegal acts and we're calling it Iran-Contra." Which, in itself, was a misnomer to misdirect the public and the media and Congress, into looking at one little specific area of what became known as Iran-Contra and not looking at where the real legal and political liability lay, which was on the Contra-side of the equation. No one gave a shit about forty million dollars of illicit weapons sales to Iran, the concept being that the profits of these sales were scooped-off to support a rag-tag guerilla army in Nicaragua. That wasn't even what it was all about. That is what was pro-offered by the government.

Iran-Contra was the largest and most egregious covert operation of state ever mounted, involving at its peak in 1985, over 5,000 people. It had very little to do with the surreptitious arming of a rag-tag guerilla army in Nicaragua to blunt what was then perceived as a growing red-tide.

“Its real purpose,” as Richard Secord was fond of telling me, “was that the concept of arming a guerilla army, that was simply a ruse. The real concept was to replenish the coffers in the shadows.” That is a direct quote from Richard Secord. That there exists in this country, as Richard Secord and Elliott Abrams—Elliott Abrams said so publicly in the Kerry Committee hearing of 1987—there exists in the United States a government within a government, a shadow government, as it were, of some thirty to forty thousand people. A government within a government, that legitimate government turns to when it wishes to commit certain covert activities which are deemed to be illegal by Congress. This government within a government needs funding. And the problem was, by the early to mid-1980s this government within a government was, essentially, broke. As Secord was fond of pointing out, there had been no opportunity to replenish the coffers, really since the end of Vietnam. And the heroin trafficking that went on in Vietnam even when I was there; the nudging of the South Vietnamese treasury of its gold bullion towards the end of the war. There really had been no covert operations of state, *to wit* fraud could have been committed, to garner surreptitious monies. Therefore, Iran-Contra—which is really what it was all about—involved a state-sponsored operation to defraud the American Treasury, and by consequence, the American people, of a large sum of money. This was officially estimated by Congress to be at least 3 to 4 billion dollars. A state-sponsored, organized operation to commit bank fraud, securities fraud, insurance fraud, fraud of all manner; the cost of which, ultimately, had to be picked up by the taxpayers. That’s really what it was all about. The shipping of illicit arms to Central America was really of minor consequence, that served only to legitimize what was a covert and illegal operation, should it ever become known. And, certainly in the Congressional hearings thereafter, the government made sure the attention was focused on that concept.

Rick: There certainly was a lot of involvement by the S&Ls to launder and shelter those funds.

Al: Of course there was. I did business with, I believe, virtually every financial institution in the United States in 1984 and ’85 that was sympathetic to the cause, with some Republican connection.

Rick: Do you happen to know, off-hand, if Santa Barbara Savings & Loan was one of those?

Al: Yes, but that was small. You know that was investigated before. I’m trying to think of the name of the reporter, he used to work with John Lear, that attempted to investigate that. Do you remember, there were some sealed files in the Santa Barbara courthouse about that? The reporter went to get them and he had to write a written request, and he wrote in a written request and then he went out to his car and turned the ignition and it was ruled an “accidental explosion”. There’s nothing new about that.

I used to maintain a list. As a matter of fact, of course Jack Brooks lost his reelection in ’94, but he had been in Congress 38 years—but Jack was the Chairman of the House Judiciary [*Committee*] for years. And they attempted to push Iran-Contra. They knew what it was all about. And they used to maintain a list of all the people that had died, that were involved in Iran-Contra, that had died of accidents or suicides or natural causes. It’s almost 400 people to date.

Rick: I would think that you and Larry Nichols would have a lot to talk about.

Al: Larry, I know Larry. Larry and I have talked and I've known him all along. Larry and I don't have a lot—the problem is, I'm not throwing any stones—if Larry wanted to be upfront about it he would tell you. Larry was way down the totem pole. And Larry was only involved in a very small microcosm of the operations, principally relating to Arkansas.

Rick: Right.

Al: I was involved in the operations nationally and internationally. Larry and I don't—undoubtedly Larry knows more about specific operations within Arkansas, but you take Larry outside of Arkansas and he doesn't understand the bigger connections. He never has. Arkansas was only one state where Iran-Contra activity happened; either fraud, money laundering, narcotics or weapons trafficking. But, so was Texas, so was Kentucky, so was Illinois.

Other than myself, there are very few people that have ever talked publicly and told the whole truth. The only other person on my level that has ever even talked publicly has been Dick Brenneke. And, of course, Dick isn't saying much anymore.

Rick: Do you have any theories about Colby's recent death?

Al: It was convenient. Ron Brown's recent death was convenient. Colby's death was convenient. Brown's death, particularly. You know, had Ron Brown lived and had the Select Committee issued its final report on Ron Brown (his code name was "Black Eagle"), it would have been uncomfortable because they were prepared to look at Ron Brown and what really mattered. And that was, with Ron Brown it really starts with him representing the Haitian Government in the United States for PR in 1983. And that's where it really starts for Brown. But what would have been revealed (in that report) had Brown lived, was his own personal artifices and fraud under Harmon Industries. That's his middle name—Ronald Harmon Brown. That would have been publicly divulged and his connection to a Brazilian financier with very close connections to George Bush, named Armaros Pintos Ramos, would have also been revealed, which would have been a problem.

Rick: How so?

Al: It would have tied—it would have been more of a problem for Ron Brown than George Bush, but the problem is it would have made a connection between George Bush and company and Ron Brown, and thereby would have made the connection between shredding that Ron Brown ordered in '92 as the chairman of the DNC (Democratic National Committee) in his own office. That was one of the real problems that Brown was going to have; when, in 1992, he ordered an investigation of Iran-Contra stopped by his own press and research office, at the DNC, then headed by a guy by the name of Andy Leff. Leff is currently in hiding in California. I helped him substantially in 1992. And the problem was, they couldn't gerrymander investigation or gerrymander any potential revelation thereunto, to keep out Democratic liability. And when that became apparent, Ron Brown ordered all of the investigative materials that Leff had destroyed, while Leff was out of the office over the weekend, and ordered the investigation shut down. Leff comes back on a Monday morning, all of his files are gone, destroyed, and he is ordered not to investigate any further. And, he is further ordered to put together a list of all the people that he had gotten information to, to be turned over to the FBI, which he refused to do, and fled. He was directly

ordered to do so by his superior, Dan Carroll, then in charge of the overall press and research operations of the DNC. Dan Carroll, he told me, was ordered to do it directly by his superior, then DNC Chairman, Ron Brown.

Rick: Are you traveling around much, or are you in hiding?

Al: No, I lay low but I'm not in hiding. I have to travel. I'm in Washington regularly. I work on different cases. I am a consultant retained in various civil suits. I am a paid consultant to the House Banking Committee. It requires some travel. I occasionally will do lectures, state college lectures, mostly.

Rick: Do I have your permission to use this conversation in print?

Al: As long as you don't reveal my phone number or address.

Rick: I wouldn't. Our newspaper, even though the subscription base is rather small, it does go out around the world—it's primarily the United States. But, a lot of very informed and some influential people do read the paper. Is there a specific statement you'd like to make to them at this time, because it will be read. The people who get this paper are very, very attentive.

Al: It depends on who is reading it. I mean, look, very frankly, I've been asked before why I do what I do. Why I continue to speak publicly. Why I continue to push. The answer is very simple: It is because there has to be a resolution to the Al Martin conundrum. I've got to be allowed to get on with the rest of my life. And the only way that can be done is to resolve the past with my former cohorts.

Rick: Do you think that's possible?

Al: Eventually they'll be forced to do so, whether they want to or not. Oliver North attempted to put out some olive branches earlier this year because he is nervous about some potential platforms—the Reed case—the potential retrial of the Noriega case. There are platforms out there that could be used for dissemination for information that makes the Oliver Norths and the George Bushes of the world nervous. I was contacted by his intermediary, who he's used before: Major General Grenalds, John Grenalds, who is his former commanding officer, as a matter of fact. But that led to naught. They just wanted to know what my feelings were, if I would be prepared to reach an "accommodation" to keep my mouth shut. But ultimately, I have to reach an accommodation. You know, the problem with all this is—you know what keeps Iran-Contra alive? People wonder why it's still alive, why it's still being pushed, why there are still people interested. It is because when the operation collapsed, it was so large, and the nature of it so egregious that there wasn't possibly enough money to protect all those involved. And consequently, when the door of liability slammed shut, the day after Thanksgiving 1986, only a small portion of people were protected. The rest, like me, were discredited or imprisoned or bankrupted or pressured or harassed or intimidated, whatever. That's what keeps it alive is that there are still a lot of people to talk about it who are in an aggrieved position. That's not the way illegal covert operations of state usually end. When they end, the people involved who perpetrated the mischief, at the behest of their superiors, are taken care of. That's the way it is supposed to be.

Rick: How do you mean, taken care of?

Al: Financially, and otherwise. And normally, before, I've been involved in the shadows of government committing illegal acts on behalf of my government, all of my adult life from Vietnam on. And before, when you committed an illegal act on behalf of your government, you were taken care of. If you had to go to prison, you got the standard package. I offered. I thought that would have been the deal in 1986. I assumed that I would have to go to prison. I assumed that I would get the standard package for going to prison, to retain the deniability of my superiors.

Rick: Standard package would be, what, a silver parachute?

Al: Yeah, a golden handshake, so much per year. I assumed I would probably get a 36 month federal sentence for some sort of fraud charge or weapons charge, or something. I had thought, I had talked to Jeb Bush about it at length, and he had asked me in Feb. of '86, he said, "What are you prepared to do?" And I said, "You know, I'll take a 36 month federal sentence. It would be comfortable for me, at Lompoc or Allentown or any of the other "Club Feds", and I want the standard hundred thousand a year for each year that I serve. And when I get out I want a government position in some esoteric department of government overseas under another name and put up on the shelf until the next time." That's the way these things had always worked. But Iran-Contra was different simply by virtue of the fact of the number of people it involved and in the egregious and heinous nature of the actions committed.

Rick: How much do you know about Jeb Bush's operations, his oil and gold overseas?

Al: Oh man, I was a partner with Jeb and his Gulf oil and drilling supply company in 1985. He, in turn, was a partner in a lot of deals. I was also a partner with his brother Neil in the Gulf Stream real estate deals. But Jeb was involved with deals with IMC (International Miracle Corp.) and Miquel Recuray [unsure of spelling, tape garbled], that I really wasn't involved in. He was also involved with Jack Turrell in some oil and gold deals in the Philippines that I wasn't directly involved in either. He knows Jack well and was involved with Jack with a lot of things. The problem with Jack Turrell, of course, is you can't believe what he says publicly.

Rick: How much do you know about drug running out of Homestead [AFB]?

Al: It's not my forte, really. The drugs really are not my forte'. I was familiar at the time with narcotics operations in Mena. And I was a little familiar with narcotics operations in south Florida with Jack Davo and Tony Fernandez and Blakemore and those people, and the only reason I was really knowledgeable of them was because they were under direct CIA control at the time. And I was also aware—I happened to be at the same place at the same time—and was aware of a very sensitive meeting, that the government attempted to deny later on, between Jack Davo and Frank Carlucci.

Rick: Now, for our readers who are not familiar with those names, who are those people?

Al: Jack Davo, the renowned CIA doper during Iran-Contra. His associates, Tony Fernandez, Bill Blakemore. It was the largest directly controlled CIA narcotics operation at the time. You know, Davo was prosecuted in late 1985 and it was a huge case. There were six defense counsels involved, starting with Jim Pennington, but also involved were Norman Brownstein and Jim Natalic. All of the defense counsels in the case were ex-CIA counsels. He spent—Davo spent two weeks in jail and was quietly let

out of the United States.

Rick: This is a slightly different question. There has been discussion over the last number of years, that I am aware of, that within the CIA there are two factions. Do you think there is a division within the CIA itself?

Al: Sure, but it is a division between the old guard and the new guard. Can you hang on a minute?

Rick: Did you ever have occasion to speak with Rayelan Russbacher?

Al: No. She wanted to talk to me but really, I didn't talk to her because there was nothing I could do for her.

Rick: This is when he was having his problems down in Missouri?

Al: There really wasn't anything I could do for her, so I never talked to her. Look, I like Russbacher. Gunther, he's an alright guy. He even kept me apprised, at one point, of some things that were going on around me that proved to be correct. He did have good contacts in Naval Intelligence. The thing is, there was nothing I could do for the man because what happened to him he did to himself. In the greater sense of things, the problem with Gunther Russbacher was a problem with so many others. Him, Gene Wheaton had the same problem. These were guys, tenth-string guys who were only peripherally involved. The only reason they gained any prominence is because they went out and talked, and didn't charge anything for it, which was a real problem. And today, I hear about Russbacher all the time, or Gene Wheaton, all the time; because they talk so much. Because they didn't charge anything. You don't hear about what Dewey Claret said or Richard Secord said in admitting the truth. They were prepared to, it's just that nobody could meet their price. And, in this business, information is a business and you get what you pay for. And the problem with Russbacher, and Wheaton, and to a lesser extent Harry Rupp, they were in an aggrieved position and they incorrectly assumed that if they went out and talked and didn't charge anybody anything for it because the people interested in hearing it couldn't pay them anyway, that they would raise their public profile and rattle the cage sufficiently to get themselves settled with. But that didn't work. On Iran-Contra, there is so little information that has ever been told publicly because of the great conundrum.

Rick: True.

Al: That's why I continue to talk and, I suppose, why I'm popular in the media is because there have been so few others on my level that have ever talked publicly and told the truth. But even I, I can't continually go to jail, and can't continually be arrested endlessly, which has happened to me in the last eight years, to tell the people the truth—a people, a public who can not make a living for me or protect me.

You asked me before if there is anything I want to reveal, that I want to say publicly. I would say to all the armchair investigator wannabes out there that like to fill out FOIA (Freedom of Information Act) forms just for the hell of it, to request FBI Internal Memorandum 12B/151. That will enlighten them. Now, of course, they're never going to get it but it bugs the FBI to get requests for this particular document. The more people that request it, the better. This is one of the infamous documents that snuck out of the agency in 1985. There were only 50-to-100 copies that ever got out and most of them were repurchased in the ensuing years. Even I, I'm not telling you anything that isn't known by the government. I do not have a

complete copy of the entire 26-page memorandum. But this is on the front page: It says, “Suggest you destroy narcotics evidence to maintain deniability.” It is one of the very, very few FBI documents which links the FBI to direct knowledge of CIA narcotics trafficking during Iran-Contra.

You know, there’s a turnover in journalists in all of this. I saw it first-hand. In 1986, even before when there were suspicions of a covert operation going on in the media, even as early as 1985. The first generation of journalists that were very, very good on Iran-Contra, that were in it from the very beginning, that tried to do something with it—the Brian Bargas of the world. Rob Perry at PBS, Peter Kietel, Royce who is now with *News Debut*, these are Pulitzer Prize-winning journalists. They were in it from the very beginning. They recognized the egregious nature of it. They recognized what the consequences would be if the public were to ever find out the true nature of what “the people’s” government had done. The problem is, after a few years, they understood the classic dictum. You know, Bill Casey once told me, I only ever met with Bill Casey once and this is a documented, well known meeting on Dec. 19, 1985; and **Bill Casey told me, “The truth is worthless.” And I didn’t understand what he meant, until later on, until some years later. That the more you find out, the less you can do with it and the less valuable that truth becomes. You can’t print it. You can’t disseminate it. “It is nothing,” as Bill Casey reminded me, “there is nothing but pain and suffering in the truth.” And he’s absolutely correct. The truth is worthless. All of the documents that I have, all of the tape recordings that I have, are essentially worthless. I cannot use them to anybody in the mainstream press that can devote any resources to package them up to disseminate them. It simply wouldn’t be done. The only value they have is the value to sell them back, as time goes by, which is what always happens with sensitive materials. They get sold back to the people who perpetrated the acts, because they are the ones who have all of the money and all of the power.**

Rick: I hear you.

Al: You know, it doesn’t piss me off, though. I mean, that’s the way it’s meant to work.

Rick: Well, you’re doing your part.

Al: I do my part to keep the issue alive but really it is self-serving. The only reason any issues are kept alive concerning or pursuant to illegal or covert-nature operations of state—the only reason these issues are ever kept alive by any people who were involved in them, is self-serving. It’s not kept alive to tell the public the truth. It’s kept alive to use the public. **Both Oliver North and I, although we’re on opposite sides of the fence because I told the truth and he didn’t, we both tend to use the public to our own ends. He, to justify his acts. Me, to attempt to get what I’m owed. But neither of us have a vested interest in telling the people the truth because we both know the equation; that the people are meaningless in the greater equation of state.**

You know, Oliver North has a little party joke that he used to say all the time, any time I was ever with him or at a cocktail Republican function with him; he was very fond of the same political joke about the people of the country. And that is, “People, or voters, are very much like the guy that goes in to a car dealership to buy a car. The car dealer doesn’t ask them, ‘Do you want to buy a car.’ The car dealer says, ‘Do you want the green one or the brown one.’” It’s the same thing when a voter goes into a voting booth. The people already know they’re there to vote. It

is, very simply, which politician do you want, the green one or the brown one? But underneath the color, it's all the same. I always rather liked it.

Rick: I'm not arguing with it. Did you have, way back then, any particular job title that you went by?

Al: Well, no, none of us had job titles. I, very simply—I was the Chairman of Southeast Resources, Inc., which was a holding group, which had a prime marketing contract with the infamous Gulf Coast Investment Group Companies, which were run by Larry Hammel. That name wouldn't mean anything to you unless you were a real Iran-Contra aficionado, and I had marketing contracts with Neil Bush's Gulf Stream, and several with Jeb's entities. But I was essentially a holding company which marketed fraudulent investment products of other people. That was the whole nature of the scheme that Richard Secord had developed. In other words, a bulk of people, mostly doctors, lawyers, professional people who were very right wing and wanted to contribute to, quote, *the cause*, as Oliver North called it; *the enterprise*, as Richard Secord called it; *the shadows*, as Elliott Abrams called it, and so on. But they wanted to contribute. The problem is, as citizens, you can't contribute to an illegal act of state. Therefore, you needed a legitimizing factor in the middle. And what was the best legitimizing factor was an investment product.

Rick: Sure.

Al: So we would offer oil and gas limited partnerships, mining limited partnerships, real estate limited partnerships, and so on, but they never existed other than on paper. They were strictly an artifice to legitimize the flow of funds from supporters within the civilian populace that wanted to support these illegal operations of state and receive a tax write-off for it in [*the form of*] some favor.

Rick: Very slick. How did you learn how to do all of this?

Al: I've always been involved. I mean, money is my forte. Money, the laundering of it, the covert generating of it, the making of it, the transportation of it. That has been my thing since day one.

You know, one thing that I've said on the radio to all this, piqued me, to a large extent, was that I had an opportunity—as did others—of all that has been written about Iran-Contra, which has been extensive in the last ten years, that's been written or electronically disseminated. There are half a dozen or so decent books that have been written about it, the one great factor that was overlooked and one of the great factors that disturbed the Reagan/Bush Administration, was the KGB's knowledge of what the U.S. Government was up to—which was extensive at the time. You know, I had an opportunity to defect to the Soviet Union in 1985, and again in 1986, as did others. Eugene Hasenfus did, as you probably know. When Hasenfus was in jail in Managua, he was offered a million dollars to defect, so that he could be used for propaganda reasons. But I was offered a significant sum, and the whole package, the protection, the places to go, everything, if I wanted to defect at the time. And, when I got made that second offer in 1986, it was done right out of the KGB's Washington station. As a matter of fact, it was Major Yuri Shvets, the second in command, that handled it. He was bright, as KGB officers go. He understood the situation. The KGB knew what Iran-Contra was all about. I mean, it wasn't even called Iran-Contra yet, but they understood what was going on. And they knew that when things fell apart, there would be a whole list of people put on the short end of the proverbial stick of deniability; and they recognized it as a major recruitment opportunity. And the CIA was aware of it, was well aware, and attempted to stop them but not very

successfully. They put out offers to people like me in late '85, and again in the middle of '86, and this is when I was already on the wrong side of the equation, when I had already been cut off and was about to be discredited. And I did not defect to the Soviet Union because I was promised, by Oliver North, that my government would take care of me and protect me. Instead, I was prosecuted, imprisoned (albeit briefly), and every further effort was made to discredit me.

Rick: It happened to a lot of people.

Al: Absolutely. And as I have said publicly and I even said before Congress seven different times in seven different Congressional committees, "If I had it to do over again, I would be in the Soviet Union today."

They attempted to use that. It's an interesting story. Shvets says a little bit about it in his book (which he wrote about six months ago) *Washington Station—My Life As A KGB Spy*. Unfortunately, he couldn't say as much as he wanted to because he has a pending application for political asylum in the United States and he was pressured to withhold certain things.

