Phoenix Journal #156

By Gyeorgos Ceres Hatonn

Table Of Contents

CHAPTER 1 1
THE NEWS DESK by Phyllis Linn 10/6/95 1
MEDIA RESORTS TO RELENTLESS O.J.-BASHING 1
JURY NULLIFICATION:MEDIA REVEALS POWER OF THE JURY 2
BIG BIRD JOINS HEADS OF STATE
IN U.N. BRAINWASHING CAMPAIGN 3
U.N. SEEKS TO BORROW FROM WORLD BANK 3
UPDATE ON DANIEL NEW 4
POPE MAKES TIMELY VISIT 4
POPE JOHN PAUL II (OR A REASONABLE FACSIMILE) 5
GORBY WORKS FOR GLOBAL CHANGE 5
MENTAL FLOSSING WITH THE BASICS by Phyllis Linn 6
THERE ARE NO BAD THINGS 6
THERE ARE NO VICTIMS 7
THE GOAL IS GOD 7
GOD IS WITHIN EACH INDIVIDUAL 7
ASCEND WITH LOVE 7
IT'S AN INDIVIDUAL TRIP 7
CHAPTER 2 8
HEALTH UPDATE by Phyllis Linn 10/7/95 8
WHERE TO STORE SHELF LIFE FOR VARIOUS FOODS 8
CHAPTER 3 9
JURY NULLIFICATION VOTING YOUR CONSCIENCE by Rick Martin 10/9/95 ... 9
THE STATE OF GEORGIA vs. BRAILSFORD, ET AL. 3 Dall. 1 9
JAY—CHIEF JUSTICE 9
MORAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR JURORS 10
CHAPTER 4 13
THE NEWS DESK Phyllis Linn 10/13/95 13
FLUNKING GRADE FOR MEDIA'S O.J. COVERAGE 13
WORLD BANK'S MEASURE OF WEALTH 13
CULT AWARENESS NETWORK MUST PAY DAMAGES 14
GURU-BUSTERS DEBUNK HOLY CHARLATANS 14
GANGS INFILTRATE POLICE 15
STATEWIDE FLUORIDATION COMES TO CALIFORNIA 16
SUBJECT: FLUORIDATION BILL AB 733 17
CHAPTER 5 19
UPDATE ON MARK & CATHY'S MK-ULTRA MIND-CONTROL TOUR 19
REFLECTIONS FROM BEHIND THE FRONT LINES 19
CHAPTER 6 24
THE HOPIS: ENDANGERED GUARDIANS OF OUR CONTINENT 24
THE HOPI by Scott Smith & Sandra Wells 24

THE LAST RITES 24
GUIDED THROUGH HISTORY 25
ALIEN CONTACTS? 25
THE COMING OF THE WESTERNERS 26
PROGRESSIVES VERSUS TRADITIONALISTS 26
VISITING HOPILAND 27
CHAPTER 7 29
COLLOIDAL SILVER INFORMATION 29
CHAPTER 8 31
MANNA FROM HEAVEN SPELT—THE STAFF OF LIFE by Rick Martin 31
EDITOR'S SPELT NOTE 41
CHART 41
COMPARISON OF AMINO ACIDS IN WHEAT AND SPELT 41
CHAPTER 9 42
WATCH JAPAN FOR TIMING PREPAREDNESS ALERT FOR 42
COMING MAJOR EARTH CHANGES by Soltec 10/14/95 42
CHAPTER 10 48
E.J. EKKER LETTER TO MR. RHOADS 48
CHAPTER 11 51
THE NEWS DESK by Phyllis Linn 10/22/95 51
JAPAN: LIVING ON THE EDGE 51
FARRAKHAN ORGANIZES "THIRD POLITICAL POWER" 51
MILLION MAN MARCH CONCERNS JEWISH LEADERS 52
"ANTI-VIOLENCE" DEMONSTRATORS STORM OUT AFTER MINISTER URGES LOVE 52
GOVERNMENT CREATES BLOOD SAFETY COUNCIL 53
ARMOUR IGNORED AIDS ALERT ON BLOOD DRUG 54
PENTAGON BUNKER NOT NEEDED 54
TEXAS COTTON FARMERS LEARN THE HARD WAY 54
JUDGE HENRY WOODS AND THE LONG ARM OF THE LAW 55
STATE OF NEVADA WILL BACK FEDS IN NYE SUIT 55
U.N. BASH SPAWNS SECURITY SUPER-ALERT 57
CHAPTER 12 59
DEMYSTIFYING THE GRAND JURY SYSTEM by Rick Martin 10/19/95 59
SCALES OF JUSTICE 59
HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE 59
HISTORY OF TRIAL BY JURY 60
THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 61
GUARANTY OF TRIAL BY JURY; PRIVATE PROPERTY TO BE RESPECTED ... 61
6TH AMENDMENT: RIGHTS OF ACCUSED PERSONS 62
7TH AMENDMENT: RULES OF THE COMMON LAW 62
CONTEMPORARY GRAND JURY STRUCTURE 63
FUNCTIONS OF THE GRAND JURY 64
ALEXANDER HAMILTON ON JURIES 69
EUSTACE MULLINS 69

CONCLUDING REMARKS 69
AFTERWORD 70
CHAPTER 13 72
A REMINDER FROM SANANDA 72
1/11/90 SANANDA 72
CHAPTER 14 74
THE NEWS DESK Phyllis Linn 10/27/95 74
RUSSIANS ARE MARCHING IN KANSAS 74
FRANCE SUBMITS TO SECURITY MEASURES 74
NEW CRIMES FOR CALIFORNIANS 75
U.S. GETS ANOTHER CZAR 75
ANDY ROONEY 76
DROUGHT FROM VIRGINIA TO MAINE 76
ABORTION-INDUCING TETANUS VACCINE? 76
CHAPTER 15 78
U. S. SUPREME COURT REAFFIRMS POWER OF GRAND JURY 78
OKLAHOMA CITY GRAND JUROR ISSUES WAKE-UP CALL
by Rick Martin 10/28/95 78
SYLLABUS* 83
I 85
II 86
III 87
A 87
B 89
IV 89
CHAPTER 16 93
GULF WAR SYNDROME 93
OPEN LETTER TO JOYCE RILEY 93
CHAPTER 17 94
ALERT TO GROUND CREW 94
ESU "JESUS" SANANDA WRITES ON SEQUENCES & SHIELDING
10/30/95 ESU "JESUS" SANANDA 94
CHAPTER 18 96
THE NEWS DESK by Phyllis Linn 11/5/95 96
CONGRESS VOTES TO MOVE EMBASSY TO JERUSALEM 96
FARRAKHAN'S SPACESHIP VISION 96
AIDS: A GENOCIDAL PLOT? 96
DATA-MANIPULATING SURVEYS 97
BIRTH DEFECTS MORE COMMON AMONG KIN OF BRITISH
GULF WAR VETS 98
CAREERS BILL TO MELD DEPARTMENTS OF EDUCATION
AND LABOR 98
DOUBLESPEAK DICTIONARY 99
SUPREME COURT HEARS CASE:
CHRISTIAN MAGAZINE DENIED FUNDS 100

SUPERFUND: SUPER BUSINESS KILLER 101
NEW YORKERS FED UP WITH DIPLOMATIC IMMUNITY 102
MESSAGES FROM PLEIADES 102
CHAPTER 19 104
HEALTH UPDATE by Sandra Tulanian, D.C. 104
THE "ULTIMATE" 4-IN-1 104
CHAPTER 20 106
GULF WAR SYNDROME 106
KAWAJA PAPER TRAIL HAS ADVERSARY SQUIRMING 106
PETER KAWAJA 109

CHAPTER 1

THE NEWS DESK
by Phyllis Linn 10/6/95

MEDIA RESORTS TO
RELENTLESS O.J.-BASHING

According to Gary Wean, Commander Hatonn, and others, the Mishpucka has invested a lot of energy to ensure a guilty verdict in the O.J. Simpson set-up and trial. So the jury's return of its verdict to acquit O.J. was shocking—I had come to expect yet another victory for the Elite destroyers who seem always to have THEIR way in world events. It was a thrilling reminder of the power of the jury, and a reminder, too, that we each have the power to turn everything around.

This lesson is not lost on the adversarial crew, however, and their media vilification of O.J., the jury, and the jury system has been relentless. As always, it is based, not on fact, but on assumption, innuendo, and name-calling. In the newspapers, it is reflected in the editorial cartoons, (selected see below) letters to the editor, headlines, columnist commentary, even the business section. TV reporters and anchorpersons portray the assumptive state of "we all KNOW he's guilty", feature anguished interviews with the Browns and the Goldmans, and then accuse the JURY of making an emotional decision! And the sparks of racial strife were fanned mercilessly in an attempt to divide and conquer. That most white Americans bought the package that O.J. "got away with murder" shows how easily led are our citizens. Here are a few examples from this week's *DAILY NEWS*, [quoting:]

"The evidence was overwhelming against him, but I always figured he'd get off," Michael Jefferson said. "If you've got money in America, you can get away with a lot." "It's totally ludicrous," said Tommy Valentine, 24, of Hollywood. "They say you can get away with murder in the United States. I guess they're right." "DNA doesn't lie—why couldn't the jury figure that out?" said Jodi Sessions of West Hills, in tears after the verdict. [*DNA may not lie, but it sure can be cloned and planted at the scene! Reminds me of the saying "Figures don't lie, but liars figure!*"]

o.j.pict

RACE ISSUE POLARIZED PUBLIC OPINION [*headline*]: The jury's verdict is in, but in a city once again divided along racial lines by a criminal court trial, the deliberations may only be just beginning. Most whites believed O.J. Simpson was guilty. Most African-Americans thought him innocent. To most whites, the central question in the trial was whether Simpson was a murderer responsible for the deaths of his wife and her friend. [*Well, let's say they bought the package they were given. Of course, the whole trial was a set-up from both sides, and it's nothing short of miraculous that the jury saw through it enough to acquit.*] To most African-Americans, the issue was whether the system that brought Simpson to trial was tainted by racial bias. [*That's what they WANT you to think, in order to keep the conflagration burning. The "racial issue" focuses on Fuhrman, obscuring the fact that this case was a*

set-up from day one.] The racial divisions over the case grew deeper as the trial progressed. [*As planned! This statement was repeated by many media sources, including Jack E. White in* Time *magazine*.]
0

2 cartoons

THE TAINTED VERDICT [*This was an editorial headline*]: About the only phrase Johnnie Cochran Jr. uttered last week that rings true in reflecting on the Simpson trial is the idea of a "rush to judgment". There can be no denying that conclusions were drawn well before the closing arguments—indeed, well before the evidence even was presented....Why should racial bias—whether or not true—take precedence over determining guilt or innocence? And why would African-Americans be cheering in downtown Los Angeles after the not guilty verdict was announced? Perhaps it was foolish for us to believe that the predominantly African-American jury could ever remove itself from such a historical straightjacket—or that Cochran & Co. wouldn't try to capitalize on it. The clincher, of course, came when a verdict was reached in less than four hours. Given the weight of evidence against Simpson [*WHAT evidence?*]—no matter how inept the investigatory techniques or dastardly the views of Mark Fuhrman—it's hard to defend such a quick-and-dirty deliberation. And as it was pointed out by the prosecution, if not Simpson, then whom? [*That's a good question! Of course, it's unlikely we will ever be allowed to find out!*] So we are left with a country more divided than ever by race, a country where blacks and whites look at each other with suspicion, disbelief and contempt. [*Perpetrators' mission accomplished!*] [*End of quoting*.]

This barely scratches the surface of the propaganda that pervaded ONE issue of ONE newspaper. Those guys do not take it well when their plans go awry!

JURY NULLIFICATION: MEDIA REVEALS POWER OF THE JURY

It seemed that a breath of fresh air had wafted through the mainstream media-polluted coverage of the O.J. trial—the issue of jury nullification. It's always good for this information to get out to the public, although in this case, it seemed to be used to DISCREDIT the jury. This article appeared before the verdict was heard, in the October 2 issue of *THE BAKERSFIELD CALIFORNIAN*, [quoting:]

LOS ANGELES (AP)—In the world of TV viewers-turned-legal-experts in the O.J. Simpson case, the term "jury nullification" is not yet part of the jargon. But it could become familiar if jurors who begin deliberations today decide to vote from their **hearts** instead of their **minds**. [*See how cleverly they are creating damage control and covering themselves in the event that the jury reached a verdict of not guilty. They are misrepresenting the central concept of jury nullification as the right to make an* ***emotional*** *decision, rather than a* ***logical*** *one*.] "Jury nullification means going outside the law, nullifying the law," Loyola University law professor Laurie Levenson said of the oldest legal concept in American law, dating to 1670. "It says, 'We don't care about the evidence: our gut reaction is that this man should go free,'" she said. If there was one thing that made prosecutor Marcia Clark furious in defense lawyer Johnnie Cochran Jr.'s summation last week, it was what she denounced as an "outrageous" demand for jury nullification.

Cases that have resulted in jury nullification often involved political causes, civil disobedience or—an issue raised in the Simpson case—racism. Historically, it was a tool used by Southern white juries to avoid convicting other whites who had lynched blacks, Levenson said. They ignored the facts and voted from prejudice. [*Keeping the racial pot stirred up, aren't they!*] One of the earliest cases in which jurors defied the law was the 1670 unlawful assembly trial of William Penn for organizing Quaker meetings. The jury was told by the judge that they could be jailed if they failed to convict. Nevertheless, they acquitted. In 1740, John Peter Zenger, publisher of the *New York Weekly Journal* was jailed on a charge of seditious libel for satirizing the king's appointed governor for the British colonies. His lawyer, Andrew Hamilton, argued for jury nullification and won. [*You may have noticed the absence of jury nullification authorization in the jury instructions. In fact, the opposite is stressed: that the jury is obligated to judge according to the law as given by the judge. And how many people learned about this in civics class?*]

BIG BIRD JOINS HEADS OF STATE IN U.N. BRAINWASHING CAMPAIGN

From the September 17 issue of *PARADE MAGAZINE*, [quoting:]

Nearly every head of state in the world will be at the United Nations in New York on October 22-24 to attend a special meeting of the General Assembly. Kings and queens, presidents and prime ministers will be there to mark the UN's 50th anniversary.

And tomorrow Big Bird is scheduled to visit Leah Boutros-Ghali, wife of the UN secretary-general, to deliver letters from children around the world, wishing the United Nations a happy anniversary. It's part of a *Sesame Street* celebration that will reach preschool children in 86 countries and in 14 languages on October 23-27. In fact, teachers around the world are planning lessons on the history and work of the UN for a global teach-in. [*Just how much valid information do you suppose will be presented?*] The United Nations is providing curriculum aids to encourage teachers from preschool through college to devote several classes to the UN during the third week of each October. [*They always keep us on the edge of our seats during the last half of October!*]

While students study the UN charter—which was adopted in 1945, in the aftermath of World War II—today's leaders are expected to adopt a declaration reaffirming its principles of working together for world peace, security and social progress [*according to their own warped definitions*]. The declaration being drafted also includes at least three activities not considered in 1945: development (not just of industry but of human beings...their health and opportunities and futures), environmental action and democratization.

U.N. SEEKS TO BORROW FROM WORLD BANK

From the September 27 issue of *THE ORLANDO SENTINEL*, [quoting:]

UNITED NATIONS—The United Nations, facing its most severe financial crisis in half a century, will try for the first time to borrow money from the World Bank for operating expenses, the organization's highest-ranking financial officer said Tuesday. Joseph Connor, the former chief executive of Price Waterhouse

who is now United Nations undersecretary-general for administration and management, said Tuesday that a World Bank loan is only one of many ideas being explored "to lift from our shoulders the burden of debt" [*and place it on the shoulders of the citizens of the world, primarily of the U.S.*]

UPDATE ON DANIEL NEW

From a Press Release issued on October 3 by Colonel Ron Ray, P.O. Box 1136, Crestwood, Kentucky 40014, (502) 241-5552, [quoting:]

Schweinfurt—The U.S. Army informed members of the 3rd Infantry Division that they will be expected to be in U.N. uniform on Tuesday morning, 10 October 1995, preparatory to shipping out to Macedonia at the end of the month. Each soldier is to turn in two BDU (battle dress uniform) tops to have a United Nations patch and a red, white and blue American flag sewn on the shoulders. Instead of a helmet or a beret, a blue baseball-type cap with the U.N. insignia will complete the new U.N. uniform. Their U.N. Commander, a Finnish General, will arrive in Schweinfurt later in the month. Specialist Michael New, medic, remains firm in his conviction that something is fundamentally wrong with this change in uniform and the foreign allegiance it represents, particularly as Specialist New asks the Army how can an "All-Voluntary Army" soldiers constitutionally be placed by the U.S. President under the command of foreign officers, and under the strategic direction of the Secretary-General of the United Nations. Specialist New is reluctant to participate against his oath of enlistment to support and defend the *U.S. Constitution*. Specialist New has asked to see the "legal justification" for such a significant alteration of his uniform and allegiance, but to date nothing has been offered in writing. In the meantime, New stands on his oath, "to protect and defend the *Constitution of the United States of America...*"

New's position **seems** [*ah, that's the rub*] to have strong vocal Congressional support, including the Majority Leader of the U.S. Senate, Robert Dole, the Speaker of the U.S. House of Representatives, Newt Gingrich and Senator Phil Gramm, New's senior senator from Texas and a candidate for President. Although Specialist New met briefly while on leave with Senators Gramm and Dole personally on Friday, September 22, 1995, they do not appear to have followed up on their strong speeches by taking any action on the New case specifically. [*Talk's cheap, especially for would-be presidential candidates.*]

Historical Context—U.N. Military Operations (1948-1995)

Colonel Ray adds this quote from Ambassador Richard Starr, which appeared in *The Washington Times Commentary*, April 18, 1994, [quoting:]

"During its first 46 years, the U.N. became involved in only about a dozen peacekeeping missions. When Boutros-Ghali, a former Egyptian diplomat with no military experience, was elected U.N. secretary general in November 1991, the annual budget for peacekeeping amounted to $700 million. Within the past 30 months the latter has grown 6.5 times, with the number of troops quadrupling. Under Mr. Boutros-Ghali, the U.N. has entered into 11 new operations and proposes seven additional ones (in Sudan, Bosnia, Sri Lanka, Solomon Islands, Zaire, Burundi and Afghanistan). If all are accepted, this would increase annual outlays to more than $8.6 billion and bring the total number of U.N. troops to approximately 168,000."

POPE MAKES TIMELY VISIT

From the October 4 issue of *THE DAILY NEWS* (Los Angeles), [quoting:]

A healthier Pope John Paul II returns to the United States today with popularity ratings any politician would covet. The pope began 1995 as *Time Magazine*'s Man of the Year, his 12th appearance on *Time*'s cover. His book, *Crossing the Threshold of Hope*, was a best-seller in 20 countries.

During his five-day visit, the pope will confer with President Clinton, address the United Nations General Assembly and preach to huge crowds at outdoor Masses in Giants Stadium in the New Jersey Meadowlands, at Aqueduct race track, on the Great Lawn in New York's Central Park and at Oriole Park at Camden Yards in Baltimore.

And from the October 1 issue of the same paper, [quoting:]

POPE JOHN PAUL II
(OR A REASONABLE FACSIMILE)

While the visit is being billed as pastoral—the shepherd of the world's 980 million Roman Catholics tending his flocks—theologians, public officials, and community leaders say that wider portents are unavoidable. The pope has become a leading figure of the century by transcending national and ideological boundaries and speaking out for peace and human rights. [*He talks the talk.*] Although the visit may not raise the huge emotional surges of 1979, it comes at a confluence of historic and social crosscurrents—the 50th anniversary of the United Nations, a time of dramatic shifts in American life...[*in other words, he has great propaganda value at this time.*]

And from a later issue of the same paper, [quoting:]

Meanwhile, there are about 225,000 Communion wafers to be baked and stamped for various Masses, 75,000 rosaries to be blessed, tens of thousands of folding chairs to unfold, 320,000 square feet of carpet to unroll, thousands of flowers to place, 1,200 buses to load and unload, stages and altars to install.

"I'm very excited," said Maria Kobrinsky, 21, of Ventnor, N.J., who will trek to North Jersey to see him. "Spiritually, I feel it will be uplifting." [*What's spiritually uplifting about joining throngs of humanity to listen to platitude-spouting by a robotic replicated humanoid? It helps to remember that we must all make mistakes in order to learn. Who among us HASN'T learned "the hard way" that appearances are deceiving—that what was portrayed as "God's Truth" turned out to be "man's delusion"? And isn't it hard to resist creating heroes and putting them up on a pedestal to work miracles for us—to rebuild our nation—to do God's work—whatever else we'd rather not do ourselves.*]

GORBY WORKS FOR GLOBAL CHANGE

For those of us who did NOT get an invitation to Gorbachev's State of the World Forum, here's a report by Elizabeth Weise from the October 1 issue of the *DAILY NEWS*, [quoting:]

SAN FRANCISCO—[*Well planned*] World political changes set in motion have led to the possibility of a **new kind of global civilization**, former Soviet President Mikhail Gorbachev said in an interview Saturday at the State of the World Forum. By bringing together former leaders and other internationally influential players for the forum, organized by his San Francisco-based foundation, Gorbachev hopes to begin a thoughtful study of issues in a world no longer dominated by the two superpowers [*but still dominated by the same behind-the-scenes Elite power groups*]. In the city where the United Nations was founded 50 years ago, Gorbachev spoke intently of his hope for creating an entity that can help steer the world during this time of change—but slowly [*and surely along the path created with the help of Gorby's sidekick, Henry Kissinger and other Khazarian schemers.*]

gorby pict

* * *

MENTAL FLOSSING WITH THE BASICS

by Phyllis Linn

We are always urging discernment, but sometimes it's not so easy to sort things out. There can be no true discernment without correct basic guidelines. These basics should apply universally—whether you are trying to make sense of world "news" or your own life.

It is a thought-provoking process to examine the basics of your own life—the concepts out of which you operate, form opinions, and direct your energy. We all operate from such a set of beliefs—it's such an automatic process that most of us are unaware of its existence. Although our belief system is learned, it is more accurate to say it is mis-learned, because so many of our beliefs are absolutely false and/or not in our best interest. If our basic premise is incorrect, isn't everything that follows false and therefore injurious to our best interest?

Several years ago, I compiled the following list of **BASICS** (by my perception) as a way of consciously guiding myself AWAY from old, worn out, habitual thought patterns that were NOT based upon Truth. I have found it to be a great tool for learning. When I pay attention to my thoughts and behavior in any situation, I have the opportunity to compare them with the **BASICS**. (This soon becomes an automatic process.) If I'm out of line, I am faced with a choice: correct myself or continue to reaffirm my own delusions. Experience has shown me that the latter path is a poor choice and that self correction is immeasurably rewarded.

What is your life but a continuous stream of thought-based choices? How does this list compare with your own set of basic beliefs?

THERE ARE NO BAD THINGS

Everything is a learning experience. Everyone is in the process of learning. We learn by making mistakes. We need to make mistakes in order to learn (although it is best to learn from the mistakes of others!).

THERE ARE NO VICTIMS

There is true justice in the universe. Your life is the manifestation of your thought. All things originate in thought. Everything happens for a reason. Things are rarely as they appear to be. What APPEARS to be a "bad thing" may be greatly enhancing the learning of those involved.

I am responsible for my life and everything that comes my way. No one can "make" me angry, happy, unhappy...but me. My life is my own creation.

THE GOAL IS GOD

We graduate from this Earth-based school with a diploma in the **thoughts of God**. Through the learning process, through trial and error, we learn to let go of the **thoughts of man** (the ego). As we do that, little by little, we take on the thoughts of God (also called I AM or Infinite Intellect).

GOD IS WITHIN EACH INDIVIDUAL

We are dual entities—God and man. We are inherently complete, all powerful, ONE, limited only by our own thought-based choices to be limited and separate. Our mission (and we have no choice but to accept it) is to fully explore the ego-based concepts of limitation and separation, to see them for what they are, and to then give them up, like discarded playthings, in favor of God-based thought—which includes these Truth **BASICS**.

ASCEND WITH LOVE

God is love. The more you give, love, or serve to the best of your ability and understanding, the more will your ability and understanding be enhanced, and you will be given access to higher realms of thought and Truth.

IT'S AN INDIVIDUAL TRIP

No one is in a position to truly judge another person. The assumptions you make are your own projections. Honest acknowledgement and evaluation of your projections are tools for learning.

You can't do it for anyone else and no one can do it for you. You can best help others by not restricting their learning, and by encouraging them to give or serve freely.

How do these **BASICS** apply to specific situations in which you find yourself a participant?

CHAPTER 2

HEALTH UPDATE

by Phyllis Linn 10/7/95

Have you checked your emergency food supplies lately? Recently, I was dismayed to discover that my supply of brown rice—240 pounds of it—was rancid, and fit only for pigs and chickens. Although I had read that rice had a long shelf life, it dawns on me now that they must have been referring to processed white rice. It prompted me to research the shelf life and optimal storage techniques for various food items. Three sources of this information were *Making the Best of Basics, Family Preparedness Handbook* by James Talmage Stevens, *The Alpha Strategy* by John Pugsley, and a Mormon-published book called *Family Preparedness*.

WHERE TO STORE

A cool, dry room is ideal—the basement, garage, or a cool room in the house or closet. North-facing rooms are obviously better because they are cooler.

SHELF LIFE FOR VARIOUS FOODS

SEEDS: Nature designed seeds to remain viable for many seasons, and they form a significant part of our diet. Grains like spelt, wheat, oats, rye, barley, and millet, legumes like dried peas and beans, and sprouting seeds like alfalfa will keep for 10 years or more if properly stored. They should be kept dry and as cool as possible, preferably in airtight containers.

Most seeds contain oil, and for this reason it is best to store grains whole, without crushing. Once milled, grains are not only more susceptible to insects, they can also turn rancid as the oil is exposed to air when the seed is crushed. Wheat, for example, will keep for at least a decade if properly stored; however, whole grain flour has practically no nutritional value after being stored for 30 days at room temperature. When buying whole grain flour from the store, buy only if it has been refrigerated, and be sure to keep it refrigerated until used.

Seeds with a limited shelf life include brown rice (6 months) and peanuts (a few weeks). Pasta products, stored dry, airtight, and cool, will last five years or more. It is recommended they be stored with bay leaves or covered with salt to discourage insects.

CANNED GOODS: Certain canned goods may keep for several years. Acidic canned foods have the shortest shelf lives, and manufacturers recommend that they be used within one year. That would include canned fruits such as pineapple and grapefruit, canned spinach and tomatoes. Tuna and most canned vegetables such as potatoes, yams, corn, carrots, and beans will last two or three years without substantial deterioration if stored in a cool, dry place.

MISCELLANEOUS FOODS: Sugar, although devoid of nutrition, lasts forever. Honey and salt also have indefinite shelf lives. Oil has a shelf life of 2 years if kept in its original, sealed container; olive oil lasts longer than most others.

CHAPTER 3

JURY NULLIFICATION
VOTING YOUR CONSCIENCE

by Rick Martin 10/9/95

With the advent of the recent O.J. Simpson verdict, the media is abuzz with the term *jury nullification*. To say there is historical precedent for jurors "voting their conscience" is the grossest of understatements. The foundation SUPPORTING the power of jurors is broad and solid.

Let's go back in time to 1794 and see whether jury instructions have changed much.

In the February Term of the Supreme Court of 1794, what follows is the charge to the jury in the first jury trial before The Supreme Court of the United States. These "jury instructions" illustrate with remarkable clarity the true power of the jury. We are quoting the information below exactly as it came to us. The original newspaper or publication that this appeared in is not indicated by the copy we received. [Quoting:]

THE STATE OF GEORGIA
vs.
BRAILSFORD, ET AL.
3 Dall. 1

The charge was delivered to the jury by the first Chief Justice of the United States Supreme Court, John Jay, who helped author *The Federalist Papers*. Associated with Jay, and united and unanimous with him in this, the first charge to a jury—delivered in a civil case by the United States Supreme Court—were James Wilson, thought by many to be the leading lawyer of the era—who was himself a delegate to the Constitutional Convention, and who signed the *Constitution* itself; also John Blair, a former Chief Justice from Virginia and a signer of the *Constitution*; also William Patterson, a delegate to the Constitutional Convention and a signer of the *Constitution*; and also William Cushing, a former Chief Justice of Massachusetts.

Here were three delegates to the Constitutional Convention, and an author of *The Federalist Papers*, uniting in a charge to a jury in a civil case, after the merits had been argued by counsel for four days before the jury, and in which it was admitted that there was no issue of fact—but only of the law.

Following is the charge to the jury delivered by Chief Justice Jay:

JAY—CHIEF JUSTICE

This cause has been regarded as one of great importance; and doubtless it is so. It has accordingly been treated by the counsel with great learning, diligence and ability; and on your part it has been heard with particular attention. It is, therefore, unnecessary for me to follow the investigation over the extensive field into which it has been carried; you are now, if ever you can be, completely possessed of the merits of the

cause.

The facts comprehended in the case, are agreed; the only point that remains, is to settle what is the law of the land arising from those facts; and on that point, it is proper, that the opinion of the court should be given. It is fortunate on the present, as it must be on every occasion, to find the opinion of the court unanimous. We entertain no diversity of sentiment; and we have experienced no difficulty in uniting in the charge, which it is my province to deliver.

We are then, gentlemen, of opinion, that the debts due to Hopton & Powell (who were citizens of South Carolina) were not confiscated by the statute of South Carolina; the same being therein expressly excepted. That those debts were not confiscated by the statute of Georgia, for that statute enacts, with respect to Powell & Hopton, precisely the like, and no other, degree and extent of confiscation and forfeiture, with that of South Carolina. Wherefore it cannot now be necessary to decide, how far one state may be of right legislate relative to the personal rights of citizens of another state, not residing within their jurisdiction.

We are also of the opinion, that the debts due to Brailsford, a British subject, residing in Great Britain, were by the statute of Georgia subjected, not to confiscation, but only to sequestration; and therefore, that his right to recover them, revived at the peace, both by the law of nations and the treaty of peace.

The question of forfeiture in the case of joint obligees, being at present immaterial, need not now be decided.

It may not be amiss, here, gentlemen, to remind you of the good old rule, that on questions of fact, it is the province of the jury, on questions of law, it is the province of the court, to decide. But it must be observed that by the same law, which recognizes this reasonable distribution of jurisdiction, you have nevertheless a right to take upon yourselves to judge of both, and to determine the law as well as the fact in controversy. On this, and on every other occasion, however, we have no doubt, you will pay that respect, which is due to the opinion of the court. For, as on the one hand, it is presumed, that juries are the best judges of facts; it is, on the other hand, presumable, that the court are the best judges of law. But still both objects are lawfully, within your power of decision. [End quoting.]

"But times have changed, laws have changed," some people shout from the distance. Perhaps, but what you have just read is THE ORIGINAL INTENT OF THE FOUNDERS UNDER THE *CONSTITUTION*—which still holds true today.

In 1852, in a book titled *AN ESSAY ON THE TRIAL BY JURY*, [Boston: John P. Jewett and Co., Cleveland, Ohio: Jewett, Proctor & Worthington. 1852], Mr. Lysander Spooner writes, [quoting:]

MORAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR JURORS

The trial by jury must, if possible, be construed to be such that a man can rightfully sit in a jury, and unite with his fellows in giving judgment. But no man can rightfully do this, unless he hold in his own hand alone a veto upon any judgment or sentence whatever to be rendered by the jury against a defendant, which veto he must be permitted to use according to his own discretion and conscience, and not bound to use according to the dictation of either legislatures or judges.

The prevalent idea, that a juror may, at the mere dictation of a legislature or a judge, and without the concurrence of his own conscience or understanding, declare a man "guilty," and thus in effect license the government to punish him; and that the legislature or the judge, and not himself, has in that case all the moral responsibility for the correctness of the principles on which the judgment was rendered, is one of the many gross impostures by which it could hardly have been supposed that any sane man could ever have been deluded, but which governments have nevertheless succeeded in inducing the people at large to receive and act upon.

As a moral proposition, it is perfectly self-evident that, unless juries have all the legal rights that have been claimed for them in the preceding chapters,—that is, the rights of judging what the law is, whether the law be a just one, what evidence is admissible, what weight the evidence is entitled to, whether an act were done with a criminal intent, and the right also to *limit* the sentence, free of all dictation from any quarter,—they have no *moral* right to sit in the trial at all, and cannot do so without making themselves accomplices to any injustice that they may have reason to believe may result from their verdict. It is absurd to say that they have no moral responsibility for the use that may be made of their verdict by the government, when they have reason to suppose it will be used for purposes of injustice.

It is, for instance, manifestly absurd to say that jurors have no moral responsibility for the enforcement of an unjust law, when they consent to render a verdict of *guilty* for the transgression of it; which verdict they know, or have good reason to believe, will be used by the government as a justification for inflicting a penalty.

It is absurd, also, to say that jurors have no moral responsibility for a punishment inflicted upon a man *against law*, when, at the dictation of a judge as to what the law is, they have consented to render a verdict against their own opinions of the law.

It is absurd, too, to say that jurors have no moral responsibility for the conviction and punishment of an innocent man, when they consent to render a verdict against him on the strength of evidence, or laws of evidence, dictated to them by the court, if any evidence or laws of evidence have been excluded, which *they* (the jurors) think ought to have been admitted in his defense.

It is absurd to say that jurors have no moral responsibility for rendering a verdict of "*guilty*" against a man, for an act which he did not know to be a crime, and in the commission of which, therefore, he could have had no criminal intent, in obedience to the instructions of courts that "ignorance of the law (that is, of crime) excuses no one."

It is absurd, also, to say that jurors have no moral responsibility for any cruel or unreasonable *sentence* that may be inflicted even upon a *guilty* man, when they consent to render a verdict which they have reason to believe will be used by the government as a justification for the infliction of such sentence.

The consequence is, that jurors must have the whole case in their hands, and judge of law, evidence, and sentence, or they incur the moral responsibility of accomplices in any injustice which they have reason to believe will be done by the government on the authority of their verdict.

The same principles apply to civil cases as to criminal. If a jury consent, at the dictation of the court, as to either law or evidence, to render a verdict, on the strength of which they have reason to believe that a man's property will be taken from him and given to another, against their own notions of justice, they make themselves morally responsible for the wrong.

