

Phoenix Journal

#142



By Gyeorgos Ceres Hatonn

Table Of Contents

CHAPTER 1	1
MORE INCONVENIENT NEWS ABOUT OKLAHOMA CITY BOMBING	1
FEDS’ FABLES FINALLY FALLING FLAT!	1
CHAPTER 2	9
NOTICE TO THE PEOPLE FROM GARY WEAN RE: CIVIL MILITIAS	
Friday, May 12, 1995	9
THE CIVIL MILITIAS ARE A LEGAL ENTITY	9
CHAPTER 3	14
TELEPHONE INTERVIEW WITH DAVE HALL 5/4/95	14
AS REPORTED ON THE CHUCK HARDER RADIO PROGRAM	16
CHAPTER 4	17
WAKE-UP TIME!	17
OKLAHOMA CITY BOMBING UP-DATE	17
POSSE COMITATUS	17
CHAPTER 5	18
NEWS ITEMS	18
MICHAEL MAHOLY UPDATE From Ronn Jackson 5/26/95	18
NATIONAL COMMON LAW GRAND JURY TO HEAR FACT ON	
GOVERNMENT	18
USE OF EMERGENCY POWERS	18
NATIONAL COMMON LAW GRAND JURY	19
NATIONAL VIETNAM P.O.W. STRIKE FORCE	19
CHAPTER 6	20
THE NEWS DESK by Phyllis Linn 5/27/95	20
“MORE ANGRY MEN”	20
MILITIAS ARE JOINING JURY-POWER ACTIVISTS	
TO FIGHT GOVERNMENT ‘Red’ Beckman’s Revenge”	20
THE ANGLO-AMERICAN JURY by John M. Kelly	23
OUR JURIES ARE BEING MISINFORMED by Roger Koopman, Columnist	27
THE KINGS AND QUEENS OF THE JURY	29
CHAPTER 7	30
CHRONOLOGY OF NEW WORLD ORDER ANTICS	30
CHAPTER 8	31
DARK SECRETS IN ANYTOWN, U.S.A.	31
MULTI-GENERATIONAL RITUAL ABUSE & MURDER	
PART IV: WHAT ABOUT THE CHILDREN? The Final Chapter	
by Rick Martin 5/23/95	31
SHANE PHELPS—TEXAS ATTORNEY GENERAL’S OFFICE	31
INTERVIEW WITH MARIE KERR	32
INTERVIEW WITH DONNA KERR LANEY	39
ANN GOAR—LICENSED SOCIAL WORKER	46

BARBARA BASS—FOSTER PARENT OF THE YEAR	55
INTERVIEW WITH J. DOE	63
QUESTIONS THAT WON'T GO AWAY	66
SOME CLOSING COMMENTS	68
CHAPTER 9	70
NEW GAIA OFFERS JOURNEY TO HEALTH	70
WHAT SHOULD WE BE TAKING? by Sandra Tulanian, D.C. 5/26/95	70
CHAPTER 10	76
NEWS ITEMS	76
MILLIONS SIPPING POLLUTED WATER, 2 GROUPS REPORT	76
DOUBLE SCOURGE: AIDS, TB EXACERBATE EACH OTHER	76
ARGENTINE TOWN REELS FROM VIRUS	76
CHAPTER 11	78
TREASONOUS ADL PROPAGANDA FROM	
MISHPUCKA AGENT, SEN. DIANNE FEINSTEIN	78
NOTICE TO THE PEOPLE by Gary Wean May 31, 1995	78
LETTER FROM DIANNE FEINSTEIN	80
CHAPTER 12	83
A RECENT MESSAGE From Ronn Jackson	83
A RECENT MESSAGE From Ronn Jackson	83
A RECENT MESSAGE From Ronn Jackson	84
CHAPTER 13	85
GOOD NEWS! FROM MICHAEL MAHOLY	85
CHAPTER 14	87
THE NEWS DESK by Phyllis Linn 6/9/95	87
MEDIA CONTINUES CASTIGATION OF “FAR RIGHT”	87
JIM GUY TUCKER INDICTED!	87
YOUTH ACTION NEWS	88
DETHRONES NEWT	88
AMATEUR RELIC HUNTERS	90
HARASSED BY FEDERAL AGENCIES	90
PART OF BRITISH OPERATION:	91
A GUN-WAVING LARRY NICHOLS	91
LARRY NICHOLS WAVING GUN	91

CHAPTER 1

MORE INCONVENIENT NEWS ABOUT OKLAHOMA CITY BOMBING FEDS' FABLES FINALLY FALLING FLAT!

It was only two weeks after we first presented the truth (Front Page story, 4/25/95 CONTACT) about the tragic Oklahoma City bombing event that we had to return to the matter (Front Page story, 5/9/95 CONTACT) because so much good data was leaking out that contradicted the official, create-a-scapegoat version of the bombing story.

Well, here we go again. So much good stuff is coming out that we have to share it with you. You can then come to your own INFORMED conclusions about the truth of the matter.

As Commander Hatonn prophetically instructed at the very top of a 4/20/95 writing on the next day after the event took place, “Why the Federal Building? Why Oklahoma City? Why? Why? Why? LOOK AT IT, READERS! LOOK VERY CAREFULLY AT IT AND THE ANSWERS WILL SLIP THROUGH.” And indeed, they sure do seem to be doing just that!

But before I introduce the latest major, stand-alone updates to this tragic matter—a wound to the nation that resulted in the murder of almost 170 unsuspecting Americans, I want to mention several related points, some of a scientific nature, which deserve attention in this forum.

The first point is one that any good farmer would tell you who has experience with explosions derived from fertilizer: it would produce a huge white cloud of smoke due to the poisonous gases generated as part of the explosion. Not only was such not noticed or reported as being generated in the Oklahoma City blast but, had fertilizer been the primary culprit, the area would NOT have been accessible so immediately to rescue workers. Rather, unless you waited several days, you could have entered the area ONLY while wearing completely self-contained breathing suits.

The second point concerns what interesting things are revealed from local seismic data. But I'm going to take a temporary detour here, while we're on the subject of seismic activity, to share what Commander Hatonn had to say about the recent, massive earthquake in Eastern Russia. This occurred at 13:03 Universal Time (late night local time) on 5/27/95, about 2/3 up a chunk of land that sits directly north of Japan, called Sakhalin Island. The media are reporting it as a Richter magnitude 7.5 event, 33 kilometers deep. But just LOOK at all the death and damage! Well, during a meeting on Sunday, 5/28/95, Commander Hatonn revealed that the actual earthquake magnitude was AT LEAST a 9.0 (!!!)—that's “merely” a factor of about 50 times stronger shaking than what we are being told by the media puppets. This does not speak well for the stability of the Pacific Plate, but rather, suggests another, more intense series of “adjustments” is about to proceed around the entire periphery of that plate. Naturally, each one of these circulating “ripples” brings the entire West Coast of the U.S.A. closer to destruction, an event quite overdue at this time. Remember that “Professor” Soltec has long cautioned us to “watch Japan” for clues to the timing of large seismic events along the Mexican-American-Canadian West Coast. Well, from a geo-

logical perspective, Sakhalin Island could easily be considered a part of Japan. So—keep your eyes open for the aftereffects of this most recent huge earthquake. I would guess that Mother Earth is about to become VERY restless! Can you blame her?!

Now, back to Oklahoma:

On the afternoon of 5/25/95 I talked with a most gracious and congenial (and, from the time of day, working overtime) Charles J. Mankin, Director of the Oklahoma Geological Survey.

It was just two days earlier, shortly after 7 A.M. local Oklahoma City time, that the remaining 2/3 of the Alfred P. Murrah Federal Building structure was demolished in an impressive, substantial, carefully sequenced detonation event. A comparison of the seismic data from the tragic 4/19/95 bomb blast at shortly after 9:02 A.M. with this later demolition is certainly revealing.

I am thankful to Mr. Mankin for so expeditiously faxing to me the seismic data or “signatures” of the two different events which, while they wouldn’t look like much to just reprint them here, nonetheless tell a sobering story for those with more technical eyes.

While we were talking, and before I had seen the data myself, I naturally asked him what he saw in the comparing of the two events’ seismic signatures. After all, if he saw nothing, why bother to pursue the matter. Well, without any hesitation Mr. Mankin’s professional but eager comment to me was, “The signatures are VERY different! No doubt about it! We are continuing with further analysis on them.”

Once he faxed the data to me, I could see what he meant! I will try to be graphic (without getting overly technical) about the main points I’ve noticed from this seismic data. We are here talking about data recorded on a typical analog seismograph that is located near Norman, Oklahoma, 16 miles southeast of the downtown Oklahoma City explosion center.

First of all, the earlier (4/19/95) blast CLEARLY shows TWO substantial ground wave “burst” events separated by 10 whole seconds. (Note well: NOT the 10 milliseconds STILL scurrying around the various fax networks and being quoted over various radio talk shows, due to wide distribution of former FBI agent Ted Gunderson’s initial faxed-everywhere document about this seismic data, sent out shortly after the occurrence of the 4/19 bombing event itself.)

Some have speculated that this second, clearly as powerful as the first, seismic shaking event was the falling down of the blown-up segment of the building.

No. Any seismic activity resulting from blasted-off and falling pieces of normal-shaped buildings is going to be mostly buried within the residual, exponentially decaying ground motion wiggles of the much more violent explosion event itself. (The only area of exception would possibly be for some kind of very tall and narrow-based “building”—such as the one outside my window here, that a woodpecker has adopted, making a home in a tall and skinny telephone pole. If I detonated at the base AND the pole managed to come down more-or-less in one piece, with one thud, the latter event’s seismic signal would, of course, be (1) delayed from that of the initial blast, and (2)

MAYBE be as big as the blast's seismic signature—if this “building” were weighty enough.)

To verify this logical assumption, one need only look at the 5/23/95 seismic shaking signature produced when a much larger chunk of that federal building fell, at the time of its demolition. That signature showed NO such double pulse. Rather, it showed the kind of continuous “wiggle” trail which would have been expected to result from the ensemble of closely-timed detonations that comprise a carefully engineered building implosion. Besides, even when (especially when) blasted to kingdom come, a building doesn't fall all at once, but in pieces.

To make this point clear: to produce the kind of hefty (I'm getting ahead of myself here, but hefty is certainly the correct word) double seismic signature that occurred in the 4/19/95 blast, it would require TWO VERY LARGE detonations, 10 whole seconds apart! And remember—all of this unusually timed and powerful activity is coming from ONE simple fertilizer bomb. Very, very clever; indeed.

*Now let's consider blast strength. A comparison of the seismic “wiggle” amplitudes (linearly related to the magnitudes or extents of ground motion, and thus proportional to the energy of the blasts) from the two different events, showed that the earlier (4/19) double-blast event delivered a MUCH MORE SUBSTANTIAL kick than did the later building demolition event! To be more precise: BOTH of the 4/19 blast seismic wiggles, separated by the 10-second interval (again, from ****ONE**** simple fertilizer bomb?!) were approximately TWICE as large in magnitude as what was observed to result from the later, substantial demolition event! Adjusting for the physics of an above-ground, rather than below-ground, situation, this implies that that clever little fertilizer bomb was at least SIX TIMES more powerful than the explosion ensemble used to bring down the remaining 2/3 of the building during its demolition.*

So, the sacrificial blast(s) on April 19 was/were produced by something(s) carrying QUITE a serious punch. You will read, within some of the other observations we are presenting as part of this update, that there were noted to be “light flashes” FROM ABOVE the building, plus other equally suspicious, technically advanced happenings which, together, help to confirm the unusual nature of the TRUE mechanism of the tragic 4/19 blast.

And, one more time: if you get the feeling that NONE of this adds up to a simple fertilizer bomb, well, then you're in good company!

Moving on now from “inconvenient” seismological data to other matters, there are two more points yet which I want to convey about this Oklahoma City event.

The first is something I heard within several hours after the 4/19/95 bombing event—and then never again. Some network TV interviewer was discussing the bomb pattern with, I think, the building's original designer or architect. The discussion somehow got around to speculating on possible damage to the 5-story UNDERGROUND (Oh???) parking garage.

*And then there followed—I swear—an ever-so-casual mention of the building having something like **18 stories UNDERGROUND!!!** But I NEVER heard that mentioned again, at ANY time later*

on, over the hours and days of continuing news coverage of the bombing event—to the point that I have to question ever even having heard what I thought I heard! Has anyone else out there heard about this “interesting” feature of the Oklahoma City federal building?

For you longtime CONTACT readers who are well aware of all the massive array of secret underground bases utilized by various factions of the Elite crooks, and inter-connected by a comprehensive, high-speed tunnel system, even the mere possibility of an UNDERGROUND aspect to the Oklahoma City Federal Building (no matter how deep it might go) brings a whole new provocative array of matters into this picture to think about. It’s certainly something else to consider, especially when “inconvenient” matters, such as the rumors of incriminating Waco documents being stored in that Oklahoma City federal building, come to mind!

Finally, here’s a revealing story: This happened late Wednesday night, 5/24/95. Roger Fredinberg is substituting for a vacationing Art Bell on Art’s very popular late-night, nationally-syndicated, call-in radio program.

At one point, around 1 A.M. into Thursday morning, because of all the “inconvenient” opinions still flying around and inundating the radio talk shows (and their fax machines) about who REALLY carried out this Oklahoma City bombing, Roger said he was going to do an Art Bell-like debate in the next hour of the program (this would have been for shortly after the 2 A.M. news break, going into Thursday morning). He requested that people call in who wanted to argue either side of the issue: that the Federal Government was/was not responsible for blowing up their own Federal Building in Oklahoma City. He needed just two people for each side of the debate.

Well, within minutes of the request he had hundreds of people flooding the network switchboard who wanted to argue the side that the Feds blew up their own building. But, nearly an hour later, he STILL was having trouble getting just two people who were willing to step out and argue the converse!

Finally he announced that he had his two, but when the four debaters were making introductions on the air, one of those pro-Fed two still gave an emphatic qualification to his position saying, “Well, I want to make it clear that I really don’t think much of our current government—Waco and Ruby Ridge and all that—but I just CAN’T bring myself to believe they would blow up their own building.” That’s as close as this guy would come to a solid position statement! And, come to think of it, the other pro-government person was also rather feeble during the debate.

Doesn’t that just speak volumes for the state of our nation?! AND the feelings of we-the-people?

Now let’s move on to the sequence of update items on this Oklahoma City bombing event that we present over the following pages.

First off, Commander Hatonn has asked that we repeat two documents from our previous (5/9/95) update—the first from Eustace Mullins and the second from Gary Wean (Please see those issues of CONTACT). This is because of this week’s intense focus on the maybe-not-so-obvious Jewish Defense League (JDL) and Anti-Defamation League (ADL) connections to current goings on.

These two criminal enterprises act as mostly unwitting, brutish puppets to higher-up Elite plans for world domination, and are most assuredly to be found as instigators behind a wide variety of sick shenanigans going on within our country—especially events that display their characteristic violence, such as in the Oklahoma City bombing.

This first essay is a fine example of pure Eustace Mullins—longtime “Chairman of the Board” of those who have been revealing the Big Picture. His scholarship is the envy of all who work to expose the REAL crooks in high places. Longtime CONTACT readers will find Eustace’s outlay a confirmation rather than shocking news, but each new example of the Same Old Game sheds further Light on the TRUE culprits of such savagery. If one only reads the first two paragraphs of his document, one has gained plenty more background smarts, with which to avoid the Evil Empire’s traps, than have some of the various militias who are being goaded into stumbling into same. Forewarned is surely forearmed—with TRUTH!

The next repeat document is a recent writing from retired Los Angeles Police Detective Gary Wean, who is most familiar to CONTACT readers for his incredibly well researched outlays on the O.J. Simpson frame-up. Like in Eustace’s discussion, we find, continually surfacing in Gary’s heavily cross-linked report, mention of the disgusting, terrorist Anti-Defamation League (ADL) as a key player in the game. Gary is also concerned that we-the-people wake up to confront the Anti-Terrorism Crime Bill now streaking like a comet through Congress—before it clobbers us all, like bowling pins, destined to fall directly into slave camps. As Gary puts the Oklahoma City bombing into perspective, the bottom line, precipitated by the likes of the ADL, is one of “political terrorism conducted by professional spies, saboteurs and provocateurs.”

The third item we present in this Oklahoma City update is a new document by Gary, which continues to trace and connect the ADL and JDL criminal operations themes. As usual, everything is connected to everything else—and it’s not a pretty picture!

The fourth item is again extracted (because it is important background refreshment to what follows next) from our 5/9/95 CONTACT (Please see that issue of CONTACT) Oklahoma City update. This item was a short but revealing telephone interview between CONTACT’s own sleuthing ace, Rick Martin, and Dave Hall, owner and news journalist of Channel 5, KBOC-TV, near Oklahoma City.

Dave has the annoying (to the crooks) habit of finding discrepancies in the various official News Releases covering some of the Oklahoma City events. You know how it goes: white is black, then black is white, then both are reported to be yellow (journalism?) or red—or something like that. Anything but The Truth is standard procedure. Thus it is downright refreshing to find someone in Dave’s position not afraid to speak out when he thinks he’s smelling a scam somewhere nearby.