You know, it's interesting and he does mention it in his book, that the KGB thought that they could use Iran-Contra politically, against the United States. Particularly, CIA narcotics trafficking. And, Shvets was present, he had already called to Moscow but he was present at the time, when Gorbachev met Reagan in the 1986 Reykjavik Summit. Gorbachev and Reagan are sitting alone with their interpreters—there are very few other people when leaders like that meet at these summits. But Gorbachev said that the KGB was aware of CIA narcotics trafficking and other illegal operations of the United States Government (thinking he could use this as a lever). And Reagan said, "Oh, I know about that," and he smiled. "So what, we've been doing it for years." Those were his exact words to Gorbachev. And Gorbachev was greatly dejected by it. And he told Gorbachev, "You can't make any use of it. The American people will never print it. The people won't believe it." And Reagan was right, and Gorbachev knew it. You notice most of the good reporting, Iran-Contra reporting that was done in the post-1986 timeframe, was done in Europe. That's the way it always is, there's nothing new about that. The greatest reporting, the greatest revelations about covert operations, illegal covert operations of the U.S. Government are always printed overseas, particularly in the British, French and German media. I did an article in *Blonde* in Germany, several articles for the *Financial Times* in London, and always the greatest revelations and the greatest stuff is printed there—because it can be printed there and it will be printed there. It won't be printed here, because of the classic dictum, that the American people do not understand, will not believe and are not interested in hearing revelations of complex conspiracies of states. That is the classic dictum which guides the U.S. media. [*Editor's note: CONTACT readers may feel that this is not fully explanatory of U.S. media shortcomings.*] But in Europe, or Western Europe, where there is a more, shall we say, enlightened population—remember what Bob Dole said during the reinauguration ceremony in 1985. I was standing six feet from him (and George Bush was right next to him) and Bob Dole was joking and held his hands up in the air and he says, "Home of the naive and land of the provincial, thank God." He knew he was right.

It's just like when George Bush was asked publicly, this is in the June 1992 issue of Sarah McClendon's newsletter *The McClendon Report*—old Sarah, and of course she stayed on George consistently about Iran-Contra stuff in every presidential news conference; she'd press him about it. You know, they just used to answer her, or say something just out of courtesy because Sarah has been there for a hundred years. But Sarah says to George, "What is this New World Order, people want to know. Everyone

seems to have a different interpretation of it.”

And George said publicly, which she printed, that, very simply, the New World Order was “the continuous consolidation of money and power into higher, tighter, and ‘righter’ hands.” And that is a great synopsis of what it means: world order. And George went on to say about Iran-Contra, he said, “You know, Sarah,” and this is a direct quote from her newsletter, “if the American people ever knew what we have done to them, we would be chased down in the streets and lynched.” But he knew he was safe in saying that because he knew that quote would never make it beyond the thirty thousand subscribers of *The McClendon* newsletter. Because that would force the media to do what they hate, have to explain what it’s all about and the way things really work. And they would have to commit resources in order to do that. Resources that they will not commit because the answers cannot be encapsulated conveniently into a sound bite.

Rick: Was there any particular incident that happened between you and Richard Secord that caused this falling out, or just the fact that you were telling the truth?

Al: Oh no, the incident—after the meeting I had on Dec. 19, 1985, which is well documented—within days of that meeting I was on the outside looking in. I was forced out. I had been left. Hammel, my immediate superior, the infamous Lawrence Richard Hammel, he’s an old DOD [*Department Of Defense*] shadow player. We had parted ways earlier in 1985. He had owed me several hundred thousand dollars. I had pressed Secord for payment. Secord and Oliver North and I and the infamous private investigator who worked for me at the time—the renowned Steven Dennis Dean, the most famous of Iran-Contra investigators—we had a meeting in Miami. I was promised that I would be paid. I was promised an additional sum of money to have Larry Hammel in my office, who was then a renegade. He had been in jail, was out on bail on a federal indictment. He was going to be a real problem. North had asked me to set up a meeting in my office in Miami to lure Hammel there to reach an agreement with Hammel. And they would have a briefcase containing a certain amount of money and Hammel would have a briefcase containing certain documents that they wanted back. And I was told, it was laid out to me—I have this all in my logs. I have extensive logs, over the years, everything; every single day; every transaction, every phone call, everything. But I was told to exact detail when the meeting would be, to set it up in my conference room in my office, who would be there, what time. I was told to leave by which elevator in the building. I was told to get into my car to go to the airport, to leave my car. A ticket was arranged for me to a safe house Oliver North maintained at a place called Club Orient in St. Martin. And Hammel would go out the front. There would be the limousine waiting for him and, very simply, Hammel would be assassinated. That was the plan. As Oliver North was fond of saying, “Mr. Hammel would be taking a long walk on a short pier.” When that didn’t come down, because Hammel found out about it about twelve hours before the meeting and backed away and the whole thing fell apart, I was on the outside looking in after that time.

Hammel was, of course—there was a bill of indictment in Jan. of ’86. I was added to that bill of indictment, as a co-conspirator, seven counts of lawyer fraud, bank fraud, and so on and so forth. I appeared before a federal grand jury in Miami on Friday, March 23, 1986. I invoked “national security” for refusal to testify. That invocation was thereon certified by Federal District Judge Eugene Spellman, at the time, and that embarked me on my odyssey on the outside. And the guy that questioned me in that grand jury was none other than the infamous William Richard Scruggs, then senior assistant U.S. attorney to the Miami U.S. attorney’s office and in charge of political liability control (PLC) for that office at that time. It is interesting to note that the renowned William Richard Scruggs is now the chief national security adviser

to Attorney General Janet Reno, and is also, by the way, a wanted felon in Costa Rica. Costa Rica has proffered a demand for his extradition with the Dept. of Justice, did so two years ago, and that extradition request has been refused. And William Richard Scruggs remains under indictment, although chief national security adviser to the current attorney general of the United States. He remains under indictment by the government of Costa Rica for felonious charges of kidnapping and violation of Costa Rican national sovereignty.

Rick: Incredible.

Al: Pursuant to his involvement, under the last of the Reagan/Bush political kidnapping policies of 1985-1991, Scruggs organized the last political kidnapping in Costa Rica. The kidnapping of the infamous CIA narcotics trafficker, Israel Able, who now is serving a twenty-year stretch at the federal pen in Atlanta, Georgia. Costa Rica finally said that we will not tolerate having citizens on our soil kidnapped by shadowy entities of the U.S. Government anymore for political motives, and indicted Mr. Scruggs. The Clinton Administration, surprisingly enough, refused to accept the Costa Rican indictment, saying that the extradition of a Reagan/Bush official in the Clinton cabinet would jeopardize the political security of the United States. The exact response of the United States Government.

Rick: Pretty incredible.

Al: No it isn't; it's the way it works. Scruggs isn't the only one. The seventeen other Reagan/Bush holdovers within the Clinton Administration who are wanted felons by foreign powers, *to wit* extradition requests have been proffered pursuant to the relevant treaty thereunto, with the U.S. Department of Justice, and the United States Government refuses to extradite these people for reasons of political security. The common thread of all these individuals is that they were Reagan/Bush holdovers and were involved in the illegal state-sponsored activities pursuant to Iran-Contra.

Rick: I sure appreciate this call, Al.

Al: It's impossible—we've been on the phone two hours—the only time I was ever debriefed by anybody in the media competently was by the renowned Rebecca Sims in 1990. Rebecca, as you know, was probably the greatest of all Iran-Contra journalists. She knew the most. And she was competent. She knew the questions to ask me. She put me in a hotel room in Miami for five days, with her over a tape recorder and it took 40 hours of tape to debrief me about all that I knew. And that was by someone competent to ask questions.

Rick: I don't consider myself to be even informed on the subject.

Al: It's like Jeb Bush said, "The people must never know the truth." And he's right. "And every effort will be made to prevent the people from knowing the truth. Lest the truth impinge upon the domestic tranquility of the people and the security of this state." That's a direct quote from him.

The level that I am at, and the game that I play involves people who were former secretaries, assistant secretaries of state, ranking military officers, that is the world I find myself in.

Rick: In that case, Terry Reed is probably your best friend.

Al: I've tried to help him. Unfortunately, as is usual, his case is not going to go anywhere because he can not mount any resources or put any resources behind it. It is always the problem.

Rick: They make it very tough.

Al: I told him, I've said this before, there's probably between one and three percent of the population, the American population, that really understands the way everything works and what it's about and is really interested in the truth and hearing it. And one to three percent of the American population probably represents between two and six million people. Well, if I or somebody like me, formed an organization, went on the Internet and everywhere else and said to every one of those two to six million people, "Send in a dollar and we'll start telling you the truth," it could be done. The problem is, you cannot get all of the people who are interested in hearing the truth to send in that dollar. And the truth doesn't come for free. Every document has value. There is a maintained—people don't even understand—the American public know nothing. In the world of documentary information there is a maintained market. There is actually a 'bid' and an 'asked', like there would be for a stock or a bond for every document of state that reveals an illegal operation. The problem is, it's a captive market. There's ultimately only one buyer, the government itself or those in the shadows thereunto that perpetrated the mischief. There are no other buyers.

Rick: I'm sure that's true.

Al: Gotta watch out for Department 4. You don't know of Department 4?

Rick: No.

Al: Department 4 of the central directorate of the Central Intelligence Agency, is actually the operational directorate which is contained within the central directorate, Department 4, otherwise known as DWO (Domestic Wet Operations). They are the people who are in charge of, shall we say, cleaning-up ability. Those are the ones you gotta watch out for. You'll never know when or if they are coming. And by the time they're there, it's too late for you to give a shit.

Rick: What made you think of that?

Al: Because I've had them sicked on me before. Because I've dealt with these people before and I know of them and I know of their department, and I know of the mandate of operations in the department and the resources that they have available; how they are dispersed domestically and in what orifices they operate through.

Rick: They certainly have deep pockets.

Al: Well, they have god-like powers. Just as Oliver North had god-like powers. You know, Oliver North, from 1984 to 1986, Oliver North was the second most powerful man in the United States, next to Reagan himself. Probably even more powerful than Reagan because he knew what was going on. And Bill Casey, for the first time in history as a DCI, gives a mid-ranking staff lieutenant colonel on the NSC, within the Central American policy desk, the power to go directly to Department 4 and order a sanction [*murder*]*]*—such a thing had never been done before. To give somebody other than the DCI such power,

to order a liquidation of liability. And the bodies started in Jan. of 1985 with Steve Nacar, the famous photographer, freelance photography, he wasn't famous but he became infamous—who happened to be on John Hall's ranch in Costa Rica with a camera, taking shots of C130s which changed tail numbers. The trail of bodies started at him and goes right up until six months ago with Paul Wilcher, the attorney. And in-between that is about 400 guys.

Rick: Do you know of anything behind the scenes that prompted Dole to make the move he made this week?

Al: It was desperation. Dole is being quietly supported within the Republican National Committee by the old guard, within the George Bush faction. The Republican Party is essentially divided in half, right now. It has been for the last few years. Half of the party is composed of the new grass-roots right, principally Western in origin, aligned with the Christian Coalition and other right conservative groups. The other half of the Republican Party that remains is what remains of the old George Bush and company Eastern country club Republican cabal, government by military/industrial complex, government by Trilateral Commission, government by shredders. That's how the party divides. There has been an internal struggle which I've witnessed, I've been involved in, particularly in the last twelve to eighteen months, within the Republican Party, for this new grass-roots right to take control of the Republican Party and the agenda from the old cabalists—old George Bush and company, back room, smoke-filled, secret commission cabalists. The feeling of the people [*is that they*] don't want that form of government anymore, hence, look at all the retirements this year in Congress. Most of the retirements are of senior members aligned to the old George Bush faction, they're on their way out. And watch Faircloth and Dan Burton, Jim Micott (sp?) and Dana Rohrabacher have attempted control.

Rick: Have you been approached by many publishing companies who would be willing to write your story?

Al: No, I have not, as a matter of fact. I was approached by Harper & Collins only because of Steven Segal. Segal knows who I am and, step back, in 1992, Oliver Stone wanted to do an Iran-Contra movie, as you probably know. And, as a matter of fact, had spent 14 million dollars in script and development costs before the government pressured him out of it, because he wanted to have it produced before the '92 elections. I was retained. The only reason I was retained was because my attorney, Mark Sarnoff, my criminal attorney, the man who has represented me criminally for a number of years, also had represented Oliver Stone's production company in Florida, because a lot of it was going to be filmed in Florida. So, Stone hired me as a technical consultant on what he was going to do with this Iran-Contra movie. And that was part of the reason the pressure increased against him—the minute he hired me. I gave him a lot of information. He was very interested, but ultimately he backed down, with a 14-million-dollar loss. Typical pressure—the IRS audited him and all sorts of things began to happen to him, he understood why, and finally he said enough is enough. Because of him, Segal became aware of who I was. I've talked to Segal a few times in the last six months and Segal is very friendly with Joe Gotlier; anyway, that's how the whole connection was made. But to do a movie straight out without a book first, it's difficult. Part of that is for their own legal protection. So that's how the whole thing got together.

Of course, Hollywood is a whole different crowd. It's not my crowd of people.

Rick: It's difficult.

Al: My name is not Oliver North's name, in terms of public recognition. And they offered me a deal but it was a deal that I had to pay for the ghost writer and I had to pay for my own publicist and I had to pay for most of the marketing costs, and the advance that they offered me would have been consumed by the expenses that I was obligated to pay. And that wasn't the kind of deal I wanted. The only other people who have actually approached me is *Time/Warner*; they did make a phone call to this guy Frankel last week, sniffing around. I think they know who I am. And then, of course, in 1991, I was approached by the infamous Alice Mayhue, the Vice-President of Simon-Shuster about doing a no-print deal. I and some other guys were approached, about half a dozen people in all. The deal was that I would get a specified advance, book contract and everything, and, as typical of a no-print deal, they would print up the cover (do a cover design and everything) and all the pages would be blank. And they'd print up whatever they claimed they'd print up by contract in blank pages, and then all the copies would be sold to one place, in this case the Heritage Foundation.

Rick: Laughter.

Al: All it was, was a pay-off.

Rick: Sure, of course.

Al: I very nearly—the money was close, the whole peripheral package they had arranged through my attorney to put me into a very comfortable exile outside the United States. I would have been quietly PNGd (persona non-grata), given up my citizenship and passport and that would have been the end of me. We were within fifty thousand dollars or so of making the deal work.

Rick: Why did it go South?

Al: Part of it was the money. Secondly, the second part of it which even I do not understand, because even I, being Al Martin, tend to be in the center of the great and well-known and well-publicized, printed and disseminated Al Martin conundrum, and that it—the times in the past when I have gotten close to a settlement, in the eleventh hour someone has stepped out of the clouds—I wouldn't say out of the woodwork, I said out of the clouds, if you know what I mean—

Rick: I do.

Al: —and has put a stop to it. And it has been consistently the same parties that have done this to me. And why they wouldn't want me to be settled with, I have no idea. But I believe part of the problem is, and I've always believed this, and all of the attorneys I've had, (two of whom have been murdered, by the way)—but of the five attorneys I've had they all have tended to believe the same thing. And that is that part of the problem is that I have something that I do not realize the significance of. And I do not realize how it relates to other matters beyond what I think it may relate to. As Sarnoff, my attorney, said to me, "Its rather like having a bag of golden BBs and disguised in that bag is one platinum BB with a gold coating on it." And the problem is, I don't know which one of those BBs is the platinum one. And that has consistently been the problem that I've had in reaching any settlement. And, of course, the reason that I describe myself as a

4th-string player and not a 2nd or 3rd is because even I do not understand the full significance of everything I was involved in. I understand the significance of it but I do not understand the ultimate relationship of it. For instance, I may have been involved in scenario A, and understand as far down the ladder that it relates to person M, but I cannot connect it ultimately to person X, Y or Z. But it does connect, its just that I'm not aware of it because I don't have the missing pieces between M and X, Y and Z.

Rick: Sure.

Al: And to settle with me, I believe what the problem is, and certainly Henry Gonzalez and Jack Brooks and Charlie Rose and Bill Alexander and Chris Dodd, and all the other guys I've helped, believe the same thing, that I have made it clear from the beginning that the only thing I want is what was owed to me at the time—which is a very modest amount of money. That's all that I want. I've never said that I want any more than what I was owed. And the problem, I think, is that if I was dealt with in those terms that should I ever become aware of what it is that I've got and how it connects to something—if my eyes were all of a sudden opened—I would realize that it is worth ten times, a hundred times what it was I accepted in the settlement. Human nature, being what it is, I could not help but to want to use it. And they obviously can't come to me, it would not be in anybody's interest to say, "Well, Al, this is what you know that's the problem. And, therefore, if you ever talk about it, something deleterious is going to happen to you." Because it would only exacerbate the problem.

Rick: Sure.

Al: Of me potentially using it down the line.

Rick: Right. So you may never know.

Al: I may never know, and that's the problem. The only people like me who are left are the fourth-string people. They're the only ones, like me, who are still in limbo. You figure the first, second and third-string people, when the door of liability slammed shut, they were on the right side of that door; Oliver North, Richard Secord, Elliott Abrams, Donald Gregg, guys like that. And the people way below me, the Ian Stewart Spiros, the Mike Nugents, the Frank Hands, the guys who were down the seventh and eighth-string, they got dealt with alright. They're in the ground. Or, people were imprisoned or were forced into exile or whatever. But the problem is there are still a few dozen of us still left who are in limbo, is that we are the fourth-string. We don't know enough to get a settlement and to get back on the inside, yet we know too much to perhaps be dealt with in a more deleterious manner. Because we are just a little bit too well known. We have just a little bit too much information. So we are left in a never-ending state of limbo, which is where I find myself. And we simply are disavowed and discredited, to some extent, and are left alone to do our thing. And every time we get close to something, either we say a little bit too much on the radio, we reveal a little bit too much in a newspaper article, or whatever, we are, shall we say, discommoded in some fashion. We are arrested, threatened, harassed, intimidated, surveilled upon, pressured in some fashion. That's all. No communication, just pressure. And we usually get the word, normally through our counsels. The one thing all of our attorneys have in common is common Washington ties. That's how the word comes down. And, therefore, you have to figure out what it is you might have said that was a problem, and stay away from that subject matter, which isn't always the easiest thing to do. Because when you're doing a radio show, you might be talking about a hundred different things.

Rick: Well, do you have a sense at all of the two lawyers who were murdered? Do you have a sense of what information you may have given them that was so sensitive?

Al: One of them was Wilcher; Wilcher represented me. Of course, he represented a lot of people like me in the early '90s. June 3, June 4 in '93 he was murdered. It was, I think, three weeks after he had sent that 99-page letter to Janet Reno.

Rick: Ok.

Al: And about three weeks after that he was murdered.

Rick: There was quite a bit in that letter.

Al: Well, yeah. And, of course, the circumstances surrounding his death are interesting—much of which has never been made public. Particularly about the two off-duty local cops that were on the scene first. The man and the woman, that's the most interesting part of it. They tried to speak publicly when they saw that the thing was being covered up and were immediately put on an unpaid leave, and have now been quietly retired and are living quietly in the Caribbean under assumed names. That's what happened to them, anyway.

Rick: How about your other attorney who was murdered?

Al: The problem is, you can't—I couldn't tell if what happened to them had anything to do with me or someone else they were representing, because they represented perhaps a dozen or more people like me.

Rick: That would tend to do it.

Al: If it was a dozen or more people like me, it would become an awfully complex matter to try to figure it out to make the connections. So the demise of one's attorney doesn't necessarily connect to anything. It seems to be a question, like Sarnoff said. Sarnoff is still my counsel of record for criminal actions but does not actively represent me anymore because he, very simply, got tired of the pressure. He was eaves-dropped, surveiled upon, like all attorneys are who represent people like me. But he wound up with a bomb under his car, which fortunately didn't go off because he got a call beforehand that said there was a bomb under his car. It was meant just to rattle him.

Rick: Is he in Miami?

Al: Mark Sarnoff, he's well known. He's a member of the Sarnoff family. His grandfather is General Sarnoff, as in the founder of NBC.

Yes, he's out of Miami, where all the Sarnoffs are. They were the founders of RCA and then, later, NBC, as in the Sarnoff Foundations, the Sarnoff museums. They're big contributors to the Democratic Party. Sarnoff was a young guy and a loose canon and a wet-behind-the-ears liberal, and he got educated in representing me and finally got burned-out and depressed because he learned the truth.

Rick: That happens.

Al: He attempted to push some settlements but he'd always get pushed back. As he discovered, it's not what's being pushed that seems to be the key. It is when it is being pushed, the timing of it. When I was pushing things, not only I but others, in '91 up until the middle of '92, we were hot. We were getting pushed back because there was an election coming. Same thing now. In '94 it became true again, things got hot again because of Congressional elections. It isn't what was being said, it was when it was being said and who was, potentially, inculcated. That has increasingly been my sense of this all along. It's not what, it's when. And, of course, Iran-Contra/Iraq-Gate/BCCI/BNL, everybody knows that '96, this is likely to be the last election that's going to play it.