Every man, therefore, ought to refuse to sit in a jury, and to take the oath of a juror, unless the form of the oath be such as to allow him to use his own judgment, on every part of the case, free of all dictation whatsoever, and to hold in his own hand a veto upon any verdict that can be rendered against a defendant, and any sentence that can be inflicted upon him, even if he be guilty.

Of course, no man can rightfully take an oath as juror, to try a case "according to law," (if the law be meant anything other than his own ideas of justice), nor "according to the law and the evidence, *as they shall be given him.*" Nor can he rightfully take an oath even to try a case "*according to the evidence*," because in all cases he may have good reason to believe that a party has been unable to produce all the evidence legitimately entitled to be received. The only oath which it would seem that a man can rightfully take as juror, in either a civil or criminal case, is, that he "will try the case *according to his conscience*." Of course, the form may admit of variation, but this should be the substance. Such, we have seen, were the ancient common law oaths. [End quoting.]

When you open a letter one day that reads: "You've been called for jury duty," in your heart of hearts REMEMBER WELL WHAT YOU HAVE JUST READ. You needn't make any loud pronouncements about it. As you go into the jury box and ultimately the jury room, remember the *Constitution* and your true power as a member of *we-the-people*.

CHAPTER 4

THE NEWS DESK
Phyllis Linn 10/13/95

FLUNKING GRADE FOR MEDIA'S O.J. COVERAGE

O.J. slander continues to dominate the printed media. SOMEONE wants to make sure you believe he's guilty, regardless of what the jury decided. The following article was written by Sherrie Mazingo, chair of broadcast journalism at the University of Southern California and teacher of classes in journalism ethics. It appeared in the October 11 issue of the *SAN FRANCISCO CHRONICLE*, [quoting:]

What grade should we assign to the media coverage of the "Trial of the Century"?

A trial that cost taxpayers $9 million, but in which an estimated three times that amount was spent by collective news organizations covering the story: 40,000 pages of trial transcripts and 6 million words, compared to tens of millions of words in print and broadcast devoted to the coverage. And consider 146 trial witnesses but 1,400 reporters, camera crews and other news personnel converging at one time or another on the Los Angeles County courthouse during the trial—10 times as many news people as involved in another big trial—the Lindbergh kidnapping case.

"What grade should we assign to coverage of the "Trial of the Century"?

A San Francisco newspaper compares the brutal murders to Othello and Desdemona in surreal, twisted imagery of a modern-day Shakespearean menage-a-trois. O.J. jokes appear as a regular feature of the *Los Angeles Times*. The tabloids—print and television—scream histrionic headlines: "Nicole to Friend: O.J.'s Going to Kill Me!" Below the headlines, speculative, sensationalized, sleaze-trail stories. The mainstream press reports stories on the stories reported by the tabs in a mimicking exercise of me-too journalism. More respectable packaging, same sleaze.

Media coverage in the O.J. Simpson case calls to mind an editor's comment that the press is like a baby—"a huge appetite at one end and no sense of responsibility at the other." Responsible, ethical coverage is determined by standards of fairness, balance and accuracy. Fair. In the O.J. Simpson case, was the coverage free from opinion or bias? Balanced? Did the coverage represent all positions and viewpoint with equal weight? Equal space? Time? Accuracy? Coverage that is completely error free? No stretch of the imagination or editorial license allows us to suggest that even a fraction of reporting in the O.J. Simpson coverage was error-free.

What grade should we assign to media coverage of the "Trial of the Century"? An "F". [*To the media controllers, it was a job well done—an "A+" for stirring up racial strife.*]

WORLD BANK'S MEASURE OF WEALTH

From the September 18 issue of *THE BILLINGS GAZETTE*, [quoting:]

WASHINGTON(AP)—Developed by the World Bank, a new system of measuring wealth attempts to go beyond traditional gauges like gross national product. The appraisal includes four ways of assessing societies, only one of which is how much material wealth it produces. The system has produced evidence that almost every country, from Afghanistan to Zimbabwe, rich or poor, has more wealth in its people's productive ability than in the goods it produces.

The system, laid out in a World Bank publication released Sunday called "Monitoring Evironmental Progress: A Report on Work in Progress", for the first time folds a country's people and its natural resources into its overall balance sheet. [*In other words, to the World Bank, people are just another commodity—human resources.*]

The system quantifies three types of capital:

* Man-made, the traditional measure of what a country produces plus the roads, water systems, railways and other facilities already in place.

* Natural, the value of land and water and the riches they hold.

* Human, the value of people's ability to produce, how well they're educated [*i.e., programmed*], how well they're fed.

In addition, bank economists are trying to figure out how to measure social capital, the productive value of families, communities and various social groups that enhance societies.

CULT AWARENESS NETWORK MUST PAY DAMAGES

From the October 1 issue of *THE ORLANDO SENTINEL*, [quoting:]

SEATTLE—A man taken from his home by "deprogrammers" who tried to persuade him to leave the United Pentecostal Church has been awarded nearly $5 million by a federal court jury. Jurors found Friday that Jason Scott was deprived of his freedom of religion by Rick Ross and the Cult Awareness Network of Chicago. Scott's mother, Kathy Tonkin, contacted the defendants in 1991 when she became worried about her son's membership in the church, and Ross and others took him from her home.

GURU-BUSTERS DEBUNK HOLY CHARLATANS

From the October 11 issue of the *SAN FRANCISCO CHRONICLE*, [quoting:]

When Hindu believers flocked to temples across India and as far away as the United States in September to witness the "miracle" of religious idols that appeared to be drinking milk, it came as a clarion call to another group of Indians who have assigned themselves the quixotic mission of debunking all gurus, swa-

mis, yogis and others claiming mystical powers. Since 1949, members of the Indian Science and Rationalists' Association have been busy exposing fraudulent "godmen" who whisk gold watches out of thin air, levitate, give off electric charges, and even claim to have conducted erudite discourses with tigers in the wild. The "guru busters", as they have taken to calling themselves in recent years, include activists raised as Hindus, Muslims and Christians and as members of other religious groups.

Although the rationalists say they have 86,000 members in 300 branches across India, nearly as many Indians can be seen at dawn each day dipping themselves in the muddy, garbage-strewn Hooghly River, a branch of the Ganges that flows through the heart of Calcutta, in the belief that washing in the water cleanses the spirit [*This idea is pervasive throughout the cultures and religions of the world, as if mankind receives a poorly understood subliminal message from deep within his soul: "Wash away sin with pure water." It'll never work with the third dimensional definition of "water" as H_2O, but correctly defined as "thought", "water" does get the job done.*]

Ghosh set out to show that any liquid, including milk, can be made to rise from a spoon through the porous ceramics used for the idols through capillary attraction. At the railway station, Ghosh and his assistants attracted friendly laughter, suggesting that milk-drinking idols strained the credulity of many Hindus. It may have helped that Indian newspapers had begun to suggest that the milk miracle was a stunt organized by a New Delhi-based guru, Chandraswami, whose ties to Prime Minister P.V. Narasimha Rao have been front page news after accusations that he has wide influence over the government as well as contacts with Indian criminal gangs. Rao's contacts with swamis have brought him embarrassment more than once. In 1993, he seemed moved during a visit to his native state of Andhra Pradesh when a well-known guru, Sai Baba, appeared to produce a gold watch out of thin air. But Indian newspapers had considerable fun at Rao's expense when film of the event that had been taped by an Indian state television team was played back in slow motion and revealed that the Baba had employed sleight-of-hand techniques commonly used by magicians.

Rationalist Prabhir Ghosh (center) held a fake skull, saying it was typical of tricksters' props.

Before Rao, several Indian prime ministers had close links with gurus. Jawaharlal Nehru had a guru, as did his daughter, Indira Gandhi. Gandhi's favorite, Dhirendra Brahmachari, fell from favor after his habit of having holy messages appear on blank sheets of paper was exposed as a new application of an old high school science experiment involving invisible ink. When the guru, attempting a comeback, greeted devotees with electric shocks, the rationalists stormed his ashram and exposed a car battery with wires beneath the guru's throne.

The rationalists have challenged not only practitioners of Eastern faiths, but also Christian evangelists, including an American who claimed to have made a child presumed unable to hear or talk since birth to speak during a rally in Calcutta. Ghosh stormed the platform and persuaded the 10-year-old boy to confess to the crowd that he had grown up speaking Bengali, the common language in West Bengal. [*Discernment is a real challenge sometimes!*]

GANGS INFILTRATE POLICE

From a recent Associated Press article, [quoting:]

CHICAGO—Some of the city's most notorious street gangs are infiltrating the Police Department, and officials can't do much about it. Until gang members in blue break a law, they are protected by their union conctract and the right to associate with whomever they please. In the last three years, at least 15 police officers have been charged with crimes, forced to resign or investigated for membership in a street gang, the *Chicago Sun-Times* said in today's edition. Seven officers in one police district are under invistigation for gang ties, the newspaper reported.
"We can't deny we have individuals who are members, fraternize or associate with street gangs," said Police Superintendent Matt Rodriguez. "Why would they not do the same things organized crime always did [*DOES*], such as infiltrate the police? If the mob bought judges, politicians and policement, why do we think the gangs can't do it?"

Deputy Superintendent Michael W. Hoke, head of internal affairs, said the officers with ties to gangs are hindering police work. "They come in and they learn our investigative techniques, can spot undercover cops, know how we do things," Hoke said. "Yes, we're concerned about that."

STATEWIDE FLUORIDATION COMES TO CALIFORNIA

Here's an exemplary piece of propaganda; notice how the opposing viewpoint is dismissed as lunacy and elitist. Another grade of "F"! From the October 11 issue of the LOS ANGELES TIMES, [quoting:]

Half a century after municipalities across the nation began to fluoridate drinking water to reduce tooth decay, California has finally joined the movement. Gov. Pete Wilson has signed a bill to require most California water agencies to add fluoride. Seldom has a public-health measure drawn such **emotional** and **bizarre** objections as fluoridation. Early foes called it a communist plot to destroy America, and others have seen it as a poisonous threat to human genetic material. But after 50 years of widespread U.S. fluoridation, Communism has collapsed and not many two-headed monsters roam the countryside. Yet the bill, by Assemblywoman Jackie Speier still drew stout opposition. With no more Commies to blame, the critics now rage over roce "mass medication" and claim unproved links to bone fractures and cancers.

The scientific facts are essentially beyond dispute. Years of comprehensive studies [*by proponents of fluoridation*] have proved that fluoride reduces tooth decay in children by about 60%. Half of all American children entering first grade today have never had a single cavity. Unfortunately, the same cannot be said for California youngsters. Opposition was so stubborn that to this day only 17% of the state population gets fluoridated water, compared with 62% nationally. The fight was particularly bitter in Los Angeles, where the City Council rejected fluoridation in 1966 and again in 1974. [*But now it is literally being forced down their throats. The adversary is relentlessly tenacious*.]

Today the critics argue that comsumers should have a choice over fluoridating—a rankly elitist position that inevitably works against the health of the poor. [*End of quoting*.]

So free choice is rankly elitist?! Here's what Charles Eliot Perkins, one of the nation's foremost industrial chemists and author of *The Truth About Water Fluoridation*, has to say, [quoting:]

The real reason behind water fluoridation is not to benefit children's teeth. If this were the real reason there are many ways in which it could be done that are much easier, cheaper and far more effective. The real purpose behind water fluoridation is to reduce the resistance of the masses to domination and control and loss of liberty....In the rear occiput of the left lobe of the brain there is a small area of brain tissue that is responsible for the individual's power to resist domination. Repeated doses of infinitiesimal amounts of fluorine will in time gradually reduce the individual's power to resist domination by slowly poisoning and narcotizing this area of brain tissue and make him submissive to the will of those who wish to govern him. [*End of quoting.*] Who do YOU believe? When was the last time "the government" did something to benefit its citizens that didn't turn out to be a Trojan horse?

Do you suppose Governor Wilson is unaware of this information? Not likely. Here are excerpts from one letter he received, from Dr. Paul Kenyon, N.D. of Honolulu, Hawaii, [quoting:]

SUBJECT: FLUORIDATION BILL AB 733

RE: POLICY DECISION INFORMATION FOR THE GOVERNOR

"The tooth decay rate stays the same with or without fluoride" (TNTC)
FLUORIDE I.G.: Farben-German history
PROZAC: Fluoride agent by prescription
SARIN GAS: A Fluoride nerve gas
ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE: A link between Fluoride and Aluminum?

Dear Governor Wilson:

I have been investigating fluoridation and fluoride compounds for more than ten (10) years. I have testified before the Hawaii State Legislature on numerous occasions and provided research information to assist the legislature in making decisions about fluoridating municipal water supplies. There is certain background information about fluoride which is generally not known by the U.S. Public Health Service (USPHS) or State Departments of Health (DOH). If I may, I would like to briefly advise you because your decision concerning fluoridation has serious legal, moral and ethical implications for Californians.

The original fluoride research was conducted by I.G. Farben chemists in Germany in association with the Nazi war camps. The thrust of the research was to find a commercial use for industrial-waste grade fluoride. The biological research was conducted on Nazi War Camp people. What the research concluded was that fluoride acted destructively on the brain and nervous system causing impaired reasoning. The toxic effects of fluoride include tissue damage to the brain and nervous system and irreversible narcotizing changes. All confirmed by autopsy.

The information was reported by Charles Elliot Perkins in a letter he wrote to the Lee Foundation in Milwaukee, Wisconsin in 1954. Mr. Perkins, a foremost industrial chemist, had been assigned by the United States Government to take charge of the I.G. Farben Chemical Company at the end of World War II. the history of fluoride can be characterized by atrocities committed against Nazi War Camp people. This history is totally ignored by officials in the United States.

While questions still remain about the positive dental effects of fluoride, THERE IS NO QUESTION ABOUT THE PSYCHIATRIC EFFECT. Twenty-five percent of the "major tranquilizers" used for psychiatric medications are fluoride compounds. PROZAC, manufactured by Eli Lilly, is one of the best examples. Like many FDA-approved drugs, PROZAC has excessive side effects which include delirium, violence, psychosis and suicide. Few medical professionals recognize PROZAC as a prescription of FLUORIDE—the same stuff now being proposed for California's drinking water!!

Several other alarming fluoride discoveries were made by I.G. Farben researchers during the Nazi Occupation of Germany. They are Fluoride Nerve gasses. You may recall hearing about SARIN gas on CNN? SARIN was released by terrorists in Japan with devastating consequences. Another nerve gas made of fluoride is called SOMAN. Both compounds are made from industrial waste-grade fluoride and are extremely dangerous. The common denominator between fluoride nerve gas and water fluoridation programs is the starting material—FLUORIDE, Hazardous and Dangerous. (see National Library of Medicine under Toxic Substances)

In my opinion, one of the greatest areas of concern is the association between fluoride and aluminum and the relationship between aluminum and ALZHEIMER'S DEMENTIA. The question most commonly asked by researchers is, "how is the aluminum getting into the brain, producing senile plaques and poisoning/necrotizing brain tissue?" A strong argument can be made for nominating FLUORIDE in this instance.

In *Science News*, January 3, 1987, a study revealed that boiling fluoridated water (1 ppm) in an aluminum cooking pan for ten minutes increases the leaching effect of aluminum by 1,000 times more than non-fluoridated water. Fluoride is highly reactive in the presence of aluminum. The alumino-fluoride "cocktail" represents a neurologic nightmare. FLUORIDE has the capacity to damage enzyme systems which may be protecting brain changes characteristic of Alzheimer's. There are four million Americans with ALZHEIMER'S DEMENTIA and none of the experts have determined the cause. I believe it's just a matter of time before the scientific community confirms the above relationship. For public health and safety, I recommend keeping FLUORIDE out of municipal drinking water until the mystery of Alzheimer's is solved.

In summary I presume you are not familiar with the material I have presented. This information is the result of a personal investigation: an attempt to make sense of a complicated political issue. Much of this condensed material was presented as testimony during the Hawaii Legislative Hearing on Fluoride in 1987. HAWAII DOES NOT HAVE COMPULSORY FLUORIDATION. Perhaps CALIFORNIA should follow suit. PLEASE VETO FLUORIDATION BILL AB 733. I will be happy to furnish your office with reference material and other supportive documents if requested.

Yours in matters of mutual interest,

/s/Dr. Paul Kenyon

CHAPTER 5

UPDATE ON MARK & CATHY'S MK-ULTRA MIND-CONTROL TOUR

To: Rick Martin
At: *CONTACT*
September 25, 1995

REFLECTIONS FROM BEHIND THE FRONT LINES

Dear Rick and all of our *CONTACT* Family,

As I write, Mark and I are literally up in the air over the northwestern states of our country, flying home after a grueling, 3-week speaking tour. Spreading the word on CIA MK-ULTRA mind control to patriots battling on the front lines of this Mind War has been an enlightening experience I'll never forget!

My former MK-ULTRA mind-control "owner", U.S. Senator Robert Byrd, revealed to me in one of his long-winded recitations that perpe-Traitors behind the New World Order were "counting on good people to do nothing". Once again, he is being proved wrong! Good people are actively arming themselves and each other with TRUTH all across this nation, fully understanding that knowledge is our ONLY defense against New World Order controls.

Previously Mark and I devoted our efforts to alerting law enforcement and mental health professionals to the absoluteness of mind control, since their need for viable solutions proved proportionate to the vast numbers of victims/survivors they encountered every day. Now, with mind control literally affecting everyone—from the traumatizing "drug wars" of our streets, to robotic acceptance of media (mis)information control, to absolute thought control through systematic tortures—Mark and I took our inside knowledge directly to the trenches of this battle for Freedom.
Good people from all walks of life are currently uniting in, seemingly, any room large enough to house their meetings. Mark and I were fortunate to have personally experienced the reality of their organized intent—which is, of course totally opposite of the "malicious" portrait of patriots portrayed by the treasonous controlled media. From state to state, we spoke in auditoriums, gymnasiums, rented meeting rooms, and even a rural warehouse. The decor was generally consistent—an American flag free of gold fringe. Most meetings began with the *Pledge of Allegiance* and a prayer, and all proceeded with arming those in attendance—with knowledge!

All across the beautiful Northwest, Mark and I stood proudly beside the American flag as we armed good people with the ONLY effective defense against mind control: knowledge. We urged Americans to peacefully unify efforts and demand that the National Security Act be repealed in order that We-the-People have control over the "secrets" that are being used to control all of us.

It is in the intellect and wisdom reflected in the positive response of true patriotic leaders where I rest my

hopes for the restoration of Constitutional values and freedoms to our nation. This ongoing Mind War of the New World Order requires good guys to exert wisdom, high intelligence, and logical action in order to reinstate peace where psychological warfare atrocities are currently proliferating.

The anticipated short-term memory caused by systematic trauma media events no longer applies as it did during the Reagan-Bush Administration. Instead, people are waking up and remembering—and questioning if and how mind control applies to various events in history. They remember Adolph Hitler, John F. Kennedy, Jim Jones/Jonestown, Charles Manson, the tragic McMartin preschool case, the Menendez brothers, Lt. Col. Michael Aquino and the Presidio Day Care scandal. Foremost on the mind of those we talked with in the Northwest are Ruby Ridge and the death of Vicki and Sammy Weaver, the Oklahoma bombing, and Waco tragedies.

People who deem themselves average citizens are actively seeking answers since numerous families and loved ones have been touched by New World Order atrocities in one form or another. It seems UN controls are proliferating in everyone's own backyard these days—or in their wallets and banks, churches, schools, street corners, courtrooms and prison, daycare Centers, on television, in the "news"papers, and Mark and I gratefully provided some logical answers to "why?", and "what can be done to take back OUR country?".

The primary question publicly raised was, "How could this happen in the free world?" Simply stated, bad guys know that good people do not think to focus on the criminal, immoral, inhumane actions masked behind world peace. The soul-ution is also found in the way we think because good people think deeper—and perpe-Traitors of the New World Order never took into consideration the strength of the human spirit. It is true that 5% of the population leads the 95%, whereby we need only to replace the controlling 5% with moral, just leaders whose agenda is peace rather than dominance.

Most people rose to their feet and cheered, elated to be effectively armed with information necessary to taking back our country. Yet, a very few seemingly remained immobilized—blinded from TRUTH by their misdirected emotions and hatred. A neo-Nazi attending one of our meetings became agitated and accused me of "Hitler-bashing", when, in fact, I was talking about George Bush. When I reiterated TRUTH according to my experience, the neo-Nazi stormed out of the meeting in a "blind" rage. Hatred is counter-productive to peace, and focus is deliberately being shifted through the media from the true source of our nation's decline to diversionary emotional issues, such as racism, sexism, violence, and fear.

One example of blinding emotions incited by the media stems from the O.J. Simpson trial. Regardless of whether he is guilty or innocent, the "legalities" covered by the media prove that our "criminal justice" system has collapsed. Diverting attention from this now internationally recognized fact through deliberately agitating emotional turbulence in what the media reinforce as a "racial issue", has divided We-the-People and prevented us from effectively unifying efforts to restore Constitutional values to our once great nation. Additionally, this media circus dominated the news while ignoring important issues such as Northern California's "Gorbachev USA" summit, where further UN controls of We The People proliferate—without our knowledge.

Divided we would fall—into the downward spiral of world dominance. Those enlightened by TRUTH refuse to follow. They know it is Truth that sets us free!

During the course of our talks, many people from all walks of life came forward to discuss their own widely varied levels of victimization. There were no racial, economic, religious, or social barriers as patriots united their voices to passionately and intelligently provide viable solutions to UN controls.

Parents disgruntled with a "Global Education (Goals) 2000" public school system, designed to increase our children's learning capacity while destroying their ability to critically analyze, are quietly uniting to take back our schools. Noting a change in their children's behavior, parents began educating themselves to the "unthinkable" facts in order to be effective in freeing schools from federal criminal influence.

One leader in this effort, a citizen of Germany, is proving the parallel of events that enslaved his home nation during Hitler's regime to events occurring in America today. His eye-opening revelations include citing the incorporation of all ten points of the *Communist Manifesto* into the "free world"—the tenth being "government control of education".

Likewise, the Native American people are proving how violent events that enslaved them to "Indian reservations" in this "Land of the Free, Home of the Brave" when the New World was "discovered", parallels the enslavement of the American populace to the New World Order.

In Northwest America, the mind-control devastation emanating from the Mormon Church is even more apparent than the worldwide waste of humanity from Catholic atrocities. Ex-communicated Mormons, many of whom had been Elders in the Church, claimed to be actively siding with Bishop Glenn Pace in their determination to take back their Church. Some voiced concern for the Church's participation in New World Order agendas, and what they discovered when they "followed the money". Most had family members directly affected by satanic, trauma-based, mind-control atrocities that were proliferating at the highest levels of the Church's structure, and sought rehabilitation for their loved ones.

In the course of our travels, I met a wise man who was obviously at peace with himself despite his apparent and eloquently expressed understanding of numerous issues plaguing our nation. He agreed that repealing the National Security Act was a viable solution to arming humanity with Truth and, ultimately, restoring Constitutional values to our nation. Mark and I noted his inner peace and asked him how in the world he was able to avoid the common, Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) reaction to waking-up-to-reality. He eloquently responded, "Since history is again repeating itself, I turned to the most accurate historical document [*sic*] of all time—the *Bible.* I found the answers I needed to know and applied those truths to myself."

Numerous Vietnam vets stepped forward to tell their powerful stories that the media continue to ignore. Like me, they, too, have been manipulated by their patriotism. Their voiced complaints range from having been mind manipulated through deliberate information control to having been robotically mind controlled through torture and trauma. They believe, like Mark and I believe, that you need to know and have a right to know those "secrets" that have kept us in the dark ages of information control far too long.

Secret Knowledge Equals Power. And perpe-Traitors of the New World Order cloak absolutely anything—including the sexual assault and mind control of our children—under a cloak of secrecy called "National Security" that is threatening the security of our nation! By supporting those in Congress who are actively working to repeal the National Security Act, we will gain access to information pertinent to making

sound rational decisions and ultimately taking back our country. Armed with Truth, we will no longer succumb to the deliberate diversions that keep us shooting at each other, rather than disarming the true culprits.

Mark and I were astounded by the vast numbers of mind-control survivors, their families, and advocates who attended our meetings. Together, we provided them "Keys to Survival" and I explained how Mark taught me to bypass immobilizing emotion by journaling (writing out) my memories. By deprogramming the program first, I was able to untangle deliberately induced scrambles and logically question and reason that which I experienced. I explained how advanced secret technology was used to control my perceptions, citing my 1977 experience when I saw the then-top secret triangular stealth fighter plane and was led to believe it was an extraterrestrial spaceship. Speculation and superstition begin where available scientific documentation leaves off, whereby secret technological advances can be used to incite the belief that events are "beyond humanity's ability to impact". After logically explaining my own mind-control experience, numerous survivors informed me that they were no longer afraid to look at their own past victimizations which had previously seemed "larger than life", as programmed.

Logic is the way to recovery. Indeed, it is Truth that sets us free—not carefully incited, UNnatural, fearful helplessness.

Our Northwest tour concluded with the Preparedness Expo in Seattle, Washington. Although our book, *TRANCE Formation of America*, was still on the printing presses, Mark and I were able to provide a constructive, informative, and comprehensive mind-control handbook at our booth. Mark and I momentarily slipped away from the clamor of our booth into the crowds to see what other participants were providing the populace. I was pleasantly surprised by what I encountered! One particular, very busy militia booth was being run by an obviously intelligent, wise, suit-clad gentleman who was, indeed, arming folks—with information!! Most Expo vendors were peddling knowledge, proclaiming that violence is not the answer to resolving our nation's need. They already know what you need to know... Knowledge is our ONLY defense against mind control and the New World Order!

As we toured the Northwest, Mark and I encountered many kindred spirits, soul-diers on the battlefield for freedom who proclaim Evolution over Revolution. The beauty of this great land is a spectacular sight, and was especially apparent to us in northwest Montana. The courageous, brilliant people encountered, many of whom were well informed *CONTACT* readers, expressed determination to make a difference for Kelly's sake, the sake of other mind-controlled survivors worldwide, and for the sake of our nation and humanity as a whole.

The goodness of man shone brightest to me as I gazed across the majestic mountains of northwest Montana, pondering the magnitude of the problems plaguing our nation. A wise leader in this battle for freedom, understanding the soul-rejuvenating peace of the beauty Mark and I beheld, swept his arm across the vast landscape as he calmly revealed, "I would not be fighting this battle if there was no hope."

Mark peacefully added "We've already won. They just don't know it yet."

That is faith of the highest order. I do believe in Truth!

We have a right to know and a need to know TRUTH. Write your congressmen and demand that the National Security Act be repealed and free us from the dark ages of information controls.

Enlightened in Truth,

/s/Cathy O'Brien & Mark Phillips

P.S. Our plane touched ground before I was able to complete this letter to you, and it was further delayed when Kelly's desperate plight demanded immediate attention upon our return. I deeply appreciate those of you who have written her because, as she said, "her CONTACTS keep her going these days." Knowing good people care has made a world of difference for her. Please continue your MORAL support of her through your kind letters.

Thank you!

CHAPTER 6

THE HOPIS: ENDANGERED GUARDIANS OF OUR CONTINENT

Editor's note: "The Hopi" by Scott Smith and Sandra Wells is reprinted with permission from FATE *Magazine. This article was first published in* FATE *Magazine, August 1995. To contact* FATE*, call 1-800-843-6666, or write P.O. Box 64383, St. Paul, MN 55164-0383.*

THE HOPI

by Scott Smith & Sandra Wells

For 15 days, members of the Antelope and Snake Societies have been in ritual preparation, singing songs in the underground kivas, smoking sacred tobacco, making prayer sticks, setting up altars.

On the sixteenth day, other members of the Hopi tribe gather around the village plaza. After a long wait, two lines of 12 men suddenly come into the plaza, the Antelope priests, painted gray and white, and the Snakes, painted red-brown and black and clad in kirtles and moccasins, hair hanging to their shoulders. They silently circle the area four times, each one stomping with his right foot on a sounding board over a hole that goes down to the underworld, sending an unearthly signal to the kachina spirits that the Snake-Antelope ceremony is reaching its climax.

On the final pass, the Snake chief reaches into a holding area and pulls out a snake—as often as not it is a rattler, not defanged. The chief holds the snake gently in his mouth, just below its head, and dances slowly around the plaza. Others pick up snakes in the same manner, eventually releasing them to be taken up by others. The priests are unafraid of bites as they sing their mesmerizing songs to the spirits of the animals. As dusk comes, the priests move out of the plaza to bless and release their snakes into the desert to carry messages to the four corners of the Earth about the renewal of life for another year.

THE LAST RITES

Until a decade ago, the Snake Dance could be seen by anyone each August on the high mesas of northeast Arizona, where two-thirds of the 10,000-member Hopi tribe live in an area their ancestors settled nearly a millennium ago, the oldest continuously inhabited villages on the continent. The reservation consists of 632,000 acres plus 911,000 acres shared with the Navajos who surround them and with whom they are in constant conflict over land rights. Now, only Hopis can witness many of their dances, as they gradually close their ceremonies to outsiders because of unauthorized research, photographing and sketching of rituals by academics, and theft of ritual items. In March 1993, greater restrictions were imposed in reaction to a *Marvel Comics* issue which depicted the kachinas, the ancestral spirits—guardians of the Hopi "the people of peace"—as violent. For those who show interest and respect there are still—so far—opportunities to witness rites. The Hopis are the largest, most cohesive and most vibrant traditional community in North America. Only about two percent of the people have been converted to Christianity. Worldwide, indigenous people have heard of the Hopi's legendary adherence to the ways of the ancients and many travel to the mesas to admire the integrity of the culture. Even some other traditional Native Americans accept the Hopi claim based on sacred tablets the tribe still possesses to be the spiritual

guardians of the continent. They are "God's Chosen People of America" as Zula Brinkherhoff's book by that name put it. They were appointed by the caretaker of the world, Maasaw, serving Taiowa, the Creator. Other native people know of the many Hopi prophecies—of the appearance of automobiles and airplanes, of world wars and the formation of the United Nations—that have come to pass. They believe that the Hopi are in close touch with the world of spirits.

Although they will not talk much about it with outsiders, many Hopis report that during their religious rituals which take up as much as two weeks of a given month, they sense or see the kachinas and divinities. In *Maasaw: Profile of a Hopi God,* Ekkehart Malotki and Michael Lomatuway'ma comment that "in an encounter with Maasaw, a human being cannot look upon the god for long without losing consciousness." The ceremonies keep the tribe in tune with the world of the spirits. The dancers in their elaborate costumes become possessed by the kachinas they portray. Unlike feel-good, New Age neo-paganism which consists of lovely songs and an admirable ecological sensitivity but vague theology, this is a real religion, a way of life based on being in regular contact with supernatural powers.

A public dance in the Wuuwuchim Ceremony, the 16-day ritual that begins the Hopi ceremonial year in November (negative 1539)

This most unusual culture, with its disdain of the material world and absorption in its religious beliefs, became much-admired by the counterculture of the late 1960s. The main translator at the message of the traditional Hopi elders for an interested worldwide audience was Thomas Banyacya. The traditionalists he spoke for were under attack by the Tribal Council, which wanted to, in their view, compromise with the U.S. Government and American culture on crucial issues. The resistance was concentrated in the village at Hotevilla.

As the counterculture became part of the mainstream, less was heard of the Hopi message. More than two decades later, we wondered what had happened to the tribe and decided to make the pilgrimage to see if the traditional ways of life were still intact.

Banyacya, now 85 and living in the Tribal Council's bastion of Kykotsmovi, still brings the traditional Hopi message to New Age gatherings and other audiences worldwide. He pleads for help to save the traditional culture still under pressure from the temptations of the modern world and the Tribal Council, which is so bent on breaking the power of the traditional elders that it even tried to stop this article from being written.

GUIDED THROUGH HISTORY

To understand the Hopi today one has to understand their version of the tribe's history. The Hopi once occupied a much larger area than the current reservation. Because of their similar pictographs and architecture, we know that the immediate ancestors of the Hopi and other pueblo-making peoples of the Southwest were the Anasazi, "the Ancient Ones", cave-dwellers who showed up around 100 B.C. and who became peaceful farmers by A.D. 500 when corn was introduced. At the height of their civilization (1075-1125), they were rich in material goods and astronomically advanced. They built impressive communal stone structures at places like Mesa Verde, Colorado, and Chaco Canyon, New Mexico.

ALIEN CONTACTS?

Then, for unknown reasons they abandoned their communities in the twelfth and thirteenth centuries. According to the Hopi, the clans were separately guided to their current location by a cloud during the day and a star at night just as they had been during previous continental treks of legend. The Hopi were reportedly led to underground safety in each of three previous worlds when these worlds were destroyed, first by fire, then polar shift, then flood. Each time the "ant people" saved them.

Hopi artwork shows the ant people as having small spindly bodies and large heads and eyes. These features caused Robert Puscas ("The Legend of the Anasazi", *UFO 7:6*) to consider the possibility that the legend might be reporting supernatural or extraterrestrial intervention, keeping in mind that the kachinas are said to ultimately be from other planets and of the spirit world. (During the non-ceremonial half of the year the main kachinas said to be prominent ancestors reputedly live in the nearby San Francisco mountains while other former mortals go to an underworld to perform the dance rituals.) The similarity of the cloud and the star to the shining round object that has accompanied Marian visions (Scott Rogo, *Miracles*) suggests a shared religious phenomenon. Adding to the impression are the events of the early 1940s, when Hopis were praying for a special sign that Maasaw was still with them and a "fireball" passed through nearby forests and then back again on four consecutive nights without burning any trees, as prophetically anticipated.

THE COMING OF THE WESTERNERS

Like other Native Americans, the Hopi priests had prophecies of a lost White Brother, Pahaana, who would return to them. So it was not surprising when the first Spaniards showed up, albeit 20 years later than the Hopi had expected, in 1540. But when the two groups met, a prophetic test showed that the Spaniards were not Pahaana, and the Hopi knew trouble was to ensue. By 1680, Spanish rule had become so repressive that the Indians at the pueblos revolted killing 500 Spaniards, though just four in Hopiland, where the local church was razed and used to build homes and kivas.