In a Front Page editorial to that 5/9/95 issue of CONTACT I commented that:

“ This has been a week of confirmations that all is NOT as it has been reported by the controlled media about the tragic Oklahoma City bombing, an event that has now claimed the lives of almost

170 people. Even some within the 'normal' media pipeline are daring to question the obvious contradictions in the 'facts' as such unfolded, since they seemed to change direction as fast and as often as a weather vane atop an Oklahoma barn."

Indeed, I had Dave Hall in mind when writing that, and applaud both his persistence and courage to keep on keeping on despite his "inconvenient" findings.

And that leads to item five—which is a report, sent in by one of our "team" out among the readership, about a more recent radio interview with a Dave Hall co-worker, on the Chuck Harder program for 5/23/95. Dave's team is still finding discrepancies. Imagine that?!

Item six is a fine document from a "Fed-Up American" titled Federal Government Declares Civil War On All States. It skillfully weaves together the larger issue of the Congressional Posse Comitatus Act of 1878 with the Oklahoma City bombing. Sometimes, amidst all the smoke and fire of staged destructive events, we can lose sight of the Larger Goals being advanced by the crooks in high places. A document such as this helps out there.

Item seven is an outstandingly "dynamite" letter from Brigadier General Benton K. Partin (USAF, Ret.) to Oklahoma Senator Donald Nickles, again contributed to CONTACT through one of our "team" out there in the readership. General Partin argues strongly about the UTTER AND PREPOSTEROUS ABSURDITY of the officially stated bomb blast scenario, from the rather—ahem—"inconvenient" perspective of a professional who is well versed in the subject of explosives. If this document doesn't get you thinking, then nothing will!

Item eight is a CNN Network News interview (8:29 A.M., 5/23/95) with Edye Smith, who lost her two little boys (3-year-old Chase and 2-year-old Coulton) in the Oklahoma City bomb blast. At the time of this interview, Edye was boiling mad and quite vocal about why certain Federal employees (ATF) "luckily" escaped any injury—like they were warned to stay away that day. Near the end of the interview she said, "We just want to know, and they're telling us, 'Keep your mouth shut, don't talk about it'." But she wasn't keeping her mouth shut—at least not then.

Let me explain:

Remember I was talking about Roger Fredinberg being on the air Wednesday night (5/24/95) for vacationing Art Bell. At one point during the program Roger said he had also interviewed Edye on 5/23, later in the day on his own radio program (which directly precedes the Art Bell show but, naturally, is some hours after the morning CNN interview).

Roger said that, during his interview, Edye was, to put it mildly, boiling mad and quite vocal about the unanswered questions concerning those "lucky" ATF employees and the inference of the bomb blast being an "inside" job. Roger said that, off air, he warned her to NOT engage in ANY "discussions" with the Feds without her lawyer being present—for good reason!

Well, she apparently didn't listen to Roger because he said that, by the time he woke up the next day (5/24, the day of this account of events given on the Art Bell show) his answering machine was

already full of comments from incredulous listeners who had called him to report that Edye had just been the guest on the G. Gordon Liddy radio show and had done a complete reversal flip—she NOW claimed she was “perfectly satisfied” and didn’t anymore have any questions or anger or anything else to say. (!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!)

So, during his telling of this story of Edye’s bizarre reversal, on the Art Bell show that evening (5/24), both Roger and many of the callers-in speculated on just HOW the Feds must have gotten to Edye to cause that all too familiar Veil of Silence to descend over her previously seething and boiling-mad cauldron of “inconvenient” questions.

And, naturally, such a blatant silencing action is only backfiring. All it has done, in the eyes of the thinking public, is to strengthen the case AGAINST the Feds’ innocence in the bombing of their own building in Oklahoma City.

Item nine, the final document in this update series, is another short, but essential-to-the-puzzle essay by superb retired Los Angeles Police Detective Gary Wean. While dated 5/9/95, we just received this at the CONTACT offices and include it here, as a fitting ending to our update anthology, because of the important double-cross linkages Gary addresses between Japan and ADL agents.

Remember back to our last update in the 5/9/95 CONTACT where, among other things, we dealt with the Michigan Militia’s falling for and spreading very embarrassing disinformation about Japan’s involvement as the perpetrator in the Oklahoma City bombing event. This mess caused a lot of internal conflict resulting in the top two leaders of that militia stepping down, and for them to issue several hysterical Press Releases, one of which we printed about Clinton coming to Michigan for a graduation address.

At the time, I said, “Sadly, this particular Release is not nearly as important from a news standpoint as it is for an example of how easily, when the Big Picture and the Real Perpetrators are not understood, that juicy but shallow tidbits of misinformation can end up mixed with truth to provoke unmeasured, or even irrational, action....”

And Commander Hatonn said, “The two men who head the Michigan Militia have stepped aside over the Japanese involvement tale. I warned everyone to be very careful with that distraction. Yes, there is involvement, but NOT AS PRESENTED.”

Well, Gary does an excellent job of setting out for us the players on this game board, and who actually did what to whom. Yes, the Michigan Militia fell into a trap, but we should all remain humble in the knowing that such a trap is easy to fall into when the tangled webs of deception and double-cross are as complex as the truth of the matter reveals.

*As Gary cautions, “The Michigan Militia should be very careful about being very cleverly used by the ADL Mishpucka propagandists.” No wonder Commander Hatonn has devoted so much of his writing this week (see pgs. 54-65) to the subject of the JDL and the ADL. For preservation sake—**KNOW THY ENEMY!***

So, the Larger Picture of the Oklahoma City bombing event necessarily includes the poisonous antics of the likes of the ADL and JDL savages. We can but pray that the ENTIRE, TRUE story of this horrible, calculated attack on the American soul will soon overwhelm the lies machinery. Look out when that happens! And keep your eyes open as further contradictions emerge to en-Light-en the Way.

Dr. Edwin M. Young, Editor-In-Chief

CHAPTER 2

NOTICE TO THE PEOPLE FROM GARY WEAN RE: CIVIL MILITIAS

Friday, May 12, 1995

THE CIVIL MILITIAS ARE A LEGAL ENTITY

Under the dire circumstances and conditions in which our nation and people presently find themselves, the Militias present a totally necessary, stabilizing establishment. By the very fact of their existence, it slows politicians and federal law enforcement from being misused to take unwarranted and drastic actions against loyal, law-abiding citizens.

President Clinton, FBI Director Freeh and Atty. General Janet Reno attempt to, very swiftly, pass extremely harmful laws against the people, while they stir a “frenzy of fear” against the Civil Militia. Their evil, so-called “Anti-Domestic Terrorist” laws, that label law-abiding citizens as criminals, will crush the Militias and take away the people’s guns, their only protection. This will leave the people like sheep to face bloody destruction by the ferocious wolves of the unmerciful, frightful ADL force.

The ADL, the Mishpuckas’ trillion-dollar spy, provocateur, and saboteur organization, finances their JDL (Jewish Defense League). Mishpucka is pronounced like “spook”. Some Jews spell it Mishpoca and pronounce it “spocka”, but either way it means “Jewish Crime Family”. The JDL is the brutal enforcement arm of the ADL—murder, assassination, drugs, bombings, fraud, prostitution, protection insurance, etc., etc.—these horrendous criminal activities are backed and supported by a large, secret, JDL standing army that is on instant mobilization call. This secret army is larger than all the Civil Militia units combined and supplied with far superior, heavier weapons, and explosives.

Despicably, Clinton, Freeh, and Janet Reno allow the totally illegal ADL and JDL Mishpucka to rage on, exist, thrive, and conduct criminal operations and activities while committing vicious mass atrocities against American citizens. These criminal activities are totally illegal and in violation of existing, long standing federal laws, rules, and regulations that are more than sufficient and do not require in any way the so-called “Anti-Domestic Terrorist” laws that Clinton, Freeh, and Reno want for their “Political Power”.

The JDL’s secret, standing army under the command of General Irving Rubin and his wife Shelly conducts vigorous urban and guerilla warfare training with live ammunition and indoctrination in the specific art of killing Christians and Moslems, both white and black. These training periods are conducted 3 and 4 times a week—one of the secret camps is deep in the forests of Michigan, and they draw heavily on trainees from thugs and gangsters in the Detroit Jew ghettos—another secret training camp is deep in the forests of New York State, where they draw their trainees from the thugs and gangsters from New York City’s ghettos.

These people are imbued with a blood-thirsty hatred of Christians—even the old Jew women spit at Christian cemeteries until they can’t spit anymore when they pass by the cemeteries. The Mishpuckas vent

their terrible wrath at Christians from birth until they die.

To name only a few of the JDL's training weapons, it is known that they have BK91s; Ruger Mini-14s, an easy-to-conceal version of the M14—a standard weapon of U.S. forces in Vietnam; shotguns; .45 caliber Thompson sub-machine guns; Ingram Model 10 counter-insurgency weapons. It is known that they have many .50 caliber military machine guns, rockets, and hand grenades and powerful explosives.

From my book, *There's A Fish In The Courthouse* (1987), quoting:

Born in North Africa, Dina Mizrahi now lives in L.A. The 13-year-old girl, a Jew, received her weapons training from the JDL, along with 16-year-old John and his younger brother, Eric. Dina had a wide grin as she coolly squeezed off 3 rounds from a .38 revolver into the heart of a human silhouette (representing a Christian). Sixteen-year-old John helped his baby-faced brother, Eric, shoulder a powerful semiautomatic BK91. John helped Eric absorb the weapon's sharp recoil, as he eagerly blasted away at rows of human silhouettes. [End of quoting.]

Irv Rubin's predilection for murder and mayhem was extremely evident when he offered a cash bounty of 500 dollars to any Jew "who kills, maims or seriously injures any member of the American Nazi Party." He added, "If they bring me their ears, we'll make it one thousand." To Rubin, anybody who is not a Jew is a Nazi. Rubin made this offer at a well-publicized JDL meeting. To make all of General Rubin's plans for murder and mayhem easier, Mayor of San Francisco, Diane Feinstein and Congresswoman Bobbie Fiedler, both rabid ADL Mishpuckas are trying to pass laws taking handguns away from honest American citizens. Feinstein and Fiedler are close confidants of Menachem Begin, who threatens the world that anti-Semitism is no longer an internal affair of all other countries, as far as he is concerned. He asserts his right to intervene in foreign countries to protect the Jews no matter where they are.

French Prime Minister Pierre Mendes-France, a widely respected Jew, states that, "Begin is totally irresponsible, a mad-dog fanatic and what he is doing is a tragedy for the world, and his own people will suffer. Begin was ruthless and active constantly. About the time he murdered Count Folke Bernadotte, a U.N. peace negotiator, he destroyed an Arab town. Begin brought the bodies of his victims—men, women and children—to Jerusalem, proudly boasting of his deeds. Begin displayed them before Prime Minister Ben Gurion and throngs of Jews. Begin blew up the King David Hotel into steely shards of death, while his victims were still inside." This resembles the Oklahoma explosion. Rabbi Meir Kahane, founder of the JDL, and his follower, Irving Rubin, proudly admired Begin and emulated his examples.

Across town from Hollywood, a retired college professor had made a statement in class that he didn't believe the Holocaust happened. A bomb blew up his house. The FBI knew that Irving and Shelly Rubin had committed the bombing, but they did nothing. Irving denied he planted the bomb, but told reporters "I can't shed one tear, because it's too bad he didn't die in the blast." Shelly stated, "The JDL is a nice Jewish organization; we didn't bomb his house, but it's too damn bad that he wasn't blown to bits."

After Irving and Shelly Rubin gained control of the enormous arsenal of battle weapons burglarized from the U.S. National Guard Armories, they used their ADL contacts in Waco, Texas to conceal the arms at the Branch Davidian compound. A powerful ADL Mishpucka controlling a huge secret organization of Jews in Waco was Dr. Stanley Hersh. Hundreds of millions of dollars from all over America secretly

poured through the Waco organization and was distributed by Stanley Hersh. An accomplice of Hersh was a wealthy Jew cattle owner, J. Rosenfeld, who had sidled into the influential Texas cattlemen and oil sphere of power. Rabbi Meir Kahane was connected to the Waco money operation and the major financing of the 6-day Israeli-Arab War. The Waco connection was from where President Lyndon Johnson was paid off and money sent to Beverly Hills where Hubert Humphrey and Alan Cranston were slipped hundreds of thousands of dollars for their Congressional votes, which were used against the people. Hersh and Kahane had direct contact with Robert McNamara, the man who gave the treasonous order for the fighter planes speeding to the aid and rescue of the *U.S.S. Liberty* to return to the carrier *U.S.S. America* and abandon the *Liberty* to its fate. The *U.S.S. Liberty* was under attack from Israeli fighter planes and torpedo boats who had orders to sink the *Liberty* and kill all hands. They strafed life boats and the decks repeatedly for several hours.

Nearly every U.S. sailor on the *U.S.S. Liberty* was either killed or wounded. This atrocity was covered up through the power and influence of the ADL Mishpucka.

Stanley Hersh, who was connected with Koresh, made the arrangements to hide the weapons at the Davidian compound. During a certain period of time, Jew thugs and gangsters received military arms training and target practice at the compound as members of the JDL secret army.

The ordinary members of Koresh's church had no knowledge of or part in these operations. When Koresh got big ideas for his own personal powers and refused to return the weapons to Rubin and the JDL, the men, women, and children of the church became innocent pawns in the proceedings.

The Dallas office of the FBI was Oliver Revell's headquarters. Morris Dees and Revell were connected to Dr. Stanley Hersh and Rosenfeld—the danger of the weapons at Waco being exposed and their connection which would lead directly to the ADL, Begin and Israel became too great. Lloyd Bentsen, who had been on the payroll of the Waco ADL organization, was given orders to command the FBI and ATF to destroy the Davidian complex, all the witnesses therein, and the evidence.

It is no accident or coincidence that Robert McNamara, at this critical time, has written a book, wherein he claims that he now realizes that Vietnam was a mistake—a simple error of judgement. Yet it got thousands upon thousands of America's young people killed and maimed. Also, McNamara would have the people believe that the murderous assault on the *U.S.S. Liberty* was nothing more than a mistake—an error of judgement—same as Waco, Ruby Ridge, and many more. This is their propaganda theme they hope will explain away and cover up their involvement and guilt as agents of the ADL.

Willie Clinton has recently begun to test this propaganda on the TV. He says, "Yes, the government does make mistakes; errors of judgment is all they are." Janet Reno, on TV, repeats the same dogma: "It was all just a big mistake."

But the evidence is too much—what the people suspected from the first they now know—there was never any mistake or error of judgement. The terrible catastrophes and horrible atrocities that have been happening to America and its people are the result of a vicious overall scheme. They have occurred because of direct, deliberate, planned orders from the ADL Mishpucka. Will they call the Oklahoma explosion just a mistake when they get caught??

Although it is an unlikely place, the small locality of Waco was a hotbed, a highly organized focal point of ADL's secret planning and financial activities for many years. It was out of the mainstream eye of the big cities. Major aspects of the planning for the JFK murder were arranged and the orders to proceed emanated from Waco, as the former Senator John T. Power had revealed at the Ruidoso, New Mexico meeting only a few days after the assassination.

Rabbi Meir Kahane had what Jews call "dual citizenship"—he could travel back and forth between the U.S. and Israel at will. He made many trips from Waco to Israel. Kahane received major finances and plans for the so-called "6-Day War" in which the orders were to kill, if possible, every Arab in Palestine and destroy the Lebanese government. Israel could not have proceeded with the war unless they had received the major assurances and commitments which were sent direct to Waco from traitors in Washington D.C.

The *U.S.S. Liberty* had gotten in the way of these plans, and orders were relayed from Washington D.C. to Tel Aviv to destroy it and all hands aboard. Huge financing and critical scientific information for Israel's secret nuclear war production was coordinated at Waco and transported to Israel.

Morris Dees, who conducts what he calls the "Southern Poverty Law Center" holds the rank of Colonel in General Irving Rubin's secret "Jewish Defense League Army". General Rubin and Colonel Dees, as part of their sinister modus operandi, have infiltrated the Civil Militias of every state in America. These spies carry identification cards with the Israel battle insignia, the Star of David, thereon.

Some of the spies and saboteurs have received secret military training by the JDL and have connections to radical groups in Israel. General Rubin and Colonel Dees are violent Israeli fanatics dedicated to killing Christians and the destruction of the United States of America Government as it stands, under the *Constitution*—an instrument devised by Christians and, as such, should be exterminated.

If the Militias are faced with defending themselves against General Rubin's JDL army, the spies will turn on them with deadly violence. Under no circumstances would they fight their own people, the ADL Mishpucka. But if the Christian members of the Militias question these spies as to their loyalty to the United States of America, they will be loudly and vociferously accused of being anti-Semitic racists. With vicious propaganda pouring into the newspapers and on TV, Colonel Dees, the strategist and legal officer of the secret JDL army, will assail the Militias with horrendous accusations of being bigots, anti-Semitic, and red-necked racists. And other phony ADL outfits will join in the cacophony; they've got a million of these outfits. Listen to this one: an ADL character calling himself Wassmuth has a crazy ADL outfit he calls "The Northwest Coalition Against Malicious Harassment in Seattle". What in the world do you suppose this guy does besides viciously spouting nonsense to harm innocent people. And mysteriously these ADL people are able to get their mouthings in the newspapers where no one else can touch a reporter to print the truth.