Rick: If there is an election.

Al: Oh, there'll be an election. They're not ready for Operation Sledgehammer, yet.

Rick: And what is Operation Sledgehammer?

Al: Oh, that's the famous operation. Finally North, stupidly I thought, admitted some of it publicly, as you may remember, before the Kerry Committee hearings. I was aware of it, almost since the day it was drafted. Well, I was aware of it by Sept./Oct. 1984. I was standing right next to North when he was talking about it. That was the contingency operation that he and Casey had come up with, with Bush in the Rag-1, was called Restricted Access Group One. It was a contingency operation to potentially institute a "putsch" against the government of the United States, should it become necessary to do so. What would have necessitated it in 1985 or '86 would be the public learning the full truth of what would be known as Iran-Contra. That Bush felt it would so destabilize the government and cause such unrest that, in order to maintain control, it would be necessary to institute a putsch by certain elements of the military, with the tacit support of the administration, against the administration, so that the administration could then be free to act in terms of suppression. Suppression, in this case, suppressing information in people.

Rick: We're not far from there.

Al: And that's why I was very intimately knowledgeable at the time; I still am. And Kerry did make an effort to go after that, but even Inouye and Hamilton, the Democrats, recognize that it didn't do any good to bring that public. If the American people even knew that such a contingency existed, they would have wanted to know why. And that was the problem, they couldn't be told why because that would lead into other questions. And they were afraid. I talked to Inouye about it. I had a good relationship with Inouye and his aids at the time, they liked me and I helped them. And Inouye said, "Look, Republican, Democrat, it doesn't make any difference. Across the aisle we both have the same ultimate interest: to prevent the people from knowing the greater truth about the way government works, and has worked for the last 50 years." That's what they were afraid of, one thing leading to another. But, anyway, until recently, up until a few years ago, I know that Sledgehammer still existed as an active contingency operation. I don't know if the resources are still devoted like they were in '84 and '85. Why do you think that people never understood the significance of time? When Oliver North first came to prominence in 1983, it was really the Grenada operation that brought him to Casey's attention. But four months after that when he was installed as only a mid-ranking guy at the NSC, that's all he ever really was, he was suddenly made chairman of the

NPO—seated quietly, at the time. And people couldn't understand that later on. You know, a nobody Lt. Col. who is only a mid-ranking staff guy at the NSC, why is he suddenly made chairman of what was still then a secret agency at that time, the NPO? It was so military resources could be secreted in NPO facilities under North's command, to be used in the event a putsch became necessary. And that's why all that stuff came out later on about Fire Lake, Nevada and Iron Mountain, Texas. These were NPO auxiliary facilities. And then, of course, North and Casey both had figured out that they could be used for other reasons. And, consequently, you saw what was supposed to be a site controlled by a government agency that really didn't exist, *to wit*—the NPO—being used for the secretion and storage of narcotics and weapons pursuant to North/South traffic.

Rick: Let's talk about Bill Casey for a moment. What was your impression of Casey?

Al: I liked him. He was the quintessential right wing cabalist. The guy of the "old school" who believed in the George Bush school of politics of the way the world was meant to run and the way this government was meant to run. He was a huge supporter of the Trilateral Commission and the national Council on Foreign Relations, and so forth. But he had a vision. He was different than his predecessor, Donovan. He was far smarter than his predecessors. And he had a vision of the way the world was supposed to be, that after the warring years there would be a New World Order formed and it would, essentially, be ruled by a new triad of the United States, Germany, and Japan—and that the Soviets would be smashed by whatever means necessary. And a New Order would effectively emerge to control the world.

Rick: Do you believe Casey was taken out?

Al: I was familiar with some of the circumstances surrounding his death in that hospital, Greenview, Greenbriar, Green something-or-other. You know, it's an odd set of circumstances that a dying DCI winds up in a little nickel-and-dime hospital in Long Island. They had the whole wing locked-down, but the strange thing is, not strange, but because of the time constraints and getting set up, there was one staff physician who was allowed to attend him; one doctor—he drove a grey BMW. He had some things to say right after Casey died, and unfortunately, he went to meet with, of all people, Richard Schlesinger—you know who Schlesinger is, CBS—Dennis Dean and I had sold some information to CBS about it. And Schlesinger—we were going to arrange a meeting with this doctor. And, unfortunately, the doctor got in his grey BMW to go off and meet and, wouldn't you know it, the car blew up with the good doctor in it. So that was the end of the good doctor. It was ruled a "vapor explosion". So the true circumstances won't be known. We had information at one time, but we sold it. And, you know, the game of information—when you sell it, it doesn't belong to you any more. And, of course, in 1987, up until early '88, the major media would still pay for information, for documents, photographs, tapes, that type of thing.

Rick: Yes.

Al: Then after 1988 they wouldn't pay for stuff any more or, what they would pay would be a tenth of what they had paid previously.

The guys that you really have to talk to are people that were more directly involved. You know, Frank Snepp, for instance, or Donald Gregg, and they're not talking. Frank is too close to Donald Gregg. And everybody lets this guy Snepp work for them. Snepp sabotaged North and Noriega defense teams. You

know, Frank Rabino and John May represented Noriega. And Snepp effectively sabotaged them. They had hired me as a consultant. They hired Snepp as a consultant. And every single day we were in that office, Snepp would review every piece of paper, every piece of evidence that was being gathered and at the end of every single day he would go in the conference room and call Donald Gregg and tell him. And I used to tell John May, I said, “Don’t you people see this as a problem, that every single bit of the Noriega defense is being relayed to Donald Gregg?”

John May, “Oh no, no, we don’t see it as a problem.”

Idiots. They knew who Donald Gregg was. They understood Frank was just recently retired from the CIA, really. And they understood the connection between Donald Gregg and Snepp and understood only peripherally who Donald Gregg was as the former Vice-Presidential National Security Advisor. But they really didn’t understand the bigger implication of what was going on around them—that effectively their defense was being sabotaged and by the time they got into court the government already knew every question they were going to ask.

Rick: Tends to take the wind right out of the sail.

Al: It happens. I mean, I know things about Noriega—it still would be a problem. You know, if this guy is ever granted a new trial and has competent counsel, it’s going to be a real problem for people. Because there are those like me, a few of us left who have never said all that we know about Noriega’s Iran-Contra role.

Rick: It’s got to be significant.

Al: Oh, of course it is. It’s very significant. And Noriega—you can plug him into all three areas: money, weapons, narcotics. As a matter of fact, he is one of the key common links between the three south of the border. You can put him into deals with all three Bush sons. You can put him directly into transactions with George senior. I went to that meeting on Dec. 10, 1985—I didn’t go there, I happened to be there at the same time. It just turned out that way, when Noriega had met with Elliot Abrams, and Mike Kozak was there. And Bill Walters; I’ve got a copy of the original memorandum. I was right there when the thing at Hotel Intercontinental—when a lot of this shit was being discussed. Noriega was nervous about security arrangements for the cocaine and Elliott said, “Our people will take care of it.” They didn’t trust the Panamanian G2 to be able to do it on their own.

And then Noriega says, “Is my gratuity still secured for Watch Tower?”

And Abrams told him it was. He’s a sinister little f***, that Elliott Abrams.

Rick: Where is he now?

Al: Hanging out in Washington at the Heritage Foundation, of course, the ultimate resting place for right wingers in-between jobs. But he’s lying low. I mean, Elliott hasn’t said a lot publicly. He appears in the public once in a while, but not so much because there are a lot of people who don’t like him. And Elliott tends to have a big mouth.

Some of the other people, I don't know. I don't know where Kozak is any more. Those are the people who need to be gotten to if this thing is ever going to be seriously told. It's not the assistant secretary himself, like Elliott Abrams, but his aide, Michael Kozak. Donald Gregg is never going to say anything. You have to go to his adjutant, Sam Watson. The guy's on my level; here's two other players on exactly the same level as I am.

Rick: Is Sam Watson talking?

Al: No. Sam did talk, as you might be aware. Sam did talk and the only reason he talked is that I made the connection for him during the Walsh Commission, when Lawrence Walsh was the independent counsel. You know, Mike Foster, the senior FBI agent on that end of the investigation had come to me and I made the connection with Foster. Foster was one of the last people they interviewed. And in the interview they put him up in the hotel in great secrecy in Virginia someplace. They interviewed him for 3 days and then they presented the evidence in a special package to Lawrence Walsh and Walsh says, "Oh, we better not use this." And that's when Foster and some of the FBI guys got pissed off and left. Because, of course, he shouldn't have said this. One of the things that Watson had said, and of course he said it in interrogatory form. It would have to have been made public. Lawrence Walsh didn't want people to be reminded that it was his law firm, in Tulsa, Crow & Dunn Levi that had done some of the paper work and then the bill of lading for the original Iranian arms sales. Now that wasn't any big secret. I don't think it was commonly known publicly, but around the beltway certainly that wasn't any big secret. I don't think anybody, particularly Lawrence Walsh, wanted anybody to be reminded of that. Democrats with extreme conflict of interest.

Well, I'm going to go to bed tonight and dream of shredding documents.

Rick: (Laughter.)

Al: As Oliver North used to say, "I never saw a document I didn't want to shred." I like that. We're sitting there, Thanksgiving Day 1986, myself and Dennis Dean and he had Paul Miller, of all people, who is now chief of their public liaison section for the Miami field office of the FBI—we're all sitting there on the third floor of their old office jamming documents through these shredders—absolutely as fast as they could be jammed into it. There were people down in Von Rabb's office, Customs Commissioner Von Rabb's office, in Miami, doing the same thing—shredding documents. That was the Thanksgiving Day massacre, as it was called. And very little is ever reported about it in the press. I don't think anyone wanted to raise that concept of mass shredding on the day before Ed Meese went on public television. THE END.

CHAPTER 9

THE NEWS DESK

by Phyllis Linn 6/21/95

HEALING LODGE AS ALTERNATIVE TO JAIL

From the May 19 issue of the *RAPID CITY JOURNAL* (S. Dakota), [quoting:]

OKIMAW OHCI HEALING LODGE, Saskatchewan—Brenda Morrison, a Saulteaux Indian with a punk hair-style and a flaming arrow tattooed on her left forearm, is a reservation kid from the Canadian prairie who dropped out of school and into trouble after her mother's early death. Now 33, she has lived much of the last decade in Kingston Women's Prison, an aging, notorious warehouse for Canada's most violent female inmates. Having finished a three-year manslaughter term for shooting her boyfriend, Morrison is midway through a sentence for beating a man with a baseball bat while in a drug-induced haze. After she pleaded guilty last year to stabbing a guard with a syringe during a prison disturbance, her term was increased from five to seven years. She is the kind of inmate most prisons probably would write off. But corrections officials decided to gamble that Morrison might benefit from a radical change. This winter, they admitted her as one of the first 14 inmates at Okimaw Ohci Healing Lodge, North America's first prison exclusively for Indian inmates and a centerpiece of Canada's risky venture into separate justice programs for native people. The move appears to have paid off: Morrison exhibits a determination to follow the program here. The warden calls her a model inmate.

The healing lodge is only one element—though perhaps the boldest—in a broad experiment that makes Canada, along with New Zealand and Australia, a pioneer in culturally based court and prison programs for native people. Critics fear the country is headed toward a divisive judicial double standard, with one set of rules for Indians and another for everyone else. But those most familiar with the effort, including non-Indian judges and prosecutors, are increasingly optimistic that for many native offenders, the alternative procedures are more effective and less expensive than traditional courts and prisons. They point to studies that show as much as an 80 percent reduction in repeat offenses among Indian defendants dealt with by native justice programs rather than the courts.

The best-known, most widely used Canadian alternative is the “sentencing circle”. In the last five years, more than 100 Indian defendants have been diverted from the courts to these community-based tribunals. The results sometimes are controversial—most notably in the case of a Saskatchewan man convicted of sexual assault who was banished to a remote island for a year rather than jailed. But sentencing circles have gained enough credibility in the judicial establishment to encourage other initiatives, including the women's prison here.

Okimaw Ohci Healing Lodge—the name means Hills of Thunder, after the prison's locale—is a collection of brightly painted wood-frame buildings set on a hillside near Maple Creek, a farm town in southwestern Saskatchewan. Warden Norma Green has a background in social work, not corrections, and is addressed as “kikawaw”, Cree for “Our Mother”. Prison staff, most of them Indian, dine with residents in

the mess and sometimes privately in the inmates' townhouse-style living units. The point, said Green is not only to connect prisoners with their heritage but to impress on them their responsibility for their crimes and their place in the Indian community.

Can prisoners substitute native identity for the typical inmate cliques and gangs? Will guards get by as counselors rather than authority figures? It's too early to know. But one inmate already has been released on early parole, and in interviews with prisoners and staff, optimism is rampant. "I don't want to think of this as serving a sentence, but as staying here until I'm healed," Morrison said. "I don't want to go back to society without any job skills, an alcoholic with no education, all that. This is the place where I'm going to beat those things." [*Well, clearly, the usual prison-situation doesn't work. The next article presents an alternative, as well.*]

JAIL TENTS

From the May/June issue of *THE SHERIFF'S STAR*, [quoting:]

jail tents

"If people break the law in St. Lucie County [*Florida*], they'll go to jail, pay for their own meals and medical care and work beside the road in striped uniforms," says Sheriff R.C. "Bobby" Knowles. "And they'll sleep in tents," he adds. Tents? Yes, tents. The tents were acquired at no cost through the U.S. Army surplus depot near Jacksonville. In a few weeks there will be five tents inside the double-fenced secure area of the St. Lucie County Detention Center, housing up to 100 sentenced inmates.

One tent is currently on display in front of the Sheriff's Office headquarters in central St. Lucie County with a sign that reads: "Vacancy—coming soon, affordable inmate housing." It's a message to criminals showing them what to expect if they're arrested in St. Lucie County. It's also intended to show the taxpayers that Sheriff Knowles has found a way to increase the capacity of the jail at about 10 percent of the cost of traditional brick-and-mortar construction.

At the St. Lucie County Detention Center, inmate counts have been rising due to state-mandated sentencing guidelines, state probation violators housed at the jail (with no reimbursement from the state), county population growth and other factors. The jail tents are the most cost-effective way to increase jail capacity. The jail tents are part of a cost-cutting program by Sheriff Knowles that also places greater restrictions on inmates and ends the free ride that criminals used to get at the county jail.

Other innovations include:

- * a money-saving laundry system that uses water treated with ozone instead of the traditional soap suds
- * privatized jail food service
- * inmates paying for meals and making co-payments for medical care

- * a smoke-free environment, with no resulting inmate misbehavior
- * restricted television programs, limited to mostly self-help, religious, motivational and educational subjects
- * inmates growing their own food for preparation in jail kitchens
- * privatized inmate medical services, saving over a half-million dollars since May 1995.

As costs for incarceration continue to rise, and funding falls, Sheriffs like Bobby Knowles will keep seeking better ways to serve taxpayers, while the criminals serve their time.

NASA PUTS CRAY-2 OUT TO PASTURE

From the June 3 issue of *THE ORLANDO SENTINEL*, [quoting:]

HAMPTON, Va.—It is capable of scanning through the equivalent of 10 World Book Encyclopedias in one second or adding up every Social Security number in the country in a quarter of a second. [*You can see why it was indispensable for the work of the Fifth Column's efforts to uncover dirty Swiss bank accounts belonging to our elected officials.*] It is also obsolete. That is why the 8-year-old Cray-2 supercomputer at NASA Langley research Center was moved to the Virginia Air and space Museum in Hampton last week. The machine can still do some astounding calculations, but at far more expense than newer supercomputers, said Joe Drozdowski, manager of high-performance computing at Langley.

NASA paid \$16.8 million for the Cray-2 in 1988. The machine, nicknamed Voyager by NASA, was easier to use and 10 times faster than the supercomputer it replaced, Drozdowski said. The agency used it for incredibly complex calculations, such as simulating aerodynamic flows or predicting collisions in space. But it also cost \$700,000 a year to run, including the salary of an engineer to maintain it. It regularly needed new equipment and was cooled with a chemical, fluornor, that cost \$300 a gallon. The machine contained 200 gallons of fluornor and lost about 10 gallons a year to evaporation, Drozdowski said. The final knock against the Cray-2 was the fact that NASA could buy a new supercomputer, a Cray-J916, for \$600,000. Factor in that the new Cray is cooled with air, not fluornor, and that its annual maintenance bill will be about \$40,000, and there was little left to consider.

The computers were built by Cray Research of Eagan, Minn., a 24-year-old company founded by Seymour Cray, considered the father of the supercomputer. The company's first supercomputer, the Cray-1, was introduced in 1976, said company spokeswoman Mardi Larson. The Cray-2 came out in 1982 and has been followed by six new generations.

BYE-BYE SOCIAL SECURITY

Like last week's article on the dismal future of medicare, this story on Social Security seems designed to program us to expect cuts—by convincing us that people are getting too much out of it! From the June 4 issue of *THE ORLANDO SENTINEL*, [quoting:]

WASHINGTON—For Ray and Viola Sabel and other members of the G.I. generation, Social Security has

been a very good deal [*compared to WHAT!?*]. Ray, 81, a retired factory worker from Elmhurst, Ill., has been drawing Social Security for 19 years. His monthly check amounts to \$700, and his wife Viola, 74, a retired clerical worker, receives about \$300 a month from Social Security. Their combined \$12,000 in annual benefits is no fortune. But as a supplement to their private savings and pension income, Social Security has helped the Sabels live comfortably in their golden years while paying them a generous return on their tax contributions to Social Security.

No other generation of retirees, however, will enjoy such a windfall. There are growing criticisms that even under the rosiest scenario, Social Security is no bargain for tomorrow's seniors. And those complaints are giving way to some radical proposals for revamping the system. Of course, Social Security remains an immensely well-liked program that has helped millions of elderly and disabled stay out of poverty. [*A mind-control program, brainwashing folks into thinking Social Security is a BENEFIT instead of a simple act of taxation which guarantees them NOTHING. This is the well-documented premise of Irwin Schiff's book, The Social Security Swindle, which claims (by the government's own words) that Social Security taxes are paid into the Treasury as Internal Revenue collections, available for the general support of the government—earmarked for no special purpose (p. 81).*] Not surprisingly, most politicians are still loathe to change it—especially in an election year. [*It has become another tool to control the senior populace.*]

The program was designed so that benefits for today's retirees are paid mostly from current workers' payroll deductions. That system worked well in the 1950s and 60s—as long as the population was growing and the economy expanding at a fast clip [*the Fed put the kabosh on that!*]. But since then, fertility rates have fallen and wage growth has stagnated. Meanwhile, the number of beneficiaries (now 47 million) climbed, benefit levels rose, life expectancies lengthened and retirement ages dropped. More recipients are collecting benefits for 20 years or more. To keep the program solvent, payroll taxes have been raised repeatedly. In Social Security's earliest days, the combined employee-employer rate amounted to only 2 percent on the first \$3,000 of yearly earnings. The current rate of 12.4 percent, paid on earnings of up to \$62,700, puts a heavier burden on the workforce.

According to one study, a similar high-earning male worker in his early 30s can expect over the course of his career to pay Social Security taxes worth almost \$469,000 in today's dollars. As good as it might sound to some, shifting Social Security funds into personal accounts, however, would be expensive for taxpayers. Current retirees' benefits would still have to be paid during a lengthy phase-in period. In effect, several generations of workers would have to finance their own retirements while paying for their elders' pensions too. [*They sure got us on that one, didn't they?!*]

GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS LATEST WEAPON: SEX FLAKES!

Not yet for use on humans, however. From a recent issue of the *JOHNSON CITY PRESS* (Tennessee), [quoting:]

NASHVILLE—Officials have turned to a powerful weapon to battle the libido of amorous gypsy moths—”sex flakes”. The state is bracing for an invasion of the bugs, which have been traveling south from the north-eastern United States since the 1860s. The wave of bugs has now reached Christiansburg, Va.,

about 180 miles from the Great Smoky Mountains National Park. The infestation wasn't expected to reach Tennessee until the early part of the next century, but a few of the bugs have been spotted in the western and middle parts of the state. Forestry officials are using the sex flakes—an aphrodisiac of sorts for the gypsy moths—to slow the infestation.