Twelve years later, the Spaniards used gifts to reestablish rule over most pueblos, though the oldest Hopi village of Oraibi refused to submit. By 1703, the Catholic Church had again created an intolerable situation for the Hopis, because many of the Arrowshaft clan at Awatovi had converted. If conversions continued, the tribe's leaders reasoned, the clan-based religious ritual cycle, on which Hopi survival and the welfare of the whole continent depended would be threatened. Sparing only those who still knew their clan songs and possessed Hopi religious paraphernalia, the rest of the tribe swept down on the 800-strong village of Awatovi and slaughtered many of the residents. It was the last time Christianity was to make significant inroads among the Hopi. The next century was largely peaceful for the tribe, but in the 1800s it came into increasing conflict with the Navajos. A Hopi reservation created in 1882 did little to protect them, and in 1898 a smallpox epidemic left only 1,832 Hopis alive.

PROGRESSIVES VERSUS TRADITIONALISTS

Hopi priests carrying cornmeal to Flute Spring as part of the Soyal or winter solstice ceremony (slide 51).

In 1906 tribal "progressives", who were convinced they could maintain the Hopi way while benefiting

from white culture, and traditionalists, who believed this path was dangerous, came to split, a frequent event in Hopi history. The traditionalists moved out of the oldest village of Oraibi (now largely in ruins) and set up Hotevilla, which remains the center of traditionalism. But now as Thomas Mails' book, *Hotevilla: Hopi Shrine of the Covenant and Microcosm of the World,* makes clear, the Tribal Council has gradually undermined the traditionalist position even there.

For example, the Tribal Council has tried to force everyone to put in electricity. Hard-core traditionalists object to this for two reasons: coal mining needed to fuel the power plants takes place on sacred land; and the traditionalists believe electricity disturbs the sacred energy of the ceremonies. Purists rely on solar power. Getting Hopis to modernize undermines reliance on the beliefs of the traditionalist elders.

Although the conflict is not allowed to interfere with the vital ceremonial cycle it is becoming increasingly serious with the Tribal Council viewed as illegal by conservatives using any pretext to lock up traditionalists (who believe the tribe should be ruled by elders chosen according to Hopi tradition on a matrilineal clan basis). Ironically the Council casts itself as the protector of Hopi religion when attacking traditionalists for being too open with outsiders about Hopi ritual. (It was the conservatives who cooperated with Frank Waters in the writing of his classic *The Book of the Hopi*; they believed that by helping the world to understand their religion the Hopi would gain respect that would help them sustain their way of life.) The man in charge of persecuting traditionalists is Leigh Jenkins director of cultural preservation for the Tribal Council. His primary media task is to discourage interest for fear that the traditionalist view might get out. He disguises this as an effort to protect Hopi religious purity. He neglects to mention that he is a Christian and no longer active in Hopi ritual. An example of how the mask of religious protector drops when money or politics are involved can be seen in the way two recent films about the Hopi were treated. One was put together by traditionalists and Jenkins blasted it for using old movies of dances. Yet he was willing to use the same clips for a documentary he was involved with. One of his recent crusades was to attack Thomas Mails' pro-traditionalist seminars and books like *Secret Native American Pathways*. Jenkins's cronies have allegedly stolen traditionalist books from libraries and stores on the pretext of protecting Hopi secrets. As Mails points out, his books are primarily aimed at helping outsiders gain insights toward a more spiritual life and there is nothing about Hopi religion in them that has not already been publicly stated by various Hopis themselves. The fact is that the religion is so complicated (often compared in richness with Tibetan Buddhism) that what knowledgeable outsiders know is still only the tip of the iceberg. The dance cycle begins with the great November ceremony of *Wuuwachim*, lasting 16 days, half in preparation, half in the kiva. The next is the winter solstice rite, *Soyal*, which lays out the pattern of life for the coming year. January brings the Buffalo and Social dances. Then in February the first of the kachina dances, *Powamu*, initiates children into the religion. March through June host Plaza dances with long lines of kachinas praying for rain and good crops. In July, the Hopi have home-going ceremonies, as the kachinas prepare to return to the mountains and spirit world. August is the Snake dance month. Basket and Butterfly dances for women are held in September and October.

VISITING HOPILAND

There are two problems with trying to witness the ceremonies. One is that the Hopi priests usually set the date only about a week in advance. In many months, however, one can count on dances being held most weekends. The second difficulty for visitors is the increasing tendency to ban outsiders: some villages seem to make this absolute; for others it is on a dance by dance basis and policies do change. If you wish to

witness this great living religion, first call the tribe's public relations office (602/734-6648) to see what information is available about open dances, especially where there is no phone, such as at Old Oraibi. Then confirm the open attendance policy with the villages that have phones: for all of First Mesa 737-2420; Sipaulovi 734-2570; Shungopavi 734-2262; Mishongnovi 737-2520; Kykotsmovi 734-2474; Bacavi 734-2404; Hotevilla 734-2420 (a good personal contact for the traditionalist view would be the Hoyungowa family); and Moenkopi 283-6684 (not near the others).
To appreciate the ceremonies more fully read about Hopi religion and history in advance—and realize that ceremonies are conducted for hours in the native language. (Be prepared for Hopi clowns between acts in the religious drama too.)

Walpi, which has buildings hundreds of years old clinging to the top of First Mesa where a few Hopis still live in the old style without utilities, is the site of the tribe's only tour. Visitors may not take notes on the tour and photographing or sketching any villages is strictly prohibited (supposedly to prevent any chance of getting pictures of dances, although there is the added advantage of not publicizing just how run down much of the reservation looks). On Second Mesa there is also a Cultural Center (734-6650) whose museum is a useful first orientation stop. (There is also a hotel and camping area there as well as restaurant and grocery stores. Note that alcohol is prohibited on the reservation.)

Be prepared to help tribe members survive by buying some crafts: prices are not cheap with a tiny pottery dish going for $40 or the simplest kachina for $80. (A lot of work goes into the kachinas in particular and it would not be proper to buy some of the cheap knock-offs made by Navajos that are available at outside stores.) After dances, people in the area welcome a knock on their door to check out crafts which is a good opportunity to get to know them. As Thomas Mails says in his *Secret Native American Pathways*, faithful practices of their religion "has brought the Hopi a remarkable inner peace. You will see it in their faces, demeanor, and unharried pace of life. Those who still live in the older villages have...virtually nothing, and yet they have everything."

Scott Smith and Sandra Wells are writers working in West Hollywood, California. Reprinted with permission from the August, 1995 issue of *FATE*.

Photos: H. R. Voth, c. 1900, before photography of ceremonies and villages was banned; used by permission of the Mennonite Library and Archives, Bethel College, North Newton, Kansas.

CHAPTER 7

COLLOIDAL SILVER INFORMATION

Editor's note: This article was downloaded from the Internet address: deanm@sensemedia.net.

WHAT IS IT? Colloidal Silver is a pure, all natural substance consisting of sub-microscopic clusters of silver, held in suspension in pure water by the electric charge placed on each particle.

WHAT IS A COLLOID? A colloid is a substance composed of particles that are extremely small but larger than most molecules. These particles in a colloid do not actually dissolve but remain suspended in a suitable liquid. All living things exist in the colloidal state. Most over-the-counter medications are in a crystalline state. Before any medication can be used, the body must convert it from a crystalline state to a colloidal state. The body can more readily use medications already in the colloidal form opposed to the crystalline form.

WHAT DOES IT DO? Colloidal Silver is a powerful, natural antibiotic. It is the most usable form of the most effective disease, germ, virus and fungus killer there is. It has been found to be both a remedy and a prevention for colds and flu, all infections, and all fermentation due to any bacteria, fungus or virus, especially staph and strep, which are often found in diseased conditions. It has been reported to rapidly subdue inflammation and promote faster healing. Taken daily, it is like having a second immune system, resulting in more energy, vitality, vigor, relaxation, faster healing and reduced bodily toxins. An antibiotic kills perhaps a half-dozen different disease organisms, but Colloidal Silver is known to be successful against over 650 diseases without any known harmful side effects or toxicity to the body. Dr. Harry Margraf of St. Louis says, "Silver is the best all around germ-fighter we have."

SILVER IN OUR BODIES? We get silver and all minerals in the body through the food we eat. This comes directly from organic soil. This soil is rich in living organisms. These organisms break down the soil so plants are provided minerals in a form assimilable to the plant. Hence, we get the silver naturally from the minerals present in organically grown plants. If we eat plants that have been grown on chemical fertilizers, as most plants are grown today, without living organisms in the soil to help provide nutrition to the plant, we do not get the quantity of vitamins and minerals which are available in organically grown foods. Thus, deficiencies develop.

As the tissues age, or if we cannot assimilate silver for some reason, we develop a silver deficiency and an impaired immune system that can lead to cancer and other diseases. Some suspect a silver deficiency is possibly one of the main reasons cancer exists and is increasing at such a rapid rate today. Dr. Robert Becker [*author of* The Body Electric] noticed a correlation between low silver levels and sickness. People who had low silver levels were frequently sick, had innumerable colds, flu, fevers and other sicknesses. He said he believed a silver deficiency was the reason for the improper functioning of the immune system. He found that silver works on a wide range of bacteria, without any side effects or damage to the cells of the body, and stimulates major growth of injured tissues.

WHY SILVER? Silver has benefited mankind's health for thousands of years. In ancient Greece and Rome people used silver containers to keep liquids fresh. American settlers traveling across the West, often put a silver dollar in milk to delay its spoiling. Around the turn of the century doctors prescribed silver nitrate for stomach ulcers and it has been a common practice to put a few drops of a silver solution in newborn babies eyes to kill bacteria that causes blindness. A silver compound known as silver sulfadiazine has been used 70 percent of burn centers in the U.S..

It also helps stop the herpes virus. Note: it is not the silver in dental filings that is harmful but the mercury in the filings.

WHAT IS THE HISTORY OF COLLOIDAL SILVER? The use of Colloidal Silver goes on and on in medical journal reports. It was widely used 60 to 70 years ago when, among other reasons, the cost became prohibitive..about $100.00 per ounce in 1930 dollars. Colloidal Silver, as it is now produced, is a redevelopment of an earlier, cruder product that was first used in the early 1900s. It is now produced at a much higher quality and at a fraction of the earlier price.

WHAT ARE THE KEY CHARACTERISTICS? Since Colloidal Silver is non-toxic, non-addicting, and has no side effects, the body develops no tolerance and one cannot overdose nor do harm to liver, kidneys, organs or any part of the body. It is safe for pregnant and nursing women, and even aids the developing fetus in growth, health, as well as easing the mother's delivery and recovery. Colloidal Silver is tasteless, odorless, non-stinging, harmless to eyes (even babies), contains no free radicals, is harmless to human enzymes, and has no regeneration for damaged cells and tissue. It helps prevent colds and flu and all organism-caused diseases.

HOW DO I USE IT? Colloidal Silver may be taken orally or topically, directly applied to the skin. It can be used orally, atomized or inhaled into the nose or lungs, and dropped into eyes.

CAN I USE IT ON MY PETS? Absolutely! It is safe to use on your pets.

HAS IT BEEN MEDICALLY TESTED? Yes! Colloidal Silver has been successfully tested at the UCLA Medical Labs, where it was antibacterial on every virus it was tested on.

WHAT DOES THE FDA SAY? According to the FDA, Colloidal Silver may continue to be marketed and used as it was originally intended. Colloidal Silver exceeds FDA recognized standards.

CHAPTER 8

MANNA FROM HEAVEN
SPELT—THE STAFF OF LIFE

by Rick Martin

Editor's note: Due to the number of requests we have received for information on the important grain product called Spelt, we ar reprinting a most informatvie article on this subject which first appeared in the 9/28/93 issue of CONTACT. *Spelt products (and bread machines) are available from* New Gaia Products*; see Next-to-Last page for information for contacting* New Gaia.

> ***"And take for yourself wheat (spelt) and barley, beans and lentils, millet and rye, and put them in one vessel, and make for yourself bread of them; according to the number of days that you shall lie upon your side, three hundred and ninety days, you shall eat of it."***
>
> ***—Ezekiel 4:9***

> "Everywhere in creation, trees, plants, animals and gems, there are mysterious healing forces, which no person can know, unless they are revealed to him by God."
>
> —Anonymous

Spelt (*Triticum Spelta)* is not wheat, and it is among the most original and natural grains known to man.

Modern research has proven that *Spelt* was grown in Europe more than 9,000 years ago. *Spelt* was last prominent in Early Medieval Europe.

Spelt contains all of the basic materials for a healthy body, including protein, fats, carbohydrates, vitamins, trace elements, and minerals. *Spelt* contains more protein, fats, and crude fiber than wheat. *Spelt* also contains special carbohydrates (muco-polysaccharides) which play a central role in blood clotting and stimulate the body's immune system.

The exceptional historical author, Zecharia Sitchin, said, "Scholars, who have now established that agriculture began with the domestication of wild emmer as a source of wheat and barley, are unable to explain how the earliest grains (like those found at the Shanidar Cave) were already uniform and highly specialized. Thousands of generations of genetic selection are needed by Nature to acquire even a modest degree of sophistication. Yet the period, time, or location in which such a gradual and very prolonged process might have taken place on Earth are nowhere to be found. There is no explanation for this botano-genetic miracle, unless the process was not one of natural selection but of artificial manipulation.

"Spelt, a hard-grained type of wheat, poses an even greater mystery. It is the product of 'an unusual mixture of botanic genes', neither a development from one genetic source nor a mutation of one source. It is definitely the result of mixing the genes of several plants. The whole notion that Man, in a few thousand years, changed animals through domestication, is also questionable.

"Modern scholars have no answers to these puzzles, nor to the general question of why the mountainous

semicircle in the ancient Near East became a continuous source of new varieties of cereals, plants, trees, fruits, vegetables, and domesticated animals.

"The Sumarians knew the answer: The seeds, they said, were a gift sent to Earth by Anu from His Celestial Abode." Some food for thought, pun intended, from Zecharia Sitchin's provocative historical work called, *The Twelfth Planet*.

More food for thought comes from a closer look at the differences in the *Spelt* varieties. In a recent meeting, Commander Hatonn stated, "Man has tampered with *Vita-Spelt*; GOD brought man *Common-Spelt*." And, again, there is verification of the Sumarian legends.

Quoting Hatonn's written word, "We are not interested in 'saving' anything_but we'll offer God's gifts to anyone who desires to share in HIS work and journey. *Spelta* is a grain all of its own unique being. It is the best fiber resource, has large amounts of B-17 (anti-cancer). It is the grain GOD gave to the planet as "manna" when you were put upon it. The *Spelta* is more mildly flavorful than wheat, and even ones allergic to wheat will have no allergy to *Spelt*."

Once you get into the subject of grains for eating, naturally the subject of bread follows closely behind. The baking of bread goes back to the dawn of Western Civilization. Archeological remains indicate that Stone Age residents of Europe were mixing crushed grains with water and cooking them on flat stones. These cakes were the forerunners of the unleavened flat breads still popular in Northern Europe.

The development of leavened bread is usually attributed to the Egyptians of around 2,300 B.C. They discovered that a mixture of flour and water, if left uncovered for several days, begins to bubble and swell, and that if this is mixed with fresh dough and allowed to stand for a few hours before baking, it yields a light and sweet bread. The Egyptians learned also that a small quantity of raised dough, removed before baking as "starter", can then replicate this process a few days later in the next dough batch.

Natural leavening remained the basis of Western bread baking for 4,200 years, and bread has remained the basis of the Western diet. In Rome of the 2nd century B.C. there were large public bakeries, equipped with mechnical mixers and kneaders driven by animal power.

Although much of the art of baking was lost during Europe's "Dark Ages" (5th through 13th centuries), by late Medieval times, the baker was once again a highly trained and skilled craftsman. Before being admitted to the baker's guild he had to master the subtleties of the art during a long apprenticeship. This training was genuinely essential to success. Naturally leavened dough is a living thing, and to control it under varying conditions, to turn out a consistent product day after day, is an acquired fine art.
In the middle of the last century a major change occurred. The work of scientists like Justus von Liebig (the founder of modern Nutritional Science) and Louis Pasteur led to the discovery and identification of the microorganisms that are responsible for the fermentation process. One of these, the single-celled yeast species *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, was isolated and cultured. Used by itself it was found to cause a very rapid, uniform, and predictable raising of dough. Thus, yeasted bread was born.

The phenomenon of leavening is based on several facts. One is that the air contains a variety of microorganisms, such as fungi and molds. Another is that when these alight on a proper host, and conditions of

moisture and humidity are correct, they will initiate fermentation, one aspect of which is the breaking down of complex carbohydrate molecules into simpler sugars or monosaccharides, with the release of carbon dioxide.

In the United States, grains make up only about 30% of the average person's diet, and this figure is only about *HALF* of what it was a century ago. Some three quarters of the grain consumption of Americans is represented by wheat and wheat flour.

For human survival in the future, *Spelt* is an ecologically ideal grain. It (*the Common variety)* is not a hybrid like wheat. Not being a hybrid means its seed are useable for planting_that is, will germinate_as well as for eating. *Spelt* requires a minimum of care and grows in a variety of climates. It isn't fussy. Due to its inborn resistance, it is not sensitive to typical grain diseases.

Moreover, for this out-of-control nuclear age, it is important to note that the *Spelt* kernel is tightly surrounded by a strong husk or hull, which must be removed before consumption. This protective covering guards against air pollutants and radioactive fallout! Thus *Spelt* is an excellent seed for storage purposes.

[*Editor's note: At this time we would like to share with you portions of a recent telephone conversation between Leonard Ridzon of Ridzon Farms, 47810 SR 14, New Waterford, OH 44445, (800) 289-3636, and Rick Martin for* CONTACT*, on September 16, 1993. Leonard Ridzon is a commercial Spelt grower and has written two books: the first book is titled,* THE CARBON CONNECTION*, and his newest book the* CARBON CYCLE *is also available. Leonard sells non-certified Common Spelt seed for 16 cents per pound, FOB Ohio.*] {***Remember this article is two-years old.***}

Leonard: There are four environmental varieties of *Spelt*, currently to my knowledge, in the United States.

Rick: And what are they?

Leonard: One is the *Common*, which is what we've got; the other one is called *Champ*, the third variety is *Vita*, and then the newest one, which they brought in, is from *Luxemburg*. Now, you have to keep in mind, the *Luxemburg* model, to my knowledge, originally was obtained from Germany. *Spelt* is called *Dinkle* in Germany. Those are the four varieties that, to my knowledge, are the ONLY varieties in the United States. The only one that will grow toxic-free is the *Common*.

The German variety is the result of the changing of the soils in the early 1900s in Germany.

Rick: Ok.

Leonard: The original *Common Spelt* came from Germany back in the early 1800s. Ok? The difference is it has never been subjected to what the *Dinkle* was, and that was a complete transformation of the energy fields in the soil to negative energy fields. In other words, all acids are negative energy.

Rick: Ok.

Leonard: That *Spelt* was grown for, you know what I mean, a hundred years, onto the same condition, so it adjusts environmentally. And that is how it gets the name *environmental species*, ok?

Now, we have planted the *Vita-Spelt*, at the test farm, three years. The first year we planted it on a strip of healthy ground. And it did fairly well. The next year we planted it on a piece of ground that we know was not healthy. It had been subjected to a lot of chemicals over the years. We planted, and it did quite well; the problem was that it fell down but, then, the third year we planted in healthy ground again and the yield diminished tremendously. And, of course, this is exactly what was supposed to happen, according to_you know, it didn't know that when it was growing, obviously. But, based on what I knew, it was supposed to not grow so well and it didn't. So, consequently, there was no reduction in the toxic level of the grain in that three year period. It still maintained the same toxicity level. My past experience has been, when we had a grain that was toxic and we planted it under non-toxic conditions, in healthy soil, we found that it took about three years for it, at max, to adjust. The very first year there was an adjustment. The second year there was adjustment. And the third year there was a complete adjustment. So, obviously, when we planted the *Vita-Spelt*, and there was no adjustment, this indicated what would happen in the third year. The yield would decline. And, of course, that's exactly what happened. The yield did decline.

Based on what we've seen on this, the *Vita-Spelt* can never cut it; you or I will not live long enough to take the seed that might change environmentally, and start a new generation, which will probably go back to the original.

It's interesting because one of the characteristics of these is, the *Common* has a light beige color to it. The *Champ* has a light bronze color to it. The *Dinkle* or *Vita-Spelt*, and, incidentally, *Vita-Spelt* is *Dinkle*; it came from Germany, and it has a darker bronze color than the *Champ* does. The one from *Luxemburg*, ironically, has got the darkest. So, there appears to be something in relationship to the bronze color and the toxins. What is it? I don't know; I'm not a chemist. And what purpose would it serve to find out? There would be no value to it, except for knowledge and who would believe you anyway.

We do know, as a matter of fact, I just got a call this morning from a customer and they wanted to know how we were fixed for non-certified *Spelt*. And I said, "We've probably got five-hundred-thousand to a million pounds." And he said, "Well, we just got a call from a company in California..." and, obviously, this company has been buying *Vita-Spelt*. And, they've been having quality problems, which is exactly what happens because the toxic levels are higher or lower in the grain, and it doesn't run the same on every farm. So, obviously, that creates a quality problem. And this is one of the things that we are honored with, is that we have not had ANY customer ever be able to call us and question us on our quality because our quality is always the same. The *Common* is the only thing that we grow.

Rick: Now, where is the *Champ* from? And where is the *Common* from? What are the points of origin?

Leonard: Well, the *Common* originally came from Germany when the immigrants came over here from Germany years ago in the 1800s. They brought the seed with them. And its been planted over here ever since. It's not something that just showed up. The *Champ* was developed_I shouldn't use the term developed. Let's say that the *Champ* was segregated by the University of Ohio out of seed. In other words, they took a species out of that and grew it.

Rick: How did you get exposed to the different varieties of *Spelt* to begin with?

Leonard: Simply because, what happened is, originally there were only two. And that was the *Champ* and the *Common. Spelt*, based on what I've seen, should never exceed 15% protein. It should run between 12-15% protein on the finished product. When it goes over that, then it becomes toxic and the molds will grow on the bread and all the things happen that tell you that there are toxic conditions present. One of the methods of testing afflitoxins and microtoxins is molds. And they use five molds for that testing; that's the scientific community. I use molds the same way that they do, the difference is that I use eighty different molds.

Rick: Ok, let's talk about *Common* and *Champ* seed for a moment.

Leonard: Ok.

Rick: In what quantities do you supply *Common* seed and do you have a price list that you could fax to us?

Leonard: Well, the only thing that we sell is seeds. The seed stocks are 16 cents per pound, FOB Ohio.

Rick: Ok, is there a limit as to quantity of *Common* seed stock that you have available at any time?

Leonard: Oh no, no. We can supply you with 100,000 lbs. of seed stock.

Rick: Ok, now, there was mentioned a difficulty in replanting year after year the *Vita*, as opposed to the *Common*. Does the *Common* not have the same difficulty or do you recommend plant rotation, such as soybeans in alternate seasons?

Leonard: Two years of *Spelt*, successive crop years; then two years of beans, and then you can come back again to *Spelt*.

Rick: Is this related to nitrogen or are there other factors?

Leonard: What's that?

Rick: The need to plant soybeans, as opposed to continuing the *Spelt*?

Leonard: Oh no, no, no. The reason that you do that is that the bacteria in the soil, that produced the food for the *Spelt*, are completely different than the ones that produce the food for the soybean. So what you have to do is, you have to change the crop because the crop determines the bacteria that will be present. In other words, if you took an example, let's say you had a hay field and you took the hay field and you plowed it down and you planted *Spelt* or wheat because they're both grasses. Ok? They wouldn't do well. Oats would not do very well. Because, what it would be doing, it would be trying to still stimulate the same bacteria that had already been growing there.

Rick: Ok.

Leonard: So, one of the things that I recommend is to plant two years of corn, two years of soybeans, and then two years of *Spelt*. That is the rotation that I recommend. Now, of course, obviously, sometimes you can't quite get the real rotation. But that is an ideal rotation program. You put the Nutri-Carb on [*a product offered by Ridzon Farms*], then the seventh year you start the cycle all over again, ok?

Rick: Ok, and what kind of yield are you getting per acre, roughly?

Leonard: On *Spelt*?

Rick: Yes.

Leonard: The average is 3-4,000 pounds per acre. Now, prior to 1988, we were seeing yields of 6-8,000 pounds. The highest yield we ever had was 14,000 pounds per acre on a fifteen-acre field. That was the highest. But since 1988 there has been a diminishing in the production of energy. And, of course, that's all we're talking about. If we take off corn, we've just taken off energy. And the ground doesn't have the ability to produce the energy that it used to. Now, they are producing heavy, high bushels. You know what I mean, per acre. The problem is that the nutrient values, the protein structure, which is your nitrogen, has gone from ten down to three. So you're now getting 150 or 180 bushels of 3%-protein corn, where prior to l988 they were getting about the same bushels of 6%-protein corn. And fifty years ago they were getting those same yields and they were getting 9-10%. **So, the yields have stayed up there, but the production and nutrient structure has declined.** But, of course, the unavailable protein in the crop has increased and this is a problem because it is the unavailable proteins that are the problems related to illnesses, sicknesses, and diseases.
The problem with some *Spelt* seed is that it has been de-hulled and you can't plant seed out of the hull. You've got to plant the seed <u>in the hull</u>. And this is what we experienced. Then, later on, a guy in Illinois who worked at the University, or in the University system, took some grain and germination-tested it and he found out that the seed that was de-hulled only germinated 80%. And, based on what we saw, it appeared that only about 40% of it yielded, which was correct. And we found out that the seed which was in the hulls germinated 112%, and runs about 80-90% productive units. The reason it ran 112% is because, in *Spelt*, there can be two seeds in a jacket. As a matter of fact, in a pod, two spikelets, ok, there can be anywhere from 2-4 seeds in two spikelets. So, consequently, if you had four, you know, you'd have a higher germination rate. One of the things, I suppose, that once you cast your boat_if you're in the wrong boat, what are you going to do?

And you know it as well as I do. You can take a field and look at that field and there'll be spots in that field where it's four feet tall, and places where it's two feet tall, in the same field. Everything was done exactly the same. Of course, I just played the patient game. Back in about 1985, we had shipped some of our samples to Europe. As a matter of fact, the quality of our grain was superior to anybody else's, so consequently, we were looking at a tremendous European market. Only to find out, a few years later, when we had taken all of our seed stocks and had converted it into production, we found out that the European market had dried up. And, I found the reason that the European market had dried up is, they had, in either Austria or some place like that, they had developed a new testing instrument, a new spectrograph that could go down to parts per billion. Here, when they got checking, they found out that the grain that they were buying from the United States, which was organically grown, they found out that they can buy the same grain from Turkey or from Brazil, depending on what the grain was, at HALF the price

and it had the same toxic levels. So, they got really angry at the Americans, and quit buying.

Rick: Sure.

Leonard: Then it was only last year that there was a substantial movement of soybeans to Europe. Part of the reason for this is that their needs are greater and they were hoping that the ground had cleaned itself up a little bit more. The fact of the matter is, anything that is grown organically is merely half as toxic as what is grown conventionally. That which is grown conventionally, less than 2% will pass a toxicity test. On organics, less than 2% of that will pass a toxicity test. And this is what the Europeans found out. And, of course, I already knew it because of my method of testing, but it was just a case of how you are going to_you can lead a horse to water but you can't make him drink.

Rick: Well, that's a fact. I'm very glad that you advertised in *ACRES*. We would have never known about you if you hadn't done that.

Leonard: By the way, for your information, I wrote a book on carbon, and I also wrote a second book, which is not named at this point. We're having difficulty selecting a name for it.

Rick: We would be very interested in that, as well.

Leonard: Well, I tell you what. The current *ACRES*, last month and this month, on page 19 they are serializing the new book.

Rick: Ok.

Leonard: So you might want to get those two issues.

Rick: Ok, I have them.

Leonard: Ironically, I haven't seen the new issue because mine didn't get here. I called them and they have to send me a special issue. Mine got lost in the mail.

Rick: Well, that's the way Murphy's Law works!
...Laughter...

Leonard: Matter of fact, Charlie Walton called me up last week and gave me the compliment of compliments. He said, "I've got to tell you, out of all the books that are in the library, the only guy who is writing something *new* is you. Everybody else, Scow and Larson and, you know, all of them, are just rewriting a different approach to the same old thing." And, he said, "You are not doing that. You are writing completely new and in areas that are not really understood."

I've been working quite a bit with the doctors and stuff like that, you know, in the research area. They call me up. When they run into trouble with chemicals, guess who they call? They call me. Do you have anything like this? Last year, I published the first information on adrenaline poisoning. It was in animals. And now that I've looked at it a little more in-depth, it's widespread in people_adrenaline

poisoning_compounded by the fact that we are taking in all of these poisons in our foods. I know what I'm doing, because I'm not guessing at it.

Rick: Ok, about ten minutes ago, Rod walked in and he has a question for you when you're through with your train of thought there.

Leonard: Well, tell him to shoot the question.

Rod: You're supposed to finish your train of thought, now.

Leonard: Oh, I'll pick it up.

..Laughter...

Rod: You'll forget it. Listen, Leonard, back to the testing that you do for the toxicity. You mentioned, and we've talked about, how you use the mold. Is that how you do the test?

Leonard: That's the final test, yes. I have a quick test, what I call a quick test. I can tell if what you've sent me is toxic in less than 10 seconds. It takes me a minute to determine the level of toxicity. Then, if I want to pursue that in the scientific area, it takes three weeks to three months to do the mold test. I don't run the mold test every time. I do forty-fifty tests a day. And if I took every test that I ran and run the molds on them, I would have no room in my office for me.

...Laughter...

Rick: Now, you're reachable at the New Waterford address?

Leonard: Yes.

Rick: And where in Ohio is that?

Leonard: Twenty miles South of Youngstown, Ohio.

I spoke with a farmer yesterday from Illinois and they've got what they call the *sudden death* syndrome. He was organic and got 11 bushels of wheat to the acre this year. He said, "I can't grow no corn because I can only grow about 40 bushels." And I said, "Where are you at, exactly?" "Kind of Central Illinois." And I pinpointed him and I said, "Ok, you're very close to the Indiana line." He said, "Yes." I said, "Well, what you got I saw in 1989 and I predicted that, within a very few years, we were going to see major disastrous situations occur, and what's happening, the crop that's up there about 6-8" is very healthy and just dies. And it's **DYING FROM RADIATION POISONING**, that is what's killing it. And he said that he had eleven bushels of wheat this year but his neighbors didn't get any. And he said most of the beans died, and the corn died. And he said, it's a real disaster. And I said, add another four or five years, your eleven bushels of wheat will have been a bumper crop. Because we are, and here we go, I'm getting into an area where I really...

Rick: No, no. I hear everything you're saying.

Leonard: We're in the End Times.

Rick: We *ARE* in the End Times!
Leonard: Twenty-five years ago I had a vision that by the year 2000, thousands upon hundreds of thousands of people, healthy looking people, would just literally drop over. And **when they opened their chest up**_of course, twenty-five years ago we didn't have the technology that we have today_**they would find that their lungs were destroyed.**

And it was a bad situation. At the time, I was selling investments for new insurance companies. And I was trying to point out to these people that the safest investment there is is in insurance companies because they never lose money. You know what I mean. And I said, "By the year 2000 this was going to happen," and I forgot about it until a year ago in July. What brought it to my attention is that my wife had passed away and when they had gone in to look at her lungs, the doctor said, "There's nothing there. It's gone." He said, "It's gone." And, of course, then the little light went on and said, "Hey, remember when I told you what was going to happen?" And then, of course, **the year-ago-July issue of *ACRES* had the little story in there, in the last third of the paper, that, in 1992, 434,000 people were going to die from lung cancer. And it rang a bell in my mind that in 1987, only 60,000 people died from lung cancer. So, guess what, it's increasing at nearly 100,000 a year any more. And the numbers get higher and higher.**

In 1989 I had a vision. When I say I saw a vision then, I was looking at a picture and the picture that I was looking at, the message that came, said that this is what is going to happen by the year 2000. And, of course, obviously, when is it going to happen then? That was 1989. In 1991 it happened the first time and it's just been getting bigger and bigger and bigger.

Last year all of the alfalfa died in Wisconsin. The problem is, they blamed it on "Winter Kill". The only problem was it was dead before winter ever set in, because the alfalfa roots were all consumed and that meant that bacteria had to consume them, and bacteria don't feed in frozen ground, do they?

Rick: No.
Leonard: Wrong, they do, they do. ...*Laughter*... Then, of course, the other thing, I have, what appears to be, abilities that are unexplainable. I can see sort of like an X-ray. Now, I can't see everything, obviously, but I can see sick trees and sick fields and I can see crops that are dead, while they're still green.

Rick: Sounds like a Divine gift to me.

Leonard: That's what I consider it to be. And, ironically, it has all been tied in with the Nutri-Carb. I have to go back to 1980. In 1979, I was broke for a second time and I said to God, "If you get me out of this one, I know how I got into this one, it will never happen again." And he sent me the ability to make Nutri-Carb. And it's ironic because we have sent samples to many people and they have spent thousands of dollars, sent it to laboratories, and nobody has been able to duplicate Nutri-Carb; nobody. And there have been some people who have taken coal and used it and nothing will grow where they used it. There is something big going on. Well, what got me, as I said, my wife passed away last year. They found cancer

on May 11 and on June 17 she was dead. They couldn't do anything for her, although she responded fantastically to the treament, which she accepted or wanted.

Rod: I've got a question, to back up. Rick had asked you about the rotation of *Spelt*. And your recommendation is the 2 years. What was your experience with *Vita-Spelt* and its rotation?

Leonard: The exact same thing will occur with *Vita-Spelt* as occurs with any other *Spelt*. It will only produce that amount of grain that the enzyme action that it can give off produces, bacterias produce for the plant.

Rod: I was talking to you yesterday about 1-year rotation, and when you go into the 2nd year with *Vita-Spelt*, you don't have too good of a yield.

Leonard: That's correct, because what's going to happen is that the first year crop of *Spelt* is extremely good. Then, the second year is not going to be because you've taken up so much energy in the first year. I don't care if you didn't get a drop of water in the ground. Because 98% of what you took off, well, in *Vita-Spelt* 80% comes out of the air and 20% comes out of the ground. But on the *Common Spelt*, 98% comes out of the air and 2% out of the ground.

Rod: 98% out of the air?

Leonard: Yep. You should have gotten a crop of 900-1,000 pounds. Now, I didn't really establish what your real humus level was, however, which is part of the calculation.