This is a very effective trap the ADL puts the people into—the Militias are totally ineffectual with these spies' machinations within their ranks and the loyal Militia men are at maximum risk if any military action occurs. (Synopsis of the trap:) If the Militias try to remove these spies that would make them racists—the loyal members could resign and go form another Militia unit, but that would dilute the Militias' cohesiveness and communication ability. They could disband, but that would leave America and the people totally at the

mercy of General Rubin and Colonel Dees. As it stands, the evil Colonel Dees' clever strategy of "infiltration and take-over" emasculates the Civil Militia. What can the Militia do?? What WILL the Militia do??

If the ADL Mishpucka spies remain in such a vital position in the Civil Militia, the people will be forced to withdraw support and that would be a catastrophe for both the Civil Militia and the American people.

Yes, Colonel Dees is an evil genius!! And he will get away with the Oklahoma explosion unless the people stop him!!!

/s/ Gary L. Wean

Retired California Police Officer

CHAPTER 3

TELEPHONE INTERVIEW WITH DAVE HALL

5/4/95

Rick: Dave, I have you on the speaker and I have an associate of mine here. I understand you have been on the air a lot recently about the bombing. I have to feign ignorance here, I am not quite sure what areas you have been covering. Maybe you can bring me up to speed a little bit.

Dave: Basically we have covered the bombing from the station here in Parker City. From day one right up to today, I guess, just general coverage of the bombing there.

Rick: I understand that there are some discrepancies with...

Dave: Well, I think the discrepancies may be reasonable under the situation, such as it is right now in Oklahoma City, and has been since that bombing—and they may not. They certainly mirror some questions. Originally starting out with the bombing, when we were told that the FBI was looking for a brown pickup truck, I think, with 3 passengers in it and possibly a Suburban that was involved—and that they had gone from the truck that exploded, got in the pickup and sped off. There was video tape of this taken from Southwestern Bell's parking lot surveillance cameras across the street.

Then we find that at 10:30 on Wednesday morning, [April 19] according to the FBI's reports (10:30—11:00) that McVeigh was arrested on I-35 north of Perry and lodged in the Nova County Jail. He was driving a 1981—rather '78—Cougar, cream in color, no tag—running, I think, in excess of 80 miles an hour and he had a fully loaded firearm. So the car did not in any way fit what they said we were looking for.

Then going beyond that, we here at our television station, on Friday, the 21st, received information over our scanners that there was a car and possibly an arrest being made on Interstate 35 at Fountain Road and I-35 which is a [garbled] which is about 12 miles from the television station. We dispatched a film crew over and a reporter. When they arrived on the scene, there was a 1978 Cougar, no tag on it, cream in color, at that location with troopers around it. A wrecker truck was about to haul it off. Right after that, approximately 3:00 in the afternoon, there was a lady that was interviewed. Basically she saw the arrest being made on Friday afternoon and that the gentleman was loaded into a helicopter—Army helicopter—and transported away.

And then we had another gentleman come forth from Perry, Oklahoma who was a former police officer at Oklahoma City and is now an insurance agent there, driving down I-35, who said he witnessed the same thing. So the discrepancy is there as to whether the arrest was made on Friday or on Wednesday. Those are some of the points that certainly need to be addressed, and we need to ask the agents about that and clear that up. And it may be that there is a reasonable explanation for that, but thus far nothing has been forthcoming.

Rick: The call I received this morning concerned primarily the discrepancy you just described. Are there

any other pieces of information that don't seem to jibe?

Dave: Well, of course we would have to ask about a reasonable person driving with no tag—you know, he's smart enough to plant a bomb. There is a question in my mind that a fellow doing something, running at a high rate of speed down a highway where you have Oklahoma state troopers probably every 15 miles checking cars and things like that, particularly this highway which is a well known highway for drug trafficking. And running at those speeds with no tag and then fully armed—knowing full well you killed people in Oklahoma City. About 11:00 in the morning, he would certainly have had his radio on, I would have thought, and known that he had killed several people there. It's hard to believe that he would just get out of the car, submit himself to patrolmen, and be handcuffed and taken away. Considering those facts...

Then last night, I happen to be watching Ted Koppel's show where they had stopped the fellows that—rather arrested fellows—in Carthage, Missouri the day before. These fellows were making statements that they had come down I-35 from Oklahoma City and went to Perry, Oklahoma. So there is a problem again with the statement they made if they are telling the truth. Because the trooper, Charley Hanger, the highway patrolman, through the FBI, made the statement that when he pulled McVeigh over, that a 1981 T-Bird passed him with Arizona plates and he jotted those plates down. The problem with this statement being that if the route they took is actually correct, and I understand it to be the FBI—I understand they made the same statement giving the route, drawing a map showing how these fellows had left Carthage, Missouri. Well, the impicator on that is that Fountain Road is 25 to 30 miles north of Perry, Oklahoma on I-35, so these gentlemen would never have been anywhere around McVeigh or the arrest scene, so Trooper Hanger could not have possibly got the tag number off that car.

Rick: Very interesting.

Dave: Then if we go back to Day 1, at 9:00 in the morning, approximately 9:45, looking at other aspects of this story, the assistant fire chief in Oklahoma City has told in several news reports, that were lightly covered probably on that day, that 2 bombs were found after the explosion. The firemen were in the building and they ran onto two bombs that were in the building, and these bombs were taken out. Matter of fact, the fire department vacated from the building and evacuated out the building while they took these bombs out, and my understanding is they detonated them. They went back into the building and at that point in time Charley Hanger, about 3:00 on Wednesday afternoon, gave another small burst of a report saying that they had found a fully loaded rocket launcher in the building. What became of that, I don't know. I think news people probably need to do some follow-up on that and find out exactly where these bombs come from, what happened to them—same way with that rocket launcher in that federal building. There has been nothing at all said about that since that time. So those are questions that I would be concerned with, as well as the arrest, and basically we have had no reports from McVeigh. Reporters have not been able to talk to him here in Oklahoma, and certainly I believe that they should be able to at least visit with this fellow—to get some other information than what we are getting from the FBI.

Rick: What are the call letters on your station.

Dave: KBOC TV

Rick: And you're based in...?

Dave: Parker City, Oklahoma

Rick: Well I sure do appreciate your time.

Dave: Yeh! No problem at all.

Rick: Thanks alot!

Dave: Glad to help you, Good bye.

Rick: Good bye.

* * *

AS REPORTED ON THE CHUCK HARDER
RADIO PROGRAM

Editor's note: This fax was received at the CONTACT office on 5/23/95. [quoting:]

Today on the *Chuck Harder* radio program, he had as his guest a reporter working in OK City, OK, with David Hall, KTOC-TV near OK City. This reporter told about the countless number of witnesses and experts that are disputing the reports the so-called liberal, mind-control media is reporting. One witness saw a black helicopter atop the federal building at the time of the blast. Another witness saw a ball of fire from the top of the building at the time of the blast. A police officer questioned stated, the way FBI took control was worse than the Gestapo. One survivor on the eighth floor said all of the elevator doors on each floor were blown off their hinges. Bomb experts said there is no way a bomb on the outside could do that. The icing on the cake was when the young red-headed mother who lost 2 precious boys was being interviewed at the site this morning. The reporter asked her if the building coming down would close this chapter in her life? She said, "NO!" She was furious and asked why the federal employees were told not to come to work that day due to a bomb threat and no one else was told and she lost her 2 little boys? Why? She wanted an answer. Every time she asked that question, she was told to shut up and never ask that question again. CNN carried that interview and had to change back to their office when she said that. They were caught off guard. Someone faxed that interview information to Gordon Liddy's radio program and he read it on the air. He said he would investigate it.

Do I hear Bunker Bill being investigated? Is there revolution in the air? If America wakes up and finds out who is behind this horrible deed, it will be unbelievable.

God bless the American people and have mercy on the evil individuals who are cohorts of the devil.

[end quoting]

CHAPTER 4

WAKE-UP TIME!
OKLAHOMA CITY BOMBING UP-DATE

POSSE COMITATUS

CHAPTER 5

NEWS ITEMS

MICHAEL MAHOLY UPDATE

From Ronn Jackson 5/26/95

MY FELLOW AMERICANS:

5/26/95: At 5 AM this morning we received a call telling about the latest events in the Michael Maholy story. Early this morning, he was taken from the “hole”, where he had been held since May 9. He was given new clothes, a duffel bag, \$151 and taken to the bus station in Kansas City. The officials at the prison apologized to him, and said that the recent events should not have occurred, that they trusted him to deliver himself, unescorted, to a “camp” in Yankton, South Dakota. Needless to say, he is delighted with this turn of events and will, in fact, deliver himself to the destination they indicated. He will have a short layover in Omaha, Nebraska, and will arrive in Yankton at 2 PM as scheduled. Michael sees this as an opportunity to prove his willingness to cooperate with the mandates of the authorities and thereby prove his worthiness for release in a timely fashion. We will keep you posted on the events that follow, including his new address as soon as we have it. Thank you, one and all, for the letters of support that you wrote on his behalf, to both him and the people in charge of his future. Due to your efforts, his future is now much brighter. He is a truth-bringer and our country needs to “know”!!

5/27/95: Seldom does the mainstream media present current events as they are. An extremely rare exception appeared in the May 25, 1995 edition of the Wall Street Journal (column 1, page 1). I urge all of you to read this article regarding Informed Jury Rights and Red Beckman. [*Ah, synchronicity! This article also caught the attention of the more jaded eye of the News Desk editor, as you will see on the next page.*] I view this story as an acknowledgement that the people are about to take back the control of their country. The media knows when to switch sides as the government does not buy their newspapers or television time.

Please subscribe to our Newsletter.

The Truth is absolute and cannot be changed or denied.

In Light and Freedom,

/s/ Ronn Jackson 33866
SNCC Box 100-1B5A
Jean, NV 89026

* * *

NATIONAL COMMON LAW GRAND JURY
TO HEAR FACT ON GOVERNMENT
USE OF EMERGENCY POWERS

Editor's note: Representatives from many states will convene on June 3 and 4 in Wichita, Kansas to form a Grand Jury to examine abuses of power by the Federal Government. One historical example of such an approach toward "accountability" was The Kentucky Resolutions passed in November, 1798, which were confidentially composed by Thomas Jefferson in opposition to the Alien & Sedition Acts passed by Congress (see documents on p.75).

The National Common Law Grand Jury is a "checks & balances" approach to the overstepping of boundaries through the use of Emergency War Powers. And, while it is true that the findings of the Grand Jury MAY not have any actual "teeth" for implementation (we'll see about that!), they will surely serve as an invaluable educational tool for the American public. Many of those quietly but seriously involved in genuine efforts to reclaim our dying nation plan to attend this gathering—that's how important it could be as a legal step in the direction of freedom.

NATIONAL COMMON LAW GRAND JURY

* * *

NATIONAL VIETNAM P.O.W. STRIKE FORCE

CHAPTER 6

THE NEWS DESK

by Phyllis Linn 5/27/95

The feature article in the May 25 issue of the *WALL STREET JOURNAL*, is headlined as follows [quoting:]

“MORE ANGRY MEN” MILITIAS ARE JOINING JURY-POWER ACTIVISTS TO FIGHT GOVERNMENT

Tax Protesters, Survivalists
Benefit From Message:
Jurors Can Ignore Law

‘Red’ Beckman’s Revenge”

This lengthy *WSJ* article by Wade Lambert on the Fully Informed Jury Association is riddled with slanderous innuendo, half-truths, and generous sprinklings of “far-right-wing” and “extremists”—the usual mainstream media fare! The right of trial by jury and the role of the juror, as envisioned by our nation’s Founding fathers, is so important that this week’s NEWS DESK is dedicated to this one topic. Let’s open with a brief, rather tame, excerpt from the *WSJ* article, [quoting:]

When 11 Branch Davidians went on trial for murder last year, the jurors were mailed pamphlets telling them they needn’t convict if they didn’t want to—regardless of the law. This was surprising on two counts: The judge had already informed the jurors that their identities would be kept secret and also that they had an absolute obligation to follow his legal instructions. But the Fully Informed Jury Association, which mailed the pamphlets, learned the jurors’ names after a supporter followed them to a secret parking lot and jotted down their license-plate numbers. And the group invoked history to contradict the judge: For centuries, it wrote, juries have fought bad laws by vetoing wrongful prosecutions.

The group’s view that jurors have this power, and should be told about it, has attracted many mainstream supporters in recent years. They say so-called jury nullification reflects the citizen’s right to check occasional [!] abuses by the government. So respectable is this idea that lawmakers in 22 states have introduced jury-power legislation proposed by FIJA.

But FIJA’s attempt to influence the Branch Davidian case reveals the group’s other, more unsettling side: Many of its officials and followers are active in the militia movement, which considers jury nullification a key part of its antigovernment arsenal. The government can exercise no power over its citizens if jurors refuse to enforce the government’s laws, militia leaders say. And it doesn’t take a majority to neutralize all tax and gun laws—just a few stubborn jurors.

M.J. “Red” Beckman, a leading FIJA theorist and militia activist from Billings, Mont., says he now hopes to see FIJA’s principles put to work in the [*Oklahoma City*] bombing case. Like many in the militia

movement, he says he believes the bombing was “a government operation” because “they needed something desperately to be able to point the finger at the militia.”

Such statements have led Christine Kaufmann, executive director of the Montana Human Rights Network, to conclude that “FIJA is an organizing tool for right-wing extremist groups.” The network, which monitors hate crimes [*hmmn, sounds like an ADL clone*] in the state has only recently turned its attention to FIJA’s connections to extremists. [*The Montana Human Rights Network?—a recent letter to the editor of a Montana publication has this to say:*

“One of the most powerful and sinister gun control organizations in Montana is the Montana Human Rights Network. Repeatedly, during this ’95 session of the legislature, their lobbyist stated that their ‘number one priority is the elimination of all private ownership of firearms.’ What makes this gun control group especially sinister is that the Montana Human Rights Network is headquartered within the state Office of Public Instruction. It takes its orders directly from the OPI herself, and uses public taxpayer’s money to operate, in direct violation of the Montana Constitution and law. Please alert your readers to this subversion of our constitutional rights by this amoral, evil, ominous, goosestepping gun control gestapo—the Human Rights Network!” Here’s another paragraph from the WSJ article:] Although judges routinely tell juries they must apply the law whether they approve of it or not, the truth is that jurors can’t be punished for disregarding those instructions. And because a defendant can’t be tried twice for the same charges, an acquittal is essentially an unappealable veto of the government’s case. **All FIJA really adds is the insistence that jurors be informed of their power by the court.** [End of quoting.]

The Fully Informed Jury Association has compiled some very impressive materials in its campaign to inform American citizens about their rights as jurors. For further information, please contact Don Doig at the FIJA National Headquarters, Box 59, Helmville, Montana, 59843 or call (406) 793-5550.

Since the *Wall Street Journal* has brought up this issue, here is “the rest of the story”, excerpted from an FIJA pamphlet [quoting:]

Thomas Jefferson put it this way: “I consider trial by jury as the only anchor yet imagined by man, by which a government can be held to the principles of its constitution.”

John Adams had this to say about the juror: “It is not only his right, but his duty...to find the verdict according to his own best understanding, judgment, and conscience, though in direct opposition to the direction of the court.”

So what became of this right? From colonial times until just less than a hundred years ago, it was routine for the judge to inform jurors of their full range of rights. But during the 1800s, special-interest pressure inspired a series of judicial decisions which sought to limit the juror’s right to judge the law, by refusing to allow discussion of the issue in the courtroom.

While no court has dared deny that jurors have the power to acquit despite the evidence or the law, judges still regularly contend that jurors must be kept in the dark, and may not be told of this power. Defense attorneys who know about it still occasionally manage to have it included in the instructions given to the

jury, but risk being cited for contempt of court if they bring it up without the judge's approval.

Still, this power of the jury continues to be recognized, as in 1972, when the D.C. District Court of Appeals held that the jury has an “unreviewable and irreversible power...to acquit in disregard of the instruction on the law given by a trial judge...the pages of history shine on instances of the jury's exercise of its prerogative to disregard instructions of the judge—for example, acquittals under the fugitive slave law.” Other federal courts have recently affirmed the right of jury veto power.

IN OTHER WORDS, JURORS STILL RETAIN THE RIGHT TO REFUSE TO CONVICT A DEFENDANT OF BREAKING WHAT THEY FEEL IS A BAD LAW,...”BUT...THEY ARE NO LONGER TOLD ABOUT IT.”

Justice Robert H. Jackson, United States Supreme Court, has stated: “We forget that the law is the rule for simple and untaught people to live by. We complicate and overrefine it as a weapon in legal combat until we take it off the ground where people live and into the thin atmosphere of sheer fiction.” [End of quoting.]

Jury nullification works **WITHIN** the law, within the intent of the *Constitution* and the founding fathers—not, as the *WSJ* implies, in **disregard** of the law. The issue of fully informed juries—and, in general, fully informed American **citizens**—is crucial in restoring this nation to balance, harmony, and the *Constitution*.