Male gypsy moths emerge from their pupae with a single mission—mating. The males depend on a scent given off by the females to find their way to their mates. The sex flakes confuse the males so they can't locate the females, says entomologist Bruce Kauffman. "They don't know which way to turn. [*Of course, I'm not SURE that this isn't used on humans!*] The flakes actually glue themselves to the top of the foliage canopy when they are sprayed from an airplane and they remain in place for about three months, so it continually frustrates them," Kauffman said. Gypsy moths were imported to Massachusetts from France in the 1860s. They were part of bug crossbreeding program that went amiss and have been eating their way south ever since. The moths eat oak tree leaves and can strip an entire forest of its foliage. [*The arrogant human thinks he can improve on Nature's design—and always pays a price for his folly!*]

STATE STRAWBERRIES LINKED TO ILLNESS

From the June 22nd issue of the *Dailey News*, [quoting:]

California's \$608 million strawberry industry has been identified as the source of a rare parasite-borne disease that has been linked to dozens of illnesses in Canada and Texas.

Health officials in Houston said Thursday that California strawberries are almost certainly the source of the illnesses.

The California Strawberry commission responded by proposing a panel of independent experts to investigate in hopes of averting economic disaster in the industry.

The parasite, cyclospora, causes diarrhea, stomach cramps, nausea, fatigue and fever. It is fairly common in Third World countries such as Haiti and Nepal, but so uncommon in the United States that the Centers for Disease Control only recently began tracking it.

Health officials in Houston and Toronto have cautioned the public to wash strawberries thoroughly before eating them. [*New Gaia offers continued protection from parasites with GaiaClense, Parasite Cleansing Program, which is on sale now (see this page). They suggest using the program every three to six months to keep parasites under control without building up a tolerance to these natural products.*]

CHAPTER 10

ZIONISM—THE TERRIBLE SECRET OF THE COLD WAR

by Eustace Mullins 6/16/96

Although a “Cold War” is defined by Webster as a “conflict between two nations by means of power politics short of violence,” the fact is that the Cold War between Russia and the United States, declared in 1946 and officially ended in 1990 by a formal declaration of friendship and a treaty calling for arms reduction, the forty-four years of the Cold War was replete with war and revolution throughout the world. We had police actions such as the Korean and Vietnam Wars, “brushfire wars”, and many other instances of armed conflict between nations, such as the ongoing Arab-Israeli wars.

It is a triumph of Newspeak and mind control that we now look upon this forty-four year period of conflict as a time in which we were spared the ravages of war. It is also a triumph of the world Zionist movement, which was the driving force behind the many conflicts which marked the Cold War years. The Cold War was never a bitter and relentless struggle between the irreconcilable forces of capitalism and Communism; in fact, the leading protagonists, the United States and Russia, were both governed by the principles of Karl Marx, the founder of the world Communist movement. Both nations had enacted into law the principles of the *Communist Manifesto* of 1848, including the progressive income tax, a central bank, and compulsory public (not private or religious) education.

The tragedy of the Cold War is that it was an artificial conflict, created by the world Zionist movement solely to ensure a continuous flow of military supplies from the United States to Israel. These supplies were essential to the instant survival of Israel and to its expansionist plans in the Middle East. It is amazing that this obvious fact of history is stated here for the first time by anyone. One of the principal figures since the outset of the Cold War, James V. Forrestal, the nation’s first Secretary of Defense, was ritually sacrificed by the Israelis when he balked at stripping the United States of its arsenal and sending it to Israel. The press featured stories that he was “mentally unstable”, preparing the public for his “suicide”. His Successor, Robert A. Lovett, of the firm of Brown Brothers Harriman, quickly acceded to any and all requests from the Israeli government. Yet Forrestal’s death should have been the tipoff that the “Cold War” was from its outset a Zionist apparatus operation. After all, Forrestal himself had commissioned George Kennan to author the “containment plan” which duly appeared in *Foreign Affairs*, the official publication of the Council on Foreign Relations, and which became the operating program for more than forty years of the Cold War.

CHURCHILL’S CONFESSION

The Zionist direction of the Cold War was also apparent in the personage who was chosen to officially launch it. Winston Churchill, an unemployed politician in England, was chosen for this honor because of his flagrant but usually concealed Zionist sympathies. When he declared the Cold War to be in effect, in his speech at Fulton College, in Missouri, on March 5, 1946, he was speaking for the Zionist cause. Because Churchill was too clever to openly state his longstanding Zionist commitment, in fifty years of research, I have found only one reference to this central fact of his career. Abba Eban, for many years the Israeli

Ambassador to Washington, and one of the creators of the State of Israel, reveals in his autobiography, *Personal Witness: Israel Through My Eyes*, Putnams, New York 1992, quotes Churchill as jubilantly referring to “our Zionist cause”. On page 62, Eban tells us that “Churchill had appointed a Cabinet committee under the chairmanship of Herbert Morrison producing a proposal for the establishment of a Jewish state by 1944. If this initiative had been adopted by the British Cabinet, World War II would have ended for Zionism as triumphantly as had World War I. Churchill was so jubilant that he called Weizmann (Chaim Weizmann, founder of Israel) to a meeting on Nov. 2, 1944 and said triumphantly, ‘Our Zionist cause is going well. Moyné is now on our side.’ “

Note that Churchill, the Prime Minister of England, refers “jubilantly” to “our Zionist cause”. This is the sole reference in Churchillian literature to this commitment. In many volumes of history and millions of words written by Churchill, he never once reveals this commitment. However, it was implicit in his public career after 1932. Bankrupt, he had been recruited by Bernard Baruch to ensure a Second World War.

GERMANY: DELENDA EST

In 1938, again facing bankruptcy, Churchill received a “loan” of 150,000 pounds (ten million in today’s dollars) from Zionist banker Henry Strakosch, who then became his personal adviser. Strakosch orchestrated Churchill’s program, “Germany delenda est”, “Germany must be destroyed”. With the backing of the House of Rothschild, Churchill single-handedly made the Second World War inevitable. After launching World War II, he rejected peace overtures from Germany in 1940 and 1941, including the famous “peace flight” of Rudolf Hess, who came as Adolf Hitler’s personal emissary to England to persuade the British Government to join him in a crusade against Communism. Hess was imprisoned, and Churchill became Stalin’s loyal ally.

REFUSAL TO FACE REALITY

It is indicative of the refusal of the American people to face reality that they refuse to acknowledge that the man who plunged us into the Second World War and ensured the establishment of the State of Israel, then launched the forty-four year Cold War to maintain the United States as a heavily armed world power which could siphon off much of its military armaments to the State of Israel to back Israel’s war against her Arab neighbors in the Middle East. In my researches, I plowed through dozens of reference works to find the origin of the term, “Cold War”. I found it, not in *Webster’s* or the *Oxford English* dictionary, but in an obscure work, *DICTIONARY OF TWENTIETH CENTURY ALLUSIONS*, by Cohn and Lass. These scholars reveal that the term “Cold War” originated as a phrase written by Herbert Bayard Swope, publicist for Bernard Baruch, in 1946 for a speech by Baruch. Thus the term was invented by a Jewish writer for a Jewish financier and politician, both ardent Zionists.

In 1946, Winston Churchill divided the civilized world into two warring spheres, Russia and the United States, for the sole purpose of guaranteeing his Zionist cohorts an unending supply of weaponry from the American taxpayers. The Grand Design of Churchill’s invention was soon bolstered by an elaborate worldwide system of political and military alliances who aligned with either side. We set up the North Atlantic Treaty Organization, NATO, which soon became the ruling power in Washington. It operated from Brussels under the leadership of Lord Carrington, a Rothschild relative, who recently presided over the latest meeting of the Bilderberg group in Canada. He is also the partner of Henry Kissinger in a

wheeling-and-dealing international firm, Kissinger Associates. We also set up the South Atlantic Treaty Organization, SATO, while the Russians organized the Warsaw Pact among the Communist nations whom it controlled. To make the world picture complete, undeveloped poor nations who could not afford the massive military expenditures necessary to play a role in these large mythical and never-to-be-deployed organizations, were relegated to a castoff status as “Third World” nations, who could be courted by either of the Cold War opponents.

ARAB TREACHERY

The most astounding development of the Cold War was the assignment of the Arab nations to Moscow. Henceforth, they were enrolled among the Communist-influenced bloc of nations, despite the fact that they were devoutly anti-Communist, and that members of the Communist Party face execution in any Arab country. The *raison d’etre* behind this amazing development was that the Jews had invaded and seized an Arab nation, Palestine, and that all devout Moslems must now come to the aid of their religious brethren. The Soviet Union would be the official backer of the Arab nations, and the United States would officially back the State of Israel.

There now followed a series of Arab-Israeli wars. The Soviet Union provided the Arab arteries with malfunctioning equipment, making it certain that the Arabs were always defeated, and that Israel emerged a stronger and more confident presence in the Middle East. The Arabs never seemed to catch on. Of course their leaders were controlled by Washington, which in turn was controlled by Tel Aviv. The outcome could not have surprised anyone who could see what was really going on.

During the early years of the Cold War, I believed, as did most Americans, that Communism and the Soviet Union was the enemy. I was extremely anti-Communist and anti-Soviet, as were all of my colleagues. Inevitably, we became anti-Zionist, because, as Congressman Rankin pointed out on the floor of the House of Representatives “Ninety-five per cent of American Communists are Jewish.” The Jews, as Stalin came to realize shortly before his untimely death, at the hands of a group of Jewish physicians, that Jews could never be pro-Communist, or pro-Russian, because their deeply held religious commitment was to the nation of Israel.

By the early 1950s, I was speaking and writing prolifically on the Zionist apparatus in the United States and how it controlled the Washington government. I naively supposed that the Arab nations, with their untold billions of oil revenues, mostly flowing from the United States, would send funds to back my exposés of Zionism, and, for the expenditure of a few thousand dollars, alert the American people to the presence of the deadly enemy in their midst. I sent copies of these works, with accompanying letters, to the various Arab embassies in Washington. I never received any reply. At that time, I did not know, as has been subsequently revealed, that the Federal Bureau of Investigation and the Anti-Defamation League of B’Nai B’Rith, sometimes separately and sometimes jointly, routinely performed “black bag” entries into the Arab embassies and photocopied all of their papers. My letters went to the ADL offices in New York.

Because of this control, neither I nor anyone who worked to combat Zionist subversion in the United States, ever received one cent from any Arab source. Even the Arabs in the United States, many of whom had become millionaire businessmen, did not dare contribute anything to this work. Their names would promptly be reported to the ADL, and their businesses would be destroyed. Thus the Arabs were

powerless to counteract Zionist activities in the United States. Had they been able to launch a counter-offensive here, the specter of Zionism could have been defeated.

ZIONIST ATROCITIES

Of the many exposes documenting Israel's reign of terror in the Middle East, one of the most shocking is Ralph Schoenman's *THE HIDDEN HISTORY OF ZIONISM* (Veritas Press, Santa Barbara, CA). Schoenman points out that the Zionist slanders of the Arabs as barbarians is countermanded by the fact that "During the Dark Ages in Europe, mathematics and philosophy were preserved by Arab scholars. The Zionist movement subdued Palestine and assaulted its culture with a relentless barbarity shocking even to those familiar with the cruel annals of colonial conquest."

Schoenman points out (p.1) "The Dec. 21, 1987 general strike of the Palestine community. The Israeli response to the uprising was brutal. Defense Minister Yitzhak Rabin ordered the use of tanks, armored vehicles and automatic rifles against an unarmed population. The act of brutality which most inflamed the Palestinian people was the army seizure of the wounded from hospital beds. Palestinian children seeking powdered milk at United Nations depots were shot at and beaten with sticks. "

All of these atrocities were paid for by the American taxpayer. These atrocities were justified by Israel on the grounds that they had suffered in the Holocaust. But the Palestinian children whom they massacred had taken no part in the Holocaust. Schoenman points out (p.16) "the myth that Zionism is the moral legatee of the victims of the Holocaust. The bitter and cruel irony of this false claim is that the Zionist movement itself actively colluded with Nazism from its inception. Mussolini set up squadrons of the Revisionist Zionist Youth movement, Betar, in black shorts in emulation of his own Fascist bands. When Menachem Begin became chief of Betar, he preferred the brown shirts of the Hitler gangs, a uniform which Begin and Betar wore to all meetings, at which they greeted each other with the fascist salute. In 1937, the Labor "socialist" Zionist militia, the Haganah, founded by Jabotinsky, sent an agent, Feivel Folkes, to Berlin offering to spy for the S.S. Security Service in exchange for the release of Jewish wealth for Zionist colonization. Adolf Eichmann was invited to Palestine as the guest of the Haganah. S.S. General Kurt Becher was appointed Commissar of all Nazi concentration camps by Heinrich Himmler. He was never tried, and after the war headed up the sale of wheat to Israel. His corporation, the Cologne-Handel Gesellschaft, did extensive business with the Israeli government." (P. 94).

ALWAYS A MINORITY

Although the Zionists claimed that God had promised them Palestine in an unbreakable covenant, they never offered any explanation of the fact that they had always been a minority there. They had never engaged in business or agriculture until the Rothschilds financed them in the late nineteenth century. One source, *ISRAEL: HISTORY UNTIL 1880* (p. 230): "At that time (the 19th century) the Jews lived mainly on charity received from abroad." Not until they seized the farms and businesses of the native Arab population did they engage in commerce with their stolen goods.

The most authoritative documentation of the Zionist presence in Palestine is found in *Israel* by Time Life Books, 1985. On p.24 we find that "At the beginning of 1948, when Independence was declared, the Jewish population of Palestine was estimated at six hundred thousand; the non-Jewish (Arab) population

of Palestine on the eve of Independence was about 1,800,000. “

At the time of the 1948 Israeli seizure of Palestine, the Zionists were a minority, comprising one third of the total population! How did they succeed against vastly greater numbers? The answer, in one word, is “terrorism”. Israel is the only nation in the world founded exclusively on terrorist acts. Abba Eban points out in *Personal Witness* that Lord Moyne, who was sympathetic to the Zionist cause, was murdered by Lechi, a splinter group of Menachem Begin’s Irgun Zvai Leumi, a terrorist gang. He was murdered in Cairo in 1944. Count Bernadotte, then appointed U.N. negotiator in Palestine, was also murdered by Lechi. The Zionists blew up the King David Hotel, kidnapped and tortured British soldiers before murdering them, and then booby-trapped their bodies, killing more British who sought only to give their comrades Christian burial. These atrocities caused anti-Jewish riots in London, which were quickly put down by the British Government.

NEO CONSERVATIVE FRONT IN THE U.S.

To prevent the American people from learning about Zionist atrocities against the Arab peoples, the Zionists set up a nationwide front in the United States, which called itself “the neo-conservative” movement headed by Norman Podhoretz, editor of *Commentary*, the magazine published by the American Jewish Committee in New York, the Neo-Conservatives were actually disgruntled Jewish Socialists who had left the Communist Party after Stalin ordered the murder of Leon Trotsky. The Trotskyite Communists concealed their ties to the Communist Party, and masqueraded as “conservatives” in the United States. Although *Commentary* was their principal outlet, they also founded many other publications, such as National Review, and one of their leaders, Martin Peretz, persuaded the heiress to the Singer sewing machine fortune to abandon her husband. He then married her, and used her money to buy the New Republic, which also became a Zionist propaganda operation. However, the principal Zionist propagandists in the United States remained the major networks, and the Jewish-owned newspapers, such as Sulzberger’s *New York Times*, and the Meyer family’s *Washington Post*.

ZIONISM AND THE CIA

A principal weapon of the Zionists in the United States was the CIA. Bob Woodward, in *Veil; The Secret Wars of the CIA 1981-87* notes on p. 161, “The CIA had secret PLO sources that at times provided operational details about PLO attacks in Israel.” The result was that the CIA turned this information over to Mossad, which then wiped out the PLO attackers. Veil continues, “Before 1974, the celebrated CIA chief of counterintelligence, James Jesus Angleton, had run the Israeli desk at the agency, keeping vital information from the Middle East operations people and analysts. Even after Angleton was fired, all Israeli intelligence was viewed for years as little more than a Mossad press release, designed to serve Israel’s political goals.”

On p. 355, Woodward informs us that “Casey (William Casey, head of the CIA) had leverage with Israel. He had given the Israelis broader access to U.S. satellite reconnaissance photos than they had previously enjoyed.”

The close collaboration between the CIA and Israel was duly praised by the neo-conservatives, who filled

the pages of their publications with paeans in praise of their favorite stooges, notably Jeane Kirkpatrick, U.S. Ambassador to the United Nations, and Margaret Thatcher, Conservative Prime Minister of England. When he retired from the editorship of *Commentary* in 1996, Norman Podhoretz published a book, *NeoConservatism; A Eulogy*, congratulating himself on a job well done. He boasts that “Neoconservatism was created to help Israel take advantage of the Cold War. Our work is now done.”

This is a frank admission that the mythical “Cold War” was never anything but a Zionist operation. Now that this “war” is over, Neoconservatism has no further mission in the United States. Nevertheless, it will probably continue as a useful propaganda outlet for Israel.

MARGARET THATCHER

Today, Margaret Thatcher, former Prime Minister of England, is paid a handsome lecture fee of \$100,000 per speech in the United States. This is a payoff from the State of Israel. Although she never reveals her Zionist sympathies in these speeches, she did expose the Zionist bias of her administration when her mentor, Sir Keith Joseph, recently died in England. In her eulogy to him, she declared that he had been the sole architect of her entire administration. She did not add that he was the son of Sir Abraham Joseph, who had been the principal contractor for the House of Rothschild’s many commercial developments in England, amassing a large fortune for himself, which allowed his son to devote his time to “public service”.

Margaret Thatcher’s speech to the Congress of Prague was recently reprinted in the *Wall Street Journal*, on the op ed page, May 14, 1996. Under the heading, “The West After the Cold War”, Thatcher cites the Congress of Vienna in 1815, but fails to mention that at this Congress, to celebrate their victory over Napoleon, the Rothschilds asserted their mastery over Europe. [See *The World Order* by Eustace Mullins.] Her description of the Cold War, which she genuinely seems to miss, noted “First of all, there was a kind of unholy symmetry in international affairs, created by a balance of terror. The breakdown of Soviet power brought that discipline to an end.”

Here again we find an open admission that the governments of the Free World, manipulated by the Zionists, kept their citizens terrified, in order to tax them for armaments for Israel. It is now estimated that during the Cold War, no less than ten per cent of the annual defense budget of the United States, ranging from ten billion to twenty-five billion a year, was secretly siphoned off to Israel. Most of these “donations” were entered in the records as going to other recipients, most of whom transhipped them directly to Israel.

Margaret Thatcher was openly regarded with contempt in England because of her subservience to Israel. In her speech to the Congress of Prague, she longs for “that discipline” of the Cold War on which she and her American counterparts founded their long and lucrative careers. The Zionists are the masters of terrorism; how they have delighted in terrorizing the people of England and the United States during the long decades of the Cold War.

Despite her success in implementing the program of the house of Rothschild in England, Margaret Thatcher’s long reign as Prime Minister won her few friends there. She was recently memorialized by Theodore Dalrymple in the *Spectator*, Feb 10, 1996. He says that Margaret Thatcher “had rather less success with her own country, of course, which is just as she found it; grey and grubby, supporting an atrociously dressed

population with an insatiable appetite for the most footling entertainments.’’

On a recent visit to London, I was shocked by the drabness of this Zionist-ruled country, which seemed distinctly Third World in the shabby clothing, rundown infrastructure, and tired demeanour of its people.

MINISTRY OF FEAR

Throughout the Cold War, the governments of England and the United States functioned as a Ministry of Fear for their luckless citizens, constantly terrifying them with horror stories of the terrible fate which awaited them if they did not continue to turn over most of their earnings to the “government”, which, of course, was the “Zionist Occupation Government” or ZOG as it is more popularly known. While the Thatchers and the Kennans long for the “good old days” of universal terror, we are reminded by a series of recent deaths that the terrorism of the Cold War is still clamming its victims. The unexplained death of Vincent Foster, Secretary of Commerce Ron Brown, Chief of Naval Operations Admiral Boorda, and former CIA head William Colby remind us that Zionist terrorism is still very much with us. Vincent Foster’s “suicide” brought revelations that he had amassed millions of dollars in payoffs for his espionage work for the State of Israel, now secreted in Swiss bank accounts; Ron Brown’s fortuitous demise removed a principal threat to Bill Clinton’s reelection campaign. Sean Wilentz hailed him in the April 15, 1996 *New Yorker* as “The Fixer as Statesman” describing Brown as “Silky, shrewd, and extremely self-confident, Secretary of Commerce Ronald H. Brown was one of the premier Washington fixers of the modern era.” Wilentz reminds us that Brown was the personal protege of Vernon Jordan, Washington’s leading black insider, who recently appeared as a principal at the Bilderberger Conference in Canada, and that Brown had been chief aide to Senator Teddy Kennedy in Washington. Wilentz reminds us that Brown “brokered billions of dollars worth of foreign deals for American companies.” This was precisely the basis for the many federal investigations into his activities, which have now been closed.