Rod: We want to put *Spelt* in a Wheat field. How is that going to do?

Leonard: Is this Winter Wheat or Spring Wheat?

Rod: Spring Wheat.

Leonard: Alright. Now, what are the chances of that Wheat sending up germination next year?

Rod: You mean if some seeds get back in the ground?

Leonard: Yes.

Rod: Well, it could be potentially so.

Leonard: Do not, do not plant any *Spelt* on Wheat ground that has the ability to regerminate itself because what will happen_and you just brought up a very interesting thing_we happen to have had a situation where we had gotten some Wheat into the *Spelt*, and they called up and said, "We've got a problem."

And I said, "Well, I tell you what, we don't really have a problem." And they said, "Oh, we don't?" And I said, "No sir." And they said, "Well, what are you going to do?" And I said, "We'll solve the problem for

you."

And what we did, we went and cleaned the *Spelt*, because the Wheat fell through the screen. So we cleaned all the berries out and sent them a load of *Spelt.* And that solved the problem. It only had 2-3% Wheat in it, but it was enough that it reflected on the test, the allergin test. There were a number of people who would have reacted to it who were allergic to Wheat. We had the same thing happen with Rye.

Rick: Well, we have to close this for now, but thank you very much for sharing such fascinating information with us.

Leonard: It was my pleasure.

[See spelt & wheat amino acids comparison chart next page.]

* * *

EDITOR'S *SPELT* NOTE

Editor's note: While we are going to press we have just received a spelta-query from a subscriber who has just spoken with Leonard Ridzon (at 800-289-3636). R.B.'s question concerns the viability of growing spelta in a home garden due to the hard-shell husk surrounding the grain. We concur that the hard shell surrounding the grain makes it extremely impractical for the home garden. And yes, it does take very expensive equipment to remove the outer hull, thus making the grain usable for bread. This is WHY we are advertising the NEW GAIA *hulled Spelt products. Thank you for asking!*

CHART

COMPARISON OF AMINO ACIDS
IN WHEAT AND SPELT

CHAPTER 9

WATCH JAPAN FOR TIMING PREPAREDNESS ALERT FOR COMING MAJOR EARTH CHANGES

by Soltec 10/14/95

Good evening, Toniose Soltec present in the Radiant Light of Holy God who watches, along with the Hosts, a most amazing array of antics and shenanigans being either attempted or played out on your planet at this very moment. For a most obvious example, the long string of Space Shuttle delays going on this past two weeks, since September 28th, in conjunction with some very specific storms, should be enough to tell those of you who have opened your eyes to Truth that much is afoot in that chess game being played out before your very eyes but unknown as such to the masses of walking asleep or walking dead.

On the geophysical front, activity has ramped up to a new level of intensity all around your globe, though the normal news media would be the last to let you know of same. And, as I have stated many times in the past, because of what has been purposely agitated, it is now very hard to separate what is "natural" earthquake and volcanic activity from what is the result of man's direct tinkerings, as natural reactions to all such tinkerings shall occur.

The planet is becoming more volatile with each passing day. The energy exchange between the tectonic plates is constant as Earth-Shan seeks to reach a geophysical balance. Ones must be on constant alert, for catastrophe could strike at ANYTIME, ANYWHERE! The most urgent message I can write is TO BE PREPARED! The emergency preparation list which used to be run regularly in *CONTACT* should be run again [*see page 13*] as a reminder to ones who drag their feet.

If Mother Earth were not a VERY durable creature herself, lovingly putting up with sustaining YOU for such a very long time, without much of a "thank you" from those who derive all physical sustenance from her giving—if she were not this most generous being, YOU would not have made it to this day of experiencing on her "skin", so to speak. But you are like the annoying fleas crawling around on the back of the longsuffering dog: there comes the time when even the most patient dog gives a mighty shake and those pesky fleas go flying. That is the condition rapidly building now upon great Mother Earth-Shan. Creation shall always seek order and balance, and those who do not conform to same shall simply suffer the consequences of their foolishness.

For several years now I have told you to watch Japan for clues as to timing for major earthquake and volcanic escalations worldwide, and specifically around the Pacific Ring of Fire, especially as would affect the West Coast of the United States.

In a writing on 7/12/93 I wrote:

"Your Pacific Ocean is...ringed by a series of deep, underwater trenches that are unstable margins of lithospheric plates along which large-magnitude earthquakes occur.

"Please remember, if you will, the previous writings we have presented upon the subject and place known to you as Japan. Japan's islands are exposed parts of mountains that rise from the ocean floor—some from extreme depths of the Japan Trench to the east, which extends to more than 34,000 feet. Japan's highest peak is Mount Fujiyama, the famous volcano, which rises to a height of 12,389 feet. The Japanese Islands actually are on the eastern-most edge of the Eurasian Plate, bounded by the Pacific Plate on the east and the Philippine Plate on the southeast.

"The Pacific Plate, as you have been shown before, is moving in a northwesterly direction, and the Eurasian Plate is moving in roughly an easterly direction, with the Indo-Australian Plate to the south moving in a northerly direction. This causes the little Philippine Plate to be squeezed from all sides, and thus is creating extreme amounts of pressure on the Japanese Islands, which are actually subducting beneath the Philippine Plate and the Pacific Plate. Because Japan sits on the very edge of the Eurasian Plate, it is where the most of the seismic activity occurs.

"An oceanic trench is a narrow, deep trough, parallel to the edge of a continent or an island arc. The continental slope forms the landward wall of the trench, its steepness often increasing with depth. The slope will typically be 4 to 5 degrees on the upper part, steepening to 10 to 15 degrees or greater near the bottom of the trench. The deepest spots on Earth, nearly 12 kilometers below sea level, are in oceanic trenches.

"In most parts of what you call the Circum-Pacific Belt, earthquakes, andesitic volcanoes and oceanic trenches are closely associated. Distinct 'earthquake zones' begin at oceanic trenches and slope landward and downward into the Earth at an angle of 30 to 60 degrees. These zones have been named Benioff Zones, after the man who first recognized them. All Benioff zones tend to slope under a continent, or a curved line of islands known as island arcs. Andesitic volcanoes may form the islands of the arc, or they may be found near the edge of a continent that overlies a Benioff zone.

"These volcanoes form island arcs or erupt within young mountain ranges on the edges of continents. The rock produced by these volcanoes is usually andesite, a type of extrusive rock intermediate in composition between basaltic oceanic crust and the continental crustal material of granite.

"Oceanic trenches are marked by abnormally low heat flow, compared to normal ocean crust. This implies that the crust in trenches may be colder than normal crust. Oceanic trenches are also characterized by very large negative gravity anomalies. This implies, then, that trenches are being held down, out of isostatic equilibrium, resulting from equal pressure from all sides.

"Where an oceanic plate subducts beneath a plate with a continent at its leading edge, the melting of the subducting oceanic plate occurs beneath the continent. Consequently, the rising melted material (basalt) passes through and mixes with the granite of the continental crust. This results in a continental arc of volcanoes along the edge of the continent—for example, the Cascade Mountains in your Pacific Northwest.

"If the spreading center producing the subduction plate is far enough from the subduction zone, an oceanic trench is well developed along the margin of the continent. The Peru-Chile Trench is an example of such an occurrence, and the Andes Mountains are the result of a continental arc of volcanoes from which the rock,

andesite, takes its name.

"So, what is all this about? Well, it is about that which is occurring within your Pacific Ring of Fire. The Japanese Islands are examples of the island arcs that were created from the volcanic activity associated with the deep oceanic trench. The islands, then, are merely the tops of these ancient volcanic mountains but, seismically, due to their location on the subducting edge of a plate, they continue to be volatile relative to seismic and volcanic activity. The Aleutian Islands of Alaska are also in the same position as are the Japanese Islands and are subject to the exact same type of occurrences. Because of the trench off the coast of these islands, you will find that the occurrence of earthquakes here will also be quite high. It is also significant to note that the entire Pacific Plate—and those plates that lie on its boundaries—are in for much activity.

"Chelas, have we not been telling you ones over and over again that your world is in a state of massive change? Do you yet begin to get the picture that all the mountains and islands are moving and changing? Do you not yet see that the face of your world is changing on a moment-to-moment basis? Are you yet beginning to understand that that which you ones have placed so much value and security upon can crumble and be only a memory in a moment's time?

"And yet, most of your world sits and continues as though it were merely business as usual. WAKE UP, PLANET EARTH!! IT IS NO LONGER BUSINESS AS USUAL. YOU ARE IN A TIME OF MASSIVE CHANGE—MASSIVE UPHEAVAL AND IT IS TIME THAT YOU ONES GOT YOUR ACT TOGETHER! This time it is Japan (I use "is" because it is not over there by a long shot and there is still much more upheaval and seismic-volcanic activity to follow). As I have stated before, Japan has just about had it, geologically speaking."

And then, in a writing on 10/16/93 I wrote:

"I have written in past arrticles that the Islands of Japan were going to suffer greatly in the anticipated coming changes—and that has not changed. These little land masses are severely fractured and faulted now, beyond ability to continue to hold together through much more shaking....

"The stresses and pressures have not relieved sufficiently to bring calm to this area, so it is not over yet by a long shot. So long as that Pacific Plate continues its massive movements, there is going to be continued earthquake activity in Japan, the Philippines, North and South America, and all the little island areas within the Pacific Ocean....

"You are standing at the doorway of MASSIVE change, and you have but to look upon that which is happening daily to verify same."

Now let us take a look at a few recent events: A few weeks ago Mt. Izu (southeast of Tokyo) began to rumble with new vigor and caused several THOUSAND earthquakes in that vicinity within a short few days of counting time. Meanwhile, Mt. St. Helens in Washington State had begun shaking enough to cause local officials to push further out by a number of miles the "off limits" zone around the base of that barely sleeping giant, as well as close all hiking trails near her base. At that same time even your CNN non-news mouthpiece was showing spectacular pictures of a long-dormant volcano in New Zealand which was now

very much alive and interfering mightily with air traffic due to the height to which volcanic smoke and ash plumes were being hurled into the air. Then, most recently, a volcano in very southern Japan came to life—one that had been dormant for about 250 years.

Moreover, at critical fault-crossing locations in both Northern and Southern California regions, earthquake activity has escalated to many times the "usual" background level of shaking. In the greater Southern California area, average numbers of quakes per week of magnitude greater than or equal to 1 have risen from several hundred to over 1600 in mid August, and now are "leveling off" to about 1000 — for the moment. Meanwhile, at the triple junction point in Northern California, where the Hayward and Calaveras Faults intersect with the San Andreas, a bit south of San Jose and Silicon Valley, quake activity has increased on average by a factor of 10 in both frequency and intensity.

And as if that weren't enough, the recent series of quakes on Mexico's West Coast ought to sound a warning loud and clear that the West Coast of the United States is in a most unstable time. The news media even had the brass to tell you that the second Mexico quake, which was inland while the first was approximately 3 miles out into the Pacific Ocean from the shore, was an *aftershock* of the first quake! Ok, if that's what you people will swallow for news.

Well, the way things are going, that's going to be a true statement—as everything IS connected to everything else and one quake precipitates the next and the next. But to conclude that such a pronouncement of "aftershock" means that all is ok, as in, "Well, it's only an aftershock", is by no means sound reasoning! In fact, we are at a point of great difficulty in predicting earthquake and volcanic activity around your globe because the stress levels on those crustal plates, coupled with purposeful beam blasts from several sources (due to tit-for-tat warfare) coupled with those recently resumed South Pacific nuclear detonations by the French Government, all make for a most complex situation which sometimes extends beyond the envelope of predictive scientific causal analysis.

I could go on, but the point of my noting these events is simply to indicate that you are in a time of accelerating cleansing activity on the part of Mother Earth and you should be prepared for some tough times ahead as a result of this inevitable cleansing process. We have written extensively on these matters over the past five or more years until we feel like an old phonograph record—but free will must prevail. We can but bring a small hint of the same sobering information to your attention as your own scientists are seeing yet are not permitted to share with the public. I shall not even remotely get into divulging what YOUR OWN scientists have at their desks which would scare the life out of you should they tell you the truth. But what else is new?

As I warned about preparations in that 7/12/93 writing:

"**It is interesting, also, to note that the people who reside in your nation's mid-section, though they be floating away with water** [*from the Midwest flooding in the summer of '93*]**, have no potable water for consumption. How many of you have your "treated" water stored? How many in that place wish they had stored up some water for drinking?**

"There is one dear child in Iowa who has followed and taken our suggestions very seriously, for she has water for consumption and has enough canned goods and supplies for her family to survive and live quite

nicely, though her neighbors have quite a different situation. All the while, these same people thought she was out of her mind when she took her bedroom and turned it into a storehouse of water and canned goods. She is well prepared and now has time to catch up on her reading. Who's laughing now?

"You see, we do not simply tell you to get these things in order to scare or promote a state of fear or panic, but we do have a little better idea of what is occurring upon your world than you who reside there, for we have benefit of the larger picture. We are merely trying to get your rear-ends through all these changes and upheavals that are coming upon you ones in these days. So your neighbors think you are nuts—who will survive and who will not? Which of you will be forced to go to your corrupt, Elite-controllers for a handout, and which of you can make it on your own? In which category do you ones wish to be?

"When the water is polluted and contaminated and full of fecal matter from your sewage plants—and typhoid, cholera and dysentery or worse set in—these ones get a whole different picture of the way your world is. Most people in your Midwest are, this very day, standing in line for one gallon of water to share with their entire families and are forced to depend upon local shelters to provide them with meals and a dry bed in which to sleep.

"How many of you ones who have been receiving this ongoing information have prepared sufficiently for your families, pets and loved ones? Would you have enough supplies stored in case there was a catastrophic event this day, or would you wind up being among those counted standing in line for the barest of survival essentials? How many of you have even considered doing so? How many have considered preparing, but have put it off believing that it could never happen to you? It might be a very good time for serious reconsideration of such things.

"Those of you who live in the 'earthquake zones' of your United States need to be aware that there has been activity occurring all along the eastern edge of the Pacific Plate as well—from the Aleutian Islands all the way to South America. **How many of you are prepared for a 7.8 or greater Earthquake?** Did you believe that because the predictions of May did not occur that you ones are off the hook? Guess again. You are going to experience earthquakes sooner or later (more than likely sooner), so you need to reconsider the situation in which you and your family will find themselves.

"Whether California drops off into the ocean or not, when the major earthquake hits that place there is going to be massive, massive damage and injury, and your water and food supplies are going to be gone. There will be literally millions—<u>DID YOU HEAR ME, I SAID MILLIONS</u> in the streets, homeless, injured, thirsty, hungry and sick. There will be no jobs to go to and no way to get there if there were. Such an event will be the end of civilization as you have come to know it, in that place, for the prime directive of all will be simply to survive one day to the next. The Government will not have funds to help all who will need it and the insurance companies will be broke to the point of collapse, so there will be no money available to ones for rebuilding. It will end up being <u>*your problem*</u> to try to put the pieces of life back together again.

"Those of you who reside in the large cities are at the greatest risk in more ways than one. First, this is where the greatest amount of death and damage will occur in a major earthquake. Second, they will also be the prison camps which your government will set up, because they will not know what else to do with you. Third, during a nuclear attack, they will be the greatest targets. You who live in the rural areas are in

better condition; however, you would be wise to store whatever you have means for acquiring—**INCLUDING MEDICAL SUPPLIES, FOR THERE WILL BE LITTLE OR NO PROFESSIONAL MEDICAL CARE AVAILABLE!**

"We are not playing around at little survival camp games this day, Chelas. This is a very, very serious time and we mean to instill a healthy amount of fear and attention within you so that your physical rear-ends might be saved, for it is not our desire that any should perish."

We are quite busy this day. Ones are dinking with the weather, the faults and the grid system. Chaos reigns on your planet. May God have mercy.

I am Toniose Soltec, come as one of the Hosts of God, to fulfill His promise to you the people of Earth-Shan so that His people shall have means of survival in this transitioning evolution.

I bring this writing to a close with the warning to stay alert to your own inner nudgings and keep the Light of Holy God around you as you go about your daily chores—as a most serious time of gamesmanship is underway on your globe as various factions of the Elite controllers battle for the position of "king of the mountain".

You of the ground grew are most cherished by all of us in the overseeing realms, as you are our front-line arms & legs for this planetary reclamation mission. Remember that we are NEVER farther away than a heartfelt call and we stand ready to assist as invited. Meanwhile we watch as Earth-Shan's rebirthing process ramps up to higher gear and warn you that it shall be a time for much misery for those who remain unprepared.

Toniose Soltec to clear in God's Holy Light of Radiance. Salu.

CHAPTER 10

E.J. EKKER LETTER TO MR. RHOADS

E. J. EKKER
21512 Adam Drive
Tehachapi, California 93561
TEL: 805 822-0601
FAX: 805 822-0972

October 17, 1995
Robert E. Rhoads
P.O. Box 60
Victor, MT 59875

Dear Mr. Rhoads:

A copy of your letter sent to *CONTACT* has been forwarded to me since it contains some misinformation concerning me, Doris and Charles Neil which I feel compelled to try to clarify for you.

Rod Ence has stolen from us, cheated us, and when confronted with the factual evidence, lied to us and, further, signed letters of agreement agreeing to take actions which could have saved some of the crop left on the farm as well as reducing some of the unwarranted expense he was willfully incurring. He immediately and deliberately violated those agreements, using as his excuse that he refused to do what he was told to do (by a committee of four men appointed to replace him as "farm manager") because it "aroused the stubbornness" in him.

I doubt that your daughter, Sandy [Rod's wife], has told you much of this. It is quite likely that a lot of what transpired on the financial side of things was never revealed to her.

When you say "evicted from the ranch" you reveal that you also have likely been lied to. The indication is that our action was sudden and unexpected. No. A year ago in early September Charles and I met at the farm with Rod to tell him that information we had received confirmed that a large part of his "light" spelt crop was a direct result of his late plantings and that we felt it very important that the crop be planted (and watered immediately) by October 15. We also told him that the ground would have to be turned by plowing, and disked before planting, and urged him to begin immediately to make arrangements for a tractor and plow. I needn't bore you with the excuses but I will say that the plowing was not done and the spelt was not planted until after December 15, and then with only half the recommended seed density.

Rod may try to claim, to you and to others, that he didn't have the equipment, fertilizer, etc., etc., with which to work. He was never denied the rental of equipment. The first year we spent over $33,000 to apply Nutricarb to all of the useable land. Rod represented to us that no further fertilizing would be needed for some seven years. Then, just last fall we spent another $12,000-plus, at his request, to apply several additional fertilizers to that same 100 acres to be planted in spelt. To his credit Rod arranged for a loan to

obtain a used tractor and some equipment, all of which proved to be in bad shape. He spent a lot of time and thousands of our dollars bringing it up to serviceable condition. He leased the harvester and swather in the name of Sunshine Valley Farms and has run off with the keys and Operating/Maintenance Manuals to both, claiming to have sent them to the leasing company—which has heard nothing of them. Those are petty, childish tricks that you need to know about before condemning us and our actions. He has stolen some fifty-sixty items of tools and supplies which clearly belonged to the farm and/or Charles Neil.

In May, when the "volunteer" rye (which was nearly as thick as the spelt) outgrew the spelt by several inches, Rod knew he was in trouble. He got advice from a farmer (who understood the problem) to use the harvester or swather to gather, or at least clip, the taller rye to give the spelt a chance to grow and mature. Rod actually made a couple of passes, apparently with the swather, which demonstrated that it would work. Why didn't he do the whole field? Why didn't he mention the problem to us? We didn't learn of the problem from Rod; we learned of it from someone working in the garden who walked out to the spelt field to see how it was doing. By the time we heard about it, however, it was too late. The spelt had grown a few inches higher and much less uniform in height so that the swather could no longer just clip the rye. Was Rod's inaction laziness or a deliberate act of sabotage? True, it would have taken a few long days of careful swather driving to save most of the 100-acre spelt crop.

Somebody has said, "Well, at 14 cents-per-pound the crop wasn't worth much anyway." That is a shallow view of the reality of what happens here. Spelt flows through several corporations and processes before it is hulled, cleaned, ground into flour and packaged for use in bread machines where it retails for $3.50 per package (which contains slightly over one pound of spelt flour). A good crop of spelt (planted on time on well-prepared ground) can yield up to 3,500 pounds per acre. If we dropped that 20% to 2,800 pounds and allow for a loss of 35% for cleaning (which is high) we would have 1,800 pounds of clean grain/flour. 100 acres of properly farmed land could easily yield 180,000 pounds, which can be retailed for more than $500,000 while providing employment for several people and a modest profit for each of several corporations. Whether due to laziness, ineptness, defiance or downright enmity, that is what Rod has cost us here and we would surely be remiss in not acting to prevent its happening again.

Further, the threat of deliberate sabotage could not permit us to allow Rod to remain on the farm. He has removed (stolen) farm tools and supplies as he has moved, demonstrating a vicious streak of vindictiveness not previously disclosed to us.

You could say, as I'm sure he will, "But he was so underpaid while he was working so hard that he is justified in trying to stay at least even." As the saying goes: "It is neither legal nor moral for the departing secretary to take the typewriter, even if the boss still owes her wages." Worse, for him, we owed him nothing and I'll here outline his compensation package at the time of his departure so that you can see how "badly" he was being treated: His home was furnished and all his utilities paid for, even his telephone bill; a Toyota pickup was furnished as well as gasoline for his personal cars. And he was paid $600 per week; that is more than $30,000 per year virtually free and clear. He was even reimbursed for the children's school supplies, purchased at the local stationery store.

Yes, beginning July 1 of this year we did require that Rod work 60 hours per week, which is pretty modest for a successful farmer. Up to that time he had claimed to work 20 hours per week and made up the difference with the children's time, and Charles paid for the children's time each week. You have referred

to Charles as a "spy". You must not have been made aware of the fact that Charles is the manager of the corporation (Sunshine Valley Farms, Inc.) directly responsible for overseeing the performance of Challenge Met (Rod's corporation) as operator of the farm. Our perception is that Charles was a great deal more forgiving of Rod's nonperformance than he might have been. I can personally attest to the fact that Rod usually spent more time in Tehachapi, Bakersfield and Lancaster than at the farm.

But Rod is not stupid. A year-and-a-half ago a nicely sized and located local grocery store was available for rent. Rod likes to bake spelt bread and other spelt products and it appeared there might be an opportunity to open a bakery and establish a regional market for spelt-based bakery products. So we asked Rod if he wouldn't rather get into the bakery business than farm. He thought about it overnight and then said, no, he was a farmer and would stay on the farm. In retrospect one is tempted to regard this decision on Rod's part as that he can recognize a "soft touch".

In your letter you say, "Due to the Vicious Lies, Manipulation and Transgressions by Doris and E.J. Ekker...." It is a bit difficult to know what it is to which you refer so our answer has had to be somewhat broader in scope than might have been necessary had you been more specific. When you cancel your subscriptions to *CONTACT*, the tapes, Journals and the GAIA products you are simply "cutting off your (and your lovely wife's) nose to spite your face", as the saying goes. I surely hope you have the courage and fortitude to consider what I have told you in comparison to the unfounded prevarications of Rod Ence, even though those may be relayed to you by your own daughter.

You say you can "no longer support an <u>operation</u> under such evil leadership as Doris and E.J. Ekker". You surely know, as do Rod and Sandy, from whence comes the "leadership" here. That "source" is only "evil" to the dark forces, Mr. Rhoads, so I am obliged to inquire which side you might really be on. It seems I recall the name Rhoads associated with the Illuminati. Don't take that as an accusation but it might be worthy of some thought. We do get some warmth thinking of the name Sandy Rhoads. And I cannot end this letter without mentioning that Sandy and most of the kids worked very hard to clean up the farm in spite of Rod and Chase dragging their feet and making fun. Your daughter showed some class, which we accept as a reflection of her parents; we will pray that our impression of you folks was correct and that you are only temporarily misled by your son-in-law. We wish you clarity of thought and, through that, happiness.

Sincerely yours,

/s/ E.J. EKKER

CHAPTER 11

THE NEWS DESK
by Phyllis Linn 10/22/95

JAPAN:
LIVING ON THE EDGE

japan volcano

In last week's *CONTACT*, Commander Soltec issued a timely reminder to watch Japan for clues as to timing for major earthquake and volcanic escalations worldwide—especially the West Coast of the U.S. During the first week in October 6,694 quakes were recorded in the vicinity of the Ito hot springs (no relation?), about 60 miles southwest of Tokyo. 103 of the quakes were strong enough to be felt, according to the media report. A week ago, Mount Hosho rumbled to life, ending 257 years of dormancy with an outburst of belching ash. On Wednesday of this week (10/18), the islands were on tsunami alert following a 6.7 quake, as reported in this October 19 AP article in the *DAILY NEWS*, [quoting:]

TOKYO—Hundreds of thousands of people were ordered to flee coastal areas of southern Japan for higher ground today, fearing a tsunami of up to 6 feet would follow a strong earthquake that rocked the region. The tidal wave alert was issued shortly after an earthquake with a preliminary magnitude of 6.7 hit the Amami islands at 11:41 a.m. (7:41 p.m. PDT Wednesday). The area, about 750 miles southwest of Tokyo, has been battered by more than 300 aftershocks since a 6.2-magnitude quake struck late Wednesday. Tsunami, or high waves generated by undersea disturbances, are widely feared in Japan. In some quakes, tidal waves have killed far more people than the quake itself. In 1993, following a 7.8-magnitude quake, a huge tsunami wiped out much of the fishing island of Okushiri, killing scores of people.

FARRAKHAN ORGANIZES
"THIRD POLITICAL POWER"

From the October 19 issue of the *DAILY NEWS*, [quoting:]

farrakhan

WASHINGTON—A drive begun at this week's huge rally of black men will seek to register millions of African-American voters for a "third political power" not bound to any party. Nation of Islam leader Louis Farrakhan said Wednesday. "We intend to be a force in the next election," Farrakhan said. [*If there IS a "next election"*.] "We will vote independently," he added. "We may be Democrat, we may be Republican, we may be independent, but our loyalty will be to an agenda." ...The campaign seeks to register 8

million eligible African-American voters. [*8 million people exerting their energies in a directed manner can have decided impact! What will the agenda be? Will this power base be a positive force for the planet—or infiltrated and controlled by one or more factions of the NWO Elite? Stay tuned to Planet Earth—and don't miss Commander Hatonn's provocative comments on this subject on pages 3 & 6.*]

MILLION MAN MARCH CONCERNS JEWISH LEADERS

This article appeared prior to the march, in the October 6 issue of the *DAILY NEWS*, [quoting:]

Los Angeles Jewish leaders said Sunday that the Million Man March today in Washington, D.C., could make organizer Louis Farrakhan into the dominant leader of African-Americans—setting race relations back 50 years. "In my view, what's going to happen tomorrow is a coronation march, which is the culmination of a 10-year power drive" by Nation of Islam leader Farrakhan, said author Harold Brackman...during a press conference at the Simon Wiesenthal Center. Rabbi Marvin Hier, dean and founder of the Wiesenthal Center, said march supporters' comments that critics should separate the message from the messenger are "ludicrous and dishonest". Hier said the march is even more disturbing in light of the recent acquittal of O.J. Simpson in his double murder trial, a verdict that has divided the country along racial lines. "It's almost self-destructive to have a rally in Washington and give it to Farrakhan after O.J. Simpson," Hier said. [*Mishpuckas were counting on a "guilty" verdict to ignite the riots they had been setting up; undaunted, they have redoubled their efforts—using the "not guilty" verdict and all the media tools at their disposal—to stir racial strife. By the way, did you notice how the Million Man March was down played by the Jewish-controlled mainstream media?*]

This article from the October 17 issue of the *DAILY NEWS*—one day after the march—intensifies the focus on "racial strife", [quoting:]

If there were a "tension" indicator, Los Angeles right now would be recording near the top, a county commission said Monday. County layoffs, the debate over the O.J. Simpson verdicts, battles over affirmative action and strife over immigration policies are all straining the county's collective patience and creating "an unusually destabilized and tense period", according to a memo by the Los Angeles County Human Relations Commission to the Board of Supervisors. The Sept. 25 memo [*is*] from **Rabbi** Lee Bycel, the commission president [*Oh!*]. Saying it's important to talk frustrations over, the commission has restarted a "Keep the Peace" hot line, which it ran in other high-tension times, such as the 1982 Olympics and the federal trial of Los Angeles police officers accused of beating Rodney King. The hot line can be reached at (213) 974-7622, and is [*allegedly*] designed to help callers clear up rumors and inaccurate information that could lead to racial or other problems. [*Just like the ADL's Cult Awareness Network protects people from vicious "cults"?*]

"ANTI-VIOLENCE" DEMONSTRATORS STORM OUT AFTER MINISTER URGES LOVE

Never a dull moment in Southern California! This thought-provoking article appeared in the October 16 issue of the *DAILY NEWS*, [quoting:]

A rally kicking off an anti-violence campaign got off to a tense start Sunday when families of murder victims angrily snatched photos of loved ones from a stage and marched out in protest. The protesters, many members of the group Justice for Homicide Victims Inc., said later they were outraged by the comments of the Rev. Steven Gooden, who urged forgiveness for disgraced former LAPD Detective Mark Fuhrman, O.J. Simpson, former LAPD Sgt. Stacey Koon and Nation of Islam leader Louis Farrakhan. Gooden, an African-American minister from Orange County, also urged African-Americans to forgive white people for enslaving them, then unfurled a banner reading, "Love Mark Fuhrman—To Help End Racism!" An embarrassed-looking Mayor Richard Riordan stood at Gooden's side as the banner was opened, then hastily ducked out. He said later through a spokeswoman that Gooden's speech was offensive and inappropriate. YWCA officials also criticized the remarks and sought to distance themselves from Gooden.

"We are here mourning and remembering murdered family members. We did not come here to love Mark Fuhrman," said Jackie Ravel of Los Angeles, whose mother was killed in 1992. "The victims were disgraced by this activity," said Arnold Heileman, vice president of the Malibu-based Justice for Homicide Victims. [End of quoting.]

What do you make of this? The YWCA (Young Women's CHRISTIAN Organization) sponsored the Week Without Violence—a nationwide event. A Christian minister preaches love and forgiveness and is roundly condemned. The anti-violence demonstrators became, if not violent, at least very angry! I guess love and forgiveness were not the answers they were looking for—maybe they weren't ready to give up their own victim status. What WERE they after? Justice for Homicide Victims—is it "justice" they're interested in?—or will they settle for REVENGE for their hurt and anger? This is a heckuva can of worms! Christian organizations allege that they are based on the teachings of Jesus—stuff like, "Love your enemies" and "If you forgive men their trespasses, your heavenly father will forgive you"—but when exhorted to actually PRACTICE it, pandemonium ensues! Sounds a bit hypocritical. Actually, I'm sorry I missed this event; "love" and "forgiveness" are weighty topics and it would have been interesting to ask a few questions, like: "Does 'forgiveness' mean to let someone off the hook for their behavior?" "Do I have the power and authority to do that?" "What is 'love'?" "Does it mean you condone or overlook someone's negative behavior?" "What would 'loving Mark Fuhrman' look like?" Love: everybody talks about it, everybody's looking for it, and nearly nobody can tell you what it is! Hey! Back to the news!

GOVERNMENT CREATES BLOOD SAFETY COUNCIL

From the October 13 issue of *THE ORLANDO SENTINEL*, [quoting:]

Responsibility for a safe blood supply is being given to the government's highest-level doctors [*does that make you feel safe?*], a response to criticism that bureaucratic red tape allowed thousands of Americans to get AIDS-tainted blood in the 1980s. A first step of the new Blood Safety Council announced Thursday before Congress, will be to decide whether thousands should be notified that they may have caught the liver disease hepatitis from transfusions before 1990.

Until now, blood safety has fallen to a government mishmash. The Food and Drug Administration regulates blood banks, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention sounds the alarm when new diseases are found in blood [*and maybe creates a few*], and the National Institutes of Health performs blood research.

Some 10,000 hemophiliacs, who need frequent plasma donations, and thousands of other blood recipients got HIV while the government debated what to do.

ARMOUR IGNORED AIDS ALERT ON BLOOD DRUG

From the October 6 issue of *THE ORLANDO SENTINEL*, [quoting:]

Armour Pharmaceutical Co. kept a blood-clotting drug on the market in 1985, despite warnings its heat-treating process was not effective at killing the AIDS virus, according to a published report. Two years later, six hemophiliacs in Vancouver, British Columbia, five of them children, contracted the AIDS virus from contaminated dosages of the drug, Factorate, *The Philadelphia Inquirer* reported Thursday. Several hemophiliacs in other parts of Canada, the United States and elsewhere also contracted the virus, the newspaper said. Armour prevented virologist Alfred Prince from making his findings about Factorate public by invoking a confidentiality clause in his contract, according to an internal corporate document obtained by the *Inquirer*.

PENTAGON BUNKER NOT NEEDED

From the October 7 issue of *THE ORLANDO SENTINEL*, [quoting:]

CHARLESTON, W. Va.—The Defense Department has relinquished control of a once-secret underground bunker built during the Cold War to house members of Congress and their families during a nuclear attack. [*How nice! And where the bunkers for You-the People? Oh, I see—expendable.*] The structure is deep beneath the West Virginia Wing of The Greenbrier, a posh mountain resort in White Sulphur Springs. The government gave up its lease July 31, according to a letter from Assistant Secretary of Defense Emmett Paige Jr. that was released by Sen. Robert C. Byrd, D-W.Va. [*You remember Senator Byrd—the "little guy" who was Cathy O'Brien's first owner as a a Monarch mind-controlled slave? Do you think the Pentagon gave up the lease because it believes the Cold War is over?? Or because it has completed a bigger and better facility? (Check one)*]

TEXAS COTTON FARMERS LEARN THE HARD WAY

From the October 10 issue of *THE ORLANDO SENTINEL*, [quoting:]

Clifford Smith shamefully admits being one of 831 Rio Grande Valley cotton farmers who approved a program to eradicate the boll weevil that ravages their crops. Now he is leading the effort to eradicate the program itself, blaming the spraying for a different insect blight. The problem is that a different insect took over where the boll weevil left off and laid waste to cotton fields, costing farmers millions in losses and the federal government millions in insurance payouts. U.S. Department of Agriculture scientists said last month that widespread spraying with malathion also killed the good bugs that eat boll weevils and other pests. [*Mother Nature is no fool! We just keep shooting ourselves in the foot with our "War on (poverty, drugs, violence, bugs)" mentality. We'd be making progress if we analyzed the problem intelligently first!*]

JUDGE HENRY WOODS AND THE LONG ARM OF THE LAW

Did you wonder how Governor Jim Guy Tucker managed to have three of his indictments thrown out? It helps to know the right people! The following is excerpted from a lengthy article that appeared in the September 18-24 issue of *THE WASHINGTON TIMES, NATIONAL WEEKLY EDITION*. It is written by Jim Johnson, former member of the Arkansas General Assembly, the 1966 Democratic nominee for governor and a retired elected justice of the Arkansas Supreme Court, [quoting:]

gov. guy tucker

U.S. District Judge Henry Woods, the author of that extraordinary decision to throw out three of the 14 indictments of Gov. Jim Guy Tucker of Arkansas, a decision that astonished his judicial colleagues for his breathtaking disregard of the law, is nothing if not consistent with his record of public service. the stakes are huge, and the Clintons and their friends are pulling out every stop as the Whitewater investigation moves into its most critical stage.