It may be little known, but it is well established in the law that the jury has the right to acquit a defendant accused of breaking a bad or unconstitutional law and to follow its conscience in reaching a verdict. One famous case in 1735, in England, involved William Penn, a Quaker who was accused of promoting an unlawful assembly by preaching his religion in public. Even though Penn admitted at trial to encouraging the assembly, the jury found him not guilty. The judge, refusing to accept the verdict, ordered some jurors into jail until they returned the “correct” result. The jurors refused, appealed their confinement and were eventually released. Penn's case was a major catalyst for adding the *First Amendment* to the *U.S. Constitution*.

Another famous case was that of John Peter Zenger, who, in 1787, was accused of sedition for publishing commentary critical of the Governor of New York. At the time, truth was not a defense to charges of sedition (so what has changed?) and he was acquitted.

Jury nullification was also a major cause of the demise of slavery in America. Abolitionists and fugitive slaves were often acquitted by juries of violating the federal Fugitive Slave Law. In New England, the Salem witch trials came to an end when juries would no longer bring in convictions.

The U.S. Supreme Court has many times acknowledged this right, starting back in 1794 when our first Chief Justice, John Jay, wrote: “it is presumed that juries are the best judges of fact; it is...presumed that the courts are the best judges of the law. But still both objects are within your (the juror's) decision. You have the right to take it upon yourselves to judge both law as well as fact in controversy.” *Georgia v Brailsford*, 3 *Dall* 1

In 1920, in *Horning v DC*, 254 *US* 135, Supreme Court Justice Oliver Wendell Holmes wrote, “The jury has the power to bring a verdict in the teeth of both the law and fact.”

In 1969, in *U.S. v Moylan*, 417 F2d 1002, the Supreme Court ruled that the jury possesses “the undisputed power to acquit, even if its verdict is contrary to the law as given by the judge and contrary to the evidence.”

Later, in 1972, in *U.S. v Dougherty*, 473 F2d 1113, the Court ruled that the jury has an “unreviewable and irreversible power...to acquit in disregard of the instruction on the law given by the trial judge.”

Unfortunately, in 1894, in the wake of repeated acquittals by juries of union members who were charged with violating the anti-strike laws of the time, the U.S. Supreme Court ruled that, while juries did have the right to nullify laws, only the judge had the right to **advise** the jury of that right. As you might imagine, judges have since been strongly disinclined to mention this right to juries. In fact, they often tell juries that they do not have this right! Law schools conveniently neglect to teach it. Did you learn about this in your high school civics class? I thought not! I hope you can visualize some ways that jury nullification could be used in restoring our constitutional republic. Let’s act on them NOW, while we still have the remnant of a jury system!

The author of the next article, John N. Kelly, describes the six separate parties who hold the power and right to interpret the *Constitution* and the laws passed by the legislators. The jury is number five.

THE ANGLO-AMERICAN JURY

by John M. Kelly

On June 15, 1215, in a meadow at Runnymede, a band of oppressed and angry barons cornered their would-be-dictator King John and, at the point of a sword, wrung from him the most important civil document written up to that time, and possibly ever: *The Magna Carta*. There is serious doubt that our own *Declaration of Independence* and *Constitution* could have been written without the base laid by the English *Charter*.

Of the dozen or more human rights and privileges the document guaranteed to his, and his heirs’ subjects, the passing years have proven trial by jury to be the keystone of our freedoms. With the king making the laws—a power the barons let John retain—and his appointed justices presiding over the courts, all the other guaranties the document granted would have been swept away in judicial fiat, but for the jury trial. From the start the **juries exercised the power to nullify any of the king’s laws by a general verdict of acquittal. In essence the juries disregarded the laws, as well as the directives of the courts, when in their opinion, enforcement would have worked an injustice on an accused.** Their acquittal was beyond the power of the court to reverse or set aside, and final, thus rendering the law, in that particular case, null and void.

From the start, this power of the jury to disregard law came under attack. As the power to make law passed from king to council, to assembly, to parliament and other legislative bodies, it has been a rare one that has not, at one time or another, sought to deny the jury the power to nullify their laws. But the attack has not been limited to any particular arena. A dangerously subversive attack is gathering strength and intensity right in the courtrooms. This must not be allowed to happen with the jury system if we are to retain or regain the freedoms we cherish. Let me emphasize: **A jury’s power to nullify law is our last peaceful method of avoiding political enslavement.**

A surprisingly few Americans have occasion to visit a courtroom; so seldom that, when they do, what they find going on is accepted without question as being proper. The awe we have been conditioned to feel for a courtroom practically destroys any incentive to question the propriety of a procedure. In this atmosphere the judges and the lawyers have established rules of procedure rules of evidence, formats for all legal papers, time limitations and other dictums. These rules give the judges (the generals in the war on the jury) full command of the troops (the lawyers). For one to assume they will make a rule contrary to their convenience, or that would reduce their power in the least, is to assume a facet in human nature not in evidence. They are reaching for power and will use every bit they get in their own best interest.

The subversive attackers use the same general “reasoning” as those in the frontal, with a slight variation. Instead of abolishing the jury, they would have us keep all the outward appearance of its importance, but just take the guts out of it: deny the jury the power to judge the law. After all, so they reason, ordinary people cannot understand the law—certainly not as well as the lawyers and the judges—and they should not be allowed to judge it. Instead let the judge bind them by oath to accept his interpretation of the law, whether they agree with it or not. Let the judge determine what evidence can be presented—we can’t waste valuable court time arguing irrelevancies. Then let the jury judge the facts as presented in the evidence allowed. If according to the judge’s interpretation, there is a law on the books, and if, according to the evidence, the law has been broken: Presto! Guilty!

One can find as equally pure justice in a kangaroo hearing or star chamber proceeding! But the above is no longer their suggestions; it is the procedure and the practice that is going on in the majority of our courtrooms right now!

Such spurious and specious reasonings should be met head-on in the courtrooms. Here, they can be met by asking a few reasonable questions and accepting nothing but straight answers which our own minds and observations provide us.

Is a juror supposed to know statute law as a qualification for jury duty?

Do lawyers know statute law? Or common law?

Do judges know statute law?

And who is qualified and empowered to interpret or explain the *Constitution of the United States*?

Determining the answers to these questions will melt much of the unjustified awe we hold for our courts and prepare us to more effectively defend our God-given rights and privileges.

The fact is that **jurors were not, and should not be, expected to know statute law.** From the beginning, they were expected to be ordinary people, with ordinary intelligence and following common ordinary pursuits. Quite the opposite of being supposed to reaffirm the fine points of statute law, it was **their function to prevent these fine points, which could be good and valid in a thousand other uses, from being used to work an injustice on an accused in a single case.**

Though no jury has the power to repeal a law, in a specific case a jury does have the power to strike down

a law as being unconstitutional. A jury is a higher court than even the Supreme Court. In fact, **any juror has the power, prerogative and the duty—to the accused, to himself (as a possible future-ac-cused) and to the whole social order—to nullify any law that, in his opinion, would result in an injustice it enforced.** For what is the purpose of law, if not to establish and maintain justice?

How well do lawyers know statute law? Not very well, and the *Constitution* even less.

It is a rare lawyer who will not argue in an attempt to bend the law in his client's favor. **A law, so bent as to serve one at the expense of another, serves injustice;** the very thing law is supposed to prevent. But this doesn't seem to bother a lawyer's conscience in the least!

Judges are about as badly divided in their opinion of law as are the lawyers. The august Supreme Court Justices have been as evenly divided as possible—5 to 4—on several important issues in the past few years. Several times they have postponed particular hearings, when there was a vacancy on the bench, to avoid the embarrassment of an even split. And new Justices have overturned the decisions of former Justices in several instances. Such has led many people to accept the arrogant statement that “the law is what the judge says it is!” So where is their vaunted knowledge of the law?

There is not a single profession, trade, occupation, vocation or hobby with as many dissenters within its ranks as is found in the legal profession. In such a legal jungle, without the power of a jury to periodically wipe out their specious opinion freedom and justice would have less chance of surviving than a fawn in a pack of hyenas!!

Who holds the power and right to interpret the *Constitution* and, consequently, the laws passed by the legislators? Let one search the document from start to finish and back again. and he will find no article or section that specifically grants that power to any one, not even to the Supreme Court It does grant the courts the power of declaring whether a statute law is in compliance and harmony with the *Constitution*, but **not the power** to interpret the document. (If one thinks this is splitting hairs, they should see how finely the judges split them on some very fundamental issues).

The power, right and responsibility of interpretation is established by the exercise of powers limited by oath, and by inference; and is held by six separate parties who, in their proper turn, may morally interpret the *Constitution*. No one will ever dearly understand the great pains to which our forefathers went, in trying to safeguard our liberties, without carefully examining these six parties as they exercise—or should exercise—this power of interpretation.

The first party is an individual member of the first house of a legislature to consider a proposed statute. He, like all public officials, is under solemn oath “...to support and defend, and bear true faith and allegiance to the *Constitution of the United States.*” Therefore, his **first** duty, upon being handed a copy of the proposed law, is to carefully examine it in the best light of his understanding of the *Constitution*. He is not under oath to “represent” the wishes of the majority of his constituency; nor to support what may seem to be good legislation, unless the statute would be in pursuance of the clearly stated provisions of the *Constitution*. So this member of the first house to consider a bill is the first party with the power, right and duty to interpret the *Constitution*; to say in an effective way what it means. Suppose the statute would, in his honest opinion, be constitutional and otherwise a good bill, and he and enough of his fellow members

voted to pass it.

The second party with the prerogative to interpret is an individual member of the other house in the legislature. In every respect he has the same duty, power and prerogative as the first. And should enough of the members in that house feel it would be constitutional and beneficial, they could pass it.

The third party is the executive with the power to veto. Under essentially the same oath, he has the same moral responsibility to closely examine the bill for constitutionality, using his own best understanding of the *Constitution* as his yardstick. Should he veto the bill, it would seem to me that his action would lay upon the first two parties an added burden of responsibility to examine the bill more closely before voting to override the veto.

All the garbage that passes as law today is sufficient proof that these first three parties have not been responsible to their solemn oaths. The majority of them have simply ignored it with the excuse that the *Constitution* was antiquated and out of date. The contemptible reasoning is all the more inexcusable because the *Constitution* provides the means of keeping it up to date by amending it. The truth is that these legislators and executives knew the people would not change the provisions to do what they wanted done. So they ignore the *Constitution* and their oath, and pass such garbage and call it law.

Can one think of an easier way to stifle their ambitions than for juries to repetitiously nullify the laws with which they try to shackle us?

The fourth party is an individual citizen who feels that any law is doing him an injustice. No just law will harm a just man; laws should be made to correct injustice. So, when one begins to feel pained by a law, his first responsibility is to carefully examine his position for justification. If his righteousness is certain, then he has every moral right to challenge the law with disobedience and test it in a court before a jury with the power to nullify, if they agree.

(Though I'm reluctant to state it as an axiom, I believe this right to test a law one feels is unjust is even, more-so, a duty. But often juries are the victims of what Jefferson realized was a fault in human nature. In the *Declaration* he said;

“...all experience hath shown that mankind are more disposed to suffer, while evils are sufferable, than to right them by abolishing the forms to which they are accustomed.”

I am certain that our would-be oppressors know of this fault in our nature, and take full advantage of it. For every evil law begins, like a cancer, small, and by the time one realizes its evil, it is often too late to do anything short of drastic action. Fortunately, jury nullification of law is still quite peaceful, and I see good-faith testing of law as being as patriotic as shouldering a gun in defense against a foreign enemy. And who can say that more testing of bad laws can't help prevent bad wars?)

But notice that these first four parties with the prerogative of interpretation can only do so for themselves; that is, they cannot make their interpretations binding on another. Each legislator interprets for himself, and it is only as his interpretation is shared by a majority of others that their votes can be effective, can make law. The executive, alone, cannot prevent law from passing; his veto can be overridden. And of course,

the individual citizen testing the law has no effect on anyone beyond his power of persuasion to his point of view.

Once the fourth party has challenged the law to a test, **the fifth party, the jury**, not only has the right and prerogative of interpreting the *Constitution* for their each individual selves, but any one can make his interpretation (opinion) binding on others. While, in criminal **cases, it** requires twelve to acquit or find guilty, a single juror, adamantly disputing the other eleven, can prevent either a guilty or acquittal verdict, and force a new trial or dismissal .

(While we're speaking about constitutionality, let's not forget that the jury is not bound by even the *Constitution*! They have the power and the prerogative of ignoring what, in their opinions, would be just and constitutional law in a thousand other cases if, in their particular case, its enforcement would be unjust.)

The sixth party, of course, is the judge. While he cannot reverse or set aside an acquittal by the jury, he does have the right, power, and responsibility to dismiss the case any time before **it goes to the jury**. [end of quoting.]

Although several years old, this article from the *BOZEMAN (Montana) DAILY CHRONICLE* is informative enough to include here, as well, [quoting].

OUR JURIES ARE
BEING MISINFORMED
by Roger Koopman, Columnist
Bozeman Daily Chronicle

If you were to make a list of your least favorite places to be, I would imagine that rating right up there with the dentist's office, the Bronx and the line at the Motor Vehicle Bureau, would be the courtroom—any courtroom, at any time for any reason. The obvious exceptions to this are the judge, lawyers and other legal professionals who make their living in court. But for the rest of us, the courtroom is ominous and foreboding, and no matter what the circumstances, we always feel uncomfortable there, and distinctly out of place. Have you ever wondered why that is?

I suspect that, in part, it has to do with our general attitude about the law and legal proceedings. Americans have been subjected to such a deluge of intrusive busybody legislation in recent years, invading every facet of their lives, that they have grown fearful and cynical. They see the law not as a friend that protects, but as a foe poised to pounce—a continual threat to their tranquility. Most of us wonder if there is anything we can do anymore that doesn't violate at least two or three laws.

The other reason the courtroom repels us is because the average citizen feels so utterly powerless in the place. It is the epitome of irony that the very institution most designed to preserve the rights of the individual has become a system where the individual feels alienated and shut out. It is no longer our judicial system, it is *theirs*. It is run by the professionals, for the professionals, and we just go along for the ride.

Nowhere is this more evident than in the systematic weakening of the jury system and with it, the fundamental right to be tried by ones' peers. As we move into an age of "imperial courts" and advocacy judges,

it becomes increasingly more necessary for our judicial system to see to it that juries not “get in the way” of social agenda and governmental prerogative. To accomplish this, the courts have defined the role of the jury in the narrowest of terms, where jurors function as little more than official scorekeepers who add up the points at the end of the match.

Have you ever served on a jury, or watched a jury trial firsthand? The instructions that the judge gives the jurors just prior to their deliberation is always extremely revealing. Essentially, they are told that they are to function as machines—not as rational individuals, capable of making sound moral judgments—and that their only purpose is to, **one**, accept without question, the judge’s explanation of the law, **two**, determine the facts in the case and, **three**, apply the law to the facts to establish guilt or innocence. With robots for jurors, the verdicts will be all too predictable.

The question is this: Is our goal uniformity of verdicts or is our goal justice? In the first case, all we need to ask is whether the person violated the law. In the second case, we need to establish if the person was morally guilty of a punishable crime? If pursuing the higher goal of justice, jurors must examine not only the “facts,” but also the defendant’s motives, and the justness of the law as applied—or not applied—in the particular case. Moreover, the good conscience of each jury member is an essential ingredient in arriving at a just verdict. If jurors are not allowed to apply righteousness and moral conscience to the case, then there is really no reason to have a human jury—a computer could suffice just as well as a dozen servile, mechanical jurors.

Does this mean that in some cases juries might find defendants technically “guilty” of violating a law but enter a verdict of not guilty to the commission of a crime? Absolutely. And the truth is, juries in America not only have the authority but they also have the responsibility to enter such verdicts when conscience and circumstance dictate it. Sad to say, this fundamental principle is not taught in our public schools and law colleges anymore, but it is deeply rooted in the history and foundational writings of our nation. (It is even written into a number of our state constitutions.)

Listen to the words of President John Adams: “It is not only his (the juror’s) right, but his duty to find the verdict according to his own best understanding, judgment and conscience, though in direct opposition to the direction of the court.” And the first Supreme Court Chief Justice John Jay: “The jury has the right to judge both the law as well as the fact in controversy”. And Alexander Hamilton: Jurors should acquit even against the judge’s instruction “if exercising their judgment with discretion and honesty they have a clear conviction that the charge of the court is wrong”.

Actually, the authority of juries to, in specific cases, veto or “nullify” unjust law is a principle with roots going as far back as the *Magna Carta* in 1215. What it is saying, in essence, is that people, not government are sovereign and that through the jury, the citizenry has an ultimate check on bad law and oppressive government. By refusing to convict their fellow citizens, a free people can render tyrannical law unenforceable and eventually require the legislative branch to make sweeping changes. As Thomas Jefferson wrote, “I consider trial by jury as the only anchor ever yet imagined by man by which the government can be held to the principles of its constitution.”