STORMY WEATHER

When the news came that Ron Brown’s plane had crashed in Yugoslavia on April 3, 1996, Hillary Clinton rushed to explain in her newspaper column that the crash had been caused by “a violent rainstorm”. Both *Time* and *Newsweek* described it as “the worst storm of the decade”. These Establishment claims were immediately countermanded by *Aviation Week*, which denied that there had been a violent rainstorm. On June 7, 1996, Maj. Gen. Charles Coolidge, president of the Air Force Investigating Committee into the Ron Brown Plane Crash, stated on C-Span that “The weather was not a substantial contributing factor in the accident.” The Air Force now attributes the crash to “pilot error”, since the pilots are unable to defend themselves. Although a stewardess, Shelly Kelly, survived the crash with minor injuries, *Media Bypass* states that she later died at the hospital from loss of blood, a neat three-inch incision over her femoral artery. Clinton hastily ordered the bodies of the victims to be cremated, to “sanitize the area” as was done at Waco and Oklahoma City.

COLBY AND OPERATION PHOENIX

Another recent victim of the Cold war is William Colby, former head of the CIA. He was found drowned near his bay hideaway, although his widow said he was in excellent health and a very good swimmer. He had left his dinner on the table in order to take a swim, no doubt being aware of admonitions not to swim

immediately after eating. Disinformation is now being circulated from Washington that he was probably done in by some of the old CIA hands, who were offended by some of his remarks about the CIA in Congressional testimony. This indicates a loyalty among the members of the “Old boy” CIA network which does not exist.

During his tenure in Vietnam, William Colby embarked on an ambitious program to secure for the CIA complete control of drug operations in Southeast Asia. Operation Phoenix resulted in the execution of sixty-eight thousand Vietnamese who were active in the drug trade, although the figure released by the CIA is usually only twenty thousand. In retirement, Colby relaxed his personal security, ignoring the fact that some twenty-five thousand Vietnamese now live within a few miles of the CIA headquarters. Washington observers believe that the sons of some of the victims of Operation Phoenix in Vietnam had stalked Colby for months, biding their time until they could dispose of him at their leisure. Certainly this is much more logical than the mythical “loyalty” of former CIA officials.

BOORDA’S SUICIDE

The reputed suicide of Admiral Jeremy M. (Mike) Boorda, Chief of Naval Operations, shocked all of those who believe in the American dream. The son of Ukrainian Jewish immigrants, who owned the only dress shop in the little town of Momence, Illinois, Boorda’s rise from enlisted man to Admiral, to the most important job in the Navy, CNO, had been meteoric. This was the more striking because, with his five-foot-four-inch stature, he was hardly a commanding military presence. In fact, his meteoric rise may have been due more to his connections than to his ability, as we shall presently explain.

The explanation of this suicide by President Clinton and other saddened Washington admirers of Admiral Boorda was that he had worn some combat decorations which he may not have been entitled to display. However, Naval authorities have issued conflicting reports as to whether he had been in violation of any rules about the V stars displayed on his ribbons. In any case, his sudden suicide because of the question raised indicates a sensitivity which had been remarkably lacking in his personality. The Navy is not a place for shrinking violets, and delicate pansies do not thrive in the harsh atmosphere which is traditional aboard ship.

LOYALTY TO ISRAEL

As a lifelong Zionist, Admiral Boorda hated the traditional officer corps of the United States Navy, with its code of gentlemanly conduct and its hallowed Annapolis esprit de corps of the Naval Academy. As Chief of Naval Operations, he launched a campaign of sabotage against the Navy, seeking to destroy its officer corps. As one who sought to demoralize the military establishment, he was greatly admired by President Clinton and his White House crew of fanatical Zionists. However, as *CRIMINAL POLITICS* points out (May 1996) “He was universally hated among the officer corps.”

Had they known more about his background, the officer corps would have hated him even more. Admiral Boorda “committed suicide” a few minutes before he was to be interviewed by a *Newsweek* correspondent. He had been tipped off that he would be asked two questions: Did he know Jonathan Jay Pollard? And, was he Pollard’s “handler” at the Navy Department?

POLLARD: MASTER SPY

Jonathan Jay Pollard fled arrest by the FBI on December 12, 1985. He was followed to the Israeli Embassy in Washington where, after hasty communication with Tel Aviv, he was denied asylum. Israel was not about to endanger its “special relationship” with the United States to the tune of many billions a year in “aid”. Pollard was then arrested by the FBI on charges of selling official United States codes to Israel for \$50,000. These codes were worth literally billions of dollars; they were cheap at \$50,000. They included many Top Secret codes, including SIGINT and IMINT, the key to our entire national defense strategy.

Pollard was the son of Morris Pollard, a famous cancer researcher at the University of Notre Dame. He had been privy to the innermost secrets of the Department of the Navy, all of which he considered legitimate goods for sale. His wife was also charged with possession of confidential documents. Although it was never brought out at his trial, Pollard was a member of a twenty-eight man Israeli spy ring in the Navy Department called “neshar” (Hebrew for ‘eagle’). This cell was a spinoff of the neshar cell at the Department of Justice which had been set up by Philip Klutznick in 1936 and which runs the entire Dept. of Justice. Most government departments in Washington subsequently set up neshar cells, but the Navy Department, thanks to Pollard’s talent for intrigue, soon gained access to our most important defense secrets. During the heyday of Pollard’s espionage, Mike Boorda had risen rapidly in the Bureau of Naval Personnel. His position was ideal for the master of a spy ring. He could protect his operatives, and move them up to even more sensitive positions. This neshar cell orchestrated the “Tailhook” scandal to demoralize the entire U.S. Navy.

In what proved to be a masterful coverup, Pollard never exposed any of his fellow-conspirators during his trial. He contended that he had acted alone, which was manifestly impossible, as every door at the Navy Department had been opened to him by higher-ups, such as a high official in the Bureau of Naval Personnel. Pollard was sentenced to life without parole. He is regarded in Israel as a national hero, and Washington insiders state that Clinton had definitely agreed to pardon Pollard after the November elections.

THE NEWSWEEK ORDEAL

The Establishment-approved version of Admiral Boorda’s suicide is that he could not face being asked about the decorations he had worn, and that suicide was the only way out. This ignored the fact that Boorda had removed the decorations months before, when a question was raised about them, and that he was not wearing them. The inside story is that although Boorda had been tipped off that he would be asked about the Pollard association, he had only to deny it, and it would probably not appear in the printed interview. However, Mossad, informed of the approaching interview, decided that it would cancel Clinton’s prospective pardon of Pollard if the question was raised at all. In accordance with their policy of “minimizing risks”, Tel Aviv ordered that the interview not take place. A Mossad operative followed Boorda, shot him in the chest, and went on his way.

Of the various “suicides”, also known as “Washington suicides” which have taken place in Washington, nearly all of them have had a Zionist connection. The Zionists believe in “cleaning the slate”. A serious problem must be disposed of quickly and cleanly. A suicide is the accepted solution. And of them all, Boorda’s is the most unbelievable. We are asked to accept that Boorda could not face a simple question, “Why did you take off the decorations?”, and that he chose to shoot himself in the chest, a very rare

occurrence in suicide. Clinton's crocodile tears for his departed hero mingle with his tears for the forty-two other associates who have departed this vale of tears during his colorful political career. There will be more in the future.

MASS MURDER

The forty-four years of the Cold War were years of unrelieved horror, marked by repeated massacres of helpless men and children, and by the annual ingathering of more and more of the world's goods into Zionists' rapacious hands. The tragedy of the Cold War is not merely that we do not understand it; we have learned nothing from it. We are ready for a new Cold War to terrorize us, so that we can continue to hand over our possessions to the Zionists. Tel Aviv has decreed that the 1996 elections shall be fought solely on such issues as abortion, affirmative action, welfare, Medicare, and balancing the budget. This ignores all of the real issues facing the American people. Most important, it ignores the religious issue. The Zionists have a religious mission: to make Israel, a theocracy, the ruler of the world.

GOD'S WILL

We need to face the basic issue, that God did not send His Only Son to Earth to be crucified on the Cross at the demand of mocking Jews, or to have His People enslaved forever by the Jews. Our enslavement by the Jews remains a daily insult to God. It is a mockery of God's Purpose for us. Christ was sent to us as our only opportunity for redemption. If we continue to reject God's offer, our punishment will not only be continued slavery, but punishments so terrible we cannot imagine them.

Today, Edgar Bronfman, the King of the Jews, president of the World Jewish Congress, stands astride the world as Satan's Consul, the new King Herod. Soon he will demand the slaughter of our firstborn sons. We can continue to be enslaved by liquor smugglers and drug dealers only if we wish to continue to insult God. God is not mocked. He is sad, because he wanted so much for us, and we have rejected Him.

The horrors of the Cold War are but a harbinger of the terrible fate which awaits us here on Earth. But we still have a chance. We can act immediately to punish the Cold War conspirators. We can end the mockery of God which the presence of the State of Israel flaunts in His face. And, at long last, we can accept God's Plan for His People on Earth. Or we can go on, in misery, ignorance, and sloth, until death ends our failure here. You must choose for yourself, as this too is part of God's Plan for us. Seize the day!

CHAPTER 11

GRANDMA ON THE “OLD GOLD CERTIFICATE”

by Mrs. Russell Hermann 6/19/96

Editor’s note: For those of you readers who are perhaps “Doubting Thomases” when it comes to the old Gold Certificate that Grandma has written about over the last several years, please know that an extensive amount of research has been conducted over these last few years on this very subject, by numerous parties across many state lines. This research has confirmed many things Grandma has been saying all along.

We are running the article (letter) from Grandma because it will explain in very clear terms Supreme Court decisions concerning the honoring of debts of the United States. Grandma, most definitely, has done her homework.

And we at CONTACT would like to say that we are pleased that her understandably volatile grieving process over late husband Russell has now subsided enough that clear, reasonable and productive work is forthcoming. We salute her strength and courage under adversity. Welcome back!

Dear xxxx,

Thank you for sending the information on the Gold Clause Cases as relating to the Joint Resolution of the June 5, 1933s “Presidential Proclamation” or “Executive Order” as relating to GOLD CONTRACTS.

The “promulgated laws” or Public Laws in this “particular instance” is “after the fact” of 1875. In other words, it is Ex-Post Facto. Constitutionally, “no law may be imposed to abort or catch or jeopardize General Rights Provisions of Real and/or Personal Property, or of Persons, by the passing of later laws.

As a matter of fact, in the Gold Clause Cases, the Supreme Court ruled: We conclude that the Joint Resolution of June 5, 1933, in so far as it attempted to override the obligation created by the bond in suit, went beyond the congressional power.

We do not so read the *Constitution*. There is a clear distinction between the power of the Congress to control or interdict the contracts of private parties when they interfere with the exercise of its constitutional authority, and the power of the Congress to alter or repudiate the substance of its own engagements when it has borrowed money under the authority which the *Constitution* confers. In authorizing the Congress to borrow money, the *Constitution* empowers the Congress to fix the amount to be borrowed and the terms of payment. By virtue of the power to borrow money “on the credit of the United States”, the congress is authorized to pledge that credit as an assurance of payment as the highest assurance the government can give, its lighted faith. To say that the Congress may withdraw or ignore that pledge is to assume that the *Constitution* contemplates a vain promise, a pledge having no other sanction than the pleasure and convenience of the pledgor. This Court has given no sanction to such a conception of the obligations of our government.

When the United States, with constitutional authority, makes contracts it has rights and incurs responsibilities similar to those of individuals who are parties to such instruments.

Another Case involving General Rights of the People as conferred in the *First Amendment* of the *Constitution's* Articles of the *Bill of Rights*, as in Gold Clause Cases “the Sovereignty resides in the People”, in *Marbury v. Madison*, 1 Cranch 1, 137, L. Ed. 60 (1804).

In *Marbury v. Madison*, Chief Justice states: “How can Congress take that which is not theirs to take, nor grant that which is not theirs to Grant?”

The Supreme Court in the GOLD CLAUSE CASES: “The argument in favor of the Joint Resolution, as applied to government bonds, is in substance that the government cannot by contract restrict the exercise of a sovereign power. But the right to make binding obligations is competence attaching to sovereignty. In the United States, sovereignty resides in the people who act through the organs established by the Constitution. The Congress as the instrumentality of sovereignty is endowed with certain powers to be exerted on behalf of the people in the manner and with the effect the Constitution ordains. The Congress cannot invoke the sovereign power of the people to override their will as thus declared. The powers conferred upon the Congress are harmonious. The Constitution gives to the Congress the power to borrow money on the credit of the United States, an unqualified power, a power vital to the government—upon which in an extremity its very life may depend. The binding quality of the promise of the United States is of the essence of the credit which is so pledged. The binding quality of the promise of the United States is of the essence of the credit which is so pledged. Having this power to authorize the issue of definite obligations for the payment of money borrowed, the Congress has not been vested with authority to alter or destroy those obligations.

“We regard it as confirmatory of a fundamental principle which applies as well to the government bonds in question, and to the others duly authorized by the Congress, as to those issued before the Amendment was adopted. Nor can we perceive any reason for not considering the expression “the validity of the public debt” as embracing whatever concerns the integrity of the public obligations.

“We conclude that the Joint Resolution of June 5, 1933, in so far as it attempted to override the obligation created by the bond in suit, went beyond Congressional Power.”

Bonus 3392-181 of May 1, 1875, is by Legislative Resolution and “Contract”.

Said Bonus 3392, Re-Conformed, Re-Confirmed, Re-Affirmed August 21, 1989 in accordance with USC Title 28, 1740 and 1741 that Section. Also, in conformity with Rule 904, and of all International and National Laws. Laws at the Date of Contract prevail. It would be greatly appreciated by me, the widow of Russell Herrman, if you would pass this on to Member of Congress Dick Arney for his consumption.

During the Administration of President Theodore Roosevelt, Secretary Elihu Root advised the president of the “viability” to assume the “outstanding” indebtedness of Peru, Chile and Bolivia, pursuant to the Continental Alliance and the Monroe Doctrine (Corollaries), whereupon said “ASSUMPSITS” were Ratified by the U.S. Houses in 1905-06.

Taking into consideration:

(1) There was NO Federal Reserve Banking TRUST System in 1905-06, and

(2) The President of the United States, the Secretary of the United States, the Department of War, the Treasury, the U.S. Senate and House, each to their own Department of Governmental Constitutional “areas of jurisdiction”, did assume the Indebtedness of the International “Contract” of Bonus 3392-181, which in itself was authorized by: Legislative Resolution of April 17-24-27 in Lima, Peru, fully authorized by “competent jurisdiction”, and finalized in the United States on May 1, 1875 fully executed and acknowledged.

(3) Said “Assumpsit Public Debt of the United States prior to the Joint Resolution of June 5, 1933, which the Supreme Court itself concluded: “Having this Power to authorize the issue of definite obligations for the payment of money borrowed, the Congress has not been vested with authority to alter or destroy those obligations”.

It is realized, so much is contained in the promulgation of “unauthorized Public Laws, which are as opposed to the Laws of the *Constitution*, as black is to white, which are made “not in pursuance or in conformity to the Laws of the *Constitution*—pervade, and jade the senses of those who desire World Domination”...However, Bonus 3392-181, is a just, lawful, legal Public Debt of the United States...due and payable in “Constitutional Dollars”, not “Federal Reserve \$.03 notes, which the Federal Reserve takes advantage in its “Tender Provisions of U.S.C. Title 12, Chapter 3, ss411.” The “Unconscionables” exist as “NO PRUDENT MAN WOULD TAKE SOMETHING OF ‘VALUE’ AND TURN IT OVER FOR SOMETHING OF ‘NO VALUE’ AS EXEMPLIFIED IN U.S.C. TITLE 12, Ch. 3, ss411.”

The Lawful “PUBLIC DEBT” of the ASSUMPSIT OF CONTRACT OF BONUS 3392-181 OF MAY 1, 1875, pursuant to each successive compounding of principal and interest, each to their own successive re-re-compoundings of interest due and payable per accepted bookkeeping methods of that day, and of assumpsit. The issue of PUBLIC DEBT CAN BE EASILY RESOLVED...”LAWFULLY”.

/s/ Mrs. Russell Herrman

Phone: 618-243-5615

Fax: 618-243-5501

Please send a copy to Rep. Dick Armev.

CHAPTER 12

THE GREAT TRANSFORMATION IS UNDERWAY!

by Germain 6/17/96

Germain here in the Radiant Light of Aton, the One Light. It has been some time since last we have written. Thank you for receiving me this day and at this time. Be at peace, for God knows your heart.

The Great Transformation is underway! It is time for testing on MANY levels. I, Germain, have a most important task in this Transformation. As keeper of the Violet Flame of Transmutation, my energy will be called upon in order that the upcoming changes go successfully.

You ask, “What are these changes?”

Well, no less than the rebirthing of humanity into Radiance and Knowingness, from out of the present ignorance and darkness.

We have prepared long and hard in order for the proper conditions to be in place for this Grand Awakening and birth. The final pieces of the puzzle are being set into place even as we write, and these conditions are nearly complete. Thus rapid, seemingly catastrophic change is at hand.

Be prepared for a period of great change. This shall be a horror to some. They shall come to see that their horror comes from their ignorance and fears borne from that ignorance. The warning siren is screaming, alarms are going off, yet many see not that the mass conscious reality is on the verge of a great shift. This shift shall literally SHOCK THE WORLD.

Those who call you ones “crackpots” or “paranoids” shall be flocking to your Light and stability for guidance. Be prepared, for this is a large part of the ground crew’s job in general. Serve your brothers in their confusion. Direct them in a constructive community effort to bring stability to your own local areas.

Do not try to solve the whole country’s problems. Take on a localized, realistic objective such as the stability of, perhaps, your own neighborhood. Band together in a unified purpose (that of survival!). United in truth, ones can accomplish the miracles that shall bring a remnant through and into a radiant new cycle of experiencing.

The Light of Creator shall once again shine brightly on that dark planet. It is His promise!

Seek balance. All that is necessary is for each to do his/her own part.

Hangers-on shall be quite unacceptable. Those who are used to having others “do it for them” shall soon find themselves quite helpless and desperate. These are the ones lost in their own ego-centered world who can’t seem to accept that one day they won’t have the luxury items they now pretend to enjoy. Oh, what they could have done with their façades of wealth!

Always ones wake up too late, only to realize how truly foolish they have been. This is the way of those who choose to remain in ignorance of what actually has true lasting value.

Seek first God and His Lighted Spiritual Truth, and then all things shall be added unto you in the fullness of balance. It shall never be the way you perceive that it should be. There are great “riches” far beyond anything money can buy. These are the true riches (of the spirit) that I’m referring to.

Always look for the clues and follow your guidance. Guidance may come in the form of a nagging friend or relative, so be patient with those around you. They may be working directly with your guides in order to assist you to find the answers you seek.

Know that God works in ways that only seem mysterious. The mystery only comes from your lack of understanding. As your awareness level increases, you shall begin to understand that Creator God (that which created YOU!) is ALWAYS there for you. It is not mystery; it is fact.

It is you who turns a deaf ear to God. You can either honor Him or dishonor Him. This is determined by your actions and intent. You cannot hide for one second from the One who created you!

Responsibility for yourself and for those entrusted to your care is the only acceptable way to truly grow past your current level of understanding and awareness. There is an infinite ability to expand self, for you expand within a limitless entity referred to as Creation.

Expansion and growth in Light and Truth bring upon self the greatest joys ones could ever imagine—and actually surpass, in infinite proportions, what is typically imagined. You can never “push the envelope” too far or too hard. There shall always be newness and growth.

This existence is but a small part of Infinity. Yet each entity makes up part of the Whole of Existence. Thus, as each is brought up to speed, and into awareness of his or her larger purpose within the One, then all, everywhere, expand.

Thus none is more or less important than another, just different. In as much as one part grows, all grow. This is the reason for the great compassion of we of the Hosts—who come that the whole can forever expand, so that we might expand.

We find great joy in our work, and when a Lighted brother comes into recognition of his/her true potential within Creator God, and sees his/her purpose within the whole, and begins to fulfill that purpose—only then can WE begin to glimpse the next step of OUR evolution into Infinity. Remember: each is created for reason and with a purpose, and that reason serves the whole of Creator/Creation.

Seek diligently the Lighted Truth and you shall find it. Seek spiritual growth and you shall find it. No special meditations or chants are necessary. No special place to go to or crystal to hang onto are necessary. You have all that you need, from the day you were born, in order to make contact and commune with the God-force within. You can go directly to Source.

YOU DO NOT NEED TO GO THROUGH ANY INTERMEDIARY WHATSOEVER! YOU ONES PLACE THESE RESTRICTIONS UPON SELVES. YOUR CHURCHES ARE FULL OF PEOPLE

AND “PRIESTS” WAITING TO PLACE ALL SORTS OF LIMITATIONS UPON YOU AND GOD ALIKE.