The wording of the independent counsel's commission is clear and unambiguous: "The independent counsel shall have jurisdiction and authority to investigate other allegations or evidence or violation of any federal criminal law...by any person or entity developed during the independent counsel's investigation referred to above, and connected with or arising out of that investigation."

Only a star natal idiot could actually misunderstand that language, and Henry Woods is not a star natal idiot. Henry Woods, 77, knows what he wants and he is determined to get it. He is among the closest of all confidants of President Clinton and the first lady, Hillary Rodham Clinton. In 1990, when Mr. Clinton was making plans to run for the presidency , Judge Woods urged a movement to draft Mrs. Clinton to run for governor herself, to allow her husband to be undisturbed in his contemplation of the highest office. Last November, on the most crucial night of the Clinton presidency, Judge Woods was a special overnight guest at the White House to help with monitoring the mid-term election returns. Henry has a long history of monitoring election returns in Arkansas. This close and intimate association should have been more than enough to persuade a judge, eager to uphold justice and to cultivate public confidence in the judiciary, to recuse himself. But he would not. He understands, better than almost anyone else, that Jim Guy Tucker is a crucially important player in the Whitewater drama now playing out. Keeping Mr. Tucker out of prison is the key to saving Bill and Hillary from being washed away as Whitewater reaches flood tide. [*You get the picture*.]

STATE OF NEVADA WILL BACK FEDS IN NYE SUIT

The August 8 issue of *CONTACT* featured "Public Lands at Issue: Nye County, Nevada", an article by Rick Martin on states' rights vs. federal land ownership. The next article, from the October 19 issue of the *LAS VEGAS REVIEW JOURNAL*, provides follow up, [quoting:]

The state of Nevada is siding with the federal government in a lawsuit against Nye County over who controls Nevada's public lands. The attorney general's office on Wednesday filed its response to the litigation, filed earlier this year by the U.S. government, saying that Nye County commissioners had interfered with the management of federally owned lands.

Attorney General Frankie Sue Del Papa, who grew up in Nye County, said that while Nevada has significant interests in its public land, including management and use authority, the state does not have an "enforceable claim to title over federal lands." Nevada, she said, is the only state with a law on the books that seeks to remove Congress from trusteeship over the land in question. Known as the Sagebrush Rebellion statutes, the laws were enacted in 1979 despite warnings from the Legislative Counsel Bureau that longstanding legal precedent would prevail should the issue be tried in the courts.

The attorney general's response came the same week that Nye County Commissioner Richard Carver, a leader in the so-called county supremacy movement, made the cover of *Time* magazine. The article details Carver's 1994 brush with the U.S. Forest Service in which he bulldozed open a road within a national forest. The action was a defining point in the current standoff. *Time*'s report also highlights recent acts of violence against federal officials in Nevada and concludes that Carver's message is a warning to presidential hopefuls that "something has come unfastened in the West, and everybody has guns." Neither Carver nor attorney John Howard, one of the attorneys representing Nye County, could be reached Wednesday. Nye County District Attorney Robert Beckett's office said he had not seen the state's filing and could not respond.

Ed Presley, who heads a local research organization that supports Nye County, said that he hoped the state's inclusion would give (Nevada) the opportunity to go to the heart of the matter." Presley said there have been problems within the Nye defense team and legal bills threaten the county's case. A statement issued last seek by Nye County Manager William Offutt said Presley "does not now represent, nor has he ever represented Nye County in any manner."

map of nye nevada

Both Presley and Del Papa said the current litigation could be affected by a recent federal court decision out of Reno by U.S. District Judge David Hagen involving the same issue. Hagen ruled against Ruby Valley rancher Cliff Gardner, who challenged ownership of public lands. Presley said he would prefer the Gardner case, which is now before the 9th U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals, decide the issue because "there are no politics involved."

Del Papa said in a statement that Nye County "acted rashly when it bulldozed roads through Forest Service lands." "Unfortunately, many people speaking for and advising Nye County are not Nevadans and don't share our values," the statement said. "I think they have a narrow political agenda and their objective is to place public lands into the private ownership of a few people."

At a news conference, Del Papa said she questioned the motives of the "out-of-state folks coming in and telling you how to do it, where to do it and when to do it." Del Papa said that while the Nye County suit raised legitimate legal issues, the proper forum for the fight over public lands is Congress, where "leaders

and citizens in the state have an opportunity to discuss the merits and ramifications" rather than a courtroom.

The case has been argued before U.S. District Judge Lloyd George in Las Vegas, but a decision is weeks away.[End of quoting.]

CONTACT reader Ed Presley faxed us his comments, [quoting:]

I (Ed Presley, National Director for the County Alliance to Restore the Economy and Environment [CAREE]), am amazed at the indifference Nevada's Attorney General has for the one arrow she has in her midst to launch at the feds. Her quickness to surrender the 87% treasured assets to the central government and participate in creating an advantaged Court against the Citizens is a call to have a suit brought to mandate her to do the job she was elected to do. Enforce and defend the laws of the great State of Nevada with all vigor that is within her power. Not to steal away the obligation of the federal judge to determine the Constitutional status of a Nevada law.

An interesting note, Frankie is quoted: "...Nevada has significant interest in its public land, including management and use authority, the state does not have an 'enforceable claim to title over federal lands'."

To wit, I would respond: "If these are federally owned lands making up 87% of the state, then the supreme power over such is vested in the central government 'without limitation', Kleppe v. New Mexico (her favorite case law authority). Furthermore, do not waste Nevada taxpayer dollars trying to fight the nuke dump or any other garbage deposit New York or any other state may want to put in a federal WORLD landfill here in Nevada, instead of a barge floating in the Atlantic Ocean. The AG's statement is imbedded deeply in circular reasoning and contradiction. If Del Papa does not enforce Nevada Ownership law on the books and litigates in the future against the federal government in the nuke waste dump issue, I will do what can be done to find an attorney to file *amicus* briefs in opposition to Nevada's position and in support of the supreme power vested in the federal government to control its own property. 87% of the Diminished Colony of Nevada!

/s/ Ed Presley
Tel: 702-367-9711, Fax: 702-367-9914
[End of quoting.]

U.N. BASH SPAWNS SECURITY SUPER-ALERT

It's Halloween time, the Shuttle's up, and world "leaders" are gathered in New York to celebrate their success in perpetrating the United Nations scam for the past 50 years. Here's the first report on the latter, [quoting]

NEW YORK—Presidents and premiers from around the world sat down together to dine and offer toasts to peace [*their definition, of course*] Saturday night in a citadel of American finance, kicking off a four-day celebration of the 50th anniversary of the United Nations. Outside the World Financial Center, site of Saturday's gala dinner, hundreds of city police and federal agents wrapped a security blanket around the

downtown Manhattan district. They had rooftop anti-sniper teams, bomb-sniffing dogs and police boats on the nearby Hudson River at their disposal.

"Many in America are questioning the role of the United Nations," **master of ceremonies** Henry A. Kissinger said, but "The United Nations has played a useful, sometimes decisive role in maintaining the peace." [*If things are so darned peaceful, why the need for the security super-alert?*]

CHAPTER 12

DEMYSTIFYING THE GRAND JURY SYSTEM

by Rick Martin 10/19/95

SCALES OF JUSTICE

Try asking a friend to explain the grand jury system to you. The odds are, they wouldn't be able to. Grand juries are cloaked in secrecy, which is by design. But in reality, almost no one knows about grand juries and the hows and whys under which they operate.

This week in Bakersfield, Calif., the county grand jury held an "open house" for the first time, which gave me an excellent opportunity to really find out, in detail, what the grand jury system is all about. And since the model for grand juries is the same across the land, let me explain it for you.

Grand juries have two primary functions. The first function of a grand jury is civil—to act as a "watchdog" which will objectively investigate, audit or examine all aspects of county government, and its cities, to insure that these bodies are being effectively governed and that public monies are being judiciously handled.

The grand jury may subpoena persons and/or records to obtain information on subjects under investigation.

The grand jury is sworn to complete confidentiality, as it pertains to complainants, witnesses or content of investigative matters. They may not disclose any information they receive within the confines of the jury or the identity of anyone appearing before them, unless permission is given.

The second function of a grand jury is the conducting of criminal hearings to hand down criminal indictments. It is an accusatory, not a trial jury. It may hold criminal hearings to weigh evidence brought before them by the District Attorney's office to determine if an individual should be charged with a crime, in which case an indictment would be returned upon an affirmative vote of at least twelve out of the nineteen jurors. If no indictment is returned, all records are kept secret. Few criminal cases are now heard by the grand jury since the accused still has the right to a preliminary hearing even if an indictment is returned. As in the case of civil investigations, all hearings pertaining to criminal cases are conducted in complete secrecy.

Grand juries consist of 19 members in counties with a population of less than four million. In counties with a population greater than four million, the grand jury consists of 23 members.

Before we explore further the inner workings of the grand jury system, let's go back historically and view the evolution of the general concept of a jury system.

HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE

While grand juries can be traced as far back as ancient Greece, let's hear what the late, great English Barrister Sir William Blackstone, KT., has to say about the history of trial by jury in his 1915 classic, *Commentaries on the Laws of England*. Please keep in mind that some of the words and spellings are in the old English tradition. [Quoting:]

HISTORY OF TRIAL BY JURY

A trial that hath been used time out of mind in this nation, and seems to have been coeval with the first civil government thereof. Some authors have endeavored to trace the original of juries up as high as the Britons themselves, the first inhabitants of our island [England]; but certain it is that they were in use among the earliest Saxon colonies, their institution being ascribed by Bishop Nicholson to Woden himself, their great legislator and captain. Hence it is that we may find traces of juries in the laws of all those nations which adopted the feudal system, as in Germany, France and Italy, who had all of them a tribunal composed of twelve good men and true, *"boni homines*", usually the vassals or tenants of the lord, being the equals and peers of the parties litigant, and, as the lord's vassals judged each other in the lord's courts, so the king's vassals, or the lords themselves, judged each other in the king's court. In England we find actual mention of them so early as the laws of King Ethelred, and that not as a new invention. Stiernhook ascribes the invention of the jury, which in the Teutonic language is denominated *nembda*, to Regner, King of Sweden and Denmark, who was cotemporary with our King Egbert. Just as we are apt to impute the invention of this, and some other pieces of juridical polity, to the superior genius of Alfred the Great; to whom, on account of his having done much, it is usual to attribute everything, and as the tradition of ancient Greece placed to the account of their one Hercules whatever achievement was performed, superior to the ordinary prowess of mankind. Whereas, the truth seems to be that this tribunal was universally established among all the northern nations, and so interwoven in their very *Constitution*, that the earliest accounts of the one give us also some traces of the other. Its establishment, however, and use, in this island, of what date soever it be, though for a time greatly impaired and shaken by the introduction of the Norman trial by battle, was always so highly esteemed and valued by the people, that no conquest, no change of government, could ever prevail to abolish it. In *Magna Carta* it is more than once insisted on as the principal bulwark of our liberties; but especially by chapter 29, that no free-man shall be hurt in either his person or property; *"nisi per legale judicium parium suorum vel per legem terrae* (unless by the lawful judgment of his peers)." And it was ever esteeemed, in all countries, a privilege of the highest and most beneficial nature.

But I will not misspend the reader's time in fruitless encomiums on this method of trail: but shall proceed to the dissection and examination of it in all its parts, from whence, indeed, its highest encomium will arise; since the more it is searched into and understood the more it is sure to be valued. And this is a species of knowledge most absolutely necessary for every gentleman in the kingdom, as well because he may be frequently called upon to determine in this capacity the rights of others, his fellow-subject, as because his own property, his liberty and his life depend upon maintaining, in its legal force, the constitutional trial by jury. [End quoting.]

Using a far different source, let me quote what *The World Book Encyclopedia* has to say about the history of juries. [Quoting:]

The history of the jury goes back at least to the 800s. [*Their researchers did not go back far enough*.] The first juries were called *inquests*. They were used as a means of getting information about matters of common knowledge in the locality, such as the commission of crimes or the boundaries of the king's lands, which the judges might not know. This form was brought to England by the Normans in the 1000s.

By the end of the 1400s, the jury had come to be used much as it is used today, to decide questions of fact in criminal and civil trials. Trial by jury took the place of such ways of deciding cases as having two representatives fight in a *trial by combat*, with the winner of the fight winning the case.

The nature of a jury trial has undergone many changes. Originally, jurymen were shut up without food and drink until they reached a verdict. Sometimes, if they failed to agree, they were carted to the edge of the county and thrown into a ditch. Today, no one except the other members of a jury can urge any juror to come to an agreement. Jurymen were once witnesses, called because they had knowledge of the facts. Today, a juryman who has special knowledge about the case is excused from serving on a petty jury. (A petit, or petty, jury is the ordinary trial jury.) It is important that jurors decide on the basis of evidence given in court.

The grand jury probably grew out of the Anglo-Saxon custom in the 1000s and 1100s of having twelve senior knights decide whether a man accused of wrong-doing should be freed or forced to submit to trial by ordeal or by combat.

The jury system was introduced into many countries of Europe in the 1800s, and later into many countries of South America. But these countries followed the French system, rather than the English. Under this system, the jury is used only in important civil cases, and in criminal trials. The verdict does not have to be unanimous. [End quoting.]

In a footnoted comment in Sir William Blackstone's *Commentaries on the Laws of England,* "It is, in fact, to the reign of Henry the Second that we owe the introduction of trail by jury; not, as Blackstone seems to suppose, by way of reviving ancient custom, but as a royal innovation, stoutly resisted by popular prejudice." [Jenks, in *4 Stephen's Comm. (16th ed.)*, 428.]

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

The Constitution of the United States of America provides for the grand jury in the ***5th Amendment***, which reads:

GUARANTY OF TRIAL BY JURY; PRIVATE PROPERTY TO BE RESPECTED

No person shall be held to answer for a capital, or otherwise infamous crime, unless on a presentment or indictment of a grand jury, *except in cases arising in the land or naval forces, or in the militia, when in actual service in time of war or public danger; nor shall any person be subject for the same offense to be twice put in jeopardy of life or limb; nor shall be compelled in any criminal case to be a witness against himself; nor be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor shall private property be taken for public use, without just compensation.*

Within the United States, the first grand jury was held in the Massachusetts Bay Colony.

Juries are further mentioned in the *6th* and *7th Amendments*:

6TH AMENDMENT:
RIGHTS OF ACCUSED PERSONS

In all criminal prosecutions, the accused shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial, by an impartial jury of the State and district wherein the crime shall have been committed, which districts shall have been previously ascertained by law, and to be informed of the nature and cause of the accusation; to be confronted with the witnesses against him; to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor, and to have the assistance of counsel for his defense.

7TH AMENDMENT:
RULES OF THE COMMON LAW

In suits at common law, where the value in controversy shall exceed twenty dollars, the right of trial by jury shall be preserved, and no fact tried by a jury, shall be otherwise re-examined in any court of the United States than according to the rules of common law.

It is common knowledge that during construction of the *Constitution* there were many heated debates. *The Federalist Papers* represent many of the most articulate arguments regarding various aspects of the *Constitution* under consideration. In *Federalist Paper No. 83*, Alexander Hamilton writes, [quoting:]

The excellence of the trial by jury in civil cases appears to depend on circumstances foreign to the preservation of liberty. The strongest argument in its favor is that it is a security against corruption. As there is always more time and better opportunity to tamper with a standing body of magistrates than with a jury summoned for the occasion, there is room to suppose that a corrupt influence would more easily find its ways to the former than to the latter. The force of this consideration is, however, diminished by others. The sheriff, who is the summoner of ordinary juries, and the clerks of courts, who have the nomination of special juries, are themselves standing officers, and, acting individually, may be supposed more accessible to the touch of corruption than the judges, who are a collective body. It is not difficult to see that it would be in the power of those officers to select jurors who would serve the purpose of the party as well as a corrupted bench. In the next place, it may fairly be supposed that there would be less difficulty in gaining some of the jurors promiscuously taken from the public mass, than in gaining men who had been chosen by the government for their probity and good character. But making every deduction for these considerations, the trial by jury must still be a valuable check upon corruption. It greatly multiples the impediments to its success. As matters now stand, it would be

necessary to corrupt both court and jury; for where the jury have gone evidently wrong, the court will generally grant a new trial, and it would be in most cases of little use to practice upon the jury unless the court could be likewise gained. Here then is a double security; and it will readily be perceived that this complicated agency tends to preserve the purity of both institutions. By increasing the obstacles to success, it discourages attempts to seduce the integrity of either. The temptations to prostitution which the judges might have to surmount must certainly be much fewer, while the co-operation of a jury is necessary, than they might be if they had themselves the exclusive determination of all causes. [End quoting.]

Hamilton's concern is well taken, particularly in light of the fact that not only judges but grand juries constitute "standing bodies".

CONTEMPORARY GRAND JURY STRUCTURE

Who can be on the grand jury? A citizen, 18 years or older, who has been a resident of the county for one year immediately prior to being selected, and is of ordinary intelligence and good character, as well as having a working knowledge of the English language, and who has not served as a grand juror within one year is eligible to serve. No law degree or specific credentials are necessary to become a grand jury member.

How is a person selected to serve on the grand jury? Qualified citizens may submit their names to the Superior Court, and each of fifteen Superior Court Judges nominate four applicants.

Sixty nominees are then placed into a random drawing for 30 prospective jurors. The 30 prospective jurors then appear in court in June, which are then reduced by another random drawing to the final 19 and may include up to 10 "hold over" members of the previous grand jury.

The selected panel is sworn in, given a description of its duties and begins a one-year term on July 1. In a statement from the (California) law book *Cal Jur*, "Each member of the grand jury is required to take an oath to support the *Constitution of the United States* and the *California State Constitution*, and all laws made in pursuance thereof and in conformity therewith; to diligently inquire into, and make true presentment, of all public offenses against the people of the state, committed or triable within the county, of which the grand jury has or can obtain legal evidence; to keep his or her own counsel, and that of fellow grand jurors and of the government, and not, except when required in the due course of judicial proceedings or authorized by statute, disclose the testimony of any witness examined before the grand jury or anything said by any grand juror, or the manner in which any grand juror may have voted on any matter before the grand jury; to present no person through malice, hatred, or ill will, or leave any person unpresented through fear, favor, or affection, or for any reward or the promise or hope thereof; and to represent the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, according to the best of the juror's skill and understanding. It is presumed that a grand jury was regularly and legally sworn."

What is the time commitment for a juror? Citizens serving on the grand jury must be willing to spend the better part of three to four days a week with grand jury obligations, including jury and committee meetings, visits to facilities and personnel throughout the county. Jurors are paid a per diem fee plus mileage each

day served.

The grand jury is divided into committees, each of which concentrates on investigations of certain governmental departments. The committees established by the grand jury include: ad hoc; administrative; audit/finance; continuity/tracking; coordination with cities; criminal justice/special investigations; education; health and welfare; procedures manual/editorial; public awareness and special districts.

Who can request a grand jury investigation? Any citizen, county and city official or employee may present complaints to the grand jury. They should be written, including specific information and/or allegations. The jury investigates possible felonies and charges of malfeasance (wrongdoing), misfeasance (a lawful act in an unlawful manner), and nonfeasance (failure to perform required acts by public officials).

All correspondence will be acknowledged; remain confidential; and all complainants will receive notification of the action taken by the grand jury.

How are results of grand jury investigations made public? At the end of its term, the grand jury issues a Final Report to the Presiding Judge. Portions of this document pertaining to investigation results during the year, may have been previously released to encourage actions on urgent matters. These reports, including findings and recommendations of each committee, are available to the general public and the media.

In the law book *Cal Jur*, comes the following statement under *Criminal Law*. [Quoting:]

Generally, no person is to be held to answer for a capital, or otherwise infamous crime, unless on a presentment or indictment of a grand jury.

A grand jury is a body of the required number of persons returned from the citizens of the county before a court of competent jurisdiction, and sworn to inquire into public offenses committed or triable within the county. It is an investigatory and inquisitorial body of ancient origin, created for the protection of society and the enforcement of the law as an arm or agency of the court. The grand jury is a judicial body and an instrumentality of the courts of the state. Furthermore, it is a part of the court by which it is convened and is under that court's control. Thus, grand jurors are officers of the court.

An indictment is an accusation in writing, presented by the grand jury to a competent court, charging a person with a public offense.

The grand jury is authorized to proceed against a corporation. [End quoting.]

FUNCTIONS OF THE GRAND JURY

"The rules of legal interpretation are rules of common sense, adopted by the courts in the construction of the laws." [Alexander Hamilton, *Federalist Paper No. 83*.]

Once again, in Blackstone's *Commentaries on the Laws of England*, "The functions of the grand jury are so admirably stated by Mr. Justice Field, while on circuit, that an extended quotation is given from his charge to the grand jury in the United States circuit court." [Quoting further:]

You are summoned as grand jurors of the circuit court of the United States for the district of California, and the duties with which you are charged are of the highest importance to the due administration of justice. By the *Constitution of the United States*, no person can be held to answer for a capital, or otherwise infamous crime, unless on a presentment or indictment of a grand jury, except in cases arising in the land or naval forces, or in the militia when in actual service in time of war or public danger. No steps, therefore, can be taken, with the exceptions mentioned, for the prosecution of any crime of an infamous character—and under that designation the whole series of felonies is classed—beyond the arrest, examination and commitment of the party accused, until the grand jury have deliberated and acted upon the accusation. Your functions are, therefore, not only as already stated, important; they are indispensable to the administration of criminal justice.

The institution of the grand jury is of very ancient origin in the history of England; it goes back many centuries. For a long period its powers were not clearly defined; and it would seem, from the accounts of commentators on the laws of that country, that it was at first a body, which not only accused, but which also tried public offenders. However this may have been in its origin, it was, at the time of the settlement of this country, an informing and accusing tribunal only, without whose previous action no person charged with a felony could, except in certain special cases, be put upon his trial. And in the struggles which at times arose in England between the powers of the king and the rights of the subject, it often stood as a barrier against persecution in his name; until, at length, it came to be regarded as an institution by which the subject was rendered secure against oppression from unfounded prosecutions of the crown.

In this country, from the popular character of our institutions, there has seldom been any contest between the government and the citizen, which required the existence of the grand jury as a protection against oppressive action of the government. Yet the institution was adopted in this country, and is continued from considerations similar to those which give to it its chief value in England, and is designed as a means, not only of bringing to trial persons accused of public offenses upon just grounds, but also as a means of protecting the citizen against unfounded accusation, whether it come from government or be prompted by partisan passion or private enmity. No person shall be required, according to the fundamental law of the country, except in the cases mentioned, to answer for any of the higher crimes, unless this body, consisting of not less than sixteen [*this should now read 19*], nor more than twenty-three, good and lawful men, selected from the body of the district, shall declare, upon careful deliberation, under the solemnity of an oath, that there is good reason for his accusation and trial.

From these observations, it will be seen, gentlemen, that there is a double duty cast upon you as grand jurors of this district; one a duty to the government, or, more properly speaking, to society, to see that parties against whom there is just ground to charge the commission of crime, shall be held to answer the charge; and, on the other hand, a duty to the citizen to see that he is not subjected to prosecution upon accusations having no better foundation than public clamor or private malice...

In your investigations you will receive only legal evidence, to the exclusion of mere reports, suspicions and hearsay evidence. Subject to this qualification, you will receive all the evidence presented which may throw light upon the matter under consideration, whether it tends to establish the innocence or the guilt of the accused. And more: if, in the course of your inquiries, you have reason to believe that there is other evidence, not presented to you, within your reach, which would qualify or explain away the charge under

investigation, it will be your duty to order such evidence to be produced. Formerly, it was held that an indictment might be found if evidence were produced sufficient to render the truth of the charge probable. But a different and a more just and merciful rule now prevails. To justify the finding of an indictment, you must be convinced, so far as the evidence before you goes, that the accused is guilty—in other words, you ought not to find an indictment unless, in your judgment, the evidence before you, unexplained and uncontradicted, would warrant a conviction by a petit jury.

How far you should proceed to inquire into other matters than such as are brought to your consideration by the government, through its prosecution officer, the district attorney, has been a matter of much conflict of opinion among different judges.

Before giving our views upon this subject, it is proper to state that there is a wide difference between the powers and duties of grand juries of the state courts of California and of grand juries of the national courts.

By a statute of the state, grand juries of the state courts possess very great inquisitorial powers. They are required to inquire into the official misconduct of public officers of every description in their country, and are entitled to the examination of all its public records. They are bound by their oath to inquire into and presentment make all public offenses against the laws of the state committed or triable in their county, of which they have, or can obtain, legal evidence. In order to ascertain whether or not there has been any official misconduct in any public office, they have, under the statute, authority to inspect all his books and records, and to subject him to a searching examination.

No such general authority to inspect the books of the officers of the United States, and to subject the officers themselves to examination in respect to the entries in those books, is possessed by the grand juries of the national courts. The exercise of such authority might prove of serious detriment to the public service, for it might interfere with the established system by which the accountability of the local officers of the United States to the executive departments at Washington is secured. You will readily perceive that an inspection by the grand jury, for instance, of the books of the collector of customs at this port, and requiring that officer to explain his entries and his conduct, often directed by private and confidential communications from those departments, might seriously embarrass the government in its action. So, too, embarrassment might follow from a similar inspection of the records and examination of other officers of the United States...

We return now to the inquiry as to what matters you can direct your investigation beyond those which are brought to your notice by the district attorney. Your oath requires you to diligently inquire, and true presentment make, of such articles, matters and things as shall be given you in charge, or otherwise come to your knowledge touching the present service.

The first designation of subjects of inquiry are those which shall be given you in charge; this means those matters which shall be called to your attention by the court, or submitted to your consideration by the district attorney. The second designation of subjects of inquiry are those which shall otherwise come to your knowledge touching the present service; this means those matters within the sphere of and relating to your duties which shall come to your knowledge, other than those to which your attention has been called by the court or submitted to your consideration by the district attorney.

But how come to your knowledge?

Not by rumors and reports, but by knowledge acquired from the evidence before you, or from your own observations. Whilst you are inquiring as to one offense, another and a different offense may be proved, or witnesses before you may, in testifying, commit the crime of perjury.

Some of you, also, may have personal knowledge of the commission of a public offense against the laws of the United States, or of facts which tend to show that such an offense has been committed, or possibly attempts may be made to influence corruptly or improperly your action as grand jurors. If you are personally possessed of such knowledge, you should disclose it to your associates; and if any attempts to influence your action corruptly or improperly are made, you should inform them of it also, and they will act upon the information thus communicated as if presented to them in the first instance by the district attorney.

But unless knowledge is acquired in one of these ways, it cannot be considered as the basis for any action on your part.

We, therefore, instruct you that your investigations are to be limited: First, to such matters as may be called to your attention by the court; or, second, may be submitted to your consideration by the district attorney; or, third, may come to your knowledge in the course of your investigations into the matters brought before you, or from your own observations; or, fourth, may come to your knowledge from the disclosures of your associates.

You will not allow private prosecutors to intrude themselves into your presence, and present accusations. Generally such parties are actuated by private enmity, and seek merely the gratification of their personal malice.

If they possess any information justifying the accusation of the person against whom they complain, they should impart it to the district attorney, who will seldom fail to act in a proper case. But if the district attorney should refuse to act, they can make their complaint to a committing magistrate, before whom the matter can be investigated, and if sufficient evidence be produced of the commission of a public offense by the accused, he can be held to bail to answer to the action of the grand jury.

When the court does not deem the matter of sufficient importance to call your attention to it, and the district attorney does not think it expedient to submit the matter to your consideration, and the private prosecutor neglects to proceed before the committing magistrate, we think it may be safely inferred that public justice will not suffer, if the matter is not considered by you.

A preliminary examination of the accused before a magistrate, where he can meet his prosecutor face to face, and cross-examine him, and the witnesses produced by him, and have the benefit of counsel, is the usual mode of initiating proceedings in criminal cases, and is the one which presents to the citizen the greatest security against false accusations from any quarter. And this mode ought not to be departed from, except in those cases where the attention of the jury is directed to the consideration of particular offenses by the court, or by the district attorney, or the matter is brought to their knowledge in the course of their investigations, or from their own observations, or from disclosures made by some of their number.

We have been led, gentlemen, to give these instructions upon the nature of your duties and the limits to the sphere of your investigations, because an impression widely prevails that the institution of the grand jury has outlived its usefulness, an impression which has been created from a disregard of those limits, and the facility with which it has, unfortunately, often been used as an instrument for the gratification of private malice...

The oath which you have taken indicates the impartial spirit with which your duties should be discharged. You are to present no one from envy, hatred or malice; nor shall you leave anyone unpresented for fear, favor, affection, hope of reward or gain; but shall present all things truly as they come to your knowledge according to the best of your understanding.

You are also to keep your own deliberations secret; you are not at liberty even to state that you have had a matter under consideration. Great injustice and injury might be done to the good name and standing of a citizen if it were known that there had ever been before you for deliberation the question of his guilt or innocence of a public offense. You will allow no one to question you as to your own action or the action of your associates on the grand jury.

To authorize you to find an indictment or presentment there must be a concurrence of at least twelve of your number; a mere majority will not suffice.

The *Constitution*, as you have observed, speaks of a presentment or indictment by a grand jury. The latter—the indictment—is a formal accusation made by the grand jury charging a party with the commission of a public offense. Formerly it was the practice in all courts having jurisdiction to inquire by the intervention of a grand jury of public offenses, amounting to the grade of felonies—and such is the practice now in many courts—for the public prosecutor to hand to the grand jury an instrument of this charcter—that is, a bill of an indictment in form, with a list of the witnesses to establish the offense charged. If in such as the jury found that the evidence produced justified the finding of an indictment they indorsed on the instrument "A true bill"; otherwise, "Not found", or "Not a true bill", or the words "Ignoramus"—we know nothing of it—from the use of which latter word the bill was sometimes said to be ignored.

A presentment differs from an indictment in that it wants technical form, and is usually found by the grand jury upon their own knowledge, or upon the evidence before them, without having any bill from the public prosecutor. It is an informal accusation, which is generally regarded in the light of instructions upon which an indictment can be framed.

This form of accusation has fallen in disuse since the practice has prevailed—and the practice now obtains generally—for the prosecuting officer to attend the grand jury and advise them in their investigations.

The government now seldom delivers bills of indictment to the grand jury in advance of their action, but generally awaits their judgment upon the matters laid before them. The district attorney has the right to be present at the taking of testimony before you for the purpose of giving information or advice touching any matter cognizable to you, and may interrogate witnesses before you, but he has no right to be present pending your deliberations on the evidence. When your vote is taken upon the question whether an indictment shall be found or a presentment made, no person besides yourselves should be present.

These, gentlemen, are all the general instructions which we have thought important to give you at this time. [End quoting.] [Field, J., *Charge to Grand Jury, 2 Sawy. 667, Fed. Cas. No. 18,255.*]

ALEXANDER HAMILTON ON JURIES

In *Federalist Paper No. 83* (1787-1788), Alexander Hamilton writes, [quoting:]

alex. hamilton

The friends of adversaries of the plan of the convention [constitutional convention], if they agree in nothing else, concur at least in the value they set upon the trial by jury; or if there is any difference between them it consists in this: the former regard it as a valuable safeguard to liberty; the latter represent it as the very palladium of free government. For my own part, the more the operation of the institution has fallen under my observation, the more reason I have discovered for holding it in high estimation; and it would be altogether superfluous to examine to what extent it deserves to be esteemed useful or essential in a representative republic, or how much more merit it may be entitled to as a defense against the oppressions of an hereditary monarch, than as a barrier to the tyranny of popular magistrates in a popular government. Discussions of this kind would be more curious than beneficial, as all are satisfied of the utility of the institution, and of its friendly aspect to liberty. But I must acknowledge that I cannot readily discern the inseparable connection between the existence of liberty and the trial by jury in civil cases. Arbitrary impeachments, arbitrary punishments upon arbitrary convictions have ever appeared to me to be the great engines of judicial despotism; and these have all relation to criminal proceedings. The trial by jury in criminal cases, aided by the *habeas corpus* act, seems therefore to be alone concerned in the question. [End quoting.]

EUSTACE MULLINS

In modern times, one person who is well acquainted with the judicial process is author and researcher Eustace Mullins. In his book *Rape of Justice*, Mullins comments: "When an American citizen goes into court, he can say, as Christ said in *Luke 22:53*, 'this is your hour, and the power of darkness.' We are on the verge of dispelling the power of darkness in our courts. We must now turn on the lights full force, and see hordes of cockroaches scuttling frantically towards a dark corner. There are a number of encouraging developments throughout the United States; first, a growing awareness of the absolute corruption of the legal process; two, there is little that most lawyers will do for you except to take your money; and, three, your awareness of the true condition of the legal morass is your best protection."

CONCLUDING REMARKS

The dangers within the grand jury system include the potential domination of the jury with a tyrannical or dominating and authoritarian person. The general consensus across the nation seems to be that county grand juries are "rubber stamps" for the district attorney's office and a mere "yes-man" extension of that office, with a prosecutorial agenda to fulfill. There are, of course, two sides to that coin. There is also the potential for fair and impartial examination of evidence. Abuse of power also remains an unknown factor within the grand jury process.