American history offers many examples where widespread jury nullification (refusal to convict) established justice and ultimately, purged bad law. Space doesn’t permit much discussion of this, but cases that

immediately come to mind include the colonists' refusal to enforce forfeitures under the English Navigation Acts, northern states' juries' veto of the Fugitive Slave Law, and in the 20th century, jury nullification of the prohibition law.

Despite a misguided Supreme Court opinion in 1895, American juries have as much right as ever to judge both law and fact, and to rule on the basis of conscience. This veto power is a cornerstone of our liberties and is an essential element of government by the people. The problem, of course, is that almost no jury is ever informed of its rightful role and authority, but rather, are shamefully misinformed, as mentioned earlier.

Happily, Libertarian activists Larry Dodge and Don Doig have come to the rescue! These folks have drafted what they call the "Fully Informed Jury Amendment", which is now being organized as a ballot initiative in 23 states, including Montana. If passed and enacted, "FIJA" would require that every jury be properly instructed on its power and responsibility to judge whether a law is unjust or misapplied, on being allowed to hear evidence about a defendant's motives, and on having the authority to acquit or convict according to the dictates of conscience.

FIJA has already received broad and enthusiastic support from a wide range of divergent groups and philosophies (gun owners, for example, can see how with FIJA on the books, it would be virtually impossible to enforce strict gun control in Montana. As Larry puts it, juries would "just say no".) The initiative looks to have an excellent chance of success. We should all get behind it, for freedom's sake.

* * *

THE KINGS AND QUEENS OF THE JURY

CHAPTER 7

CHRONOLOGY OF
NEW WORLD ORDER ANTICS

CHAPTER 8

DARK SECRETS IN ANYTOWN, U.S.A. MULTI-GENERATIONAL RITUAL ABUSE & MURDER

Gilmer, Texas: An American Portrait

PART IV: WHAT ABOUT THE CHILDREN?

The Final Chapter
by Rick Martin 5/23/95

Warning: The article you are about to read is deeply disturbing. The language is uncensored and very strong. The documentation for this series has been painstakingly obtained through the Freedom of Information Act and through numerous confidential sources. Again, caution, this is strong material.

SHANE PHELPS— TEXAS ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE

What follows is a speech made on June 29, 1994 in a Gilmer, Texas courtroom by Shane Phelps, head of the criminal prosecution division of the State Attorney General's Office, after meeting with the Grand Jury specifically concerning the involvement of Gilmer Police Sgt. James Brown in the murder of Kelly Wilson. **THE STATEMENTS MADE BY MR. PHELPS TO THE PRESS MISREPRESENTED THE FACTS. TWO GRAND JURY MEMBERS STATED TO CONFIDENTIAL SOURCES THAT THE GRAND JURY AS A BODY DID NOT WRITE NOR CONCUR WITH MR. PHELPS' STATEMENTS AND THAT, FURTHER, HE RAILROADED THE GRAND JURY. ADDITIONALLY, SGT. BROWN WAS NOT GRANTED A "NO-BILL" IN THIS CASE. THIS MEANS THAT HE CAN BE RECHARGED AT ANY TIME IN THE FUTURE.** [Quoting:]

At the request of local officials, including District Attorney Tim Cone, in February of this year, the Prosecutor Assistance and Special Investigation Division of the Office of Attorney General Dan Morales took charge of a number of Capital Murder and related charges against Sgt. James Brown of the Gilmer Police Department and several other defendants. Our office also took over prosecution of numerous pending child abuses cases.

The Office of Attorney General, assisted by local Upshur County law enforcement and the Upshur County Grand Jury, has completely reviewed and further investigated allegations that Sgt. Brown and the other defendants were part of a satanic cult and were responsible for the disappearance and ritual rape and killing of Kelly Wilson. We have reviewed all evidence gathered by the Scott Lyford investigative team, conducted our own interviews of numerous witnesses and have reviewed results of scientific tests performed on physical evidence.

The results of our investigation is as follows:

Sgt. James Brown of the Gilmer Police Department had absolutely nothing to do with the disappearance of Kelly Wilson. He is innocent. This is not a case in which there is just not enough evidence to reindict. The evidence that we have uncovered and evidence that was available all along, demonstrates Sgt. Brown's innocence.

Further, there is no physical evidence whatsoever to support the allegation that a satanic cult was involved in Kelly's disappearance.

The allegations of Sgt. Brown's involvement and the involvement of the other defendants were the product of an overzealous and inexperienced special prosecution team. Our review of the Lyford team's interviews of witnesses indicates that their methods of interrogation were overpoweringly suggestive. Our review also shows that the Lyford team overlooked strong evidence which contradicted their theory that Sgt. Brown and the other defendants were involved in Kelly's disappearance. They failed to follow through on evidence which demonstrated the innocence of Sgt. Brown. Further, the Lyford team did not use the full resources of local law enforcement and were publicly critical of the Upshur County Sheriff's Department and Gilmer Police Department, as well as other law enforcement agencies. The reputations of Sgt. Brown, local law enforcement and the Upshur County community have been damaged as a result.

Our office has closed our investigation of the allegations of Sgt. Brown's involvement and the involvement of the other formerly indicted defendants in the disappearance of Kelly Wilson. With these matters resolved, the Office of the Attorney General can now rededicate its manpower and resources, in cooperation with local law enforcement, to what has been tragically thrust aside, and that is, who is truly responsible for the disappearance of Kelly Wilson? Make no mistake, the investigation will resume at full strength.

We will also, in the aftermath of this miscarriage of justice, evaluate the damage done to the pending sexual-abuse cases and prosecute to our fullest ability those cases which remain viable, with the best interests of the children always foremost in our consideration.

We hope the actions taken today by the Office of Attorney General and the Upshur County Grand Jury will allow the Upshur County community to reunite, heal its wounds and reclaim its dignity. And most importantly for Sgt. James Brown, to reclaim his good name. [End quoting.]

[*Footnote: This speech made by Mr. Phelps is the only Exhibit to Brown's current \$20 million lawsuit against the Lyford team and the social workers involved in helping the children.]

CONTACT has just received confidential confirmation that one particular child of Don Holeman and Tammy Jo Smith will be returned to them by August 1 of this year. Yes, it's plain to see that Mr. Phelps truly is looking out for "the best interests of the children". **ABSOLUTELY UNBELIEVABLE, OUTRAGEOUS, AND APPALLING!!!**

INTERVIEW WITH MARIE KERR

The following interview with Marie Kerr, the eldest Kerr daughter, took place on May 19, 1995.

Rick: Can you comment on any jewelry that you have seen Geneva Kerr wearing?

Marie: Yes, I've seen Geneva wearing a ring that was green and oval shaped, and had two diamond sets on each side. And I asked her where she got it and she said Eugene had found it when he was metal detecting.

Rick: And when was this?

Marie: It was in December 1993, or January.

Rick: You know better than I the history of the Kerrs. And, I understand you've been pretty outspoken about some of the abuses and things. I don't know how comfortable you'll be sharing some of those things with me or with our readers, but now would be a very good opportunity to get into print whatever it is you want to say, because I will print it and it will go to Gilmer, Texas.

Marie: I will say this. I was sexually abused by Eugene, Geneva, which is my parents, and two of my brothers, Wendell Kerr and Floyd Kerr. That went on from the time I was 3 years old until I was just over 16. And that was on a daily basis, or every other day basis, and it went on for several hours and I would have to get up. They would keep me in the room until three or four in the morning, then I'd have to get up to go to school the next day. There were also uncles involved, and cousins involved. To my knowledge, I remember on one occasion, there were animals involved.

Rick: Dogs?

Marie: Yes, dogs.

Rick: Were these things videotaped ever?

Marie: I remember Eugene having an 8mm camera when we were growing up, and I believe they were. I remember candles being in the bedroom where they kept me at and someone was taking pictures, but I don't remember who.

Rick: Were there physical restraints. For example, were you handcuffed or tied or gagged?

Marie: I was tied.

Rick: With a rope?

Marie: Yes. And I was also shocked by a car battery.

Rick: How exactly were you shocked?

Marie: There in my private area, on both parts.

Rick: Well, that's similar to what happened to Kelly. Now, were you witness to any violent activity against any children, babies, or any of that?

Marie: No. Whenever I was out there on several occasions, I kinda stayed kinda remote from them. Geneva had some kind of power over me, like trying to get me out there to cut her hair, do things for her, and just to talk with her. It's just like she had some kind of magnetic force that kept drawing me out there. And she knew how to con people into doing things for her, just to get them to come out and visit, or talk, or some odd excuse. But, it would be at her convenience.

Rick: There must be something in your memory about some strange activity in that house.

Marie: Yes, there was. There was an odd odor. I was out there at one time, seeing my sister, Donna and we smelled a rotten foul odor smell and we asked them what it was. They said it was probably an animal up underneath the house that was dead. And the dogs acted strange when we were there.

Wendell would always go in the back of the woods while we were there, on several occasions. He tried to get me to go and I wouldn't go. They just acted real, real funny.

Rick: Did you ever find out what that was?

Marie: No, I did not because it made me real spooky and I just got up and left.

On one occasion, this was in January, I believe, of '93 or '94. I was out there because Geneva had wanted me to come out there to cut her hair. She had told me that they were searching for Kelly. This was on a Sunday. I told her where they were searching. She said, "Well they're searching in the wrong place."

I said, "Why do you say that?"

And she said, "Because they're just searching in the wrong place and they'll never find her."

I said, "Why?"

And she just clammed up and shut up and I got real scared and willy. I just left. I didn't think nothing else about it because she always rambled on like that and she said she'd always get even with people and she knew how to do it. She can cast spells on people. And she always tried to talk with her eyes.

Rick: You're living in Gilmer now?

Marie: Yes, I am. I'm ready for the truth to come out.

Rick: Are you still living with Geneva?

Marie: No, I haven't seen her since the day that she—about a week or two prior to her being arrested in '93. Me and my husband went on vacation in New Hampshire. And we had just gotten back and my two oldest boys were sitting out here and they told us that Eugene and Geneva and them had been arrested on child abuse charges. I was real glad and I said, "I'm not really surprised." It was about time it all got stopped.

Rick: Are you in touch with any of the other Kerrs?

Marie: Just my sister, Donna and my youngest brother, Ronnie. Other than that, I haven't talked to any of them.

Rick: If you have anything to say, now is the time to say it.

Marie: Ok. At one point in time, me, Donna and Ronnie went public because we was waiting on the AG to do something, whenever the AG came in on it. And we got tired of waiting on them because Lisa Tanner told me that she felt Danny [Kerr] was a serial killer. She had no doubt in her mind. Well, we got tired of waiting on trying to find out what was going on and she said she'd be in contact with us and what-have-you and we never heard nothin'. So, me and Donna and Ronnie went public on national television on #56 News and explained what we went through when we were childs, when we were growing up, and about the hat floating and everything—and our response to the Attorney General. And Donna's had threats. I've had threats. Ronnie had threats. And we said that we was going to do something. And she said, "Well, I can't stop you from doing anything but, I'd rather you didn't. Well, we finally went forward and she found out about it on national TV.

Rick: Who found out?

Marie: Lisa Tanner. She found out that we went national in public.

Rick: Who is Lisa Tanner?

Marie: Lisa Tanner is with the Attorney General's office.

Rick: Now, about the gag order?

Marie: Right, she called us up and she was very mad and upset because me, Donna and Ronnie went public and she told me, "Don't say anything else. Don't talk to anybody else," because there was a gag order on us that we could not talk to anyone.

This was right before they got dismissed. She told me, she assured me, that they would prosecute them to the fullest. But she did tell me what she would like to do to Eugene, which was to cut off his penis, torture him and everything else like he'd done to everybody else.

I said, "Well, that's mildly what I'd like to do."

And she said that she had no doubt in her mind that all these people were guilty of child molesting and what-have-you, on all the charges that was against them. Well, now it's told that they've lost some of the files on the case. And they haven't—nothin' been done for the last months, I guess. I guess, because they worked on another case not too far from us which was a child molesting case and they took care of him and the guy skipped town. And then they've been working on the Kentucky Fried Chicken case but, this case here has come up with the Attorney General before these cases even came to light. So, I don't know why they're dragging their feet.

Rick: Has the AG's office talked with you about this?

Marie: Not since, oh, the last eight months or longer. But yes, they were supposed to set up a meeting with us and get our depositions and everything else and we haven't even heard from them. They kept promising and promising they'd be in town and they'd give us a call. We never heard nothin'. We kept calling and they'd never return our calls sometimes. We just got no co-operation whatsoever out of them. But they were very upset because we were talking to people. They did not want us talking to people, discussing the case or anything, or even what we went through when we were child.

Rick: Is there anything you know about Brown or his involvement?

Marie: I've seen him out there on Cherokee Trace on several occasions. He was drinking coffee with Geneva. And I also seen him when she lived on Duncan street, up in town off of Cherokee Trace.

Rick: In the AG's file, I have a copy of a note that talks about the Kerrs having to leave from up North because of satanic activity, possibly from the state of Ohio. Is there any truth in that?

Marie: Yes, there is.

Rick: Can you tell me about that?

Marie: I do know that I was abused when I was up there. When we lived up there, and that's where some of the uncles lived that also was involved in it. Also, there are two step-daughters of Eugene's that he molested, and they currently have charges against him right now, or one of the girls does.

Rick: And where is this?

Marie: In Lima, Ohio. The two girls, I do know, are in therapy sessions. And one of them is wanting to press charges and the other one is afraid to.

Rick: Is there anything else you can think of, looking back over your past? Were you ever physically [or mentally] tortured, other than the battery, for example?

Marie: Yes.

Rick: In what way?

Marie: I was told that I would be killed and I would be sent to a mental hospital if I told anybody. I was threatened with a gun and a knife.

Also, they would get up and move from place to place before... They would not allow us to associate with any of the aunts or uncles or even, I could not even play as a child with my siblings when I was growing up. I was always kept away from them.

Rick: Now, when you were threatened in this way, how old were you—roughly?

Marie: I was around 7-8-9.

Rick: So, this was during the period when you were sexually abused?

Marie: Right, because Geneva and Gene would both abuse me and they would both be in the same room at the same time.

Rick: There is quite a bit of information here [at *CONTACT*] about satanic activity and robes and chanting and spells and that sort of thing.

Marie: Yes.

Rick: Can you talk about that at all?

Marie: I don't remember much on that. If I do, it's blocked. I do remember Geneva working with the Ouija board and talking in strange ways, and rings flying, and the hat and film-like affair coming out of the attic in me and my sister's room. I remember a *Bible* being opened and pages flying on it, and stuff like that.

Rick: How old were you when that happened? Do you know?

Marie: I was around 9 or 10.

Rick: You mentioned something about a floating hat, earlier?

Marie: Yes, my grandpa Kerr, Eugene's father, had passed away and it used to belong to him when he was alive. He always wore it. And he said—he was kind of close to me—and he said he would always protect us kids. I think he knew what was going on.

Geneva would open this *Bible* and put a tie on—we had a rail that went around the opening to the stairs where we slept at. And she would put that tie on that rail up over this *Bible* and open it to a certain section and then she would ask the tie a question. We were not allowed any fans whatsoever when we were growing up. And she would take and ask questions out of the blue and for the answer to be yes, it [the tie] would go to the left. No, would be to the right. If there was no answer, it would stay still in the middle. At one time, me and my sister was asleep—not asleep, we was in the bed laying at night—and we could see this white ghost film come up out of the attic opening door, which we were not allowed to go into.

Rick: What do you mean, a film?

Marie: Kinda-like, ghost-film.

Rick: You mean like a mist?

Marie: Yes, a mist [ectoplasm], and it would have a hat on this mist. My grandpa's hat would be on it. And it would come out and it would linger for a little bit, then it would turn around and go right back into it,

back into this opening that we were not allowed to go into.

Rick: Do you know what was in that opening?

Marie: No, I do not. We were forbidden to go in there. This was up in Ohio.

Rick: Was there any kind of activity like that there in Gilmer?

Marie: I don't remember.

Rick: How are you coping with these experiences? Have you pretty well come to terms with your past now?

Marie: No, I have not. It's very hard right now. I have nightmares—I wake up screaming at night. One of the nightmares that I do remember having was seeing a blond-haired girl in between—I don't know if this was when I was a child or what—but I remember a blond-haired girl having tape over her mouth and she was wedged in between two-by-fours in the wall of a house.

I don't—I woke-up frightened. I don't remember if that was from my childhood or what. It was like a vision or a flashback.

Rick: Can you say anything about the Lyford defense team—Brooks, Steve and Scott. You've obviously had some contact with them. Do you want to say anything to them or about them?

Marie: Yes, I have had contact with them. If it hadn't of been for them this would not have been stopped. As it is right now, if we'd of had people like Scott, Brooks and Steve and Debbie and Ann back when I was growing up, this would not be continuing to go on now. And I am very proud of the work that they have done and I think it is time that all of this got stopped. And if anybody would have listened to me years ago, and if I hadn't been so scared about speaking out about all of this and facing it, I would have spoke up years ago.

Rick: Well, the terror factor in this situation is very real. It's understandable that you would be frightened to come forward—as would anybody. And that's why it is kept secret all across the country in any small town.

It's a tragedy, there's no other way to explain it.