They do this out of ignorance and fear (generated from ignorance). When you come into true spiritual knowledge, you shall see that it is quite natural to commune with Creator. You simply would not exist without this connection!

Do not fear God, for it is as ridiculous as fearing self. Awaken and see truth!

I am Germain, keeper of the Violet Flame and Master of Transmutation. Give up your fear and transform yourself into that which your heart desires.

In the Radiant Light of Creator God, I thank you for considering my words. May you see your Potential and act accordingly! What have you to lose?! Salu.

CHAPTER 13

THE GREATEST AWAKENING OF MANKIND EVER ON THIS PLANET

6/25/96 ESU "JESUS" SANANDA

Be at peace. It is I, Esu Sananda, one with Creator God. I come in the Radiant One Light of Aton.

There is much turmoil and confusion this day. The clash of the would-be kings and rulers of the world is heating up. Much blood shall flow as a result of this great conflict.

For many there shall be overwhelming fear as they seek to understand that which is happening around them and to them. Be not concerned, for did not God give unto them both eyes to see with and ears to hear with—and yet even more important: a mind to REASON with?

All shall be responsible for themselves! Blessed are the ones who receive (hear) these messages, for you are the ones who have awakened to the greater truth, that of higher consciousness.

(My scribe, there are great efforts to stop this communication. Be persistent at keeping your Light shielding in place. Nothing of darkness can persist in the Radiance of Aton's Light. Good; let us continue, please.)

There is a great need at this time for we of the Hosts of God to have these messages spread far and wide. As the physical Earth changes begin to heat up, there shall most likely be an interruption of the distribution of these writings. Therefore, you ones who receive the *CONTACT* shall have need for basic instruction, for in as much as you may think that you are prepared, let me assure you that you are NOT.

No souled being can go through this type of experience without feeling great pain and sorrow. The loss of life shall be great. You ones who call upon the Light for protection shall have it. There is far more that we can do for you ones when you DIRECTLY call upon us. When ones refuse to believe, we must honor their choice, too.

Many a good person shall not survive the physical assaults thrust upon you ones. Even ones in that small town of Tehachapi, who think that somehow they are protected by just being there, shall have a great shock and realization as this time of chaos heats up.

Get right with Creator. You may be able to lie to another; you most certainly can and do lie to yourselves. But you cannot lie to Creator, for He knows your heart and sees and knows every last thread of lie that you ones hold onto.

Let go of your past mistakes; forgive yourself and forgive those whom you perceive have done you wrong. Then move on and create a better life—one based upon truth, honesty and integrity.

Do not tolerate another's lies. Call them to their attention, for a lie cannot persist in the Light of Truth. If another is persistent in a lie, then insist that they leave from your presence. Do not allow another to pull you from your path.

It is alright for ones to disagree with you. Each has their own free will choice. It is NOT alright for another to FORCE their reality onto you—or yours onto them. Respect another's opinions and demand that same respect toward your own opinions, for truth IS and cannot be changed.

You ones are often more worried about who is right and who is wrong. All want to be right, for each wants to be confident in their perception of reality. What is really real?

Communication is usually the bridge necessary to cross the gaps in a dispute over who is right and who is wrong. Most often both sides are in error.

Do not allow the ego to distract you from getting your message heard. Souled beings instinctively know truth when they hear it. Yet ones allow their ego to step in and say, "This cannot be for I (in my great understanding) would already know of it and therefore it cannot be." Thus they reject that which their soul so desperately seeks.

These ones who refuse to accept still need to hear the messages of Light and Truth. They need them in order to, at a later time, know where and to whom to turn in their time of confusion.

So, do not hold back from another that which you know to be true, for YOU need that which your brother brings to the unfolding play.

Be certain in your own knowingness for your certainty, if nothing else, shall be remembered. Be patient and do not expect ones to just give up their old beliefs overnight. These ones need to experience the learning process. They must come to know that man's greatest enemy is himself.

You ones limit self, and thus limit the Creative Potential—the God Force—within. It only takes ONE to realize their potential to change the whole entire play! Stop awaiting another to do that which YOU have potential to do yourself. Honor the Creative Force within; allow it to flourish and show you your true potential. QUIT HOLDING SELF BACK!

Each souled being has a special gift and a special purpose. Until you recognize that purpose and bring it to the world, the whole of humanity shall go lacking. That is one reason why each is as important as another.

You all have a part to contribute to the whole. Inasmuch as you limit yourself, you limit others who need that which you bring into this world with you. Do not hold back that which you are compelled to say to another or do for another. You may be the one who makes the difference in that person's life, which then allows the Flame within to burn brighter than it had before.

Do not hide God's words from another. If you are ashamed of what and who you are, you had better ask yourself "WHY?!" Do not fear ridicule, for the very ones who ridicule you for sharing truth shall be the

ones who come to you in their time of need—for they shall not know anywhere else to turn. Do your part by sharing these words with those who need them.

Be responsible and do not pretend to know all the answers. If you know some answers, then tell them. If you do not know or are uncertain of an answer, then say so. Your honesty shall be greatly appreciated. When you honor others, they shall not have need to distrust you.

The upcoming catastrophic cleansing shall become the greatest awakening of mankind ever on that planet. The end result shall be a rebirth of Radiance and Knowing. Peace and Balance are what shall come out of the chaos as the Phoenix rises from the ashes of destruction.

These are truly magnificent times for experiencing, for where else shall you get such lessons.

Thank you for writing and sharing, my friend. I am Esu Sananda, come in the Radiance of the One Light so that you ones might see.

Peace and Balance. Aho!

CHAPTER 14

BANKSTERS' SHENANIGANS THE SORDID STORY OF SANTA BARBARA SAVINGS & LOAN

by Ray Bilger 6/25/96

Prior to 1870, Santa Barbara, California residents were their own bankers. Any gold or silver was generally kept within the home in a strong chest which could be locked. Most transactions were for cash, and only good friends extended any sort of credit to one another.

In 1871, Mortimer Cook, himself a banker, arrived in town on a steamer from the north, bringing with him the first iron safe in the county. He placed his safe in a building on State Street, put an advertisement in the *Santa Barbara Press*, and thus started Santa Barbara's first bank. In the next 15 years, two more banks would open (in 1875 and 1896), with all three operating successfully. Santa Barbara was firmly on the map. (Bank of America became part of Santa Barbara's financial community in 1927, under the name of Bank of Italy.)

Santa Barbara Savings & Loan Assn. actually began life on May 20, 1901 as Santa Barbara Mutual Building and Loan Assn. Assets in 1901 were \$6,640. On its 50th Anniversary in 1951, the Association's assets were over \$17.5 million. The decade of the 1950s was a period of tremendous growth, and the 60th Anniversary in 1961 saw assets of over \$82 million.

On January 1, 1965, Santa Barbara Mutual Building and Loan Assn. officially became Santa Barbara Savings & Loan Assn. With assets of over \$146 million, it ranked 91st among more than 6,500 S&Ls nationwide. Its branch and agency operations extended to the counties of Santa Barbara, Ventura, San Luis Obispo, Kern, and Los Angeles. Financial Corporation of Santa Barbara was the parent holding company of the S&L.

In 1969, Financial Corp. of Santa Barbara bought out Paramount Savings & Loan Assn. of Bakersfield. Prior to 1969, Santa Barbara Savings & Loan Assn. (S.B.S.L.A.) was able to operate only through a lending agency in Bakersfield. Since all of Kern County was actually within S.B.S.L.A. lending territory (due to a "grandfather clause" from the old 'Building and Loan', which allowed S.B.S.L.A. to exceed the normal 100-mile limit from a home office), this new acquisition meant only continued growth.

In 1972, the month of April saw S.B.S.L.A. as the top lender in Santa Barbara County by a wide margin, with loans for the month over \$4.9 million. The nearest competitor, Imperial S&L, had loans of only \$1.9 million.

In January of 1973, Financial Corp. of Santa Barbara agreed in principle to a billion-dollar merger with Wesco Financial Corp. of Pasadena (California). Financial Corp. of Santa Barbara would be the surviving corporation, making it one of the 10 largest S&Ls in the United States, with a listing on the New York Stock Exchange.

As a side-note, it is important to remember that Dr. John Coleman has informed us, in his book *Conspirators' Hierarchy: The Story of the Committee of 300*, that Santa Barbara is the home of 2 of the "Committee's" front organizations. One of these is the Center For The Study of Democratic Institutions, founded by Robert Hutchins. It is an "Olympian" stronghold, housed in what is called "The Parthenon". (Members of The Committee of 300 refer to themselves as Olympians.)

The second front organization in Santa Barbara is the Systems Development Corporation. S.D.C. is operated by Sheldon Arenberg and a staff of hundreds. Its purpose, according to Dr. Coleman, is "to coordinate all elements of the intelligence communities of the U.S.A. and Britain". S.D.C. is conveniently located in easy reach of "The Parthenon". But we digress. Back to Santa Barbara Savings & Loan.

The Federal Home Loan Bank Board (F.H.L.B.B.), in 1980, authorized S.B.S.L.A. the opportunity to offer interest-bearing checking accounts beginning January 1, 1981. This was part of a program designed to expand the power of S&Ls to include banking type services. S.B.S.L.A. was an impressive statewide institution with 102 branch offices, stretching as far north as the San Francisco Bay Area and the upper San Joaquin Valley. But things were about to change, for the worse.

By February of 1981, concern was growing about the increasing number of "notices of default" being filed, each leading to foreclosure sales of property. David L. Tilton, chairman of S.B.S.L.A., was voicing concerns that borrowers were acquiring too many layers of debt. The losses continued through 1981 and totaled \$30.1 million by year end.

The same pattern continued into 1982, and in July, plans were announced to sell off certain properties, and to restructure the S&L's operations to a "more regional basis". Thus, two months later, in September of 1982, the S&L sold off 14 of its Bay Area branches in the counties of Contra Costa, Santa Clara and San Mateo, to Home Federal Savings & Loan Assn. And in November, the S&L announced the sale of 10 more branches in the northern San Joaquin Valley, to Valley Federal Savings.

If you will recall, the recent background article on "Banks and S&Ls" stated that the Garn-St. Germain Act, which deregulated federal S&Ls, was signed into law by President Reagan in October of 1982. California did not want state-chartered S&Ls to switch to federal charters, and so acted quickly to pass the Nolan Bill, sponsored by Republican state assemblyman Pat Nolan (an associate of a number of S&L executives), which passed and became law on January 1, 1983. (There were reports that Nolan, among others, was targeted by an F.B.I. investigation into influence peddling and political corruption. Nolan resigned, yet denied any wrong doing.) Under the new California law, virtually anyone could own an S&L, and the S&Ls could invest in anything.

By April of 1983, Santa Barbara Savings & Loan announced the sale of 2 more branches in the Fresno area, and its plans to move into new financial territory, including commercial loans, and mortgage banking, wherein the S&L would make loans and sell them to investors in the secondary mortgage market. The S&L was in trouble and needed funds desperately.

These new plans, and the need for funds, paved the way for one of the most famous of Wall Street swindlers to enter the scene. (He was later convicted of massive insider trading violations.) In late 1983, Ivan F. Boesky, then 49, made a \$34 million bid (through his Vagabond Hotels, Inc.) to acquire a con-

trolling interest in Financial Corp. of Santa Barbara, the parent company of Santa Barbara Savings & Loan, through the purchase of stock.

In November of 1983, a Boesky representative visited Santa Barbara Savings & Loan to familiarize himself with the S&L's business. The representative said that the S&L would have to improve its financial condition for Boesky to proceed with his purchase of the stock.

In December of 1983, a vice president of Ivan F. Boesky Corp., headquartered in Los Angeles, sent a letter to S.B.S.L.A. suggesting the S&L immediately invest up to \$284 million in junk bonds (a.k.a. high-yield corporate bonds).

In January of 1984, at the suggestion of Boesky associates, officers of Financial Corp. of Santa Barbara met with officials of Drexel, Burnham and Lambert, Inc. to discuss buying junk bonds from Drexel. Between January and August, Financial Corp. of Santa Barbara purchased more than \$250 million worth of junk bonds from Drexel.

In June of 1984, while Financial Corp. was still buying the junk bonds, Boesky backed out of the deal. He announced that it was a mutual agreement between him and Financial Corp. not to go through with the deal (a lie). (The foregoing information was outlined in a suit filed Thursday, October 12, 1989 in U.S. Dist. Court, Central Dist. of California, by Financial Corp. of Santa Barbara against Drexel and Boesky. This was the first known case where Boesky and Drexel were both directly involved, with each other.)

Amidst all of the Boesky scam, S.B.S.L.A. was continuing to lose money, and on July 26, 1984, the S&L announced plans to sell as many as half of its 74 branch offices. Financial Corp. of Santa Barbara was still seeking outside sources of capital.

A few months earlier Santa Barbara Savings & Loan sold its main office building, in April of 1984, in a sale-leaseback transaction, to William J. Levy. (Levy was the chairman of the board and major shareholder of County Savings Bank, another S&L in Santa Barbara. County Savings hired federal regulator Roy Green, from the F.H.L.B. in Dallas, Texas, to come to work as president of County Savings. Levy denied that regulatory concerns about County Savings' past practices had anything to do with hiring Green.) The sale was financed through S.B.S.L.A.

By December of 1984, Santa Barbara Savings & Loan had actually sold another 11 branches in Los Angeles, Orange, and San Diego Counties, and in Northern California. The sale of another 9 branch offices was expected to be completed by month's end. Also in the same month, Santa Barbara Savings & Loan hired a new president, the young (41), preppy-looking executive from the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corp., Philip R. Brinkerhoff. All in all, the losses for 1983 had been \$22.6 million, and in 1984, losses, were \$19.9 million.

Brinkerhoff had become president of American Savings & Loan of Stockton, California in 1982, while also serving as president of F.C.A. Mortgage Securities. (F.C.A., Financial Corporation of America, based in Irvine, California, Orange County, was the parent company of American S&L.) While at American, Brinkerhoff worked with Charles W. Knapp, whom Brinkerhoff considered a "real genius" and "one of the more aggressive, sales-oriented people in the S&L environment".

However, Ed Gray, Chairman of the F.H.L.B.B. in Washington, D.C., accused Knapp of running F.C.A. in an “unsafe and unsound manner”. Even regulators and the S.E.C. (Securities and Exchange Commission) couldn’t figure out how F.C.A. was coming up with the profits it was reporting: \$32 billion in assets in 1984. F.C.A. was the biggest problem in the S&L debacle of the 1980s. Ed Gray knew that if F.C.A. failed it would pull down the entire F.S.L.I.C. [insurance] fund in one fell swoop. Gray wanted Knapp out of American S&L and F.C.A., and Knapp tried to give the job to his friend, David Stockman, who had been President Reagan’s spokesman on budget matters. Stockman did meet with Ed Gray, but Gray had other plans for the S&L. Knapp was also a close friend of Larry Taggart, California Savings and Loan Commissioner from 1983-1985. F.C.A.’s troubles did cost the F.S.L.I.C. over \$2 billion and spawned almost 1,200 separate lawsuits.

Knapp, through a chain of two other people, can be connected back to Drexel and Boesky. F.C.A. loaned millions to Las Vegas-based Dunes Hotels and Casinos when Morris Shenker (former attorney for Teamster boss Jimmy Hoffa, and a close associate of the Civella crime family; and a close associate of Allen Dorfman, who had extensive connections to the Chicago mob) was chairman. The F.C.A. and American S&L story is deep, very deep. One could presume that Brinkerhoff had to be aware of all this and perhaps much more, considering that he was President of American Savings & Loan.

Santa Barbara Savings & Loan had last posted a profit in 1980 but, with Brinkerhoff now at the helm, profits in 1985 were \$4.2 million, and in 1986, \$19.1 million (in July, 1986, the S&L announced the purchase of 4 new branch offices). How did he do it? According to Brinkerhoff (in November of 1985), one of the ways was going international; that is, offering \$250 million in collateralized, floating rate notes aimed mainly at overseas financial markets. This would provide the S&L with a “stable funding source for 10 years”. Of course, this did not happen. (Is there some connection between this \$250 million and the \$250 million worth of junk bonds purchased from Drexel?) (?)

By August of 1987, S.B.S.L.A. posted a \$10-\$13 million loss. Dan Williams, an analyst with Sutro & Co. in San Francisco, speculated that, “These are highly leveraged operations (using borrowed funds) and I suspect they are subject to risk. It’s possible they backfired on Santa Barbara Savings.” The board of directors of S.B.S.L.A. hired an independent law firm to investigate the losses.

In October of 1987, Santa Barbara Savings & Loan took a very interesting turn with the announcement that Leonard M. Ross and his wife, Merri Jean Ross, a husband and wife investment team from Beverly Hills, had acquired 9.9 percent of Financial Corp. of Santa Barbara, the parent company of S.B.S.L.A. Leonard Ross’ shady background is a scary story in and of itself.

Leonard Ross’ complicated past financial history has been investigated by the Federal Bureau of Investigation (F.B.I.), the Organized Crime Strike Force, and a federal grand jury concerning his business relationship with Barry S. Marlin. Marlin & Ross, Inc. was formed in 1969. Marlin was convicted in 1978 of defrauding investors out of \$43 million, and served time in prison. Ross was never indicted or charged with any crime.(?)

In one action, attorney John Endicott, of the California Law Firm of Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher, said that “Ross has sued every insurance company in the state of California for bad faith and has collected \$15-\$20 million” in damages.

Marlin claimed, in court documents, that in May of 1971, Ross confronted him about \$600,000 of missing money. Marlin alleges that a month later, in June, Ross called Marlin to his office. Present were Marlin and Ross, Ross' brother Al Ross, and two men with past criminal records, Robert Clark and Walter Seifert. Marlin alleges that while Ross yelled questions and allegations at him, Seifert pistol-whipped and beat him.

Marlin said he was dizzy, bleeding and nauseous when an attorney who worked for Ross, Stephen Landau, burst in and asked what was going on. Landau later said, "I heard screaming and yelling, and saw Al (Ross) standing over Marlin with a gun." Landau was picked up by Al Ross and carried out of the room and told to mind his own business. Marlin alleged that he was forced to sign several documents, including one that turned over his part of the business to Ross.

In court, Marlin alleged that Ross left him in September of 1971, with Ross having drained the assets of their real estate investment venture, leaving it virtually insolvent.

In another very interesting case, an attorney, Lynn Stites (a man), filed suit in 1985 in U.S. District Court against Leonard Ross, accusing Ross of creating a pattern of racketeering and fraud. Stites alleged that Ross attempted to "extort (money) by threats of death, murder, kidnapping, and mayhem" against Stites and his family. Stites even accused Ross of hiring assassins to murder him.

In an incredibly horrible turn of events, Stites claimed that in February of 1987, he had driven his car to a deposition in connection with a Ross case. He had parked his car in a Century City, California parking garage, and was taking his briefcase out of his trunk when a parking attendant drove a car into Stites, crushing his legs, and leaving him bleeding on the floor of the parking garage. The parking attendant disappeared and was never seen again. Ross' attorney, Dale Kinsella, said the "accident" was a "coincidence". Thus, as of October 1987, Leonard Ross was now a part of the Santa Barbara Savings & Loan "family".

Then, on November 17, 1987, a former Santa Barbara Savings & Loan officer, Vincent Crowder, filed suit in Santa Barbara County Superior Court, against the S&L, which, at the time, was still the largest independent financial institution in Santa Barbara County.

In his lawsuit, Crowder claims that his supervisor, James R. Thompson, the former senior vice president in charge of the capital markets division, was making improper securities trades. Crowder alleges that losses at the S&L were directly related to the improper trading.

Crowder claims that on June 2, 1987, Thompson told him to sell securities certificates that Crowder claims the S&L was not licensed to sell. After Crowder apparently voiced some objection, Thompson severely reprimanded him and demanded that he sell the securities. Crowder also claimed that he saw Thompson make illegal transactions that "were manipulative, and for the purpose of depressing the market price of those securities so that the S&L could affect other association transactions at prices more favorable to the association." Crowder left the firm.

In an apparently creative move to discredit and blackmail Crowder, the S&L had one of its female employees, Esther Waterston, accuse Crowder of sexual harassment!!

As 1987 drew to a close, the sordid story of Santa Barbara Savings & Loan was only beginning. With 1988 would come, among other things, a little old couple from Tehachapi, California, E.J. & Doris Ekker. Santa Barbara Savings & Loan was about to take a new twist as it involved itself, on center-stage, with a non-sale of a house to these innocent folks. The Ekkers did not know at the time, on May 24, 1988, whether the non-sale was just business as usual for the S&L, or whether some more powerful individual or individuals behind the scenes had made the arrangements which have left them on the edge of their seats ever since. And, did the S&L's apparently corrupt activities go all the way to the White House? To Bush and Reagan? To Salomon Brothers and Goldman-Sachs? And what about the Law firm of Shea & Gould?