State and federal grand juries, while slightly different in scope from the county grand jury, are conceptually the same. When 19-23 men and women examine evidence before them, or evidence which they research through their efforts and determine that impropriety of a criminal nature occurred, they have the authority and the obligation to issue indictments against those persons. So, too, if they do not find evidence supporting claims of wrong doing, then they are duty bound to reach that conclusion and not issue indictments.

What became clear to me in my discussions with Kern County grand jurors is that the scope of the grand jury function "behind-the-scenes" is far greater than we would suspect with regard to insuring integrity within the city and county government structure and behind the scenes problem solving and resolution of improprieties when those in a given agency may not be aware that a given action is inappropriate. Indictments and prosecution are not the only possibilities here.

Surely there is equal opportunity for abuse and corruption, particularly in sparse, rural areas of the country when the same group of citizens step forward to take responsibility (or control). But this, too, has built in safeguards, as the term for grand jury service is 1 year. There are, it is true, those who are "held over" for a second term but then stepping down for a minimum of 1 year is required.

The KEY person, by far, within the entire grand jury structure is the Foreman. The Foreman sets the tone and the pace for what is or is not accomplished.

The grand jury has at its disposal county counsel, as well as counsel from the superior court presiding judge. There is NOT, however, a judge present at the grand jury procedings—just the citizens sitting on the jury. While the annual operating budgets for local grand juries are minimal at best, the grand jury does have access to certain records and documents that the general public would not have access to.

There are many unemployed, or independent, or retired, or semi-retired people across the nation who often ask themselves, "How can I make a difference?" One way to really make a difference at the local county level is to apply to the grand jury. Please keep in mind before doing this, however, that it is a commitment of about 3 days a week, for one year—with no pay to speak of (approximately $10/day).

Sitting on the grand jury process IS community service, and also a way to influence the judicial system directly. So, if you don't like the way your local government is being run and you feel corruption is rampant and you don't want to run for public office, try participation on the grand jury.

AFTERWORD

We live in an imperfect world, and our Founding Fathers foresaw many difficulties prior to the actual framing of the *Constitution*, as evidenced, in part, by Hamilton's remarks on judges, grand juries, and juries. The bottom line here, in my opinion, is that a grand jury is only as good as the integrity and moral foundation of the people who sit on the jury. Corruption and abuse of power breed corruption and further abuse of power, but as Hamilton so aptly put it, "The friends of adversaries of the plan of the convention, if they agree in nothing else, concur at least in the value they set upon the trial by jury; or if there is any difference between them it consists in this: the former regard it as a valuable safeguard to liberty; the latter represent it as the very palladium of free government."

* * *

** Footnote: I would like to offer a special acknowledgement and thanks to the 1995 Kern County Grand-Jury Foreperson Deborah Phillips and other jury members for their open and thorough sharing of information about the Grand Jury system. It is my firm belief that the current grand jury in Kern County is a fair and impartial body of free-thinking individuals and not a "rubber stamp" for the D.A.'s office. This was a very reaffirming and pleasant realization.*

CHAPTER 13

A REMINDER FROM SANANDA

The following message from Sananda is always timely. It is excerpted from *The Phoenix Express* and Phoenix Journal #12*, Crucifixion Of the Phoenix.*

1/11/90 SANANDA

Sananda to commune. I see we have some painful subject matter today. Firstly, allow me to remind you ones of something, for great quarrels are being conjured because of ridiculous pronouncements.

The word is coming back that this writer declares Jesus to be either extinct or a mental figment or worse, an imposter and now some new "Sananda" is the big cheese. Oh dear, you ones of that tiny, dark planet with all its beauty—do not either listen or see.

You are into the time of the "prophecy's fruition"—not only would I not return as the identical "Jesus" than I would return as the identical Quetzal Coatl or Buddha. STOP your foolishness—even in your tampered "Bibles" it was said that the Christ would bear a new name to designate his position. That name is simply "Sananda". It is a designation and you do not understand the Universal language. I have been coming and going for some many years in this latter century—as Sananda—mostly to the higher places of teaching and to the places where the remaining portions of the continents contain remnants of your ancient civilizations. IT WOULD BE MOST WISE INDEED, IF THE QUARRELS CEASE AND MAN LOOKS AROUND AT THE PLIGHT IN WHICH HE IS MIRED. IT IS OF SATAN TO CAUSE DERISION AND DENIAL FOR THERE IS NAUGHT SAVE LIGHT AND TRUTH IN THESE WORDS. CONTINUE TO DENY AND THE GRIM REAPER SHALL EXPUNGE THE LIE AND IT SHALL BE UGLY INDEED. SO BE IT.
How did you expect me to make an entrance and how were you to be prepared? Did you think I would come via Jimmy Bakker or Jimmy Swaggart? How about Ramtha? Mafu? Lazarus? No, I would come again, first within the hearts of man. Further, there would be a great revival of man turning into truth as the Word goes forth—I AM THE WORD! Not this little scribe who would resign her post—"I" AM THE WORD. Do not be dismayed by those who do not believe—there is no force from God—each will make his choice. You dear ones who make effort at sending forth the word, do not waste of thy time in the remorse of those who will not hear. As it spreads and grows—they will hear, or, they simply will continue to discredit. If you listen—really listen—to their tales, you can know of their ignorance and untruth and they have simply become ensnared within the tentacles of the liar.

It comes from the egos which assume themselves to be "too big" to be attacked and either do not know how to remove the evil fragments or find it "beneath" their need to do so. They are easiest to entrap. These ones "know it all" already and have great "visions" and it usually has more to do with human "following"—"fan clubs" and/or riches gaining. Some are simply duped.

If an "energy" tells you everything you "want" to hear, be highly suspect of that mouth! Almost everything coming forth in truth is that which NO ONE WANTS TO HEAR! HOWEVER, WITH IT COMES THE

SOLUTIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS—AND ALWAYS THE GRACE OF CREATOR AND THE UNLIMITED FORGIVENESS, LOVE AND PLACEMENT.

CHAPTER 14

THE NEWS DESK
Phyllis Linn 10/27/95

RUSSIANS ARE MARCHING IN KANSAS

James Brooke wrote this article for *THE NEW YORK TIMES*. It appeared in the October 27 issue of the *DAILY NEWS*, [quoting:]

FORT RILEY, Kan.—With Thursday's cold autumn sun flashing off badges of double-headed eagles, a stern Russian color guard high-stepped across the frigid Kansas steppes. [*You can tell Mr. Brooke is a novelist at heart*!] But contradicting decades of dire, Cold War-era predictions, the first Russian soldiers to march on American soil came not as invaders, but as participants in a training exercise for a binational peacekeeping force. [*The Cold War was largely a ruse to terrify us into seeing the need for a strong United Nations, under the guise of which we will soon be controlled by international military "peace-keepers" such as these.*]

"We have gathered here on the plains of Kansas to work together," said Maj. Gen Randolph W. House, the base's commander, as he reviewed rows of soldiers in green camouflage gear—half from Fort Riley's 1st Infantry Division and half from the 27th Guards Motorized Rifle Division of Orenburg, Russia. For the next week, 300 American and Russian troops will work together on a peacekeeping mission to a fictional Kanza. With scores of interpreters smoothing the way, the binational force is to sweep for mines, **search cars at checkpoints**, protect food convoys and **suppress riots by Kanzan refugees**.

Transported by Blackhawk helicopters and Humvees, the Russian-American force will maintain a buffer zone between belligerents in Kanza's long-running civil war. When attacked, the Russians and Americans will fight shoulder-to-shoulder, firing laser-equipped Kalishnikovs and M-16s at hostile Kanzans. Although no one in camouflage green wanted to say it out loud, "Kanza" could be a code word for Bosnia. [*Or Kansas.*]

On Nov. 1, the presidents of Bosnia, Croatia, and Serbia are to start peace talks in Dayton, Ohio. President Clinton has offered to send 20,000 American troops to participate in a 60,000-member, NATO-led peacekeeping mission. President Boris Yeltsin of Russia has resisted putting Russian forces under NATO control, but Monday in Hyde Park, N.Y., he and Clinton reportedly agreed that Russia would send 2,000 troops to perform non-combat duties, like managing supplies, running airlifts and sweeping for mines. [*Sounds like the 27th Guards may be overqualified for such non-combat duties!*]

FRANCE SUBMITS TO SECURITY MEASURES

french soldiers
search

From the October 19 issue of *THE ORLANDO SENTINEL*, [quoting:]

PARIS—Some are resigned; others terrified. But one day after the latest terrorist bomb ripped through a Paris subway, all have the same grim assessment: the capital is under siege. Increased security has become a familiar feature as authorities try to stem a bombing wave that has left seven people dead and 180 injured since late July. Urban residents have grown accustomed to police searching their bags outside department stores, stopping them for identity checks or peering under benches and down crowded subway corridors in search of anything suspicious. [*I hope you don't think "it couldn't happen here"*.]

After Tuesday's bomb injured 29 people on an underground commuter train in the heart of Paris, the government called out hundreds more troops to back up police guards at embassies, public buildings and official residences. Responsibility for most of the seven previous bombings or attempted bombings have been claimed by Algerian insurgents [*aka Mossad agent provocateurs?*].

NEW CRIMES FOR CALIFORNIANS

From the October 18 issue of the *DAILY NEWS*, [quoting:]

SACRAMENTO—In a move one critic said could turn "utterly innocent" conduct into crimes, Gov. Pete Wilson [*former presidential aspirant*] signed legislation to allow police to arrest people they think are about to sell drugs or sex. The crime bill, by Assemblyman Richard Katz, will make it a misdemeanor for someone to loiter with the intent to commit a drug offense or to engage in prostitution. It will take effect Jan. 1.

According to the bill, intent to sell drugs or sex could be shown by beckoning to passing cars, striking up conversations with passers-by or having a previous drug or prostitution arrest. Kathy Sher, a lobbyist for a defense lawyers' group, California Attorneys for Criminal Justice [*interesting expression, "criminal justice"*], said the bill could turn "utterly innocent" acts into crimes. "Every time the question was asked, 'How do the police know (someone intends to commit a crime),' they said, 'We know, we know who these people are,'" Sher said. "I think that's kind of frightening." [*No kidding.*]

U.S. GETS ANOTHER CZAR

From the October 15 issue of the *LOS ANGELES TIMES*, [quoting:]

SAN DIEGO—Attorney General Janet Reno has appointed U.S. Attorney Alan Bersin to a newly created post of "border czar", which will oversee the far-flung array of law enforcement agencies at the U.S.-Mexico line. The designation of Bersin as the attorney general's special representative on border issues represents an unprecedented effort to improve the fight against drug and immigrant smuggling and overhaul a bureaucracy that suffers from internal conflicts, corruption and inefficiency. [*This is governmentese—*

what they really MEAN is: it's a further centralization of government and a step toward better "control"—not elimination—of drug and immigrant smuggling.]

It also recognizes that the traditional federal approach—dividing the border into geographical and jurisdictional fiefdoms [*called "states"*]—falls short of the complex reality of the almost 2,000-mile-long border, which requires a **comprehensive strategy**, officials said. [*If this sounds plausible to you, we have bridges to sell...Here's the clincher:*] Bersin, 48, attended Oxford [*Rhodes scholar, perhaps?*] and Yale universities with President Clinton and Harvard with Vice President Gore. [*A real crime fighter, huh!*]

ANDY ROONEY

From the October 10 issue of *THE ORLANDO SENTINEL*, [quoting:]

NEW YORK—Andy Rooney of CBS's *60 Minutes* will offer a $1 million reward Sunday for the capture and conviction of the killer of Nicole Brown Simpson and Ron Goldman—but the crusty commentator does not expect to have to pay up. A spokesman for the program said Friday that Rooney is outraged at the acquittal of O.J. Simpson and is offering the reward as a protest. [*If the reward is for* ***"information*** *leading to the capture and conviction...", Gary Wean could and certainly DID provide information to the LAPD, Simpson defense team, and many others. It was ignored, rather than rewarded. Too bad, I would like to see Rooney pay up.*]

DROUGHT FROM VIRGINIA TO MAINE

This account of "unnatural weather" comes from a recent issue of the *PHILADELPHIA INQUIRER*, [quoting:]

PHILADELPHIA—From Maine's Penobscot River in the North to Virginia's Potomac River in the South, withering drought has left experts amazed at its scope and severity. "The last 12 months have been the driest on record since 1895," said William Brown, a meteorologist with the National Climatic Data Center, in Asheville, N.C. "It is rare that we see that widespread a drought," said Robert Mason, a hydrologist with the U.S. Geological Survey headquarters in Reston, Va.

ABORTION-INDUCING TETANUS VACCINE?

In the June 1, 1995 issue of *THE WANDERER* the Pro-Life Committee of Mexico has made allegations that a UN program developed to provide women of third world countries with a tetanus vaccine is really a program to inject the unsuspecting women with an abortion-inducing drug. These are pretty stout accusations. However, the Committee says it became suspicious in regards to some of the mandates of the program. Only women receive the tetanus shot. These women must be between the ages of 15 and 45, or of child-bearing age. The women receive multiple injections as opposed to just one injection in the United States. The program was introduced into Catholic countries, such as Mexico and the Philippines, where they are resisting UN birth control measures. Going the extra mile to prove their case, the Committee obtained vials of the tetanus vaccine.

They claim it contains a human hormone called hCG, as well as the tetanus toxoid. The injection of hCG

causes the body to develop anti-bodies which attack and thus terminate subsequent pregnancies. The scandal is said to include various branches of the U.S. Government's National Institute of Health. [*If true, this would dovetail with other globalist depopulation "techniques"*.]

CHAPTER 15

U. S. SUPREME COURT REAFFIRMS POWER OF GRAND JURY

OKLAHOMA CITY GRAND JUROR ISSUES WAKE-UP CALL

by Rick Martin 10/28/95

The *5th Amendment* to the *Constitution* opens with, "No person shall be held to answer for a capital, or otherwise infamous crime, unless on a presentment or indictment of a grand jury..."

Some of our readers may still be unclear about the actual authority or scope of a grand jury. You may want to go back and reread, "Demystifying The Grand Jury System", which appeared on pages 18-22 in last week's (10-24) *CONTACT*.

In 1991, the U.S. Supreme Court made a ruling which further delineates and clarifies the role of grand juries. This is particularly interesting in light of current events concerning a grand juror from the Oklahoma City Grand Jury. This juror has been, just this week, thrown off the jury for violating Federal Civil Procedure, in an interesting turn of the tables.

In an article appearing in the November edition of *MEDIA BYPASS*, author Lawrence Myers writes, "Federal prosecutors refused a grand jury's request to interview witnesses and ask questions, many centered on the mysterious John Doe No. 2, before deliberating the government's case against Oklahoma City bombing suspects Timothy McVeigh and Terry Lynn Nichols, according to allegations made by grand jurors and witnesses who testified in the case."

In this alarming article, Myers states, "...he and several others on the 23-member panel remain suspicious of the government's case, as presented to the grand jury that was seated at Tinker Air Force Base just days after the bombing."
Continuing, "Although jurors say they were convinced that both McVeigh and Nichols were involved in the plot and that the government proved its case, they openly stated on the record during the proceeding that they suspected there were other conspirators, and the government may have deliberately withheld information that could prove it. At least one grand juror regularly objected to the prosecution's failure to present information on the elusive John Doe No. 2"

As if this weren't enough, "The juror also expressed concerns about the conduct of judges and prosecutors who presided over the three-month grand jury proceeding. Among other alleged improprieties, the juror claimed that an assistant U.S. Attorney advised them that they could not directly question any witnesses. Instead, the juror said, he and the 22 other jurors were told they must raise their hands to notify prosecutors that they had a question."

And thus, it would seem, *foul play* entered into the grand jury process at Oklahoma City. Haven't those

prosecutors heard of Supreme Court decisions as they relate to grand juries? Or, what are they trying to hide???

In an interview with *CONTACT* on Oct. 28, Oklahoma City grand juror (now, former grand juror) Hoppy Heidelberg expresses his concerns clearly.

On October 24, 1995, U.S. District Judge David Russell informed Mr. Heidelberg by letter that, "Effective immediately, you are dismissed from the grand jury. Your obligation of secrecy continues. Any disclosure of matters that occurred before the grand jury constitutes a contempt of court. **Each violation of the obligation of secrecy may be punished cumulatively.**":

Hoppy Heidelberg told *CONTACT*, "I don't know how you started your story on the grand jury system but it really starts with the *Magna Carta*. Prior to the *Magna Carta*, the crown could prosecute anybody they wanted to, for any crime they chose. This made it convenient to eliminate political rivals or anybody who questioned the actions of the Crown. After 1215, there was a battle, of course, between English lords and King John. And, King John was defeated, in effect, and was forced to fight and sign this *Magna Carta*. And out of that came most of our common law, as you know, and out of that came the idea, the concept, of the grand jury wherein the Crown or the State can only try people if a jury of their peers has allowed it—a jury of their peers has defined that there is sufficient cause to take the person to court. And it was designed that they buffer between the state and its citizens, to protect the citizens from the state. And people don't understand that anymore and it's a really important concept to understand. It is not just a tool that the state uses to indict people they want to prosecute.

"The American people's only protection from the state is the grand jury system. Obviously, this is not necessarily the government's fault. This is the people's fault. Anytime the people abdicate any of their responsibilities it creates a vacuum. And, as you know, vacuums don't stay vacuums very long. They are filled by somebody. And typically, how it works is when the American people abdicate a particular responsibility, the government moves in. It doesn't necessarily mean the government had ulterior motives to move in; it might, but it just means that it's normal; that's it's nature that vacuums are filled by somebody or something. And so, as the American people become more apathetic and abdicate more of their rights and responsibilities, the government becomes more powerful. And it is just like a camp fire left unattended, you know. As long as you tend to your camp fire, it's fine—you cook your food, you stay warm, it's a useful servant. But if you don't pay attention and your camp fire gets out of control, you might find yourself in the middle of a forest fire and be consumed. And government is not unlike fire. It has to be tended, and minded or it can get out of control. And you can't let your servant become the master or you're in big trouble. And everybody can understand that idea of what happens when a camp fire gets out of control and becomes a forest fire. You can be consumed by your little camp fire that got out of control.

"It was my understanding from reading the handbook that was handed out to us as grand jurors, by the federal government, that we'd have the right—that any grand juror has the right—to question witnesses directly. And, I don't know how to say this, it was suggested that we don't do that."

Rick asked, "It was suggested by federal prosecutors?

Hoppy responded, "Yes, there was an attempt to keep me from doing that."

Rick: "Was that an attempt by others on the grand jury or by those outside the grand jury?"

Hoppy said, "Both, in this sense, the prosecutors objected and so the rest of the grand jury went along with the prosecutors."

Rick: "Was that typical?"

Hoppy: "Probably. This is the only grand jury I've ever been on, so how do I know?"

Rick: "I mean, typical for <u>that</u> grand jury?"

Hoppy: "Oh yeah, whatever the prosecution wanted. And that's why I was gradually isolated and people would avoid me because they knew the prosecutors were angry with me. I was isolated, you know, because they couldn't afford to have all the grand jurors asking some pointed questions. So, they had to isolate me and make sure the jury understood that I was not on the "approved" list, so that they wouldn't copy-cat, you know.

"You're looking at legal issues, Constitutional rights, functions of the grand jury, things like that—so, from your point of view, how we were not allowed to question is really more important than John Doe.

"I mean, you're interested in possible prosecutorial misconduct, possible violation of my Constitutional rights, possible violations of all the jurors rights. That sort of thing. It's all there. All of those are possibilities.

"Now, as the prosecution has pointed out to me in trying to get me to be quiet, even though they didn't want me to ask questions directly, I did anyway, so what am I complaining about? Well, that's not the point. The fact that I had to ignore instructions and, sometimes, use subterfuge, just to get my questions asked—I shouldn't have had to do that. I should have been able—and on other grand juries—other people who have studied this have said, "Hell, most grand jurors can even interrupt a witness while they're testifying and ask questions if they don't understand something." It's very open. And, I'd say that ours wasn't open. And, we were asked to submit our questions to the prosecution prior to—and then allow the prosecution to ask the questions of the witnesses. And I disapproved of that plan. Because, you may just have one question in mind but the witness' answer may raise three more. So, how do you really cross examine somebody? How do you examine a witness if you have to basically submit written questions in advance and are not allowed to follow-up? So, it was ridiculous and I felt that my rights were violated as a grand juror with that method."

Rick: "Let me get your fax. (Reading the judge's letter to Hoppy.) 'Each violation of the obligation of secrecy may be punished cumulatively.'"

Hoppy: "How do you like that "cumulatively"? How do you interpret that, Rick?"

Rick: "Well, I interpret that as a big hammer over your head."

Hoppy: "Right. In other words, instead of six months it may be six months per offense. You can only hold

somebody for contempt of court for six months. But, if you make each offense separate and make it consecutive, you could hold somebody the rest of their lives. When they use the word 'cumulatively' there, they're saying, 'Don't count on six months, but you may be there for years.'

"I got a little handbook from Liberty Lobby years ago. It was an interesting little book, so I didn't throw it away. ...the last half of the book is strictly jurors' rights, duties, and responsibilities. It's very interesting, and so I kept that—when I got the handbook that they had given me, and as they began to challenge their own handbook, I began to seek more information and I recalled this little book and I was able to find it. And then it confirmed everything that the federal handbook said, and much more. Because the federal handbook is not going to tell you about any rights of 'nullification', see. [Laughter.] But the little old Liberty Lobby book says, 'Well, sure, you don't have to do what anybody says. You can do what you want. What you think is right.'

"And they're not crazy about grand jurors understanding all of their rights or their authority. And they sure don't want them to understand what their authority is. They might begin to exercise it.

"If I am prosecuted—I'm already being persecuted—but in the event I am prosecuted, that's a wake-up call. Because if they get away with it with me, there is no more grand jury system. It's merely a formality from that point forward. Because who in their right mind would even serve on a grand jury, or if they did, they'd damn sure keep their mouth shut if I got a big old prison term, or something. So, this is critical to America. My case, right now, is critical to America. You know, am I allowed to exercise my rights as a citizen? And as a grand juror? Or, am I not? And, my rights are their rights. They need to understand that. And I think most juries probably do, but most American people really don't. But it's not just, 'do I have a right to?' The real question is, do they have the right to? Because if I have the right to, so do they. And if I don't, neither do they. And so, this is critical to every citizen in America, this issue of whether or not I have the right to perform my duties without being hindered. And, if I am hindered, to speak up."

Thank you, Hoppy.

Now, to find out what the grand jury can REALLY do, read the following Supreme Court decision. Then, if you can get your hands on a copy, you may want to read Myer's article in the November *MEDIA BYPASS* (812) 477-8670. Or, simply stay tuned to mainstream media to see how they cover this story of a grand juror who knew his rights within the grand jury, refused coercion, felt compelled to go public, and is now taking the heat!

In *West's Supreme Court Reporter, Vol. 111A* appears *498 U.S. 292, 112 L.Ed.2d 795*:

United States, Petitioner
v.
R. Enterprises, Inc., et al.,

No. 89-1436—argued on Oct. 29, 1990, decided on Jan. 22, 1991. [Quoting:]

Companies which were subject of grand jury investigation into allegations of interstate transportation of obscene materials moved to quash grand jury subpoenas. The United States District Court for the Eastern

District of Virginia denied motions. The Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit quashed subpoenas. Certiorari was granted. The Supreme Court, Justice O'Connor, held that: (1) *Nixon* standard for reviewing trial subpoenas did not apply to grand jury subpoenas; (2) where subpoena is challenged on relevancy grounds, motion to quash must be denied unless district court determines there is no reasonable possibility that category of materials Government seeks will produce information relevant to general subject of grand jury investigation; and (3) district court correctly denied motions to quash.

Reversed and remanded.

Justice Scalia, joined in all but Part III-B of the opinion.

Justice Stevens filed an opinion concurring in part and concurring in the judgment, in which Justices Marshall and Blackmun joined.

Opinion on remand, 955 F.2d 229.

1. Grand Jury—36.4(1)
Nixon standard for reviewing enforcement of trial subpoenas does not apply to grand jury subpoenas.

2. Grand Jury—36.4(1)
Grand jury may compel production of evidence or testimony of witnesses as it considers appropriate, and its operation generally is unrestrained by technical, procedural and evidentiary rules governing conduct of criminal trials.

3. Grand Jury—36.4(2)
Investigatory powers of grand jury are not unlimited; grand juries are not licensed to engage in arbitrary fishing expeditions, nor may they select targets of investigation out of malice or intent to harass.

4. Grand Jury
The law presumes, absent strong showing to the contrary, that grand jury acts within legitimate scope of its authority.

5. Grand Jury—36.9(2)
Grand jury subpoena issued through normal channels is presumed to be reasonable, and burden of showing unreasonableness must be on recipient who seeks to avoid compliance. Fed.Rules Cr.Proc.Rule 17(c), 18 U.S.C.A.

6. Grand Jury—36.4(2)
Where grand jury subpoena is challenged on relevancy grounds, motion to quash must be denied unless district court determines that there is no reasonable possibility that category of materials Government seeks will produce information relevant to general subject of grand jury's investigation.

7. Grand Jury—36.4(2)
Companies were not entitled to quashal, on grounds of relevancy, of grand jury subpoenas *duces tecum*

seeking variety of corporate books and records and, in one case, copies of videotapes shipped to retailers; it was undisputed that all three companies were owned by same person, all did business in same area, and one of three shipped sexually explicit materials and court could have concluded from those facts that there was reasonable possibility that business records of the two other companies would produce information relevant to grand jury investigation into interstate transportation of obscene materials. Fed.Rules Cr.Proc.Rule 17(c), 18 U.S.C.A.

8. Grand Jury—36.4(2)

For purposes of determining reasonableness of subpoenas, grand jury need not accept on faith self-serving assertions of those who may have committed criminal acts; rather, it is entitled to determine for itself whether crime has been committed.

*SYLLABUS**

Pursuant to an investigation into allegations of interstate transportation of obscene materials, a federal grand jury sitting in the Eastern District of Virginia issued subpoenas *duces tecum* to Model Magazine Distributors, Inc. (Model), and to respondents R. Enterprises, Inc., and MFR Court Street Books, Inc. (MFR), all of which were based in New York and wholly owned by the same person. The subpoenas sought a variety of corporate books and records and, in Model's case, copies of certain videotapes that it had shipped to retailers in the Eastern District. The District Court denied the companies' motions to quash the subpoenas and, when the companies refused to comply with the subpoenas, found each of them in contempt. The Court of Appeals, *inter alia*, quashed the subpoenas issued to respondents, ruling that the subpoenas did not satisfy the relevancy prong of the test set out in *United States v. Nixon*, 418 U.S. 683, 699-700, 94 S.Ct. 3090, 3103-3104, 41 LEd.2d 1039—which requires the Government to establish relevancy, admissibility, and specificity in order to enforce a subpoena in the trial context—and that the subpoenas therefore failed to meet the requirement that any document subpoenaed under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 17(c) be admissible as evidence at trial. The court did not consider respondents' contention that enforcement of the subpoenas would likely infringe their *First Amendment* rights.

* *The syllabus constitutes no part of the opinion of the Court but has been prepared by the Reporter of Decisions for the convenience of the reader.*

Held:

1. The Court of Appeals did not apply the proper standard in evaluating the subpoenas issued to respondents. Pp. 725-729.

(a) The *Nixon* standard does not apply in the context of grand jury proceedings. The unique role of a grand jury makes its subpoenas much different from subpoenas issued in the context of a criminal trial. **Thus, this Court has held that a grand jury may compel the production of evidence or the testimony of witnesses as it considers appropriate, and that its operation generally is unrestrained by the technical procedural and evidentiary rules governing the conduct of criminal trials.** *Nixon's* multifactor test would invite impermissible procedural delays and detours while courts evaluate the relevancy and admissibility of documents sought by a particular subpoena. Additionally, requiring the Gov-

ernment to explain in too much detail the particular reasons underlying a subpoena threatens to compromise the indispensable secrecy of grand jury proceedings. Broad disclosure also affords the targets of investigation far more information about the grand jury's workings than the Rules of Criminal Procedure appear to contemplate. Pp. 726-727.

(b) The grand jury's investigatory powers are nevertheless subject to the limit imposed by Rule 17(c), which provides that "the court *on motion* made promptly may quash or modify the subpoena *if compliance would be unreasonable* or oppressive" (emphasis added). Since a grand jury subpoena issued through normal channels is presumed to be reasonable, the burden of showing unreasonableness, as the above language indicates, must be on the recipient who seeks to avoid compliance, and the Court of Appeals erred to the extent that it placed an initial burden on the Government. Moreover, where, as here, a subpoena is challenged on relevancy grounds, the motion to quash must be denied unless the district court determines that there is no reasonable possibility that the category of materials the Government seeks will produce information relevant to the general subject of the grand jury's investigation. Since respondents did not challenge the subpoenas as being too indefinite or claim that compliance would be overly burdensome, this Court does not consider these aspects of the subpoenas. Pp. 727-728.

(c) Because it seems unlikely that a challenging party who does not know the general subject matter of the grand jury's investigation will be able to make the necessary showing that compliance with a subpoena would be unreasonable, a court may be justified in requiring the Government to reveal the investigation's general subject before requiring the challenger to carry its burden of persuasion. However, this question need not be resolved here, since there is no doubt that respondents knew the subject of the particular investigation. P. 728.

(d) Application of the above principles demonstrates that the District Court correctly denied respondents' motions to quash. Based on the undisputed facts that all three companies are owned by the same person, that all do business in the same area, and that Model has shipped sexually explicit materials into the Eastern District of Virginia, the court could have concluded that there was a reasonable possibility that respondents' business records would produce information relevant to the grand jury's investigation, notwithstanding respondents' self-serving denial of any connection to Virginia. P. 729.

2. This Court expresses no view on, and leaves to the Court of Appeals to resolve, the issue whether, based on respondents' contention that the records subpoenaed related to *First Amendment* activities, the Government was required to demonstrate that they were particularly relevant to the investigation. P. 729.

884 F.2d 772 (Ca4 1989), reversed in part and remanded.

O'CONNOR, J. delivered the opinion for a unanimous Court with respect to Parts I and II, the opinion of the Court with respect to Parts III-A and IV, in which REHNQUIST, C.J. and WHITE, SCALIA, KENNEDY, and SOUTER, JJ., joined, and the opinion of the Court with respect to Part III-B, in which REHNQUIST, C.J., and WHITE, SCALIA, KENNEDY, and SOUTER, JJ., joined, and the opinion of the Court with respect to Part III-B, in which REHNQUIST, C.J., and WHITE, KENNEDY, and SOUTER, JJ., joined. STEVENS, J., filed an opinion concurring in part and concurring in the judgment, in which MARSHALL and BLACKMUN, JJ., joined, *post*, p. 729.

* * * * * *

William C. Bryson, Washington, D.C., for petitioner.
Herald P. Fahringer, New York City, for respondents.
Justice O'CONNOR delivered the opinion of the Court. *Justice SCALIA joins in all but Part III-B of this opinion*.

This case requires the Court to decide what standards apply when a party seeks to avoid compliance with a subpoena *duces tecum* issued in connection with a grand jury investigation.

I

Since 1986, a federal grand jury sitting in the Eastern District of Virginia has been investigating allegations of interstate transportation of obscene materials. In early 1988, the grand jury issued a series of subpoenas to three companies—Model Magazine Distributors, Inc. (Model), R. Enterprises, Inc., and MFR Court Street Books, Inc. (MFR). Model is a New York distributor of sexually oriented paperback books, magazines, and videotapes. R. Enterprises, which distributes adult materials, and MFR, which sells books, magazines, and videotapes, are also based in New York. All three companies are wholly owned by Martin Rothstein. The grand jury subpoenas sought a variety of corporate books and records and, in Model's case, copies of 193 videotapes that Model had shipped to retailers in the Eastern District of Virginia. All three companies moved to quash the subpoenas, arguing that the subpoenas called for production of materials irrelevant to the grand jury's investigation and that the enforcement of the subpoenas would likely infringe their *First Amendment* rights.
The District Court, after extensive hearings, denied the motions to quash. As to Model, the court found that the subpoenas for business records were sufficiently specific and that production of the videotapes would not constitute a prior restraint. App. to Pet. for Cert. 57a-58a. As to R. Enterprises, the court found a "sufficient connection with Virginia for further investigation by the grand jury." *Id.,* at 60a. The court relied in large part on the statement attributed to Rothstein that the three companies were "all the same thing, I'm president of all three." *Ibid.* Additionally, the court explained in denying MFR's motion to quash that it was "inclined to agree" with "the majority of the jurisdictions," which do not require the Government to make a "threshold showing" before a grand jury subpoena will be enforced. *Id*., at 63a. Even assuming that a preliminary showing of relevance was required, the court determined that the Government had made such a showing. It found sufficient evidence that the companies were "related entities," at least one of which "certainly did ship sexually explicit material to the Commonwealth of Virginia." *Ibid.* The court concluded that the subpoenas in this case were "fairly standard business subpoenas" and "ought to be complied with." *Id.,* at 65a. Notwithstanding these findings, the companies refused to comply with the subpoenas. The District Court found each in contempt and fined them $500 per day, but stayed imposition of the fine pending appeal. *Id.,* at 64a.

The Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit upheld the business records subpoenas issued to Model, but remanded the motion to quash the subpoena for Model's videotapes. *In re Grand Jury 87-3 Subpoena Deces Tecum,* 884 F.2d 772 (1989). Of particular relevance here, the Court of Appeals quashed the business records subpoenas issued to R. Enterprises and MFR. In doing so, it applied the standards set out by this Court in *United States v. Nixon*, 418 U.S. 683, 699-700, 94 S.Ct. 3090, 3103-3104, 41 L.Ed.2d 1039 (1974). The court recognized that *Nixon* dealt with a trial subpoena, not a grand jury

subpoena, but determined that the rule was "equally applicable" in the grand jury context. 884 F.2d, at 776, n.2. Accordingly, it required the Government to clear the three hurdles that *Nixon* established in the trial context—relevancy, admissibility, and specificity—in order to enforce the grand jury subpoenas. *Id.,* at 776. The court concluded that the challenged subpoenas did not satisfy the *Nixon* standards, finding no evidence in the record that either company had even shipped materials into, or otherwise conducted business in, the Eastern District of Virginia. *Ibid.* The Court of Appeals specifically criticized the District Court for drawing an inference that, because Rothstein owned all three businesses and one of them had undoubtedly shipped sexually explicit materials into the Eastern District of Virginia, there might be some link between the Eastern District of Virginia and R. Enterprises or MFR. *Id.*, at 777. It then noted that "any evidence concerning Mr. Rothstein's alleged business activities outside of Virginia, or his ownership of companies which distribute allegedly obscene materials outside of Virginia, would most likely be inadmissible on relevancy grounds at any trial that might occur," and that the subpoenas therefore failed "the meet the requirements [*sic*] that any documents subpoenaed under [Federal] Rule [of Criminal Procedure] 17(c) must be admissible as evidence at trial." *Ibid.*, citing *Nixon*, *supra*, at 700. The Court of Appeals did not consider whether enforcement of the subpoenas *duces tecum* issued to respondents implicated the *First Amendment*.