Marie: If I had known that my nieces and nephews were being done like this, I would have stepped in years ago. But I did not know. Geneva and Gene knew how to cover up things. She knew how to do that all her life. And I'm just glad it is finally stopped [is it?] and I just hope that they get prosecuted for what they've done to these kids, and to me and my sister.

3 photos

Geneva would also make me drink ginger when I was growing up as a kid. And it would have to be in hot-water and I'd have to swig it down, real fast.

Rick: Do you know why that was?

Marie: She said it was to keep from delaying my menstrual cycle. I don't know. I just went by what she said because I was told to do what I was told to do.

Rick: When you were being raised, did you produce children for them?

Marie: To my knowledge, no, that I can remember.

Rick: But there were others who did produce children.

Marie: Yes.

Rick: I understand Connie Martin was actually a "breeder".

Marie: Right.

Rick: Can you talk about that at all?

Marie: Not really. I know that they was big on having as many kids and babies as they could, her and Loretta both.

Rick: If you think of anything else, will you feel free to call me?

Marie: I sure will.

Rick: Thank you.

INTERVIEW WITH
DONNA KERR LANEY

The following interview took place on Tuesday, May 23, 1995 with Donna Kerr Laney, age 40 and resident of Gilmer.

Rick: Are you currently living with Gene and Geneva?

Donna: No.

Rick: How long has it been?

Donna: Since I was 18.

Rick: Do you have any contact?

Donna: No.

Rick: You have read the articles so far?

Donna: Yes. There's just a few things in there that wasn't quite accurate. Like, "all of Geneva's husbands died." Now, there's one that, we're not for sure, has died. We don't know where he's at, or anything. And his name is Bill Evans.

And another part where it was said that they were divorced in the '50s or '60s. No. They separated in 1973.

Rick: Ok, I'll make those corrections [by including it here].

Donna: I'll talk to anybody to get this story out so that we can put a stop to these kind of people—get them off the street before they get to any other child or adult. Because, I feel like they're going to let it "lay-cool", real-low, and just try to get people to think, "Hey, this has stopped." But, they'll pick it back up, eventually. Because they abused us as kids, well, I'll talk for myself. They abused me when I was growing up. And I have no doubts in my mind that they have abused the grandkids, certain grandkids—Wendell's and Danny's.

I just want to see justice served and I want to make sure that these children do not go back with these type of people. They do not deserve this. They deserve more out of life than going back to the same situation they were in before.

Rick: That's why I started writing the story to begin with, because of the children.

Donna: There's got to be somebody out there who will back us and these children and everybody else that is involved with this that are the innocent ones. We have got to stop people like this, especially, I know there is so much other stuff going on all over the world. But, this did concern me years ago and it is concerning my nieces and nephews.

I hate what happened to Kelly. I really don't know anything in particular about Kelly except a ring that I seen on Geneva's finger at one given date.

Rick: Can you explain what that looked like.

Donna: The ring that I had seen was a small little 'pinky' ring on her left finger when I was there one day. And I told her, I said, "Oh, what a pretty ring." And I told her I wanted to see it, so she took it off. And it had like a little yellowish stone in the center and on each side were two little diamonds. It was real small because she wore it on her pinky. And I told her, I said, "Well, where did you get this?"

And she said, "Gene found it metal detecting."

I said, "Where?"

And she said, "Well, I don't know. He just found it looking for stuff in the ground."

And I told her, “Well, if you decide to get rid of it, I’d like to have it.” Because it was kind of cute.

And she said, “No, I’m not ever going to get rid of it.”

I said, “Well, if you decide to, I’d like to have first shot at it.”

She said, “Ok, but there’s no way I’m going to get rid of it.” She was kind of defensive with it.

Rick: That same ring has been described by others as an emerald ring. And you described it as a yellowish ring, a yellowish stone.

Donna: No, it did not look green to me. It looked like a dark, yellowish gold color.

Rick: And when was this?

Donna: Oh, my goodness. I cannot give you dates.

Rick: Can you give me a year?

Donna: Probably in late 1992, early 1993.

Rick: That’s close enough. What can you tell me—I can only assume that you were abused as a child, probably from a very early age. Is that correct?

Donna: That is correct. By my father, one of my brothers, and my mother.

Rick: Were you ever tortured?

Donna: No.

Rick: Were you ever tied up?

Donna: No.

Rick: I don’t want to—I know this is a very difficult area, so, I don’t want to tread in water that is hard for you.

Donna: Well, it’s going to be hard either way.

Rick: Are you able to pretty much lead a normal life, there in Gilmer, even though Geveva and Gene are still living there?

Donna: It’s not actually a normal life because you’re looking over your shoulder at all times.

There have been men, coming into our yard, standing in our yard. There has been someone jiggling my door handle, my front door. There has been a machete stuck in the banister of my porch. We’ve been

followed. We've had threatening phone calls.

Rick: What kind of threatening phone calls?

Donna: Telling us we better watch it and keep our mouth shut.

Rick: Would you prefer that I not use this conversation?

Donna: No, that's fine. That doesn't bother me. But it is a scary feeling. It's like they're trying to scare me and my family to get us to shut up. They don't want anybody to know what took place with me when I was growing up with this family, so they're trying to scare us.

Rick: Were there ever devil's costumes involved?

Donna: When I was sexually molested years ago?

Rick: Yes.

Donna: Not to my knowledge.

Rick: Were there ever drugs involved?

Donna: The only thing I remember is when I had problems menstruating years ago. My mother had given me a pill to help me start, and then I remember sitting on the couch and I got up to go to my bedroom and I remember hitting one wall, and hitting another wall, and she had to help me to the bedroom.

I also remember her fixing ginger in a glass with real, real hot water—as hot as I could stand it.

Rick: Do you remember how early the abuse started?

Donna: I'm going to guess, around 5 or 6, something like that. I didn't keep track of time.

Rick: And this went on for how many years?

Donna: Until I was about, probably 17.

Rick: Was this daily?

Donna: No. I'm guessing, probably about 3 times a week. My mother would come and get me. I remember crying and telling her, "No, I do not want to go."

And she'd say, "Yes, Donna, you've got to go."

And I said, "No, I don't want to."

And she'd say, "You come on and go with me." And she said, "You don't want your daddy to come in

here and get-ya. You know what he'll do.”

Rick: And what would he do?

Donna: Well, probably beat me. I've seen him whip my brother in a form where you'd think that you'd better walk this straight-line or it could be you.

My brother used to wet the bed a lot and my father would go in there and whip him with a belt, pretty hard.

So, when you see things like that go on, you just kinda walk a straight line so that you don't mess up, so it's not you.

Rick: Other than these recent threats, it sounds like you've pretty much been able to lead your own life?

Donna: It's tough. It's not real easy, like people think. I have bad days. It's like living two lives, one inside, one outside.

Rick: You spoke with Brooks and Baggs and Steve when they were doing the investigation? Do you have anything to say to them?

Donna: I thought they done a fantastic job. If it hadn't been for them and the case workers, Debbie Menshew and Ann Goar, I don't believe all this stuff would have come out. I think it still would have been covered and things would be going on as before. All they tried to do was to help the children. That was their main concern, the children's welfare; getting them out of a situation that they were in. And when the children started talking and telling things that happened to them, and then whenever we started talking and telling them what was going on with us. It was basically what the kids were saying, in a lot of ways. I think they done a good job. But, the Attorney General, they told us that if we needed them to call them. There's been many a time that we'd call them about the people in my yard, the phone calls, the hang-ups—many, many hang-ups—and they said, “What can we do? We're doing everything we can?”

They've put a trap on my telephone.

We just need something done. I said, well, “You're more experienced in this field than we are. I have a daughter. My husband was working in a grocery store and there was a man who came up to my husband, checked out, turned around, called my husband by name and said, “Oh, you better tell your wife that she better keep her mouth shut because we know where your daughter goes to school and we know where your wife works.” And then he walked out. Now, if that's not a threat, I wouldn't know what one is.

The Attorney General's office talked to me one time and said they were coming down here, I think the second week of May, in 1994—June of '94. They said they was going to come down to meet with us. Well, we were all prepared, waiting for them. And, we didn't even get a phone call telling us they couldn't make it. I'm disappointed in that. They haven't even taken any depositions. The only thing they've heard is what I've said on the phone voluntarily. But they never took a deposition.

Rick: There's been a lot of testimony about cannibalism and eating of human flesh. Did this go on while

you were there?

Donna: No.

Rick: Not that you're aware of?

Donna: Not that I'm aware of. Well, as a child growing up, all I can say is, I believe it started with me at around 5 or 6 years of age.

Rick: But you don't remember any bizarre activities in terms of satanic killings or that kind of thing?

Donna: No. I do remember, growing up, about 15 or 16, my mother loved the Ouija board. She would work it, have us kids work it, and it would scare me to death. And when I was younger than that, I'm going to guess at my age, maybe 7 or 8 or 10. We lived in Ohio. Lots of weird things happened up there. My sister and I both slept together in the same bed and I woke her up to go with me downstairs to the bathroom. Well, as we were coming around the banister, fixing to go downstairs, there was our grandfather's hat just floating up all by itself. I turned, ran, jumped into the bed and my sister came behind me.

My mother also had my grandfather's tie that she hung up in front of some clothes. We didn't have closets back then, we had just like wire into the side of wall. She [Geneva] would ask this tie a question. Yes, [it] moved side to side, no, [it would] be still. When this tie would move, it would move side to side and it would stop immediately. If it was no, it wouldn't move. There was no windows open, no air stirring whatsoever. And we had a piece of material draping over the clothes to hide the clothes. This material raised up like woman would raise her dress up. And Geneva had a *Bible* also underneath the tie.

At another point, in a different house, my two brothers were upstairs and my mother was in the kitchen making biscuits and she had her rings on the table. We heard this awful commotion in the stairway, and the door was closed. And she hollered to my brother, "Quit playing on the stairs."

They hollered back and said, "We're not on the stairs, we are in our rooms."

But that was the awfulest commotion in the stairs, on the stairs.

Well, it happened again. Both of my brothers came running down, the door flew open, and we all took off out the house. My two brothers ran across the field to get an uncle, and they came over and went into the house to find out what was going on and I was told there was a handprint up against the wall as you go up the stairway, upstairs. A bloody handprint. They came out and said they're not going to ever stay in that house again. And they would not talk about it.

Mother's rings was thrown across the floor. And they had to pick them up. There's been lots of weird things that have happened in our lifetime as we was growin' up.

Rick: Do you remember anything about any weird odors?

Donna: There was a time out on Cherokee Trace, when I was visiting my parents at one time. I smelled

an odor out there at one time. I don't know the month or anything. I think it was '93. Just guessing.

exhibit #7

And also, when I was out there I heard something bumping underneath our house. And I mentioned to them, "Y'all hear that noise underneath the house? Something bumping."

And you know, my father says, "It's probably the cats hitting the new gas lines I just replaced." Logically, you'd think that could be it. Now, I don't know what it would have been. It could have been the cats, but you never know. It could have been a number of things. It could have been a child, it could have been a person, an adult.

exhibit #8

I recall Geneva mentioning Kelly Wilson. I had gone on a search, me and my husband. It was a group of people, a lot of townspeople. And I had told her we was looking in a certain area and she said, "Well, they're not going to find her there."

And I said, "What makes you think that?"

And she said, "Well they're not looking in the right place. They need to look over where they run cattle through."

And, of course, at that time I didn't think anything about it. I'm thinking she's running her mouth, like she normally does.

So, I told Scott Lyford and all of them about it.

Rick: Marie related a story very similar to that.

Donna: I wouldn't doubt it. I wouldn't doubt it. All I know is what Geneva told me and, at that time, I didn't think anything about it.

Rick: Do you have any information about Judge Tiny Garrison?

Donna: I just wish he would have listened to the ones that have been through all this growing up, years ago, and not let them out. Because that just gives them another opportunity to get ahold of somebody else.

Rick: You realize that Brown is suing the different Lyford people and the social workers. Do you have anything to say about that?

Donna: I think he's wrong. They're just doing their job. They have people to answer to, also. They are trying to protect these children, and whoever the children name that have seen what went on, and what they've done to these children. All these kids cannot make up the same story. There is no child that young

that can stick to the story, all of them together. I don't see how any child that age can make anything up so devastating like this. And they can pick anybody out. I believe these children because they said they were molested by these people. I'm talking about my parents and my brother.

Rick: Which brother?

Donna: My brother, who molested me, was Cloy.

Rick: And where is he now?

Donna: I heard he's in Vivins, Texas, I'm not sure.

Rick: And he abused you as well?

Donna: Yes, he did, one time. But still, that's abuse. I was really young when he abused me and this was in Ohio.

Rick: If you were to just make a general statement to the people in Gilmer, what would you say?

Donna: I would tell them that they need to open their eyes and believe these children. Because what they are saying, that they were sexually molested by their grandparents and their own parents—they better believe what they're saying because I'm a living example. I was sexually molested by my family also. These children are not going to make up these lies because it is a fact.

I want them off the streets. They should not be allowed to walk the streets. These children have suffered enough. I've suffered as a child growing up, never to have a normal life like any other child had. And I'm still suffering. I'm living with it every day of my life and it's hard. I've missed out of not having a close family like everybody else. I've missed out from what they've taken from me that isn't rightfully theirs. What they took from us kids is not fair, what we had to go through and are still going through. And it's not easy but I'll do what I have to do to protect my nieces and nephews from people like this. [End quoting.]

ANN GOAR—
LICENSED SOCIAL WORKER

The following is an interview with Ann Goar [former Texas Department of Protective & Regulatory Services Social Worker] which took place on Wednesday, May 24, 1995. [Quoting:]

Rick: Can you repeat what you just told me about the plan to put the spotlight on Barbara Bass [foster parent] and her husband?

Ann: I can say that it is my understanding that an attempt is going to be made to bring criminal charges against Charlie and Barbara Bass and have them indicted for child abuse, remove their children that they have right now. They have nine adopted children now. And, be able to put all of the focus on Barbara and Charlie and the blame on them, so they can put *Minor Child #13* back at home without any—or with what they feel—will be the least amount of problems.

Rick: Well, when I told her [Barbara Bass] about this, she just about dropped the phone.

Her response was, "He'll be killed."

Ann: What would any logical person think? If what has been reported and told by these children and adults is true, then how can anyone consider that [returning them] a safe environment.

Rick: You were there to interview this child, weren't you?

Ann: Yes, I was there.

Rick: Were you there when Brown was identified?

Ann: No, I was never there when Brown was identified. Just because of the logistics of things and everybody doing different things to get as much work done as possible within a time period. No, I was not there.

I was there when *Minor Child #13* said that they picked up Kelly Wilson, took her to the Kerr house, kept her in that shed and they killed her. I was there for all of that.

All the kids talked about policemen and the lights on top of their car, handcuffs, all that sort of thing.

Rick: How many children are we talking about?

Ann: That talked about policemen?

Rick: Yes.

Ann: I would say at least five, or maybe six, no, seven, there would be about seven kids, all at different times, always brought in a police connection.

Rick: During the abuse?

Ann: Yes. For instance, one of them made the comment to me one time, "You knew when the real devil was there 'cause you'd see the lights on his car, the lights on top of his car." The child didn't say it was a policeman. As a matter of fact, we thought it was somebody who had gotten this equipment and uniforms and all this kind of stuff. They never did identify a particular person when I was there. They just always said, "Lights on top of his car..." "There were policemen there..."

Now, that's several of the children at different times not knowing what the other child said or anything. All made these statements.

Rick: Now, did any of the children talk to you directly about eating human flesh?

Ann: Yes.

Rick: Can you explain...

Ann: Some of the children talked about cooking “the recipe”. They told about having to put body parts in a pot that cooked. They called it “the recipe”, and I guess it was like soup, or whatever. And when all these things were cooked, they had to eat it.

And they also talked about eating body parts, taking tastes of body parts. Taking bites of body parts.

They all talked about drinking blood. And they talked about blood and semen that were mixed in a cup that they had to drink.

Rick: Was this all based around a ritual?

Ann: Right. That was when they went to the woods and the devil would come. And sometimes Jesus would come and sexually abuse the angels, and all that stuff.

Like the one little girl told me the one time, when she and her sister first started telling me, I’d already heard a bunch of stuff from some of the other kids. But these two children had not, they had made mention of these things to me but they had never really told me anything. When we were coming back to Gilmer one evening is when she asked me if I liked Jesus. And I said, “Yes, I like Jesus.”

And she told me she didn’t like him.

And I asked her, “Why?”

And she said, “Because he sexually abuses the angels. And I, you know, it was shocking to me. I was trying to have a response that was appropriate. Before I even knew it I said to her, “Now who in the world told you something like that?”

And she said, “Nobody told me that.”

And I said, “Well, why are you saying that?”

And she says, “Nobody told me that, I saw him do it. I was an angel. They dressed me up as an angel too. Jesus would come...”

And I said, “How could you know that was Jesus? What made you think that was Jesus?”

And she said, “Because I saw him and he had on a robe and he looked like those pictures I saw of him.”

And I said, “What does the robe look like?”