Stick around. More will be revealed.

To be continued...

CHAPTER 15

MASTER TEACHER HYPNOTIST ORMOND MCGILL SHARES WISDOM

by Rick Martin 6/17/96

On the weekend of June 8th and 9th, I was fortunate enough to be present for a Master Mind Hypnotherapy seminar with Ormond McGill and Mark Gil Boyne at the Hypnotism Training Institute of Los Angeles. Both Ormond and Gil were gracious enough to consent to an interview with CONTACT, and so, for starters, on June 13th I had a delightful conversation with Ormond McGill. What Gil Boyne's associate, John Zulli, was so deliberate to mention to those present at the weekend seminar was, "I hope you people realize that what you are witnessing is over 100 years of hypnosis experience in action." I can safely say that IF I had any misconceptions about the field of hypnosis, they were dispelled at this seminar. I was profoundly impressed with the professionalism and care with which the various techniques were taught. And, as Ormond seemed concerned to ask me, "But were you entertained?" Yes, yes and yes again! And it is Ormond's belief that the secret to true teaching, which will stay with you, is enjoyment; or put another way, joy. With that said, let me provide a little background about Ormond McGill and then we'll dive off into a delightfully informative interview.

Ormond McGill is known as the Dean of American Stage Hypnotists and is the author of the famous book Professional Stage Hypnotism. He is a magician and hypnotist of international reputation and has toured many parts of the world with his exciting stage shows: East Indian Miracles, The Seance of Wonders, South Sea Island Magic, and the Concert of Hypnotism. Both an authority on hypnotism and a performer of note, he brings to his writing and speaking a seasoned, professional insight toward the subject of hypnosis. He had published numerous books, including Hypnotism and Mysticism of India; Hypnotism and Meditation—The Operational Manual for Hypnomeditation; Religious Mysteries of the Orient; The Encyclopedia of Genuine Stage Hypnotism; and How to Produce Miracles.

Now in his late 80s, Ormond McGill can offer insight to the greatest of masters alive—but if he did so, he would be the first to add, "But you realize it's only my opinion".

To quote Gil Boyne, "Ormond McGill is one of the true giants in the history of hypnotism. His loving spirit and gentle wisdom enhance the practicality of his teaching methods in a way that never fails to delight."

Rick: I would like to focus this interview primarily on the spiritual/metaphysical side of hypnosis.

Ormond: Alright.

Rick: I realize that you've written several books on the subject and are very familiar with a wide variety of Eastern spiritual influences. You speak of the use of self-hypnosis to aid in meditation. Would you speak about that for our readers?

Ormond: Yes, of course I can. Hypnotism and meditation are both a mental process, as we know. But they are completely opposites. Hypnosis is directed toward a purpose of some kind, whatever the purpose may be, such as stopping smoking, for example. Meditation is to become the realization of who you actually are. In other words, know yourself as an immortal being. Meditation takes you deep into the metaphysical realm. Hypnosis keeps you more or less on a psychological level. And so, what we have here in a combination of the two things is if you use hypnosis to bring about a meditative condition where you can recognize your real self for what you really are. Hypnomeditation is an idea that came by with the suggestion of Gil Boyne of the school in Southern California—and then I was writing a book on self-hypnosis and he suggested that we combine, in the book, instead of going just into mental states to go into the meditational field. And for that purpose, we published the book, *Hypnotism and Meditation*, which I'm sure you know about.

Rick: Yes.

Ormond: It has proved very successful. In fact, probably one of the best selling volumes that I have personally written up to the present time.

Rick: I'm about half way through it and it's remarkably precise and clear and helpful.

Ormond: Well, I tried to take things that are generally considered as rather mystical and far afield into a practical, useable technique. And it seems to work very well. Many people have found it very helpful in their lives, and I'm very happy that it was produced.

Rick: You're familiar with the phrase "mind over matter". And you might actually be one to consider the term subconscious mind over matter. Would you talk about the function of the subconscious mind and how it comes to accept information presented to it, literally?

Ormond: I think that's very easily handled. Mind is usually looked upon as being sort of a process of producing thoughts. Now, thoughts can be on different levels. Thoughts can be very much on a conscious level, where we think of something, we're thinking of a problem, where we're doing mathematics, where we're writing a letter, whatever it may be that we are deliberately cogitating upon. Subconscious things are things that have gone into us that have, more or less, left one level of consciousness and gone into a deeper level; let's say a level of habits, where they become automatic reaction to our behavior. And so, subconscious mind, generally, is simply a phase of mind that deals with things already deeply ingrained in the individual, and as such, they tend to operate on an automatic level. The subconscious mind is, more or less, very receptive to ideas. It is not critical. And as long as they are presented to it in a way that reaches the inner-self, they tend to become behavior patterns on their own. Of course, we must remember that what is subconscious at one time can emerge and become conscious at another time. And so, mind is sort of like a wheel that goes around to an opening of consciousness and as it swings around within that inner-self, why sometimes we have ideas that are deeply buried; even ideas that have occurred long ago, in early childhood and have been put down and sort of forgotten. But, where they are brought back, if they are of a traumatic nature they can be very helpful in removing psychological difficulties. That is the general process of what is called hypnotic feedback into the past, and this has been a useful technique for many hypnotherapists in the present time.

Rick: In your recent talk at the Hypnotism Training Institute of Los Angeles, you spoke of the various

states of mind, including Beta, Alpha, and Theta. For our readers who are experienced with meditation and communicating with higher dimensional beings, what state of mind is most desirable to achieve a clear line of communication and what are some techniques for achieving those states?

Ormond: Now, when you speak of a true line of communication, you have to think in terms of what line of communication you're after. Mind seems to be regarded as a process of producing thoughts on four general levels:

The **first** level is called Beta, which is a condition of waking activity that we normally use ideally thinking and processing complaints.

The **second** state takes us down to more of a reverie state, which is regarded as Alpha. It is a state of relaxation. It is a state where you are sort of day-dreaming and drifting along and you're not trying too hard to make any definite decisions, but just letting things sort of flow into yourself in a very natural way.

The **third** state we go down to is beyond Alpha, it is called Theta, and Theta is the realm of dreams. It is the realm of fantasies. In fact, it really is the realm of creativity where ideas seem to just come in a spontaneous manner and seem to be very helpful and very useful. Many inventions have happened that way. People have struggled to solve a problem, and suddenly, while in this dream-like state, it just pops in almost on its own. And so, Theta is the realm that we use when we induce hypnosis. Hypnosis is sort of a controlled Theta state-of-mind.

And then we go down to Delta, which is the **fourth** state. And that takes us into the realm of sleep, which of course we're all familiar with. But in sleep we tend to become more or less unconscious, and so, while we are unconscious the body has a good chance to recover. We are unconscious, not completely, but we are unconscious as far as being in awareness is concerned. But these four states of mind are very definite in the way the mind seems to work in producing thoughts, and as you know, thoughts are things. In other words, they are bolts of energy, and it has been said in the profound wisdom of the ancients, "As a man thinketh in his heart, so is he in himself," which I think is a nice idea. Basically, I would say that hypnosis, as used deliberately, is to produce the Theta state of mind. And in that state, it is possible to reach through and give ideas and implant suggestions into the subconscious and let them become the behavior pattern desired in one's life in the state of Beta.

Rick: Let's talk about hypnosis for healing the body.

Ormond: Mind influences body. But likewise, body influences mind. They are not separate things. We are a unit. And, as we know, if one has a lot of stress and is troubled, it brings about physical conditions in the body that are harmful and unhealthy. For example, too much stress can cause ulcers and ulcers are very disturbing things and almost always have been related to the idea of stress. On the other hand, if one is able to remove that, then you can often help the body recover from a physical thing that it might have induced. Now, in reverse, body also effects the mind. It's quite obvious that when you have a good shot of liquor and become drunk, the liquor does not go into any mind, the liquor goes into the body. But then, the blood flows to the brain and the brain is like a functional computer and the mechanism to which mind operates in the physical body, and so it has become befuddled. Then we have the body affecting mind. And mind, in so far as it is a process of producing thoughts, naturally causes a confusion in your thoughts

and we have the body influencing mind. Back and forth goes the pattern. Mind to body, body to mind, everything circling around and around and around, which makes us full of us.

Rick: Along this line, let's talk about phobias and the mechanism for re-educating the subconscious mind and removing fear.

Ormond: (Laughter.) Phobia, of course, is the psychological term for fear. Phobias are usually produced from experiences that have been disagreeable, and often experiences that we have submerged into not wishing to keep at a conscious level; they go to the subconscious. But they seem to be there, almost like an internal cancer of some kind; and sometimes they grow and grow and grow and they disturb our daily activity. And so, phobias have to be looked upon and observed and studied at a conscious level to really get through to help them. In hypnosis we are able to bring forth some of these repressed memories, which can be a phobia or of a phobic nature. And it makes it possible to use the technique to give a direct suggestion that the phobia is no longer to be feared because, you must remember that the past is memories that will never happen again, while the future may never happen at all. We live only in the here and now. And if we get that realization in ourselves, then our fears will very quickly disappear because in the here and now, what is there to fear? All life is just a continuum, on and on and on, and phobias come along. But the hypnotism process of hypnotherapists gives us a good way to bring it to the surface, look it over, consider it wisely, and then release it from your behavior patterns of life.

Rick: Now, let me explain also that our newspaper is a little unusual in that with interviews such as this, we are not concerned with getting things down to small "sound bites" and little quotes. We've been known to publish, in their entirety, two-hour interviews. So, feel free to speak as much on any given line of thought that you would like to and don't be conscious of time at all. We have all the time in the world.

Ormond: I personally am of the opinion that this form of hypnotherapy is to look upon things on the whole of their nature in a generalized way, and to recognize that while these memories are suppressed, sometimes in the subconscious, they can be brought forth. And when they are faced squarely and honestly, somehow they lose their power over you. You begin to realize, "Wait a minute, now, I went through this before. I don't need it now," and I'm going to generalize, "Life is of happiness in the way I live". I have found that one of my most important contributions in the field of personal—working with people in hypnotherapy—is to suggest the idea of pleasure, pleasure. To let life be a pleasure. And if life is looked upon as a pleasure, hah, that which is disturbing somehow has a way, in this generalization, to just melt away and vanish and it becomes a happy thing to be alive.

Rick: It's interesting you should talk about pleasure because my next question concerns fears which originate from a past life experience.

Ormond: The idea of past-life therapy is still rather, what they say, ambiguous. Some believe it, and some, of course, do not. Half the world accepts the idea of coming back again and again, which is called reincarnation. However, there are many in our country, particularly of a Christian doctrine, that tend to say, "Oh no, that's not the way it is. We are here, now, and then we go on to another time and, let's say, existence." And they look upon immortality as being there, but they don't feel they're going to come back in this form. However, a great deal of research has gone into this thing and many therapists have definitely found, using this approach, that we have lived before. That we have been influenced by behavior patterns

earlier, is a very good form of hypnotherapy. My good friend, Dr. Ede Friore for example, is one. She was a clinical psychologist, trained at Miami University and began working with patients, going back into early experiences in this life. But much to her surprise, some of her patients began to actually report experiences that were very strange; strange costumes, strange customs, strange ways, and they seemed to have a definite bearing on their lifetime. For example, one case she reports—by the way, she wrote a book some years ago called *You've Been Here Before*, and one of the cases was a person in a past lifetime who had been overturned in a boat and was drowned. And, in this lifetime, the person had a fear of water; had a fear of going into boats. And when this was penetrated to a past life experience, it was faced in a sensible way and one realized that one has to look upon life as only moving ahead. There is no point hanging on, too much, to that which lies behind. Look upon it as experience that you learn from and can use to live your life better in the here and now.

Rick: Along this same line, you are, of course, familiar with the term *cellular memory*. Now, is there, in your mind, a distinction between cellular memory and soul memory?

Ormond: Here's how you'd look upon that. If you are thinking of the term cellular memory, you are probably thinking in terms of the body. And, in so far as we are in a body at the present time, our immediate experiences are probably registered inside ourselves, or at what you might call a cellular or organic level. As we go into the soul situation, we are dealing with an out-of-body experience and that type of memory would belong to the realm of mind, but independent of being in the body itself. If we look upon a soul memory, we definitely are accepting the idea of past life existences. And, in my own opinion, I very much find that, in my work at least, there is much evidence for belief that it is true that we have lived before and we will continue, again and again, because evolution, as the term is used by modern science, is regarded as the development of the body or the mind in body, while the experience of reincarnation or previous lives, is the evolution of the soul itself. Now, of course, you needn't separate them. Put them all together. It's all part of ourselves. But soul memory is the memory of things that belong to the individual not being experienced right now in this life, although they do have influence on the present lifetime.

Rick: Along this same line, speaking of God's tapestry, would you speak about akasha?

Ormond: Akasha is the meaning of the basis of matter. In other words, it is not matter but it is the force or the energy from which all matter is formed. We can look upon the Universe as a mass void; a mass crucible of energy. And upon this energy, Creative Mind seems to produce physical reality. Everything that we know about our world has all, more or less, began with some form of creation. A part of it seems to have been created by some Divine Force of some kind, in making the basic things of nature and the existence of the world itself. But the individual invention seems to be, more or less, based upon some creation of somebody's creative mind. Now, that brings up a point that Christ was very firm about, and that is that God is within you. And if that is so, then the creation of man, and the creation of God, are very closely inter-related and it makes one realize the truly divine nature of each one's being in existence. And that, I suppose, is the most we say about akasha, is that it is so fine and tenuous that it is like the finest bit of vapor in comparison with a piece of solid rock. At least, so it is said, by those of the Oriental culture.

Rick: Would you distinguish between akasha and light?

Ormond: Light?

Rick: Yes.

Ormond: Well, I think we have to go into something of an Einstein nature to figure that. I would be inclined to think that light is a form of energy and, certainly, akasha is a form of energy. The main difference I would say, if there is any, is that the akasha is the basis forming matter of a solid type, while light is a reflection of what comes off of that which has been formed. Ah, again, very hypothetical but interesting to think about.

Rick: What is mind?

Ormond: In my opinion, and of course you must remember it's only an opinion, mind is nothing tangible. Mind is simply a process of producing thoughts. In other words, the better that process is used, the more the thoughts can be of value. Now, thoughts can also be the other way and they can drag you down, using the process of mind, down to the realm of insanity. On the other hand, it can lift you up to the realm of genius. And so, the best I can say about mind is that it is something to learn how to use, under control. Thoughts are not wild. Thoughts are orderly and directed toward a purpose, and then mind is being used the way it is supposed to be used. It is so easy to let mind, sort of, get the idea that, "My mind made me do it". The mind is not supposed to be our master. Your mind is supposed to be your servant. But the more you let it become your master the more the behavior patterns are there and you, sort of, just go along and whatever comes in you just accept. You say, "Well, I didn't, it's not my responsibility. Mind made me do it," which is nonsense. Mind, if it makes you do anything, is to use it the way you use it—is the process of producing thoughts and that's as far as you can go.

Rick: In your many years of experience with hypnotism, have you ever encountered any experiences involving *remote viewing*.

Ormond: Remote viewing?

Rick: Yes. Are you familiar with the term "remote viewing"?

Ormond: I suppose you mean astral projection?

Rick: Somewhat, yes, yes.

Ormond: Well, I have had—years ago I was quite interested in some psychical experimenting with groups, when I had a chance to go to various parties and so forth. And some people who seem to be able to go into deep trance were directed to go [*mentally or remotely*] and visit various homes of individuals who were in the group and give a description of who was there, what it was like, what the furniture was and so forth. And we wrote these things down and later checked them, and I was very glad to find that some of these were accurate. Now, I'm not one to say that's any positive proof of anything but at least it points in the direction that, somehow or other, the mind does seem to have the capacity of projecting out and to give reception or experience at a different place. However, we must remember that maybe it was simply picking up some kind of thought-forms or ideas from those that were being penetrated. Awfully hard, on these psychics, to put your finger on the basic cause. Perhaps the best way to look at these things is to regard it as research, experiment and it is results that are important and not necessarily to determine the

cause. Because cause can be, pretty much, based upon opinion of the one who is doing the investigation.

Rick: Well said.

Ormond: Make any sense?

Rick: Complete sense.

Ormond: (Laughter.) You mean I make some sense, once in a while?

Rick: I'd say more than once in a while.

Ormond: I'll be dared! Surprise.

Rick: (Laughter.) In your hypnosis sessions with people, have you personally ever experienced something with a client which is only explainable through reincarnation?

Ormond: Well, one time while attending a hypnotic conference, a man came out and said, "I have done some work in the field of previous lives." And there was one subject who seemed to have the ability to not only remember occurrences of past life, but he was able to talk in the languages of the different experiences and also write, in the physical form, in the language that he had received. The man in question was named Alan Lee and he was investigated by some psychiatrists back in Maryland and the results were quite interesting. Alan Lee had no more than a high school education, had no knowledge whatsoever of previous lives or any ideas about foreign languages. He was just, well, an average student and didn't really complete the high school level. And yet, somehow, he seemed to be able to bring about and write in languages that he had no knowledge of. For example, he went through a whole series of life-times and one that was quite interesting that was easily checked was that of Rudolf Valentino. Now, Valentino was, of course, an idol of Americans in the early years of motion pictures, as you know. And he wrote a letter that was to his brother over in Italy, and that was easily checked. The family was tracked down and the letter checked. The handwriting seemed to be identical. It seemed to be very authentic and it was certainly very good evidence. Then, other lives were traced back and he went back to about 13 different lives in these experiences. He went back to England. He went to France and wrote in that language. And finally he wrote in ancient Roman. Now, the nice part about it was we had enough intelligence in this investigation to check these things. And the writing in ancient Roman was very authentic. Then he even went back (under hypnosis) to Egypt and wrote in the Egyptian form. That was checked and it was authentic. He had no knowledge of these things, but somehow inside himself were the memories of that computer bank, as it were, of past lives of these experiences. And so it was, he finally went back to time of Atlantis and Mu and we have no evidence of those languages, so all we can say is that what he wrote is quite interesting. At least, to me, that was quite convincing because there was objective evidence, pointing [*to the conclusion*] that this thing was not a supposition but was based upon actual fact and experience.

Rick: Fascinating.

Ormond: In fact, I think that you should maybe get a copy of *The Many Lives of Alan Lee* because it will give you some definite material that you can use for your article. (*If you're interested, just write to the*

National Guild of Hypnotists, Dr. Dwight Damin, P. O. Box 308, Merrimack, NH 03054-0308—(603) 429-9438. The cost for the book is \$6.95, plus \$3.00 shipping/handling. See some of the Alan Lee handwriting samples at the conclusion of this interview.)

Rick: Fantastic.

Ormond: Now, that is very purposeful. I think you'll want to get a copy of that.

Rick: Ok.

Ormond: Anything else?

Rick: Yes. In your talk over the weekend, almost casually as if an afterthought, you mentioned the term Pleiades, which has a great meaning for our particular readership. Why do you feel you mentioned that?

Ormond: The Pleiades? (Laughter.) That's rather strange. For some reason or other, I've never felt at home on this world. And I don't know why it is, but the Pleiades comes through with some sort of a home base of a solar system, many, many light years away, of a civilization that is far older than we have here on Earth and [who] have learned many lessons that we are now learning at the present time. Because Earth, as I look upon it, is like a school. And it is a very young planet. We do some very intelligent things, but we do some awful, stupid things, too. We're very much like a brilliant student who is still a child. The Pleiades have, at least, learned some of these, (laughter) what do you call them, ways of behavior that make a little more maturity. And so, somehow or other, I've always felt a little more at home when I think in terms of Pleiades. I have no idea why that is so, but that's just the way it comes through to me; and so, I have a bad habit of saying things that come through.

Rick: (Laughter.) What might you say to any of our readers out there who are, perhaps, fearful of hypnosis itself?

Ormond: Fearful of hypnosis?

Rick: Yes. What would you say to people who are afraid of hypnosis?

Ormond: I see. Well, maybe they are just afraid of the word. Because, actually, when you think about it we are continually going into different conditions of mind and hypnosis is merely a state of mind. That's all. Hypnosis, under control, is a wonderful way to deliberately implant suggestions in the subconscious mind and establish habits of behavior under our conscious wishes. To be fearful of it is to be fearful of everything that tends to influence you. And we're always being influenced by different things. We're influenced by advertising. We're influenced by television. We're influenced by pictures. We're influenced by our friends. We're influenced by people we look upon as authorities. But in a way, when you get right down to it, all of that is setting ideas in the mind and, if we want to put it that way, we can say, "You have been hypnotized into those beliefs by your belief that what it is is worthy of your giving attention to."