We granted certiorari to determine whether the Court of Appeals applied the proper standard in evaluating the grand jury subpoenas issued to respondents. 496 U.S. 924, 110 S.Ct. 2616, 110 L.Ed.2d 638 (1990). We now reverse.

II

[1] The grand jury occupies a unique role in our criminal justice system. It is an investigatory body charged with the responsibility of determining whether or not a crime has been committed. Unlike this Court, whose jurisdiction is predicated on a specific case or controversy, the grand jury "can investigate merely on suspicion that the law is being violated, or even just because it wants assurance that it is not." *United States v. Morton Salt Co.,* 338 U.S. 632, 642-643, 70 S.Ct. 357, 363-364, 94 L.Ed. 401 (1950). The function of the grand jury is to inquire into all information that might possibly bear on its investigation until it has identified an offense or has satisfied itself that none has occurred. As a necessary consequence of its investigatory function, the grand jury paints with a broad brush. "A grand jury investigation is not fully carried out until each available clue has been run down and all witnesses examined in every proper way to find if a crime has been committed." *Branzburg v. Hayes,* 408 U.S. 665, 701, 92 S.Ct. 2646, 2667, 33 L.Ed.2d 626 (1972), quoting *United States v. Stone*, 429 F.2d 138, 140 (CA2 1970).

A grand jury subpoena is thus much different from a subpoena issued in the context of a prospective criminal trial, where a specific offense has been identified and a particular defendant charged. "[T]he identity of the offender, and the precise nature of the offense, if there be one, normally are developed at the conclusion of the grand jury's labors, not at the beginning." *Blair v. United States*, 250 U.S. 273, 282, 39 S.Ct. 468, 471, 63 L.Ed. 979 (1919). In short, the Government cannot be required to justify the issuance of a grand jury subpoena by presenting evidence sufficient to establish probable cause because the very purpose of requesting the information is to ascertain whether probable cause exists. See *Hale v. Henkel*, 201 U.S. 43, 65, 26 S.Ct. 370, 375, 50 L.Ed. 652 (1906).

[2] This Court has emphasized on numerous occasions that many of the rules and restrictions that apply at a trial do not apply to grand jury proceedings. This is especially true of evidentiary restrictions. The same rules that, in an adversary hearing on the merits, may increase the likelihood of accurate determinations of guilt or innocence do not necessarily advance the mission of a grand jury, whose task is to conduct an *ex parte* investigation to determine whether or not there is probable cause to prosecute a particular defendant. In *Costello v. United States*, 350 U.S. 359, 76 S.Ct. 406, 100 L.Ed. 397 (1956), this Court declined to apply the rule against hearsay to grand jury proceedings. Strict observance of trial rules in the context of a grand jury's preliminary investigation "would result in interminable delay but add nothing to the assurance of a fair trial." *Id.,* at 364, 76 S.Ct., at 409. In *United States v. Calandra*, 414 U.S. 338, 94 S.Ct. 613, 38 L.Ed.2d 561 (1974), we held that the *Fourth Amendment* exclusionary rule does not apply to grand jury proceedings. Permitting witnesses to invoke the exclusionary rule would "delay and disrupt grand jury proceedings" by requiring adversary hearings on peripheral matters, *id.,* at 349, 94 S.Ct., at 621, and would effectively transform such proceedings into preliminary trials on the merits, *id*., at 349-350, 94 S.Ct., at 620-621. The teaching of the Court's decisions is clear: A grand jury "may compel the production of evidence or the testimony of witnesses as it considers appropriate, and its operation generally is unrestrained by the technical procedural and evidentiary rules governing the conduct of criminal trials," *id.,* at 343, 94 S.Ct., at 617.

This guiding principle renders suspect the Court of Appeals' holding that the standard announced in *Nixon* as to subpoenas issued in anticipation of trial apply equally in the grand jury context. The multifactor test announced in *Nixon* would invite procedural delays and detours while courts evaluate the relevancy and admissibility of documents sought by a particular subpoena. We have expressly stated that grand jury proceedings should be free of such delays. "Any holding that would saddle a grand jury with minitrials and preliminary showings would assuredly impede its investigation and frustrate the public's interest in fair and expeditious administration of the criminal laws." *United States v. Dionisio*, 410 U.S. 1, 17, 93 S.Ct. 764, 773, 35 L.Ed.2d 67 (1973). Accord, *Calandra, supra*, 414 U.S., at 350, 94 S.Ct., at 621. Additionally, application of the *Nixon* test in this context ignores that grand jury proceedings are subject to strict secrecy requirements. See Fed.Rule Crim.Proc. 6(e). Requiring the Government to explain in too much detail the particular reasons underlying a subpoena threatens to compromise "the indispensable secrecy of grand jury proceedings." *United States v. Johnson*, 319 U.S. 503, 513, 63 S.Ct. 1233, 1238, 87 L.Ed. 1546 (1943). Broad disclosure also affords the targets of investigation far more information about the grand jury's internal workings than the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure appear to contemplate.

III
A

[3] The investigatory powers of the grand jury are nevertheless not unlimited. See *Branzburg, supra*, 408 U.S., at 688, 92 S.Ct., at 2660; *Calandra, supra*, 414 U.S., at 346, and n. 4, 94 S.Ct., at 619, and n. 4. Grand juries are not licensed to engage in arbitrary fishing expeditions, nor may they select targets of investigation out of malice or an intent to harass. In this case, the focus of our inquiry is the limit imposed on a grand jury by Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 17(c), which governs the issuance of subpoenas *duces tecum* in federal criminal proceedings. The Rule provides that "[t]he court on motion made promptly may quash or modify the subpoena if compliance would be unreasonable or oppressive."

This standard is not self-explanatory. As we have observed, "what is reasonable depends on the context." *New Jersey v. T.L.O.,* 469 U.S. 325, 337, 105 S.Ct. 733, 740, 83 L.Ed.2d 720 (1985). In *Nixon*, this Court defined what is reasonable in the context of a jury trial. We determined that, in order to require production of information prior to trial, a party must make a reasonably specific request for information that would be both relevant and admissible at trial. 418 U.S., at 700, 94 S.Ct., at 3103. But, for the reasons we have explained above, the *Nixon* standard does not apply in the context of grand jury proceedings. In the grand jury context, the decision as to what offense will be charged is routinely not made until after the grand jury has concluded its investigation. One simply cannot know in advance whether information sought during the investigation will be relevant and admissible in a prosecution for a particular offense.

To the extent that Rule 17(c) imposes some reasonableness limitation on grand jury subpoenas, however, our task is to define it. In doing so, we recognize that a party to whom a grand jury subpoena is issued faces a difficult situation. As a rule, grand juries do not announce publicly the subjects of their investigations. See *supra*, at 727. A party who desires to challenge a grand jury subpoena thus may have no conception of the Government's purpose in seeking production of the requested information. Indeed, the party will often not know whether he or she is a primary target of the investigation or merely a peripheral witness. Absent even minimal information, the subpoena recipient is likely to find it exceedingly difficult to persuade a court that "compliance would be unreasonable." As one pair of commentators has summarized it, the challenging party's "unenviable task is to seek to persuade the court that the subpoena that has been served on [him or her] could not possibly serve any investigative purpose that the grand jury could legitimately be pursuing." 1 S. Beale & W. Bryson, Grand Jury Law and Practice S 6:28 (1986).

[4-6] Our task is to fashion an appropriate standard of reasonableness, one that gives due weight to the difficult position of subpoena recipients but does not impair the strong governmental interests in affording grand juries wide latitude, avoiding minitrials on peripheral matters, and preserving a necessary level of secrecy. We begin by reiterating that the law presumes, absent a strong showing to the contrary, that a grand jury acts within the legitimate scope of its authority. See *United States v. Mechanik*, 475 U.S. 66, 75, 106 S.Ct. 938, 944, 89 L.Ed.2d 50 (1986) (O'CONNOR, J., concurring in judgment) ("The grand jury proceeding is accorded a presumption of regularity, which generally may be dispelled only upon particularized proof of irregularities in the grand jury process"). See also *Hamling v. United States*, 418 U.S. 87, 139, n. 23, 94 S.Ct. 2887, 2918, n. 23, 41 L.Ed.2d 590 (1974); *United States v. Johnson, supra*, 319 U.S., at 512-513, 63 S.Ct., at 1237-1238. Consequently, a grand jury subpoena issued through normal channels is presumed to be reasonable, and the burden of showing unreasonableness must be on the recipient who seeks to avoid compliance. Indeed, this result is indicated by the language of Rule 17(c), which permits a subpoena to be quashed only "on motion" and "if *compliance* would be unreasonable" (emphasis added). To the extent that the Court of Appeals placed an initial burden on the Government, it committed error. Drawing on the principles articulated above, we conclude that where, as here, a subpoena is challenged on relevancy grounds, the motion to quash must be denied unless the district court determines that there is no reasonable possibility that the category of materials the Government seeks will produce information relevant to the general subject of the grand jury's investigation. Respondents did not challenge the subpoenas as being too indefinite nor did they claim that compliance would be overly burdensome. See App. in *In re Grand Jury 87-3 Subpoena Duces Tecum*, 884 F.2d 772 (CA4), pp. A-333, A-494. The Court of Appeals accordingly did not consider these aspects of the subpoenas, nor do we.

B

It seems unlikely, of course, that a challenging party who does not know the general subject matter of the grand jury's investigation, no matter how valid that party's claim, will be able to make the necessary showing that compliance would be unreasonable. After all, a subpoena recipient "cannot put his whole life before the court in order to show that there is no crime to be investigated," *Marston's, Inc. v. Strand,* 114 Ariz. 260, 270, 560 P.2d 778, 788 (1977) (Gordon, J., specially concurring in part and dissenting in part). Consequently, a court may be justified in a case where unreasonableness is alleged in requiring the Government to reveal the general subject of the grand jury's investigation before requiring the challenging party to carry its burden of persuasion. We need not resolve this question in the present case, however, as there is no doubt that respondents knew the subject of the grand jury investigation pursuant to which the business records subpoenas were issued. In cases where the recipient of the subpoena does not know the nature of the investigation, we are confident that district courts will be able to craft appropriate procedures that balance the interests of the subpoena recipient against the strong governmental interests in maintaining secrecy, preserving investigatory flexibility, and avoiding procedural delays. For example, to ensure that subpoenas are not routinely challenged as a form of discovery, a district court may require that the Government reveal the subject of the investigation to the trial court *in camera*, so that the court may determine whether the motion to quash has a reasonable prospect for success before it discloses the subject matter to the challenging party.

IV

[7,8] Applying these principles in this case demonstrates that the District Court correctly denied respondents' motions to quash. It is undisputed that all three companies—Model, R. Enterprises, and MFR—are owned by the same person, that all do business in the same area, and that one of the three, Model, has shipped sexually explicit materials into the Eastern District of Virginia. The District Court could have concluded from these facts that there was a reasonable possibility that the business records of R. Enterprises and MFR would produce information relevant to the grand jury's investigation into the interstate transportation of obscene materials. Respondents' blanket denial of any connection to Virginia did not suffice to render the District Court's conclusion invalid. A grand jury need not accept on faith the self-serving assertions of those who may have committed criminal acts. Rather, it is entitled to determine for itself whether a crime has been committed. See *Morton Salt Co.,* 338 U.S., at 642-643, 70 S.Ct., at 363-364.

Both in the District Court and in the Court of Appeals, respondents contended that these subpoenas sought records relating to *First Amendment* activities, and that this required the Government to demonstrate that the records were particularly relevant to its investigation. The Court of Appeals determined that the subpoenas did not satisfy Rule 17(c) and thus did not pass on the *First Amendment* issue. We express no view on this issue and leave it to be resolved by the Court of Appeals.

The judgment is reversed insofar as the Court of Appeals quashed the subpoenas issued to R. Enterprises and MFR, and the case is remanded for further proceedings consistent with this opinion.

It is so ordered.

Justice STEVENS, with whom Justice MARSHALL and Justice BLACKMUN join, concurring in part

and concurring in the judgment.

Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 17(c) authorizes a federal district court to quash or modify a grand jury subpoena *duces tecum* "if compliance would be unreasonable or oppressive." See *United States v. Calandra*, 414 U.S. 338, 346, n. 4, 94 S.Ct. 613, 619, n. 4, 38 L.Ed.2d 561 (1974). This Rule requires the district court to balance the burden of compliance, on the one hand, against the governmental interest in obtaining the documents on the other.[*1] A more burdensome subpoena should be justified by a somewhat higher degree of probable relevance than a subpoena that imposes a minimal or nonexistent burden. [*2] Against the procedural history of this case, the Court has attempted to define the term "reasonable" in the abstract, looking only at the relevance side of the balance. See *ante*, at 727, 728. [*3] Because I believe that this truncated approach to the Rule will neither provide adequate guidance to the district court nor place any meaningful constraint on the overzealous prosecutor, I add these comments.

The burden of establishing that compliance would be unreasonable or oppressive rests, of course, on the subpoenaed witness. This result accords not only with the presumption of regularity that attaches to grand jury proceedings, as the Court notes, see *ante*, at 727-728, but also with the general rule that the burden of proof lies on "the party asserting the affirmative of a proposition," see, *e.g., Mashpee Tribe v. New Seabury Corp.,* 592 F.2d 575, 589 (CA1), cert. denied, 444 U.S. 866, 100 S.Ct. 138, 62 L.Ed.2d 90 (1979).

The moving party has the initial task of demonstrating to the Court that he has some valid objection to compliance. This showing might be made in various ways. Depending on the volume and location of the requested materials, the mere cost in terms of time, money, and effort of responding to a dragnet subpoena could satisfy the initial hurdle. Similarly, if a witness showed that compliance with the subpoena would intrude significantly on his privacy interests, or call for the disclosure of trade secrets or other confidential information, further inquiry would be required. Or, as in this case, the movement might demonstrate that compliance would have *First Amendment* implications.
The trial court need inquire into the relevance of subpoenaed materials only after the moving party has made this initial showing. And, as is true in the parallel context of pretrial civil discovery, a matter also committed to the sound discretion of the trial judge, the degree of need sufficient to justify denial of the motion to quash will vary to some extent with the burden of producing the requested information. [*4] For the reasons stated by the Court, in the grand jury context the law enforcement interest will almost always prevail, and the documents must be produced. I stress, however, that the Court's opinion should not be read to suggest that the deferential relevance standard the Court has formulated will govern decision in every case, no matter how intrusive or burdensome the request. See *ante*, at 728 ("The Court of Appeals accordingly did not consider these aspects of the subpoenas, nor do we").

I agree with the Court that what is "unreasonable or oppressive" in the context of a trial subpoena is not necessarily unreasonable or oppressive in the grand jury context. Although the same language of Rule 17(c) governs both situations, the teaching of *United States v. Nixon*, 418 U.S. 683, 94 S.Ct. 3090, 41 L.Ed.2d 1039 (1974), is not directly applicable to the very different grand jury context. Thus, I join in Parts I and II of the Court's opinion, and I am in accord with its decision to send the case back to the Court of Appeals. I also agree that the possible *First Amendment* implications of compliance should be considered by that court. I would only add that further inquiry into the possible unreasonable or oppressive character of this subpoena should also take into account the entire history of this grand jury investigation,

including the series of subpoenas that have been issued to the same corporations and their affiliates during the past several years, see *In re Grand Jury 87-3 Subpoena Duces Tecum*, 884 F.2d 772, 74-775 (CA4 1989).

* * * * * * * *

Footnotes:

1. *See, e.g., In re Grand Jury Subpoena: Subpoena Duces Tecum, 829 F.2d 1291, 1298 (CA4 1987); In re Grand Jury Subpoena Served upon Doe, 781 F.2d 238, 250 (CA2) (en banc), cert. denied sub nom. Roe v. United States, 475 U.S. 1108, 106 S.Ct. 1515, 89 L.Ed.2d 914 (1986); In re Grand Jury Matters, 751 F.2d 13, 19 (CA1 1984); In re Special April 1977 Grand Jury, 581 F.2d 589, 595 (CA7), cert. denied sub nom. Scott v. United States, 439 U.S. 1046, 99 S.Ct. 721, 58 L.Ed.2d 705 (1978). Cf. Hale v. Henkel, 201 U.S. 43, 76-77, 26 S.Ct. 370, 379-380, 50 L.Ed. 652 (1906) (applying similar balancing test to determine the "reasonableness" of a subpoena under the* Fourth Amendment*); In re Grand Jury Impaneled January 21, 1975, 541 F.2d 373, 382-383 (CA3 1976) (balancing "public's interest in law enforcement and in ensuring effective grand jury proceedings" and state-created "reports privilege" in deciding whether to quash subpoena.)*

2. *See, e.g., In re Grand Jury Subpoena, 829 F.2d, at 1296-1301 (applying heightened scrutiny in Rule 17(c) balance because of* First Amendment *concerns); In re Grand Jury Matters, 751 F.2d, at 18 (requiring Government to show need "with some particularity" because timing of subpoena posed "such potential for harm" to defendants and their right to counsel); In re Grand Jury Proceedings, 707 F.Supp. 1207, 1219 (D.Haw. 1989) (quashing subpoena because "the government has failed to proffer sufficient evidence of fraud permeating the works of the celebrity artists to justify the great magnitude of the subpoena requests"); In re Grand Jury Proceedings Witness Bardier, 486 F.Supp. 1203, 1214 (D.Nev. 1980) (quashing subpoena because demand was "so onerous in its burden as to be out of proportion to the end sought"); In re Grand Jury Investigation, 459 F.Supp. 1335, 1343 (ED Pa. 1978) (refusing to quash subpoena because "[court] cannot say that the documentation requested in this instance is excessive relative to the scope of the investigation").*

3. *The Fourth Circuit, like the Court, conducted the relevancy inquiry without regard to the burden of compliance. Respondents, however, in their affidavits in support of their motions to quash, framed their relevancy arguments in the broader context of the burden imposed by the subpoenas. Respondents noted that the subpoenas required production of virtually all their corporate records. See App. in In re Grand Jury 87-3 Subpoena Duces Tecum, 884 F.2d 772 (CA4 1989), p. A-343, 18; id., at A-497 to A-498, 8 (hereinafter App.). Respondents argued that compliance with the subpoenas would violate their rights to privacy and their rights under the* First *and* Fourth Amendments. *See id., at A-342 to A-349, 17, 19-31; id., at A-497, A-500 to A-503, 7, 14-20. And, as the court recognizes, ante, at 9, respondents expressly contended that the* First Amendment *implications of the subpoenas required a heightened level of relevance. App. A-345, 22; id., at A-502, 18.*

4. *See, e.g., Northrop Corp. v. McDonnell Douglas Corp., 243 U.S.App.D.C. 19, 31, 751 F.2d 395, 407 (1984) ("The need of the party seeking the documents is a relevant factor in considering a claim of oppressiveness, and a case may arise where the need is great enough to overcome a claim*

[of burdensomeness] such as [the State Department raises] here") (citation omitted); In re Multi-Piece Rim Products Liability Litigation, 209 U.S.App.D.C. 416, 424-425, 653, F.2d 671, 679-680 (1981) ("relevance of discovery requests" must be weighed against "oppressiveness" "in deciding whether discovery should be compelled"); United States v. Balistrieri, 606 F.2d 216, 221 (CA7 1979) ("The district court's decision to quash Balistrieri's discovery requests was within its discretion under the rule, especially in light of the breadth of the discovery requests in relation to the rather narrow ground of illegal surveillance upon which [his action] was based"), cert. denied, 446 U.S. 917, 100 S.Ct. 1850, 64 L.Ed.2d 271 (1980); Marshall v. Westinghouse Electric Corp., 576 F.2d 588, 592 (CA5 1978) (plaintiff seeking broad range of documents "must show a more particularized need and relevance"); Litton Industries, Inc. v. Chesapeake & Ohio R. Co., 129 F.R.D. 528, 530 (ED Wis. 1990) ("If it is established that confidential information is being sought, the burden is on the party seeking discovery to establish that the information is sufficiently relevant and necessary to his case to outweigh the harm disclosure would cause") (citation omitted); Lloyd v. Cessna Aircraft Co., 430 F.Supp. 25, 26 (ED Tenn. 1976) (requiring "special need" to justify deposition in view of short notice afforded deposed party).

ad for jury handbook

To Order Contact: Liberty Lobby
130 3rd St. SE; WA, DC 20003
1-2 $1.50 ea; 3-9 $1.00 ea
10-19 $ .75ea; 20 + $ .50ea

CHAPTER 16

GULF WAR SYNDROME

OPEN LETTER TO JOYCE RILEY

Editor's note: Well, it looks like Bo Gritz got it right when he made the statement to Dharma some years ago, "If you're catching flack, you're ON TARGET." The same obviously goes for Peter Kawaja because he certainly is catching flack these days as he journeys forth to speak out about the truth behind the Gulf War Syndrome.

It is our understanding that Joyce Riley would prefer her correspondence not be made public, and CONTACT *will certainly honor that. But we have elected to print Peter's Open Letter to Joyce Riley—which certainly should give you readers an idea of how difficult it is to be a speaker of truth these days. HANG IN THERE, PETER!*

[See next pages for letter.]

CHAPTER 17

ALERT TO GROUND CREW

ESU "JESUS" SANANDA WRITES ON SEQUENCES & SHIELDING

10/30/95 ESU "JESUS" SANANDA

Esu present in the Light of Holy God. Those in distant lands who gaze upon my words, and those locally who read with an unquenchable thirst, must come to realize the necessity of "Sequence of Events".

In this ending/beginning cycle, the "dark forces", if you will, are making their final attempt at ultimate control of the world's population. And you can see from the many years of advanced knowledge acquired about the human psyche that they may program *any* one for *any* purpose at *any* time.

The Soul, however, remains the wild card in the deck, for as you have so beautifully witnessed with Cathy O'Brien, if a person be souled, of God, that Lighted Fragment which burns within the breast of man will be the watchtower that sends the beacon leading you ever to safe harbor.

The attacks against Hatonn and Dharma are the adversary's way of lashing out in his tantrum because the dark forces are WELL AWARE that God wins—and that, by definition, means that God's people win. Do not get too distracted on dwelling on the attacks, but always counter, action for action, IN TRUTH, and you shall all be fine.

It is long past time that the general populace use the judicial system the way it is intended, for the prosecution of criminals—but it is as you have stated, Rick: this legal system and the grand jury system remain a mystery to the average citizen. Ah! But contained within that mystery lie wondrous Solutions. [*Editor's note: See Rick's excellent articles on juries, especially the remarkable powers of grand juries, both in last week's and also elsewhere in this week's* CONTACT.]

Let us take, as an example which all of our readers will readily understand, the persecution of the Ekkers and the political, behind-the-scenes arrangements concerning the theft of their home by Santa Barbara Savings & Loan, and ultimately, the RTC. Do you not think that, with the non-sale by a Savings & Loan, the involvement of a municipal court judge in furthering the cover-up of actual events, and the wide range of illegal activities concerning the Ekkers' persecution, that the grand jury in Kern County would not have something upon which to act?

Let us take another example. What of the theft of Institute gold by George Green? Interstate flight?! Do you not think the grand theft within Kern County, which the local sheriff did NOT respond to, is cause for a grand jury investigation?

And, so, beloved readers, do you not see that, even within your own local community, there are likely to be legal issues which, if unresolved, can and should be brought to justice via the grand jury system. And

what of the state and federal grand jury systems?

Ah, beloved, the answers to so many things are right in front of you if you will but look. Seek the answers and they shall reveal themselves unto you!

Ah! You say, "fraud, phoney!" Sananda would not speak of such earthly matters and particularly not such "local" matters. "Sananda has the world on his mind," you say. Ah so, and so I do! So I do! But I also respond when called upon and you ones continually ask for solutions and you are being given solutions if you will but attend them!

We are <u>bound</u> by cosmic law not to interfere in your affairs, but I <u>may</u> offer direct input when asked and I may tell you ones that the judicial system may be turned around via the jury and the grand jury systems if you will but take an informed and active role! So be it!
Thomas, you know the old saying, and it is so: "You can lead a camel to water but you can't make him drink."

Ah, but the true adventure of this journey is just beginning for you of the Lighted Hosts. Our ground crew mostly grow restless and uncertain as if waiting for the other shoe to drop.

Long has it been said that the radiation belt may be ignited. Long has it been said that the economy will fold, bringing America to its knees. And yet to those "out there" it seems that nothing ever happens!

Ah, beloved, things are happening every day and if you need a miracle to hang your hat upon, remember the recent two-million-man march in Washington, District of Criminals, that was peaceful and magnificent!

Hold firmly to the Light, chelas; hold firmly.

Remember to keep your spaces CLEAR. This is probably the biggest difficulty facing our ground crew—KEEPING THOSE SHIELDS INTACT AND STAYING "CLEAR".

I know it is difficult for you ones. I know that place is hard. Ah, but the glory shall be without measure. Won't you just hold your faith a while longer? God's delays are NOT God's denials.

And I know my people. You are not forsaken! I say it again: my people are not forsaken!

Hold the Light and trust in me. I will not lead you astray.

If you need help, you <u>must</u> ask.
The call Compels the answer!
Hold It In Your Hearts.

I Am Sananda. Salu.

CHAPTER 18

THE NEWS DESK
by Phyllis Linn 11/5/95

CONGRESS VOTES TO MOVE EMBASSY TO JERUSALEM

Pro-Israel legislation certainly does create bipartisan unity, as demonstrated in this article from the October 25 issue of *THE ORLANDO SENTINEL*, [quoting:]

WASHINGTON—Brushing aside White House concerns about the impact on Middle East peace negotiations, Congress voted overwhelmingly Tuesday to move the U.S. Embassy from Tel Aviv to Jerusalem by the end of the century. A few hours later, the House passed the bill 374-37. The measure goes to President Clinton. The legislation puts the United States squarely behind Israel's determination to maintain sovereignty over all of Jerusalem.

FARRAKHAN'S SPACESHIP VISION

At a recent meeting, Commander Hatonn claimed to have given Louis Farrakhan the idea for the Million-Man March. With that in mind, here's an excerpt from an article from the October 16 issue of *THE GLOBE AND MAIL*, [quoting:]

As Mr. Farrakhan's power has grown, so has media scrutiny of him. In addition to comments viewed widely as racist and anti-female, he has been ridiculed for having a streak of paranoia. For example, he has said repeatedly in speeches that AIDS was deliberately introduced into the black community by white doctors [*—and global Elitists. More on this story in the next article. Remember, "paranoia" implies that the fears are unjustified. It's a favorite put-down for those who would discredit the truth bringers.*]

He also said he got the idea for the Million-Man March when he had a vision of being carried to a spaceship for a conversation with Nation of Islam founder Elijah Muhammad. [*Interesting, to say the least!*]

(farrakhan pic)

AIDS: A GENOCIDAL PLOT?

From the November 2 issue of *THE BAKERSFIELD CALIFORNIAN*, [quoting:]

SAN DIEGO (AP)—A survey of about 1,000 black church members in five cities found that more than one-third of them believe the AIDS virus was produced in a germ warfare laboratory as a form of genocide

against blacks. Another third said they were "unsure" whether AIDS was created to kill blacks. That left only one-third who disputed the theory. The findings held firm even among educated individuals [***especially** among knowledgeable individuals!*], said one of the 1990 survey's authors, Sandra Crouse Quinn, a health educator at the School of Public Health at the University of North Carolina in Chapel Hill. [*More about polls and surveys in the next article.*]
Rumors that AIDS was created to kill blacks have circulated in the black community for years, and the belief is endorsed by some black leaders. "They don't trust our public health data," said Quinn, who is white. [*Gee, I wonder why?!*] The belief that AIDS is a form of genocide has serious health consequences, Quinn said: "If they believe AIDS is a form of genocide they are less likely to get tested, less likely to use condoms and less likely to participate in clinical trials." [*If YOU think those three activities have merit, you'd better get yourself a copy of* AIDS: SECRETS, LIES AND MYTHS EXPOSED *from Bio-Alert Press, 160 N. Fairview, Suite 112, Goleta, Ca 93117-2344.*]

Although she has not surveyed whites on the genocide question, Quinn said, "I think most whites would say this sounds bizarre." [*Maybe they just haven't awakened yet.*]

DATA-MANIPULATING SURVEYS

From the October 27 issue of *THE ORLANDO SENTINEL*, [quoting:]

CHICAGO—In a nationwide survey of 2,000 youngsters aged 10 to 16, published by the American Academy of Pediatrics and widely reported by the news media, one out of four said they had been sexually or physically abused in the previous year. If you do the math, assault seems as common an adolescent affliction as acne—about 6 million American kids annually being stomped, whomped or otherwise subjected to unspeakable horrors. Except to reach those numbers, researchers had to stretch the definition of abuse beyond rape, robbery, molestation, criminal assault and the like to include such activities as being shoved by your brother or getting into a schoolyard fight.

Almost daily, some new study/report/survey/poll comes out with eye-popping claims designed to stir us to action [*exactly!—and "they" already have that action ready to unfold.*] and scare us [*a great motivator*]. They are chilling in their message—and woefully overblown. The latest example comes from the **respected** [*speak for yourself, bud!*] Carnegie Corp,. which cobbled together 10 years of research on adolescents, including the American Academy of Pediatrics abuse study, and packaged it this month into a sweeping indictment of societal neglect. The report calls for major initiatives [*CONTROLS*] involving parents, schools, employers, government and other institutions to lavish attention on kids [*not what it sounds like!*] and keep them on the straight and narrow at a crucial point in their lives.

The Carnegie report, and its little cousin the abuse study, are part of a growing wave of what experts refer to as "definition research", surveys which redefine old problems or cast new ones in terms so amorphous that it's virtually impossible to dispute the bleakness of their findings. Sometimes, even solid surveys are undermined by the spin put on them. [*Imagine that!*] Survey expert John Barry says large majorities of Americans always say "yes" when asked whether more money should be spent on crime fighting, education, medical care, drug suppression and the environment. Politicans and advocacy groups like to cite such figures, but they have little meaning, especially when the same people who want to spend more generally answer "no" when it comes to questions about raising taxes. [*"Oh, shucks, I thought we were going to*

spend SOMEONE ELSE'S money!"]

Barry, associate director of the University of Connecticut's Roper Center for Public Opinion Research, says many researchers today rationalize a willingness to ask loaded questions, manipulate data or accentuate some findings while ignoring others. "The validity of the information is often dwarfed by what they see as the **nobility** of their goal," he explains. [*And just what goal might THAT be?*]

BIRTH DEFECTS MORE COMMON AMONG KIN OF BRITISH GULF WAR VETS

This follow-up article comes from a recent issue of the *LONDON DAILY TELEGRAPH*, [quoting:]

LONDON—Forty children of British Gulf War veterans have been born with rare and potentially life-threatening deformities since Operation Desert Storm ended five years ago. Some have no ears, some have no pupils in their eyes, some have a muscle missing, others have dangerous heart conditions. Two children have already died as a result of birth defects. Their parents claim the conditions prove that "Gulf War Syndrome"—dismissed by Britain's Ministry of Defense as a psychological illness [*sound familiar?*]—is a biological condition that can be passed from generation to generation. Rare deformities are as much as four times more prevalent in the children of people who served in the Gulf, according to an investigation by "Panorama" the BBC's long-running current affairs program, that aired last month. Hilary Meredith, a lawyer acting on behalf of the British veterans, says more cases of birth defects are coming to light all the time.

Members of Parliament are calling for proper investigation into the health of veterans and their children. *[Right. We Americans are VERY familiar with these "investigations", aren't we? We've had window-dressing investigations into Ruby Ridge, Oklahoma City, Waco, Gulf War Syndrome.*]

CAREERS BILL TO MELD DEPARTMENTS OF EDUCATION AND LABOR

Phyllis Schlafly is the author of this excerpted article from the October 9-15 issue of *THE WASHINGTON TIMES—NATIONAL WEEKLY EDITION*, [quoting:]

Republican presidential candidates crisscrossing the country have discovered that one of their best hot-button applause lines is "I promise to abolish the Department of Education." Out in the byways of America, parents know that public schools are a disaster area and that federal government spending has hurt, not helped, the situation. So it is a puzzlement why the Republican House recently passed an education bill that increases federal control and involvement in the public schools rather than reducing it. [*What's the puzzle? They tell us what WE want to hear, and do what THEY want to do.*]

Called the "Careers" bill, it uses federal dollars to expand and institutionalize one of the worst Clinton bills passed last year, the School-to-Work Opportunities Act. When one takes time to read the Careers bill, it is obvious that it is the legislative implementation of an 18-page letter written by Marc Tucker, president of the National Center on Education and the Economy, to Hillary Clinton on Nov. 11, 1992, just after the presidential election. This letter states that it was the result of a meeting in David Rockefeller's [*kin folk to*

Bill] office, at which those present were "literally radiating happiness" at Bill Clinton's victory. They were celebrating their plans for what "you, Hillary and Bill should do now about education, training and labor market policy." Mr. Tucker's letter laid out their master plan to "remold" the public schools into a "national human resources development system", which would be "guided by clear standards that define the stages of the system for the people who progress through it, and regulated on the basis of outcomes that providers produce for their clients."

Mr. Tucker's vision is aggressively ambitious. His letter called for "a seamless web" that "literally extends from cradle to grave and is the same system for everyone—young and old, poor and rich, worker and full-time student. Mr. Tucker's "seamless web" includes a national employment service in which "all available front-line jobs, whether public or private, must be listed in it by law." Then, "a system of labor market boards is established at the local, state and federal levels to coordinate the systems for job training, postsecondary professional and technical education, adult basic education, job matching and counseling." Mr. Tucker's "seamless web" calls for government to be in the drivers' seat at every stage of the "human resources development system". The "labor market boards" will decide what jobs may be allowed, and the schools will "train" students (the human resources) for jobs selected by the "labor market boards".