And she said, “Well, it was white sometimes and then sometimes it was white with a red thing on it.” And she said, “And he didn’t have any clothes on under that.” And she said, “And he would do stuff to me and really hurt me. And he would sexually abuse all the kids that were dressed up as angels.” And then she said, “After a while the devil would come over there and beat him up and run him off into the woods.”

There were always things like this that would just come out of nowhere. It's just like two boys that I was taking for ice-cream one day and they started telling me about their bone collection. After all these people bones that they had, animal bones that they had, how they all got mixed up in one box because there got to be too many of them and they couldn't keep them in separate boxes. It was always things like that.

Rick: Do you mind if I quote you on what we've just been talking about?

Ann: Why? It's the truth.

Rick: You have direct knowledge.

Ann: What I think some people lose sight of sometimes...I've read, in some of these articles and some of these papers and magazines, how we grilled the children for hours and how we were always interviewing them, and all of that. And that is just not true. Most of the time, when the children shared things with me, and I call it sharing because it was not an interview. If I interviewed the children it was generally on tape. But, when I would just be taking the children somewhere, that particular night when they talked about Jesus sexually abusing the angels, we had gone to take them to dinner. We had a nice visit and we were coming back and everything was quiet and we had been singing and playing games, then, all of a sudden, she got real serious and just came out with it and asked me. It was like, "I want to know how Ann feels about Jesus." That was her whole feeling at the time.

Then she said, "Do you like Jesus?" She was asking me a question. This was not an interview.

There are videotapes of my interviews of these children that talked [about] sexual abuse.

The interviews that I did for the Grand Jury, I was expected by the District Attorney not to go into any ritual stuff.

Rick: Why is that?

Ann: When he gave the information to the Grand Jury, he was strictly going for indictments on sexual abuse.

Rick: Why is that?

Ann: I guess because the ritual abuse he felt was something that was hard to prove. I don't really know. I just did as I was instructed.

One time, one of the children, you know, I instructed them before we went on the video that I wanted them not to talk about the occult stuff or the devil stuff—we're just strictly going to talk about sex stuff. And sometimes they would slip up and forget. Of course, on one interview, he couldn't separate them at all. He was just, he was real able to tell about the things that happened to him but he could never separate the sexual stuff from the devil stuff. So, I couldn't even use his interview in front of the Grand Jury. I just couldn't because Tim Cone at the time didn't want to get into it.

These children, they shared information over a long period of time with me, knowing the kids and them trusting me. This is the only reason that they began telling me these things, and not because I was interviewing them or asking them. Most of this came out as a result of real natural things that happened.

For example, the boys chanting at the birthday party when the candles were lit. Heaven only knows that there was nobody that knew that was going to happen. I mean, it wasn't even expected.

Rick: What are you talking about?

Ann: One of the things that blew open the devil stuff with part of the group was the fact that the boys attended a birthday party. At the birthday, candles were lit on the cake. When the candles were lit, these boys began to chant—or do something—I guess chanting is the word for it. And then the youngest one said, “The devil’s comin’.” And he got his fingers all perched up in a claw-like form and said, “He scratched me.” And, “He get blood.” And all this stuff. This was when the child was 3-years-old.

And, you know, the people at this party had no idea what he was talking about. That information was reported and another worker separated the children to talk to them; then they just started telling all this bizarre stuff. And that was when, that was the catalyst for the other worker and that Program Director going to the Sheriff here in Upshur County and saying, “Have you got anybody who can interview these kids and handle this?”

And he said, “God no, we don’t have anybody here that knows anything about this stuff.” And so that is when the decision was made to find someone in Austin or somewhere, and that is when Steve Baggs was brought into it.

The Sheriff, every time he tells this, I don’t know what’s wrong with him—he says that Debbie and me came to talk to him. That’s just a lie. I wasn’t even there. It was the Program Director, it was a boss that is two levels up from me. Neither Debbie nor I ever heard of Steve Baggs or Brooks or anything.

I don’t know if you know this. Barbara Bass and Charlie were named *Foster Parents Of The Year*, two years ago. Barbara Bass got children going into psychiatric lock-up.

Rick: Barbara did discuss Bruce Perry’s involvement and the fact that he just received a \$250,000 grant over 10-years to study these children.

Ann: That’s what we’ve heard.

Rick: If that’s the case...

Ann: He’s got his own agenda going. I can tell you one thing. When those children were at Barbara’s house, they were out there barbecuing hamburgers, flying kites, playing baseball, playing volleyball, swimming, all those things. And by the time Bruce Perry gets them for a real short period of time, he’s got two of them over there, at least one of them, he’s put on Prozac. So, there’s something wrong with that. There’s something wrong with taking a child from an environ-

ment that's healthy and putting them over here where they eventually have to be put in a hospital and be put on medication. There's something wrong with that!

You don't go into CPS work, or any social work, and you try to move the children to a less rigid, less controlled environment—you don't move them from a medium environment into a high environment of control—unless the child is psychotic or something.

But these children were not—I wish there was a videotape—I wish there was a journal—I wish there was something that could show the public. When children would go to Barbara's house they would grow, they would learn. They were different kids. Some of them, it was the first time I ever saw them smile and be happy about anything, because they weren't scared about anybody finding out the truth about what they had been through or thinking that somebody would reject them because of what they had been through.

I can't even explain. You know, children were taken to her home that nobody else wanted. You were going to have to put them in an environment that was like a Level 4 or a Level 5, and Barbara literally rescued children out of psychiatric lock-up. She literally did. Some of those kids are grown now and can tell it—she was their salvation. These were kids that were bouncing off the walls. They had been so abused and so hurt, and they didn't trust people. And Barbara could work with them. Barbara would always take the kids camping. Barbara always—she was paying more money—in a therapeutic home you get more than you do at Level 1—but let me tell you what—her kids were always dressed impeccably.

I'll give you a for instance. Most of the kids wore glasses. What they had to do, little kids just get to choose a few different frames if you're on medicare, and that's all you have. Barbara always knew that wearing glasses for a child was difficult. She paid whatever needed to be paid on those glasses for that kid, who liked that pair of glasses, so they would wear them. She paid that.

She always had a full-time maid so that she could do nothing but work with the kids. She took those kids camping, skating. She tried to take them for experiences. She invited children over to play. She spent a fortune on books and educational materials. Just everything to help those children grow. She really put herself out on the line for these kids. There was nothing that she wouldn't do for these kids.

Rick: Who specifically is going after her?

Ann: It would be CPS [Child Protective Services]—bringing charges against her through the District Attorney over there. It would come from CPS.

Rick: I didn't have you on tape earlier when you mentioned what you're doing for a living now.

Ann: Well, I have applied for, I can't even tell you how many jobs. I can't even get an interview. Yet, CPS, according to the record, it is supposed to say—The last supervisor I had when I resigned, because they put that terrible memo in the newspaper about me—which was full of lies—and this was the same supervisor. **This supervisor is supposed to have written a memo about me on February 27. Three**

months later, she evaluated me. I was already working for another supervisor, but she had to do my yearly evaluation. She recommended that I be retained for full-time employment. And yet, if you read this memo that she wrote in February, supposedly, that was released to the newspaper that I had no idea even existed or was in my file, or anything—it made me sound like a raving maniac. I mean, like I was crazy. She was fearful that I was going to get her indicted and put in jail and her health wouldn't stand for her to be put in jail. She said that anybody who didn't agree with me, I just got them indicted, like I had total control over the legal system or something. I mean, she felt that Sgt. Brown was completely innocent and this was before the AG's office got into the case. Well, anyway, I was told that the memo was created as a comeback for a letter to the editor in *The Gilmer Mirror*. This was a letter that was supportive of myself and the other workers that worked with the children and the editor of the newspaper was just so mad she called everybody she could think of to get something bad on me and, all of a sudden, this internal memo that I didn't know existed, surfaced and was put on the front page of *The Gilmer Mirror*.

I resigned that Monday. I filed an official complaint, and then I resigned. They say they don't know who released the memo. They say that it wasn't in my personnel file.

Rick: Have you considered a harassment lawsuit?

Ann: Yes, sure have. There is a problem with that. The only law firm that I can get to take the case wants \$25,000 up front, and I have no money. But, there's nothing I can do.

If I could file a lawsuit against the agency, then a lot of this information could come out, because it would come out in court records. I mean, the agency did a complete scapegoat job—I don't know what you would call it. I worked under the direction of a Program Director and a Supervisor. I never did anything without their permission. I did exactly what I was told to do. And the only reason the lack of support from CPS came late in the case, was because the Program Director that I had working over me for 3 years directing this case, retired. And that's where it all came apart at the seams, as far as CPS.

But, yes, I would love to file a lawsuit and be able to get my side of the story out. I guess it isn't going to be possible.

What was reported in that memo was just not true. I felt that I had no choice but to resign, because I felt that if I stayed there without resigning, it would look as if what was in that memo was true. And it was just lies. There has been so much publicity about me, my name has been in the paper so much in a negative way, that's why I feel I can't get any job interviews.

Rick: Let's go back to the children. Are there any key events that took place with the children that stand out in your mind?

Ann: Oh, my gosh, there are so many things, I couldn't pick one out. I'm serious.

Rick: Were you present during any of the stories about babies' brains being taken out?

Ann: Yes.

Rick: Can you relay that?

Ann: I was there during one interview of one of the children that was done at CPS and I was in the control room, you know, where all the TV monitors and everything are. And there was a child who talked about a child's brain being removed and evidently, and this happened more than one time, because the child said, "You know, you put the things on it and see if it's big enough or not and if it's not big enough, you have to put it over here but if it's big enough you put it over here"—gesturing to the left and to the right when the child said it. And the things that the child described that measured the brain appear to me to be calipers.

Rick: Makes sense.

Ann: This child showed me, placed [it] over the top of the brain to measure it. And used the words, "measure it to see if it's big enough..."

And then, let's see, the child also talked about human bones being used to stick up inside. And this was a traumatic thing; the child cried, and was upset about that. The child just said, "Stick inside of me..." I can't remember the exact words, but something like that. And then, just kinda matter-of-factly, said, "And you know, that really did hurt. They never stuck it up-inside theirself," almost kind of mad, you know, that they would do that to us kids.

And also, another thing that I felt was real terrible, as far as shocking my sensitivities. Some of the children described to me being lined up against the wall with their backs against the wall, facing a television set. And the wall that they were lined up against was right next to a door. Grownups were inside the room through this door and they would come and take these children into this bedroom and have sex with them and do stuff to them and they would videotape it. Then, they would bring that child out and place them at the end of the line of these children lined up and take the next child. While, at the same time, they were making the children watch on the television the videotape they had just done to the child that had been brought back.

Rick: Are there any specific rituals that stand out in your mind? You spoke of the angels and Jesus. Were there any other rituals?

Ann: There was one of the children that described to me "screaming, but no one could hear me." "Screaming but no one could hear me." It was repeated several times and I couldn't understand why the child thought no one could hear.

Rick: Well, it had to be tape over her mouth.

Ann: That's right, and then that's what the child told me. "They put the grey tape over my mouth."

Another thing that really did get to me was watching one of the children with a pair of play handcuffs placing the handcuff on their hand, and then locking it down. But this child didn't just lock it down all at once but locked it down one click at a time, almost like a some sort of ritual. The child would only let one little catch click each time, then go on to the next one. And this child talked a lot about being handcuffed

to things or other children, that we would have to watch them hurt another child before they would hurt this child, or that this child would be hurt first.

I guess I think more about the emotional response that I saw in the children.

I just wish there was some way that I could figure out a way to raise the money...I only have until August 6 to file my lawsuit and then my time runs out. But, I know that we could expose a lot of information in the news media if I could just get on the court record with it. My petition would have lots of allegations in it. But, you know, I've been out of work for almost a year. \$25,000 to me might as well be a million.

Rick: If you were to make just one statement to the people of Gilmer, a general statement about all of this, what would you say?

Ann: Let me see. How would I say it? I guess I would want to say to them the only interest that we had in this situation, with these cases, was to help these children. That was our goal and our motive behind anything we did. And I would hope that the people of this community would stand up for what's right about these kids and try to protect them.

The little children in this world, there are children and older people that can't help themselves, they are the most vulnerable of our society. And, as far as I'm concerned, they have the least representation. Little children don't pay taxes. **Little children are forgiving and loving. They are just victims of terrible things that happen and people better wake up and believe children. Because I think there's going to come a time in your own judgment when God is going to ask for an accounting of the way we treated children and the way that children in our immediate environment were treated by other people—what did we allow to happen? And I think we all are going to have to account for that. If you tried to stand up and do what was right to the best of your ability, then you're going to have a good accounting. And if you didn't, then I think you're going to have to come up with some reasons why.**

But there was never any motive on our part of gain of anything. We began to work with the children. We developed a relationship with them. They started to trust us. And these are the things that they told us.

I have to explain to you here. I had never read a satanic book. Even to this day I haven't. I know nothing about it. Every statement that was in my record came directly from the children. And most of the time I was just in shock from the things they told me. I could have never thought that stuff up. And I never read anything, nothin'. Not even as much as a pamphlet. I have never read anything on Satanism.

Rick: If you had to make a statement to the Lyford team, what would you say?

Ann: I would have no idea what to say. Let me see. I would like to make a statement about one part of the team. I'd like to make a statement about Scott. I would just want people to know that I think, or it is my opinion, that Scott Lyford is one of the most decent, caring individuals that I have ever had the privilege to know. And the fact that he was so committed to the safety of these children and in trying to help them and even tried to get repeated help from the Attorney General's office, and couldn't get it. I just think he's a real stand-up guy. He stands up for what's right. [End quoting.]

BARBARA BASS—
FOSTER PARENT OF THE YEAR

The following is an interview with Barbara Bass on May 22, 1995.

Barbara: I was a foster parent that had four of the children.

Rick: Four of the Kerr children?

Barbara: No. I had two Kerr children, a Smith child, and a Holeman child.

Rick: What can you tell me about the abuse of the children.

Obviously, a lot of the behavior and actions against the children were atrocious. Can you give me an idea of some of the things you have direct knowledge of?

Barbara: Anything from major, major sexual abuse to pornography with the kids, to the devil and Satan worship and their satanic killings of the kids.

Rick: Can we just walk through some of those things?

Barbara: Yes.

Rick: For example, when you're talking about major sexual abuse, what do you mean by that?

Barbara: You're talking anything from oral to anal—to sexual intercourse—to burning them—to—I mean, major. I talked to one social worker from years ago that remembered the four Kerr kids had lice so bad, Wendell Kerr poured gas on their head to kill them. It goes from one extreme to the next.

You are talking about sexual abuse with all of the Kerrs—the grandparents, the Holemans, Don Holeman, Tammy Smith—to a lot of Wanda Kerr's family, also.

Rick: I was told on Friday through a confidential source that the children will be returned to Don Holeman and Tammy.

Barbara: Oh, you are joking?

Rick: No.

Barbara: You are joking?

Rick: No, I'm not joking. I wish I was.

Barbara: Oh, Jesus, they'll end up killing *Minor Child #13*. I'll tell you what. For *Minor Child #14's* second birthday, I had him for his birthday, third birthday. He just turned four, this was his second birthday. *Minor Child #13* told me how they buried *Minor Child #14* in a little shallow grave, and they had to dig

him up. That was for his birthday present [2 years old].

Rick: Did they bury him in a casket?

Barbara: No. What Don Holeman and Tammy, if *Minor Child #13* didn't do what they wanted him to, they abused *Minor Child #14*. They used *Minor Child #14* against *Minor Child #13* to get him to do what they wanted to.

Rick: You have direct knowledge of satanic activity. Can you explain that and can you then go into any actual murders or killings.

Barbara: They talked about behind Memaw Kerr's, that is Geneva Kerr's house. They talked about the woods. They showed, I think it was Channel #56, it showed the underground cellar out in the woods. They used that for the pornographic stuff for the kids. But a lot of satanic rituals took place out behind Geneva Kerr's house from the fires to the actual killing.

Rick: Can you be more specific?

Barbara: As to? This could take forever...

Rick: As much as you tell me is as much as I'll put in print.

Barbara: Where is your paper out of?

Rick: Las Vegas.

Barbara: Do you realize this has been the last 3 years of my life?

exhibit#9

Rick: OK. Let's talk specifically about abuses of the children that you have direct knowledge of.

Barbara: Don Holeman, as far as *Minor Child #13*, he would make him—Don used to be in the service or something—anyway, he would make *Minor Child #13* do, like, push-ups. And if he didn't do it right he would hit him with this, some type of prod, across the butt.

Rick: Cattle prod?

Barbara: I assume that's what it was. He described some type of prod that shocked him. And so, if he didn't do the push-ups just right, then that's what he would get.

They would take—what was in that blue bag from out at the Kerrs' place? There's a word for it, too. In the blue bag they had a hangman's noose. And *Minor Child #12* has always been scared of needles. But it wasn't needles he is scared of—they would shock him with these wires in the sides. And that would get him to conform too.

Minor Child #9 and *Minor Child #10* would, these are Loretta and Wendell Kerr's kids—*Minor Child #9* talks about how Loretta stuck a gun up inside her vagina. From what I can understand, they did something—pulled the trigger to make them think it was going to kill them, but it would let out some type of gas or fumes or something.

Rick: I don't quite understand what that means.

Barbara: I don't either.