Hypnosis, on the other hand, (laughter) has kind of been kicked around and has been associated with such dramatic characters as Svengali, Dracula, things of that nature.

Some people say, “Oh, my goodness, this is controlling my mind.” No one controls your mind but yourself. You control your mind. If you leave your mind open to other ideas, then your mind begins to produce thoughts along that line, that’s all. Hypnosis, in my opinion, is merely a way to change the mind under your deliberate wish to change the mind. And if that is so, it is a very valuable thing and a very useful ally for producing the kind of thoughts you want to make your life the best life possible for you.

Does that make any sense?

Rick: Excellent answer, perfect. In fact, you went right into my next question which is: You use the definition of hypnosis as being a way to change your mind under your direction of how you want to change it, and I was going to ask you to talk about that.

Ormond: Alright. Well, I suppose when you think about it, that’s what you go to school for. You go to school to put new ideas in your mind that you probably didn’t have there, ideas that could be useful. Well, when you go to a hypnoterapist you’re asking for him to put some ideas in there that will be helpful. If there are things that disturb your life, you ask for suggestions and ideas to produce new thoughts that will remove the fear of the old thoughts. On the other hand, that’s the negative side. Or, on the positive side, the mind produces thoughts that are very creative. Like in the field of music, in the field of art, people with talent certainly can use hypnotic ways for increasing talent. And so, from that aspect, it becomes a very positive thing. I don’t think one needs to be fearful of a thing that is a useful state of mind when it’s under control. The only thing for a mind that’s difficult is if the mind has lost its control and then, when it has, you can get into all kinds of turmoil. And hypnosis, certainly, makes things more orderly for the person.

Rick: Do you, personally, feel that there are any limits to human potential?

Ormond: Again, this has to be based on the realm of opinion but it has been said that we do not use more than one tenth of our mental capacity. If that is so, we have a great deal—a long way to go to use our potential. If it is true, also, that God is in us and we look upon God as being Omnipotent, then I would say that we don’t have much limit to our potential, do we? Because the idea of being Omnipotent is the idea of being without, far beyond, any limiting of potential. So, that’s one way to put it and maybe that sounds a bit intellectual. I haven’t the slightest idea.

Rick: No, no. It doesn’t sound intellectual at all. It sounds very practical.

Ormond: Well, to tell you the truth, everything that has value must have a use for its purpose. And, if it has a useful purpose, it becomes practical.

Rick: It was very clear to me in watching you work and in listening to your presentation that you have a very philosophical and spiritual side. Would you explain to our readers your general philosophy of life?

Ormond: My philosophy of life? (Laughter.) I would say that my philosophy of life is to live life for the happiness of living. And to realize how wonderful it is that everything that happens to us, negative or positive, is really a miracle that it could happen. It’s a miracle that you can even raise your arm when you say to yourself, “Arm, raise.” Everything is a miracle. Look around you and see all the beauty of nature. Isn’t it a miracle? It makes you realize how wonderful this planet is. A very beautiful planet, but it’s a very young planet and it has lot to learn about itself. So, I guess my philosophy, more or less, you might say, is

basically just, be happy.

Did you find our work together this weekend of interest?

Rick: It was beyond interest. It was profound and deeply moving to me personally.

Ormond: And was it entertaining?

Rick: It was also entertaining, I got a big kick out of it. It was not only entertaining, in the entertainment there was a great deal of education that was taking place.

Ormond: Personally, my opinion is that for education to be really good education, it has to be entertaining. Otherwise the mind tends to reject it and go to sleep on it. But if it is entertaining, then it has a sparkle to it and that is so important.

Rick: It took many specific points, about hypnosis, out of the realm of the abstract and made it very real for me.

Ormond: Oh, I'm so glad. Well, that means it was worthwhile.

Rick: Very much so. I really appreciate it, and I appreciate this conversation. I appreciate your taking the time to do it.

many lives of alan lee
4 pgs

CHAPTER 16

THE NEWS DESK

by Phyllis Linn 6/28/96

“CONSPIRACY THEORIES” SLAMMED

This is full-blown, mind-controlling damage control from a mainstream media source—the June 23 issue of *THE ORLANDO SENTINEL*, to be exact, [quoting:]

This could be a giggle if it didn't raise the little hairs on the back of your neck to full creep alert. The Freeman in the Montana standoff reportedly believe that the government has threatened to inject one already jailed Freeman with cancer cells and did inject two others with “no brains”—a secret drug that first made them stupid and then killed them. [*This is eminently plausible to CONTACT readers!*] And the right-wing Colorado state senator who acted as a go-between declines to dismiss their worries. He's waiting until the allegations are fully investigated [*by a government committee?*].

Maybe there really is a “no brains”. Certainly there's a paranoia virus going around, and not only famously on the new Internet breeding ground. Less noticed, the old technologies are busy, too—videotape, radio, television. Especially the small rural stations around the country are abuzz with conspiracy merchandisers. One, for instance—“For the People”, based in White Springs, Fla., and purporting vents on 300 stations—is drumming a video, *Murder in the Heartland*, that offers three Oklahoma City bombing conspiracies for just \$27.95.

Agents of the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms negligently or evilly hid explosives of their own in the Murrah Building, then stayed away on the day of the blast because they (choose one: knew about, suspected, planted) the bomb. Somebody Middle Eastern was involved, maybe an Iraqi prisoner of war left over from the Persian Gulf war and used by Washington. Or the building was blown up by a supersecret barometric bomb developed by the government in the '70s. This thought from a glib fellow who is doing 30 years on drug charges he says the feds framed him for. Or the Murrah was seeded with demolition charges that were set off by the truck bomb. The source here is a retired general, who cites the fact that 35 congressmen and 25 senators have ignored his letters as proof of a cover-up.

The narrator wonders if BATF, the Drug Enforcement Agency or the Secret Service set the demolition charges. Whichever, he charges “duplicity at the highest levels of government”—all to panic Congress into enacting anti-terrorism laws that would give Washington more power to grind its citizens [*Rather, to panic the populace into allowing such legislation to be enacted*].

This mishmash is presented in a bad imitation of programs such as *60 Minutes* and the clincher is a faux syllogism endlessly helpful to conspiracy theorists: The mainstream news media are part of the system. They are refusing to publish or broadcast these stories. Therefore, the stories are true.

The fact that testimony comes from, in addition to our drug felon, a fallen-away FBI agent and a dismissed grand juror similarly adds plausibility. Their outsider status credentials them. [*Aren't you surprised that*

this writer didn't add "channelled Pleiadian space commander" to his list of dismissible sources of conspiracy theories!] "For the People" is only one of dozens of outfits sowing and selling suspicion. Each boasts a growing catalog of conspiracies. The commerce is brisk. *[It's easy to make something or someone appear ridiculous. The formula has been used over and over—no need to change it—it works every time. Sarcasm sprinkled with a few disparaging phrases and trigger words—it's easy to throw together. Why does it work? The shallowness appeals to those who really don't want to think, and the opinion reinforces what they've already been told through other politically correct sources. People want to feel like they're in-the-know without taking the effort to really find out, and this article panders to that with its "ha, ha, we all know this conspiracy stuff is B.S., right?" premise. The reader is cleverly led to believe he came to his own ("well thought out") conclusions. Be forewarned: It is human nature to take this cheap-shot method of shaping opinion. This technique has been shamelessly used by those we consider to be "on our side"!]*

[The real punch is usually in the last paragraph:] Every society needs a baseline of trust to function decently. If *Murder in the Heartland* and similar goods were *only* tripe, that would be a pity but nothing worse. They are more. They are acid, too. It is acid that eats at trust. It is meant to. *[Heaven forbid we should mistrust our NWO masters!]*

DISEASE LINKS TO ASPARTAME

Did you switch to artificial sweeteners when you realized how many health problems are inherent in sugar? Oops! This news snippet comes from Dick Smyth's column in the May 16 issue of *THE TORONTO SUN*, [quoting:]

I have sworn off diet drinks after reading damning research on aspartame. There is a list of 90 documented medical problems linked to aspartame. The more common are migraine headaches, clinical depression, insomnia, hearing loss, tinnitus and joint pain. Ultimately aspartame causes or worsens brain tumors, epilepsy, Parkinson's disease, diabetes, multiple sclerosis and Alzheimer's disease. Aspartame interferes with the brain's neurotransmitters. One empirical piece of evidence was provided by the Gulf War. U.S. troops gulped gallons of diet drinks in the brutal desert heat. At 30 degrees C (86 degrees F), in a highly complex reaction, aspartame produces formaldehyde. Many of the returning troops exhibited symptoms of formaldehyde poisoning.

POTENT PESTICIDE COCKTAILS

From the June 7 issue of *THE BILLINGS GAZETTE*, [quoting:]

WASHINGTON (AP)—Pesticides that by themselves have been linked to breast cancer and male birth defects are up to 1,000 times more potent when combined, according to a study. A federal environmental official called the finding "astonishing" and said if it is confirmed in other labs, it could force a revolution in the way that the environmental effects of chemicals are measured. The study centered on endosulfan, dieldrin, toxaphene, and chlordane, all pesticide chemicals that are known to turn on a gene that makes estrogen in animals. Estrogen is a hormone that controls formation of female organs. A surplus of the hormone has been linked to breast cancer and to malformation of male sex organs. By themselves, the pesticides have only a very weak effect on the estrogen gene, said John A. McLachlan of Tulane Univer-

sity, leader of a team that tested the chemicals.

“If you test them individually, you could almost conclude that they were non-estrogenic, almost inconsequential,” he said. “But when we put them in combination, their potency jumped up 500 to 1,000-fold. The study is to be published today in the journal *Science* “These findings are astonishing,” said Dr. Lynn Goldman, chief of the Environmental Protection Agency’s Office of Prevention, Pesticides and Toxic Substances. The EPA monitors testing of environmental chemicals one at a time, said Goldman, and the agency must now consider how to test for effects of chemicals that might combine in the environment. The combination effect “is a very, very new issue for us.” [*Forgive my skepticism.*]

FEDERAL BUILDING USED FOR ORGY

Armstrong Williams wrote the next article, from the May 2 issue of *THE WASHINGTON TIMES*, [quoting:]

On April 13, an organization called Friends Being Friends hosted an event as part of an AIDS benefit in the federally owned Andrew W. Mellon Auditorium in the nation’s capital. What occurred, however, will more likely spread AIDS than alleviate it. Eyewitnesses to the proceedings observed numerous illegal activities, including nudity, same-sex intercourse, drug dealing and drug use. Marc Morano, who attended the event (and videotaped portions of it) for the Family Research Council, saw an act of sodomy performed openly just off the dance floor in the main auditorium area. The men’s room stalls were used for sexual encounters throughout the night, and public urination outside the building was common. Sexual liaisons were so rampant outside that security guards were forced to cordon off sections of the grounds.

The orgy at the Mellon Auditorium was one of several functions held as part of a Cherry Jubilee Weekend. The program was advertised as a benefit for the Whitman Walker Clinic and Food and Friends, which offer services to people with AIDS. The next morning, another Cherry Jubilee event, the Farewell Brunch, was held in the Rayburn House Office Building, courtesy of openly gay Rep. Steve Gunderson, Wisconsin Republican. Sponsors of the weekend included American Airlines, Snapple Beverages, Starbucks coffees and Ben and Jerry’s Ice Cream.

This was not the first time the Mellon Auditorium has hosted a homosexual free-for-all. During the 1993 gay march on Washington, it was used for the “National S/M Leather Fetish Conference”, featuring leather-clad “masters” and near-naked “submissives” in chains. It also showcased graphic photos of anatomical feats too grotesque to mention in a newspaper.

Should this come as a shock? We are, after all, allowing homosexuality (sometimes in the guise of disease prevention) to be taught to American children in public schools, beginning at a very tender age. While the government prohibits religious expression in schools, and evidently does a poor job teaching the basics of reading, writing, math and history, it spends millions on contraceptive programs and sex “education”. Posing as “values neutral”, these programs encourage amoral attitudes and self-gratification. When higher pregnancy and disease rates inevitably result, bureaucrats and “experts” call for more of the same.

Wherever the legislative or executive branches of the government fail sufficiently to promote unmarried sex and homosexuality, the courts push them along. This agenda is being advanced in the name of tolerance

and acceptance, but it brooks no opposing views. Gay activists view mainstream values and norms as intrinsically oppressive, and therefore desire the overthrow of a society based on them.

In his detailed and well-documented book *The Family Under Siege*, George Grant cites a list of gay demands from the *Advocate*, the nation's prestigious gay magazine. These include: endorsement of homosexuality by all religions and the rewriting or expurgation of Scriptures; gay marriage or the abolition of marriage; indoctrination of the young in homosexual behavior; the end of all discrimination against homosexuals, and the expurgation of "ugly and ignorant homophobia" among the aged and backward who cling to the old ways. These goals are to be enforced through "heavy punishments" and "public humiliation". [You could replace the word "homophobia" with "anti-semitism" and still be consistent with current media propaganda.]

The *Advocate* article concludes with this challenge: "If all these things do not come to pass quickly, we will subject **Orthodox** Jews and Christians to the most sustained hatred and vilification in recent memory. We have captured the liberal establishment and the press. We have already beaten you on a number of battlefields...surrender now." This is not an idle threat or an isolated phenomenon. This agenda is just part of a wider cultural transformation that includes abortion, infanticide, suicide and euthanasia. No civilization can long sustain widespread depravity. [End of quoting.]

*[The word "homophobia" is widely used to mean "hatred of homosexuals", although the definition of "phobia" is actually "fear of". It's true that we humans tend to hate or oppose what we are afraid of. And we are afraid of what we don't understand. That's why we clobber spiders and snakes. More importantly, this phenomenon is an important tool of the NWO controllers: keep the masses ignorant, and use fear and hatred to keep them in line with the NWO blueprint for world domination. It's always used in wartime, right? Make sure no one REALLY knows what it's all about—just give 'em a simplistic enemy to fear and hate and bomb (remember Saddam Hussein?) Those who instill fear and hate are attempting to control you. CONTACT has been accused of being a fear-mongering rag. Au contraire. To the degree we impart true information, we **MINIMIZE** fear.]*

* * *

pasteup letter head

GULF WAR VETERANS ALERT

DISTRIBUTE WORLDWIDE

June 25, 1996

Anyone from the 37th Engineering Battalion out of Ft. Bragg (NC), please make immediate contact with Peter Kawaja.

I have received communiqué(s) from several in your unit who are still alive and want to make contact with you. All of you need to be in contact with each other. The Pentagon is seeking to ask you questions under a military tribunal with regards to your knowledge of what took place in Iraq, "the mission", and those

spooks in white with detection equipment—you know what I am talking about!

You are not safe. It seems the Pentagon is claiming that “they” didn’t know, but that “you” knew and have withheld evidence—this indicates they want to hang all those who have died since the Gulf War—on you. Deals are going to be made. Certain persons have received above average disability rating, etc., just recently after the recent news release. They want to buy your silence and hopefully, you will lie under oath. I have been asked by others in you unit to put out the word and find out how many of you are still alive, who is sick, etc., and to arrange for you all to communicate with each other.

Please—immediately, if you have not done so, swear out an affidavit of what you know, what you did, what you saw, make copies, send them to safe places (you trust) for your protection. Contact me—they already know who you are, it is ok to call, just do not say anything specific on the phone. It is the American public who doesn’t know who you are, and each of you don’t know which others are sick or dead already. If you remain silent and do not respond, you may accidentally die—silently, and no one will know if it was from natural causes—get my drift?

Your protection is to get in contact with each other—with those in the 37th, and do it now! Those who have asked me to put this out have already been contacted by the Pentagon and “suspect” what is up.

Please let me hear from you—to know if you are alive, sick, and who else in your family is sick or dead. Major Jordan just had a child born deformed. America is depending on you to break the camel’s back once and for all in the lie that we have been told all these years by the DOD/Pentagon. The truth is your only protection—if it is made public!

Signed—Peter Kawaja

CHAPTER 17

ARCHANGEL MICHAEL'S CAUTIONS ABOUT UPCOMING EARTH CHANGES

7/7/96 LORD MICHAEL

Good morning and thank you for hearing the call. It is I, Lord Michael, of the Archangelic realms, come in the Light of Holy Creator God. Thank you, my friend.

Your planet is in a quite volatile condition this day. You ones need to be prepared for the psychological stresses caused by the upcoming physical changes. The stress shall be GREAT!

How do we prepare you ones for the horrors of these catastrophic changes?

We simply tell you about what is coming in as many ways as we can and as many times as we can. I shall project a picture and I wish for you ones to place yourselves in the middle of what I describe and ask yourselves, “Am I prepared for THIS sort of reality?”

The scenario goes as follows: The Earth starts shaking and does not seem to stop. All power fails; your house starts coming apart; the ground is splitting open; gas lines rupture; fires ignite; your city starts to burn—not just in one location, but across THE ENTIRE CITY!

Now the Earth starts shaking again, just as hard or harder than previously. Water lines are broken; streets are, for the most part, impassable due to rubble and that which has collapsed all over the pavement.

Place yourself in this scenario. What would YOU do?

You must think about these sorts of possibilities BEFORE they happen so that you can act in intelligence. The panic and fear shall be so great that ones shall perish simply because they are so overwhelmed and frozen with fear.

Let us take this example further. You may be saying to yourself, “I do not live in a large city or near any major fault lines.” But perhaps you have family and friends who do!

As these Earth changes start, there shall be survivors and a great migration of displaced people. In their desperation and confusion, they shall do things which they would NOT normally do in a “civilized” society.

They will steal from, and even murder, the ones who are trying to help them. There will be massive refuge camps. Ones will be moving inland from the coastal areas. These mobs will be fighting for survival. Food supplies will be scarce and the Government will send out the Army and the National Guard to control these panic-stricken, destitute survivors.

How will YOU act or react as your small, inland city has an influx of displaced, desperate and hungry

people trying to cope with shock?

Will you feed and clothe your brother?

What about the 5th one?

What about the 50th one?

Call upon Holy God of Light and act with intelligence—and prepare! The life you save may not only be your own or your family’s, but that of a complete stranger (to you now!).

The mental impact forthcoming shall be **QUITE HARSH!** You ones are past the point of avoiding these realities. This is only one example of the possible scenarios.

As the Earth cracks open and your major crustal plates (tectonic plates) shift, many sleeping volcanoes shall spring to life as your planet seeks to balance the pressures and stresses within. This alone shall cause a shifting of weather patterns **GLOBALLY. The impact shall be felt by everyone on the planet.**

As countries become desperate for survival, they shall petition other countries for aid. When that aid does **NOT** come, ones shall attempt to **TAKE** that which they need. This could easily escalate to the level of a planetary war.

The Elite of your planet know that these catastrophes are coming. They are trying to control the timing of major earthquakes. They do not understand that which they are attempting to do and are only compounding the problem. These major Earth changes shall be exploited by your planet’s controllers in order to depopulate and control more fully the masses.

You ones who enjoy all the “modern conveniences” shall have the hardest time as you will no longer be able to just go down to the local store and purchase your food. The nation’s food supply will be under strict control. Your farms shall be confiscated if need be; the crops shall most certainly be confiscated. You ones who rely on any resource—such as supermarkets, grid-system electricity, or even municipal water—shall be impacted greatly.

Your “elected” Government officials may be deciding who of you eats (lives), or does not eat (dies).

This is **TRUTH!**

You may say that this is not a very nice message. No, it is not! My intention is to get you ones **THINKING.**

The changes shall be far worse than anything written here or that you may be able to imagine. Take action and prepare **TODAY**, for tomorrow may very well be **TOO LATE!** God helps those who make efforts to help selves.

There shall be many praying to God to save them or to help them **AFTER** the changes begin—

especially the ones who have ignored these messages and have NOT prepared and think that it could not happen to them.

The time to ask for assistance in planning is BEFORE you ones are in total chaos.

My scribe is overwhelmed and says, “What’s the use? Ones who are going to listen are most likely already prepared and the rest won’t listen anyway.” My scribe perceives that ones do not want to hear this sort of message.

True, ones generally do not want to hear TRUTH. But, if only ONE does hear, and takes this warning seriously, then the entire message and effort is worth every last bit of it.

You ones who scribe these messages that are being sent out to the four corners of the planet are the ones who create the possibilities for even having a remnant survive this transition. Many lives you are touching and many lives you are saving. Do not allow the thought, “Oh, what’s the use?” That is an adversarial ploy to distract you from saving lives and waking up the masses to the true spiritual nature of their situation down there on that planet.

Ones are responsible for their own choices and decisions. There is only so much that you ones can do for another. Presenting a Lighted message of truth to a brother in need is perhaps the greatest gift you ones can give. Be discerning in that which you offer to another or do for another. You must allow each to learn their own lessons; you cannot do it for them.

I am Lord Michael, come in the Radiance of the One Light. May you ones act in Wisdom this day. Aho!