Mr. Tucker's letter makes it clear why so much of the discussion about congressional appropriations for education includes references to the Labor Department. Mr. Tucker and his friends have been planning to meld Labor and Education functions ever since Lynn Martin, labor secretary in the Bush Administration, published the SCANS report. Much of Marc Tucker's ambitious plan is already in place. Last year, Mr. Clinton signed the Goals 2000 Act, which requires schools to adopt "standards", and the School-to-Work law, which lays the groundwork for using high schools to train students for occupations selected by the local labor market boards. [*Are you sick yet?*]

DOUBLESPEAK DICTIONARY

In order to know what the educrats are up to, you have to speak the lingo. Here are a few definitions to get you started, by Tom DeWeese, President of the American Policy Center, from the October 18 issue of the *INSIDER'S REPORT*, [quoting:]

Activists who stand in the arena, ready for battle, are often disarmed by what seems to be a foreign language. Many concerned parents have taken information that challenges Goals 2000 and OBE into school administrators, only to be told their fears were unfounded. "Here are the goals," the administrators say. "Nothing here to be alarmed about. Who could oppose goals that call for a 90 percent graduation rate, every adult literate and able to compete in the work force and professional development for educators, and being first in the world in math and science?" Well, they're not lying to you. But the words they are using have an entirely different meaning to you than to them. Let's start with the goal of "being first in the world in math and science". That comes under the general category of:

GLOBAL EDUCATION: this has nothing to do with being first in academics. It means to prepare students to be global interdependent citizens. It means to impose multiculturalism, pledging allegiance to the world community, eradicating nationalism and allegiance to one's nation and *Constitution*.

Get the picture? Let's try some more.

EDUCATE: to be flexible and adaptable, to make rational and varied responses to situations. No Absolutes.
TEACHER: see "facilitator".

FACILITATOR: non-directive, non-judgmental leader. A *change-agent* or technical assistant who chairs hand-picked committees or groups to facilitate the reaching of appropriate preconceived conclusions or consensus. This process is referred to as "managed change" according to Clyde Hall's *How to Implement Change*. Facilitator—the title now given teachers.

HIGHER ORDER THINKING—CRITICAL THINKING: this is the tool used to promote politically correct attitudes. OBE promoter Richard Paul declares, "...we need a clear global picture of education centered on comprehensive emancipatory thinking skills." [*i.e., parents must not impose their values on students—the schools must do that*]

HUMAN RESOURCE: refers to the citizens of this nation, and in particular to the children, who have become nothing more than a government commodity to be shaped to meet the needs of the economy. This is accomplished by restructuring education and by changing the purpose of schools to fill the "current and future needs of the student and (the planned) society.

LEARNING: a change in behavior which persists (a child is said to be learning when they begin to succumb to the constant pounding of the OBE behavioral adjustment curriculum.)

SUPREME COURT HEARS CASE: CHRISTIAN MAGAZINE DENIED FUNDS

The comments at the end of this article are interesting in light of the two preceding articles. From a recent issue of the *DENVER POST*, [quoting:]

A stained glass image of Jesus gazes heavenward from the altar window of University Chapel here, just a short walk from the statue of Thomas Jefferson, founder of the University of Virginia and champion of freedoms of speech and religion. Church and state have coexisted peacefully here for generations. U-Va offers a degree program in religious studies, and student religious groups freely organize and operate on its grounds. But Jefferson's university now finds itself the battleground for the latest church-state clash to reach the Supreme court, a case that could have far-reaching impact.

The Supreme Court last week agreed to hear the University of Virginia case, which involves a group of Christian students who published a magazine called *Wide Awake*. The editors sought financial help from a university fund that supports a broad array of student organizations and activities. University guidelines prohibit any subsidies for religious activities. Applying that rule, a student council committee that administers the funds denied *Wide Awake*'s request for help. After university officials reaffirmed that denial, the Christian students sued, claiming violation of their rights of free speech, free exercise of religion, and equal protection. A federal appeals court in May agreed the university had discriminated against one type of speech based on its content—namely, religious speech—but had ruled the university must so discriminate to avoid an unconstitutional state sponsorship of religion.

The university apparently has no qualms about supporting advocacy of gay and lesbian values, animal-rightists' values, feminist values and other views supported by its funds, but the line is drawn at religious values. OR perhaps the line is drawn only at Christian values. Among organizations receiving student activity funds at the university are the Muslim Student Association and the Jewish Law Students.

Tanisha Sullivan, a third-year student who heads the committee that decides which student groups will get university funds, admitted in an interview that evangelical Christians are viewed warily by many students here. "It raises an eyebrow, and you look at it a little more closely just because it's Christianity," she said. "Everyone is so on edge when it comes to Christianity." The Muslim students' magazine "is teaching the culture of Islam," Sullivan said. That's a politically correct value in these days of multiculturalism. But Christianity is viewed differently, she said. "People don't consider Christianity to be part of a culture. My generation is taking a turn away from Christianity and trying to explore other things, trying to break away from the norm." [*See how well this "human resource" has "learned" to use "critical thinking" skills!*]

SUPERFUND: SUPER BUSINESS KILLER

Superfund—the federal government's misguided program to clean up toxic waste sites—was authorized in 1980. In 15 years it has identified 1,300 hazardous waste sites. And of those, only a small number have been cleaned up at a cost of over $25 billion. Superfund—is it about toxic waste—or is it just further harassment and destruction of small business and constitutional liberties. Here is the story of Kelly Herstad, president of United Truck Body co., Inc., in Duluth, Minnesota. From the October 9-15 issue of *THE WASHINGTON TIMES, NATIONAL WEEKLY EDITION*, [quoting:]

Before it went out of business in the late 1960s, Arrowhead refined waste motor oil from several enterprises in the Duluth area: service stations, mining companies, utilities, the Air Force, and government agencies. The waste from the refining process contained heavy metals. It was dumped in the back of Arrowhead's property. eventually, Superfund tagged the place as a toxic waste site. Superfund operates under a "retroactive, strict joint and several liability" scheme, which means that anybody associated with a site in the past can, in theory, be held 100 percent liable for cleanup costs. It doesn't matter if what you did was perfectly legal and proper at the time. You can still be stuck with the multi-million-dollar tab.

Since Arrowhead was out of business, EPA went through the company's old records, an incomplete pile stored in someone's garage. It also interviewed a former Arrowhead driver, now well up in years, about the company's clients. Anyone mentioned in the old records, or who was recalled by the former driver, got the same letter I got [*from the EPA*].

Americans are taught [*though few believe or practice it*] that people are innocent until proven guilty. That's not how Superfund works. We had to prove we never sold or gave oil to Arrowhead 25 years ago or more; otherwise we'd be held liable. Suddenly in 1989, EPA sued 12 of the business involved. Three of them were no longer in business. These lawsuits, however, generated other lawsuits, as the original 12 sought to share the costs of cleanup. Small businesses worried and wondered if they would survive the expensive ordeal. A bureaucrat told one small businessman, "Don't worry, we don't want your home or car, just your business." To which he replied: "Without the business, you can have my home and car because I won't be able to afford either."

I was one of the lucky ones. My company was dropped from the suit, but not before I'd spent $10,000 in legal fees—to defend myself for doing nothing illegal or improper. Here's the upshot: The cost of the cleanup has been assessed at $34 million. Millions more have already been spent for lawyers and thousands of hours have been wasted in depositions and hearings. And the site still hasn't been cleaned up.

NEW YORKERS FED UP WITH DIPLOMATIC IMMUNITY

Another perspective on the UN's 50th birthday party, from the October 22 issue of *THE ORLANDO SENTINEL*, [quoting:]

NEW YORK—The United Nations marks 50 years in New York City this weekend. And how are the envoys celebrating? Any way they want—they all have diplomatic immunity. Day in and day out, they park illegally at hydrants and bus stops, and ignore tickets. They stiff landlords and laugh at payment notices. And this weekend, they are bringing a four-day dose of terminal traffic—and it's *their* anniversary.

"They abuse diplomatic immunity," snaps former Mayor Ed Koch, one of the more vocal critics of the United Nations. One example: In August 1994, four Cuban Mission employees responded to Castro protesters by wading into the crowd wielding sticks, a crowbar and an ax handle. A city police officer was punched in the face—an assault captured on video. The charges? None. All four were released after claiming diplomatic immunity—although eight months later, two were ordered out of the United States.

pict of un members

Parking? The diplomats' red, white and blue license plates guarantee spaces denied to 7.3 million ordinary New Yorkers. If those spots are full, they can park anywhere and plead official business, which beat 68,637 tickets in 1994. At $45 a pop, that's $3,088,665 in lost revenue. And that's just one-third of the more than $9 million that 31 U.N. delegations owe local landlords and business. The Ugandan Mission alone accounts for half of those bills. [*Don't you sense a paradox, when those who represent the law have no respect for it as it applies to themselves?*]

MESSAGES FROM PLEIADES

To round things out, here are a few brief excerpts from an article "channeled by Barbara J. Marciniak" that appeared in the September 23 issue of *THE PLEIADIAN TIMES*, [quoting:]

Truth is quite simple—you are multidimensional characters, you are empowered with everything that you could possibly be empowered with if you would use your thoughts to decode yourself and believe it, if you would dare to claim love as yours.

It may sound ridiculously absurd, however, movies, politics, education, medicine, and religion do everything to distort the truth... [*Does that sound absurd to you guys? Doesn't to me!*]

The leap to higher consciousness involves knowing all things. There are so many in those six billion balls of consciousness upon your planet who refuse to know, who choose to be numb, who choose to be mind controlled because they are terrified to know the truth. Out of the six billion there is a small handful of you who are capable of knowing. [*Does this account for our diminutive subscriber list?*] Look around your life and see what is synchronisticaly presented to you once you make the commitment to know more.

Someone has to be the bad guy...and it was understood by all that there was a game that could be played where an opposing force spurred on evolution.

As reality crumbles, the most crucial key for you to understand is that everything in your papers and on the news is a metaphor and distraction for a larger battle over Earth, an intergalactic battle of immense proportions. It may appear that Earth is the territory being battled over, however, the prize is actually the essence of who you are and the right to rule and manage consciousness. The only way through this great virtual reality game is to wake up and enhance yourself, learn how to be a ball of energy transducing and transmitting love and light. Otherwise you will be like a tadpole in the section of the pond that dries up and will have to figure it out once again. So, you have created this opportunity with the bulls and the dragons at your heels that makes it far more exciting. If the bulls and dragons were not at your heels, we guarantee you, you would be doing nothing. This is how all consciousness evolves, moving towards crisis. So, when you find crisis in your own life, what will you say?

Make your choice, claim your power, acknowledge your wounds and stop taking everything so personally. Stop feeling victimized and powerless and stop feeling as if you have to make someone else wrong to claim your power. Be all of you. Invest in yourselves. There is no greater journey than the one that you are on as humankind. Exalt in your travels. We wish you well. [*Thank you. And thanks to all of you who send in news clippings—the life blood of THE NEWS DESK*!]

CHAPTER 19

HEALTH UPDATE
by Sandra Tulanian, D.C.

THE *"ULTIMATE"* 4-IN-1

For those who have not heard of this new item, I'd like to take this time to introduce 4-in-1 to you as one of the most comprehensive products containing the hottest nutritional supplements on the market today! The four ingredients are **Wild Yam** (the **DHEA** precursor that is sweeping the country), **Grape Seed Extract** (more potent than **Pycnogenol**), **Ester-C***r* (the most absorbable Vitamin C) and the well known antioxidant Aloe Vera. These substances combine to produce an intensive program that is said to reverse the damage that has taken place through the years and provide balance of hormones and nutritional elements to help create absolute total health in the body.

One of the elements found in **4-in-1** is **Wild Yam** which has been used for centuries as a precursor to dehydroepianroterone (**DHEA**) which is a hormone found naturally within the body. After the age of 20, levels of **DHEA** start to decrease and research has shown that this decrease accelerates the aging process. By normalizing the levels of **DHEA** in the body, researchers have shown that any number of diseases have melted away, such as the reduction of atherosclerosis and heart disease. Wrinkles and excessive body fat are also said to diminish due to the hormone balancing that takes place. The more information you read regarding **Wild Yam**, the more convinced you will be of its profound benefits. It is not adviseable to take Wild Yam if you wish to get pregnant, are pregnant or are breastfeeding. There is some research that shows this substance to aid in birth control.

The **Grape Seed Extract** is one version of the more famous product named **Pycnogenol** (although research has shown **Grape Seed Extract** to be more potent). This product is a highly bioavailable antioxidant which plainly means that the body really uses the product to rid itself of defective cells and restore damaged ones. Once this is accomplished, all tissues, organs and organ systems can return to balance for optimum health.

Ester-C*r* is a revolutionary form of Vitamin C because it is absorbed into the bloodstream twice as fast and held in the body twice as long as ordinary Vitamin C (ascorbic acid). **Ester-C***r* is naturally processed in purified water, not solvents. It has a neutral pH which eliminates the side effects of Acid Rejection Syndrome found with regular Vitamin C.

Aloe Vera is a well known plant that has been used for over 4,000 years in treating both external and internal physical conditions. Scientific studies have been conducted using Aloe Vera in the treatment of a wide variety of ailments including heart conditions, HIV infection, diabetes, feline leukemia, ulcers (internal and external), burns and aging.

Overall, **4-in-1** appears to be the Ultimate Nutritional Supplement to add to any diet. It is rare to find four of the most exciting and powerful nutritional products wrapped up in one package. Aloe Vera and Ester-

C*r* are renowned for their medicinal benefits but Grape Seed extract and Wild Yam are on the cutting edge of nutritional products that can give an individual the potent elements to stay youthful and healthy for a lifetime of quality living. Add these four together and you potentially have the fountain of youth tied up in one little bottle. (*Please call New Gaia Products at 1-800-639-4242 for ordering information* .)

CHAPTER 20

GULF WAR SYNDROME
KAWAJA PAPER TRAIL
HAS ADVERSARY SQUIRMING

10/30/95 / To:

Dear

I am writing in response to your inquiry as to how you and anyone can help in getting the information about the Gulf War/Iraqgate, the crimes and GWS exposed, and in the hands of the American public. No one knows the extent of what I have been and continue to go through trying to get that done. Listed below are some of the people/entities that have been already made aware, so that you/others do not make the same mistake or duplicate/waste efforts. If anyone is interested and has the time, they can always contact any of them and ask why nothing has been done. (These are in addition to trying to stop the crimes before the Gulf War itself.)

* 09-18-89 / Exhibit-185 PK Suit—to *TIME* Magazine, Jesse Birnbaum (who did article on IBI-Libya)
* 03-20-90 / Exhibit-205 PK Suit—to ABC-*20/20* Chris Harper/Tim Braun
* 04-27-90 / Exhibit-225 PK Suit—to WPLG-TVChan 10/Connie Hicks
* 07-16-90 / Exhibit-245 PK Suit—to NSA code name M323CF
* 08-03-90 / Exhibit-250 PK Suit—to WSVN-TV Chan 7/Rick Sanchez
* 08-05-90 / Exhibit-251 PK Suit—to *Washington Post*
* 08-07-90 / Exhibit-252 PK Suit—to CNN
* 10-10-90 / Exhibit-265 PK Suit—to/through: Congressman Bill Nelson to FBI
* 01-22-91 / Exhibit-281 PK Suit—to Federal Grand Jury
* 01-30-91 / Exhibit-291 PK Suit—to Reverend Jesse Jackson
* 02-21-91 / Exhibit-291 PK Suit—to Ron Kovic (born on the 4th of July)
* 05-14-91 / Exhibit-310 PK Suit—to ABC *Nightline* through July when I appeared on TV
* 07-09-91 / Exhibit-326 PK Suit—to Federal Grand Jury
* 08-21-91 / Exhibit-345 PK Suit—to John Scheibel/Subcommittee on International Economic Policy & Trade
* 07-22-92 / Exhibit-380 PK Suit—to H. Ross Perot
* 09-02-94 / Exhibit-402 PK Suit—to President Clinton
* FedEx #4877911286—President-elect Bill Clinton/Governors Mansion-Arkansas
* FedEx #1492707495—Senator Donald Riegel
* FedEx #1492707484—Rep. Lane Evans
* FedEx #1492707473—Rep. Roy Rowland
* FedEx #1481123700—Veronica Sawyer/*Inside Edition*
* FedEx #0785489585—Gene Robinson/*Washington Post*
* FedEx #0785489574—Bernie Gwertzman/*NY Times*
* FedEx #0785489552—Eason Jordan/CNN
* FedEx #0785489541—Ted Koppel/ABC

* FedEx #0785489563—Juan Tamayo/*Miami Herald*
* FedEx #6034584183—Editor/*Washington Post*

These are only a few of my attempts to get the information to the American people, in addition to the CIA/FBI/CUSTOMS, me operating under a code name for the Government to prosecute/stop terrorism in America (so I was told). Then there is Kevin Craddock & Tom Hopp from Scheibel's office/Congress, Rep. Charlie Rose, Tom Strezemienski, Jeff Bingaman/Chariman of the Senate Armed Services Committee. Subcommittee on Defense Technology and Chairman of the Joint Economic committee, Subcommittee on Technology and National Security, and the list keeps going on.

I offered to testify before congress back in 1991, they have known about me and my evidence since 1991 (see Bingaman letter). CONGRESS does not want the evidence on record before the American people. Further evidence was sent directly to the First Lady Hillary Clinton and Dr. Diana Zuckerman, Janet Reno, John Hogan "prior to" his final report on the BNL, Vice President - Al Gore. I.G. - DOD/The Pentagon, Mr. George Weiss, - Customs commissioner, Michael Shaheen Jr., - Counsel/DOJ, CIA Director - John Deutch, FBI Director - Mr. Louis Freeh, Senator Connie Mack, General Norman Schwarzkopf, Senator Jesse Helms, Mr. Newt Gingrich, General John M. Shlikashvali - Chairman/Joint Chiefs of Staff, Lt. General J.R. Clapper Jr. - DIA/director, Secretary of Defense-William Perry, U.S. ARMY CHEMICAL & BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE COMMAND/Edgewood, NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY, AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION, Governor Lawton Chiles, VETERANS ADMINISTRATION, AMERICAN LEGION, VETERANS OF FOREIGN WARS - VFW, Dr. David A. Kessler, FDA Commissioner, Senator Bob Dole, Honorary Anita K. Jones/OSD Research & Engineering, Intelligence Oversight - Charles Hawkins. Specific evidence was sent to Carol DiBatiste of the DOJ, and Michael Shaheen of the DOJ to prosecute some of the crimes I have charged in my Suit, and specifically the AUSA of "Misleading a Federal Grand Jury". NOTHING HAS BEEN DONE! In addition to many others, I spoke with Mr. Jonathan Tucker (202-761-0066) of the Presidential Advisory Committee on Gulf War Syndrome, who called me October 30, 1995. When I finished explaining to him what this is really all about he was in shock and, from his reaction this advisory board is in the dark, and going nowhere fast. I offered to cooperate with his board if they were going to do something for the Veterans. With that he silently said good-bye and hung up. He did not follow through for me to testify and present evidence, and from what I heard him say, this seems like another smoke screen. Don't hold your breath waiting for a miracle. I have also signed a release to some attorneys supposedly wanting to help veterans so they could subpoena my evidence through the courts and in addition, I offered to present evidence and testify for others. NONE have done so!

* * *

November 1, 1995 8:05 A.M. From: PETER KAWAJA Fax #: [407] 989-8261

Here is what any Gulf Veteran and Civilian should do:

1.) Call for a CONGRESSIONAL INVESTIGATION into GWS/IRAQGATE
2.) Demand that Peter Kawaja's evidence be UNSEALED and ALL of it given back to him.

3.) Support Peter Kawaja in his legal battle which is for ALL Americans. Support means write letters,

photocopy information, spread the word, mail out information (paper/tapes), talk to your friends and neighbors, write to newspapers and other media and demand to know why they have not covered the GWS story, etc.

It is paramount that PUBLIC hearings by an INDEPENDENT COUNCIL oversee any investigation or hearings, because all others will be white-washed and controlled by some of the very perpetrators of the crimes.

WHY is it that Peter Kawaja "insists" his evidence be unsealed? For the lack of time and space on this paper, let me give you a simple but graphic explanation: if you sat on a jury, and I presented a tape recording or a document that "I" said the voices on it are Barbouti, Saddam Hussein, George Bush, Henry Kissinger—for example. Their counsel would try to say the tape is a forgery or the like. BUT, what if, the GOVERNMENT itself has to produce a tape recording that THEY took from Peter Kawaja, and THEY have to admit in court that THEY were in possession of the tape UNDER NATIONAL SECURITY for almost 5-years. This tape is THEIR EVIDENCE, that THEY took under a WAR POWERS ACT search warrant and SEALED so YOU would never hear it. NOW—if they have to enter that tape and play it for you to hear, HOW will they be able to challenge THEIR OWN EVIDENCE? Do you understand? The Government of the United States came in 1990 with such a War Powers Act Search Warrant and took BOXES of evidence from Peter Kawaja and **sealed** it as National Security during 1990—BEFORE any American received their Immunization shots, before any American had to take their PB pill, before any American received ANTHRAX Vaccinations and others, before the WAR with Iraq, before any Chemical and Biological Weapons were released by anyone—no matter who you want to blame. You MUST ask yourself, WHY did the government of the United States **seal** Peter Kawaja's evidence as National Security just BEFORE the Gulf War (Nov-1990), and WHY has it been kept SEALED all these years, IF DOD and the VA said they want to get to the bottom of this mystery illness called GWS. Since Peter Kawaja agreed to testify before a Congressional Committee in 1991 AFTER the war, WHY did Congress NOT proceed? WHO has the evidence taken from Peter Kawaja? Is it the Military? WHY when Kevin Craddock and Tom Hopp from the Subcommittee investigating the Arming of Iraq in 1991 after the war asked Peter Kawaja's approval to obtain his evidence from the DOJ—were they denied? How can CONGRESS be denied the evidence, and WHO has Peter Kawaja's evidence. Why is Peter Kawaja's evidence so important, and who made it so important? If it is not, WHY has it remained SEALED for 5-years? WHAT is the Government hiding from you?

Joyce Riley called Bob Dornan (July ?/95) and discussed Peter Kawaja and the GWI information, and asked for a congressional investigation. Within minutes after hanging up the phone with him the National Security Agency called Joyce Riley and demanded to know HOW MANY COPIES of the evidence existed, did they get ALL copies (in the RAID against Peter Kawaja), etc. WHY did Dornan NOT do something? Why has a congressional investigation NOT taken place? WHY is the National Security Agency so concerned to know if "they" missed anything (back in the 1990 RAID), and were there any copies of Peter Kawaja's evidence?

People of America, the answer is right under your nose. Peter Kawaja did not make his evidence important or credible, the United States Government DID! MY evidence was taken in a RAID under a War Powers Act warrant and SEALED all these years, whilst the government says they have "an ongoing investigation". Ask the Government if they need help in their investigation work, with the BILLIONS of

YOUR Tax Dollars they operate from, the War being over, the PIT Plant closed for 4-years, and Dr. Barbouti supposedly dead, WHAT are they investigating? What can be ongoing? NOTHING is ongoing BUT A COVER-UP! For such an important investigation, what type of follow-up takes 4-years? All the evidence was IN a long time ago, and they never used it. In FACT, I have charged the AUSA Tom O'Malley of WPB, FL, with Misleading a Federal Grand Jury, because NO EVIDENCE of ANY kind was introduced to the Grand Jury to indict anyone. NONE of Peter Kawaja's evidence was presented to the Grand Jurors, so WHY did the Government come and take it with a War Powers Act SEARCH WARRANT and then seal it? If you take evidence AT GUNPOINT, why do you SEAL it unless the evidence is AGAINST YOU—the perpetrator of the crimes? If the evidence was solely against Barbouti, et al. as claimed on the Subpoena for the Grand Jury, WHY was NO evidence produced AGAINST the Barboutis? And if the government felt the evidence was not good, or insufficient or whatever, WHY has it been kept as NATIONAL SECURITY ALL THESE YEARS?

Do you now understand WHY "they" are sending gophers, misinformation artists and others against me, trying DESPERATELY to find out WHAT they missed? They are very concerned that IF they do release a tape one day, and there is an 18-minute tape gap or similar, ARE THERE ANY OTHER ORIGINALS that would prove they erased parts of the tape? They are very concerned, and I am so very pleased to hear all that is happening to try to discredit me. I wrote about the Pentagon threat in 1991 people, not in 1995. Back in 1991, the Pentagon threatened they would produce "credible persons" to testify against me, and "documents have already been made up" to be used. I dared to tell America the truth, I dared to stand up for Americans. I dared to care for sick and dying American Veterans.

Let it be a sign to you, check out the background of all who attack Peter Kawaja. You will find the stench so bad, you will vomit your innards and run for fresh air.

* * *

PETER KAWAJA
4338 Sugar Pine Drive, Boca Raton, Florida State
(4070 241-8407
11-06-95

Mr. Rick Martin
CONTACT/The Phoenix Journals

I am writing this document for the preservation of the true facts for the people of America, and protection of certain other individuals. Much has been happening, far too much to cover in this letter, however, the pace is being stepped up, the clock is ticking, the countdown is on.

Credible reports from known sources have been received of foreign (some Russian, some German) military "special ops" crack, well outfitted troops entering U.S. Military bases in brown busses with smoked glass mirrors and coming in unannounced. Also some military bases have already been placed on a 30-day alert for "deployment" (shipped out for some action), perhaps in a foreign nation, perhaps elsewhere.

The pieces of the puzzle are fitting together faster, and the picture is not nice, for AMERICANS. Bill and Patsy Geraghty sent me their Affidavit and background. Although quite more involved than this, basically, they were trying to help Gulf War Veterans who were severely ill after returning from the Gulf. (The following are excerpted Quotes) "They were also complaining that germ and biological warfare had been

used against them", and complained about "the inoculations" by the US Military. Bill and Patsy began to speak out and go on a local radio station—that is when their trouble "began". They end with "Then on September 8th, I received some startling information of the Gulf War Syndrome (Peter Kawaja's documents) and felt that it was of the utmost importance to get the information into the proper hands, so I began writing letters to Congressman Jim Nussle, Senator Alan Borlaug, State Rep. Deo Koenig, Jesse Brown/ Sec. of VA..." etc. They all responded stating they were not aware of the information and would look into the "allegations" and get back to me. Two weeks to the day of my first mailing of September 12th, I received a phone call form my mother stating that, "Brian had come into my sisters place of business to warn us that the Phoenix Police had been to their home and they informed them of our location. The police threatened them NOT to warn us of their plans...", etc. Bill and I are not criminals. We have clean records, we work hard, and are good people. If you were to check into our backgrounds, you would find that we are well liked by our friends and of good reputation. Our only "crime" is that we know too much and refuse to keep quiet. We choose to tell the truth about the terrible crimes that have been committed by our government against its people and will not stop doing so until the real criminals are brought to justice. (End quote excerpts). **Rick**, these people know ***Officer Jack McLamb***, and had testified for him some time ago when he was accused of wearing his uniform/impersonating a police officer. There is so much more to their story. They tried to kill Patsy by infection. Both of them are now "fugitives", yet they have committed no crime that I am aware of, other than speaking out. These Americans need help, and I want the world to know what is happening in America, to Americans. To further PROVE that the DOD/VA and powers that we are actively shutting down anyone who supports Peter Kawaja and his efforts to help Gulf Veterans. I am sending you, via another route, proof that at least one active duty American Serviceman was MURDERED/shot to death, because he was verifying something for me. Yes, they killed one of their own, and the civilian population still doesn't believe what happened in the Gulf, much less what is about to happen to all of them. They have declared open WAR against the American Public, who are still sleeping. Guard this information well, Sir. I am sending it to you only so you can have the evidence itself, so you see this is not an allegation, so you can write about it and stand on what you write. Be prepared for "things" in black outfits and ski masks to come to your door with guns, I have warned you—govern yourself accordingly. Nothing is here—let them come! Bill and Patsy Geraghty DARED to distribute my information. I have received word from others in CANADA, who state they would be arrested if caught passing out my information. The Government has come out of the closet against me, because some people are actually starting to open their eyes, to listen, to research the FACTS. It has cost someone their life, others are now fugitives, and many others have fled for fear of their lives. I cannot name anyone in this document, more will come to you. Another Gulf Veteran doing his own investigation has re-surfaced. Jim Brown called Scott Wheeler of the USA Patriot Network. Brown confirmed that Wheeler made his statements without ever talking to Peter Kawaja or obtaining any background information, other than listening to Denise Nicols. However, Mr. Wheeler claims to be an investigative reporter, who was working on the Mena, Arkansas (Clinton) case. I have never read any document or heard about him before, have you? Jim Brown then proceeded to explain to Wheeler who Peter Kawaja was and then sent him information on some of Kawaja's evidence, to include a copy of the attached DOD "classified" report, confirming (Prussian blue) Blue Acid, and a certain U.S. process company (PIT). This is not an allegation of Kawaja, but an actual GOVERNMENT (DOD) Document. Mr. Wheeler has not called to apologize, and I am not holding my breath.

The VA has also canceled the November 15th Medical review of Andrew Colesanti, a Gulf Veteran diagnosed "by" the VA as having GWS, but was never in the Gulf. This cancellation came after he

announced that Peter Kawaja would be attending with him. The VA and DOD have a real problem with Peter Kawaja. Just when they think it is safe to get back in the water... For example, can anyone answer me why the U.S. Military signed a 10-year contract with TAMIMI (Arabic for SAFEWAY) in JUNE of 1990 (prior to the Gulf War). for 1-billion dollars per year, for FOOD for U.S. Troops who were to come (future tense) to Saudi in LARGE NUMBERS, and remain as a strong U.S. Presence? Gee, how coincidental, that Saddam Hussein gets a green light to move on Kuwait, and we are surprised and a war occurs, coincidence? If so, what about the "Peace Shield Bid", prior to Desert Shield (just "name" coincidence), which was a project to build air force bases in Saudi Arabia. How about the BILLIONS of dollars in missiles and war materials going through the Port of Jedda? How about those ships belonging to George Bush that waited fully loaded for over a year, READY for the war"? Coincidence you say, the war was not planned you say? Okay, I also want to buy that swamp land you say is above sea level. Think that is all? It gets worse. Would the DOD or anyone like to confirm for me the "allegation" of NUCLEAR DETONATIONS during the Gulf War? Say what?—how about these map coordinates/markers on the maps issued to our troops, anyone care to figure the locations out? ->NG-38-7, NG38-8, NG-38-11 NG-38-12, NG-38-15 NG-38-16, Riyadh, past the second mountain range, 2-hours drive to Sultan Range. (I think that's correct)

The Gulf War was one big experiment, a testing ground for all the new toys, the newest weapons of mass destruction, and AMERICANS were a major part of the Guinea Pigs. Now we are facing a WORLD-WIDE outbreak, soon coming to the Civilian Populations, and already spreading here in America.

The following is a quote from this Sunday's (Nov 05th, 1995) edition of the London *MAIL* (newspaper) just faxed to me by certain "friends", and written by Tim Sebastian who works for the BBC and was here three times to interview some people. Mr. Sebastian also writes articles for the *Mail*. (an excerpt): To date governments have denied the presence of chemical weapons within the Gulf Theater—not once, but many times. They have gone on denying it—and promised to continue that denial indefinitely. One Congressional investigator was told ***by the Top Secret U.S. Defense Intelligence Agency***: "YOU'RE NEVER GOING TO FIND OUT WHAT IS MAKING THEM SICK. AND WE'LL NEVER ADMIT IT"—(a direct quote).

THAT is (part of) the message (and threat) which was also delivered to Peter Kawaja in 1991, written back in 1991, not today, long before this article, and filed as part of my evidence in my Law Suit against some of these Terrorist Agents, for committing Treasonous WAR CRIMES against Americans.

They have come right out, America, and told you to your face, THEY KNOW, but they will NEVER tell you, just like they decided NOT to tell you about the POW/MIA's of Vietnam.

However, this is worse, Agent Orange was not contagious, GWS "IS"?!

In next week's issue, Tim Sebastian will cover the BIOLOGICALS, look for it.

Charles Spross/Operation Freedom (Maitland, Florida) 407-740-0224, sent a letter certified mail (see attached) to President Clinton regarding Gulf Syndrome cover-up, and states that 22-tapes he made of Peter Kawaja sent out through the Postal Service, were ALL erased! There is lots more.

Rick, I am concerned, but not scared. Things are already in place if I should die. I want you and America to know, if I die for any reason, do not believe it, no matter the evidence. They want me dead, badly, they want me to shut up. I am scheduled for some speaking engagements, and I cannot remain at home. I have been a PRISONER in my own home for 5-long years. I will be bringing the word to America personally at these speaking engagements and TV shows. They already know, these things cannot be kept from them. I need to make the dates PUBLIC, so the civilian population knows. The following speaking engagements will be my last public appearances (if I live to do them). After that, Americans will have to seek the truth on their own. I have paid too heavy a price already, for all that I have endured to sound the warning. Those who have ears, let them hear.

November-07, Officer Jack McLamb Radio Show.
November-11, Rally For Freedom - Kansas/Sponsored by the Tenth Amendment Society and KEWNET, MC'd by radio personality, Rick James.
November-30/Dec.-1 & 2: The Church of God Evangelistic Association & *Newswatch* Magazine, Hosted by pastor David J. Smith/Waxahachie, Texas. (TV & Radio broadcast)
December 5/6/7/8: GRANADA FORUM (sponsors of State Senator Don Rogers and Sheriff Richard Mack). Speaking in Bakersfield, California.
January 18-22/1996: GLOBAL SCIENCES CONFERENCE—Tampa, Florida
That will be it. Unless something unusual happens, like Americans waking up, I will no longer be available publicly. As Brother Nord Davis said when certain people tried to kill him, "It was not yet the appointed time." I will do all I can to honor those dates, and only God knows the future and what it holds for me. If I should meet with an UNtimely accident, a plane crash, a drive-by shooting, whatever. Know this, it was not an accident, it was not coincidence, it was not foreign terrorists, it was not Militia. Those Socialist/Communist New World Order, UN Luciferians are to be held responsible for my execution.