Rick: Sounds pretty strange, but then all of this does.

Barbara: *Minor Child #13*, I don't know if you've seen the pictures he draws. He draws himself sitting in a chair and has his feet and stuff tied. Holeman is holding a gun to his head and Loretta Kerr has a knife to *Minor Child #14's* throat to get him to do what they want him to do.

Most of the time they would tie the kids up in a chair and put grey duct tape over their mouth. *Minor Child #9* talks about how they'd always, she couldn't scream because they had grey duct tape over their mouth.

The abuses stem from the slightest to the way furthest out...

Rick: Well, I've heard a lot about cannibalism and eating of bodies and body parts and taking out babies brains—I've heard all kinds of really weird stuff.

Barbara: The only thing the kids can describe, especially *Minor Child #13*—I think he has a photographic memory [common with victims of severe trauma]. He can talk about how you split the skull open and you take the brains out. This is after they kill the person. And they take the brains out of it. And he can tell you exactly where in the forehead to hit and stuff. And they can tell you whenever the baby is lying there, how they cut the heart out while it's still alive. And how they make *the recipe*. That's supposed to be a big book called *The Recipe*, and it has a penis on one side and a vagina on the back side or visa-versa. And it's supposed to be some kind of recipe they use after they kill the people to make whatever they make out of them.

Rick: And what do they make? Like a stew or something?

Barbara: From what *Minor Child #9* said, yes. They put a certain type of bones in this recipe.

Rick: This is so far out...

Barbara: Do you know that right now I am in hiding, because rumor is that they are coming to take my other 9 children.

Rick: You have 9?

Barbara: Yes, I've adopted 10. I got a call on Wednesday that told me my life was in danger—they're coming to take care of me and my husband and pick up all the rest of the kids.

Wednesday morning I went through my house, packed out suitcases and told the children, “We’re leaving.” And I don’t know what I’m going to do, to this day. Channel #56 and #6 told me that if I wanted to go public, I could go public with it. I don’t know.

Rick: You might as well go public, because I’ve gone public with what we’ve just published and it is all going to break loose. This information will be submitted to a wide range of people and agencies.

Barbara: Well, you know, I wrote President Clinton a letter. And you want to talk about this doctor in Houston, listen to this. Really great. About a day and a half ago, Channel #6 told me this professor out of Colorado, told me that he linked the Gilmer case to Whitewater. Well, I don’t know nothing about Whitewater. Two or three months after that, I got my Sunday paper. In the paper was an article about abused children. On the picture above that, it had Oprah Winfrey and President Clinton. On this deal that President Clinton signed, Oprah Winfrey backed it with \$500,000, for this bill. Guess who the doctor is that they assigned to this bill for traumatized kids.

Rick: You’re talking about Bruce Perry.

Barbara: And who does Bruce Perry have under his care? All the Kerr kids.

Rick: Right.

Barbara: All the sources I speak with tell me that Dr. Bruce Perry is really pushing for us—to come arrest us on some kind of allegation and pick up the rest of my kids. See, I’ve adopted one out of the Gilmer case. I’ve adopted one of Wendell Kerr’s kids. She was just a baby when they took her.

What else can I tell you? I know they just did some type of forensics and videotaping of the four children they took from me last year in Houston, Texas, at Dr. Bruce Perry’s office. They just did that. That’s what I was told, that most of it is aimed at me and I was going to be getting a lot of bad publicity and probably arrested.

Rick: What is the reason for all of this? Do you know? Are they just trying to get back at you?

Barbara: We didn’t make friends at the Attorney General’s office. I mean, we went in with the Governor, Ann Richards.

Rick: Yes.

Barbara: We snuck in with a man from Channel #6 to see her.

Rick: And how was she? Was she receptive?

Barbara: Yes, she was, but her office never did do a whole lot. Of course, Barry Lovelace from the Governor’s office, they told him that we would be able to see the kids and even Dr. Perry’s

office told us that. And, of course, we've only seen them once. While we were visiting with those four kids this summer in Waco, we were sitting at a picnic table and we had probably 7 people surround us. I mean, there is no way you could even talk to them privately.

What I look for them to do is, I look for them to arrest me and charge me with some type of child abuse allegations, I would imagine, pick up my 9 kids and, of course, we don't see the kids again. And they've discredited us.

Rick: Incredible.

Let's get back to satanic activity. Was there ever any description of costumes or anything.

Barbara: Oh yes. From what I can understand on the costume part, there would be one that would play Satan. He would be in the devil costume. And there would be one that played God, one person playing God. And I never did hear a description of that one except for it was white. And they all had holes in them where their penis was. The angel, there were kids that played as angels out there. And, from what I understand, if you didn't do what Satan wanted you to, then you would be sent to God and you would have to do what he wanted you to do. Satan was a better person out there.

Oh yeah, I can remember when the first *Minor Child* told, and now its 3 years later—he first told how the devil scratches his face out in the woods. Now, I had the 5 Hicks boys also. They were Wanda Kerr's 5 boys. And the *Minor Child* is the one who told what happened out in the woods. They all talked about the lights out in the woods and how if they didn't mind Wanda and Wendell and Dan, they'd take them out and just dump them and leave them out there and Satan would come and get 'em. Or, they used the devil, the devil would come get 'em.

Oh yeah, there was one costume that one of the kids described was some type of blue monster color. And they had also, some other place in Gilmer, all I know is that this is where the kids took us to at one point. We took them in a van and they led us to the place where they did it. There is this dead-end road in Gilmer—Lee Flowers' sister's family—that lives at the dead-end of this road. And you go out behind this trailer, it's out in these woods. And they also had them out there too.

Rick: They had what out there?

Barbara: The satanic rituals and stuff. And they would talk about—you go out this road, before the dead-end road and there is like this big water plant. And they evidently would dress behind there and then come out. They would dress in their costumes out there and they would walk across into the woods.

Rick: Was there always torture of victims involved?

Barbara: I think so. The kids described so many times and so many events, you didn't know which piece to put with which piece. Do you know what I mean?

Rick: Yes. Did they ever talk about Kelly Wilson, specifically?

Barbara: Well, *Minor Child* is the first who told about Kelly Wilson. *Minor Child* and, I think, Connie Martin. But *Minor Child*, one of the first days I met him, told me about the killing of Kelly Wilson.

Rick: Told you?

Barbara: Yes. Oh, he could describe what she had on and everything. I mean, the color of her hair. He said about two weeks before they got Kelly Wilson, Don Holeman and him drove by the video store. Don Holeman was the one who told him, “That is the girl we’re taking next.”

Rick: Were you present when any of the children mentioned James Brown’s involvement?

Barbara: Yes. I was there when *Minor Child* named James Brown. They had always talked, *Minor Child* #9, #10, and #13 always talked about cops being involved in this. And the question to *Minor Child* #13, I think he was in the room at the time... **The question was, well, *Minor Child* #13, you’ve always said there were cops out in the woods. You’ve always said that. How do you know that they weren’t just people dressed up in costumes? And he said, “Because, he wore his white-yellowish name tag that had ‘Officer James Brown’ on it.”**

Rick: And he was confirming this specifically with regard to Kelly Wilson?

Barbara: Right.

Rick: Well, you realize that Brown is now suing the Lyford team. And what do you think of that?

Barbara: Oh, I think that’s really the pits. There’s cops—and there’s this other cop—I think his address, when they gave *Minor Child* six or seven pictures to pull him out of, his address was in Tyler, but he used to be on the Gilmer reserve cops team. I can’t remember his name. I think it was Officer Wilson, but I’m not sure.

Rick: There’s been quite a bit of talk, specifically with regard to the Kerrs—just constant cannibalistic diet, this eating of human flesh.

Barbara: Right.

Rick: Do you have direct knowledge that this is true?

Barbara: Oh yes. Yes, they ate these. Along with this stuff that they made in this recipe—the meat and stuff that they put in was from the people they killed.

Rick: Did the children ever talk about drugs? Cocaine or any other drugs?

Barbara: I don’t know about that one. I’ve kept notes on this. I’ve kept notes about the pressure the AG has given us and stuff and I’ve got it all in storage. I don’t think so, but you have to remember that I’ve had 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, or actually, 10 of these kids. And I’ve had *Minor Child* #15 & #16 too, in and out of my house. I have heard, for the last three years, everything.

Rick: Have the children acted out in any bizarre ways as a result of this abuse?

Barbara: Oh yeah. When I first got *Minor Child #9 & #10*, they were just terrible. They called it “honeying”...

Rick: Yes.

Barbara: ...with sexual activity. And I like to never of stopped those kids from having sex together and stuff. [She means that she was only able to stop them with great difficulty.]

Rick: How old were they?

Barbara: When they first came to me, I got them in July of 1992—[I’ll just describe them here as each under 10 years old].

I had to keep them separated because they wanted to have sex—especially the next year when I got *Minor Child #13*. The reason for that is that *Minor Child #9 & 10 and #13* used to be sex partners, so you had to keep them separated a great deal. The last I heard was that *Minor Child #9 & #13* were on the campus and they were trying to have sex together. So, of course, when the kids get scared they fall back into the old pattern. All the old customs come back and stuff—the old habits. So they were back trying to have sex, six months ago.

So yeah, from that to homeschool. They were real scared—like in *Minor Child #10*’s language book, it would say, “If you are going into the woods and you were going to have a picnic, what would you see? What would you hear?” Now, this is an English book, ok? And I guess I’ll never forget her letter. It was like a paragraph. She says, “I am scared of the woods. I would hear strange noises. I would see blood.” It was just an ungodly letter—I couldn’t take this to school. I had to go back and direct her. I said, “Now *Minor Child #10*, you know, we’re going on a picnic and we’re camping, me and you. What would you see, and what you hear? So, we were back to, “What would you see and hear in the woods?” And she would see lights flashing, you know. And at the end of her sentence she wrote, “I do not like the woods at all and I would not go there.”

Minor Child #13, I will never forget the letter he wrote me, a year ago. I put it on the refrigerator. It said, “Thanks for giving me a Christmas because I never thought I’d live to see another one.” [And now he’s due to be returned to the abusing family by August 1, 1995. WHAT AN OUTRAGE!]

Rick: Now would be a good time to make any general statement about this whole situation. I’ll type it exactly as you say it. So, if you’d like to just make a statement to the people in Gilmer, now is the time.

Barbara: To the people in Gilmer: you need to wake up. You need to realize what the Kerrs are doing, what Don Holeman and Tammy Smith are doing, what Rep. Bob Glaze is doing, you need to wake up because it is there.

I don’t know what I’m going to do. I’m looking to be arrested for something that I ain’t done. Kerrs and all are out walking around and not looking to be arrested. It’s almost like you hear all

this stuff happening several states away, but I'm sitting in the middle of it.

Rick: This doesn't make any sense.

Barbara: It really don't. You know, Dr. Bruce Perry, I've done a lot of study on this man. That's probably why he's real pissed at me. But, you know, Dr. Bruce Perry, you know his wife was murdered some years ago at Stanford?

Rick: Yes.

Barbara: Have you seen any of his articles on his degrees?

Rick: No.

Barbara: Well, I just heard he got a \$250,000 grant to do a 10-year study on these kids. OK? He is a neuro-chemo scientist, or some big long title. But what he does is that he mixes, somehow, cocaine with the brain to see how it reacts. You ought to see his paperwork. I got all of Stanford campus papers, and I've got all of that. I bet it was 50-pages on Dr. Bruce Perry. I've sent all that to Steve Baggs; he's got it all. It's just unbelievable, what Dr. Perry is capable of doing. And right now it looks like he's running the show on this. You know what his degree is in? His degree is in pharmacology. In 1974 he got a degree in pharmacology. And a lot of places where it says his degrees are, he hasn't even been there. A lot of them where he was supposed to specialize in something, that college never heard of him. There is a woman out in South Carolina that did a lot of research on Dr. Bruce Perry, too. See, at first, he was brought in from the state of North Dakota for the David Koresh compound.

Rick: Right.

Barbara: We thought, at first, that maybe he was just fabricated—that he, kinda, didn't exist; that the federal government brought him in with a title, and he didn't even get it. Well, some of this stuff that he says that he did to specialize in this, he didn't even do.

Rick: Can I ask you an unrelated question?

Barbara: Yes.

Rick: How old was Lucas Geer when he took the polygraph? Was he a minor?

Barbara: No. He's in Huntsville State Prison. You know, I don't remember who the polygraph guy was, but they flew him in to make sure that he was telling the truth. And then, what happened was, James Brown went down there to talk to him after he polygraphed and told a lot of stuff. And then he recanted his story after James Brown paid him a visit.

Rick: Well, it's not too hard to fill in the holes on that one.

Barbara: No, it's not. You know, Lucas Geer, he is Wanda Hicks' brother because her family is actually in this mess too. They just never did get to them. I mean, her dad, when I took some of the children on a

family visit—the first visit the boy told me that the grandpa was in on the sexual abuse too.

My main concern right now is how to protect these kids. What do I do? If it was just me, and I may go to Marshall and call Dr. Bruce Perry or Bill Baker and say, “Hey, you want me, here I am.”

Rick: Who’s Bill Baker?

Barbara: Bruce Perry’s assistant. He’s the one visiting the other foster homes where the other kids are, and they’re going to close them down. I bet within six months they close them down and remove those kids out of that foster home. They are getting all of their ducks in a row. The other night I went over there real late, so I wouldn’t run into nobody. And they had done all of their paperwork and stuff, and they’re getting all their little ducks in a row so they can close them down so they can remove all of their kids.

Rick: Who are we referring to?

Barbara: The other foster family that has the six boys.

Rick: This is all so incredible.

Barbara: Yes, it is. [End quoting.]

INTERVIEW WITH J. DOE

The following is an interview with someone who has direct knowledge of many of the individuals involved in this case. Due to the highly sensitive nature of this interview, this person’s identity will be protected for their own physical safety. I will refer to this person simply as J. Doe. The interview took place on 5/19/95.

J. Doe: The reason Wendell Kerr voluntarily went back to jail in December 1994 was because he said that over the Christmas holiday there would be children around the house and around them and it was against his probation—so he was checking himself back into jail until the children left, so he wouldn’t break his probation—and then checking himself out. But you’ve got to keep in mind, Wendell lives with Wanda, his wife, and her parents. Wanda pled guilty to child abuse and molesting her son in December 1993, and has yet to be sentenced. The Attorney General’s office has not pursued that conviction. And she was around the children. But Wendell checked himself back into jail, like it was a Holiday Inn. And that was why the brief period of time why he was in jail. That’s what all that was about. And that has been published before.

Also, I hope that you will bring out that Don Holeman and Tammy Jo Smith—they were seen in Joe’s Place, a burger shop on the square in Gilmer, owned by Joe Henry. Joe Henry, coincidentally, is the manager of the video store where Kelly disappeared from. Don Holeman and Tammy Jo Smith were in there eating and they told this person that they did not have to go before a judge but they just recently got visitation rights with *Minor Child* and were told by the state that they were working out a transition to give the children back to them.

Rick: Incredible.

employ. app.

J. Doe: I had heard this from another person, very reliable, with the system, who called me two weeks ago and told me they were going to get the children back.

I don't understand why Wendell had to go to jail. If he can't not molest kids, he ought to be in prison, or dead, or something. But, Wanda was still in the home and she plead guilty to molesting her son. Never sentenced.

In a side-bar to the Kelly Wilson case, because this thing is such a tangled mess—with the drug aspect that we believe was going on, that Kelly was involved in. And with Brown and other police officers. There were a couple of quote “questionable” suicides that took place. A boy named Clint Wimberly was present at 3 of them. I have never met anybody who has been present at 3 suicides. I don't believe those are suicides, needless to say.

Rick: Is he a high-school kid?

J. Doe: No, he's in his early to mid-twenties at the time.

Rick: Where is he now?

J. Doe: Outside of Gilmer. Bob Wimberly is Clint Wimberly's daddy. That is the trailer where one of the suicides took place at. That is the trailer Kelly Wilson has been to.

Rick: Are people in Gilmer nervous?

J. Doe: Yes, the suspects are. They're extremely nervous. You better believe it. Another thing that's very interesting is that Danny Kerr, a condition of his PR [Personal Recognizance] bond [*See Exhibit 11 on this page and next*], these people aren't supposed to have any contact with one other. None of the defendants are supposed to have any contact with one another. Danny Kerr is living with his mother and father. He's living out there—I saw him out there the other day working in the garden.

exhibit #11

This is all being allowed to happen. Don and Tammy are getting their kids back. Danny Kerr is living with his parents. Our new judge elected in November, Lauren Parish, has recused herself from the cases for some unknown reason and the retired judge, Tiny Garrison, who—you know—I know someone who says he sells drugs for Tiny Garrison. He [Tiny] is the one who is going to hear the case because Lauren Parish has recused herself. And Garrison has volunteered to hear 'em. And Garrison has been a stumbling block through the whole thing. I have a lady, on tape, that Brown's alibi that he was with his girlfriend that night eating pizza. I've got a lady who says, no, he wasn't because she was at a party next door to Brown's house and Debbie, his girlfriend, was at the party. And Brown stopped in several times, according to my witness, to check on Debbie and stuff, in his uniform, driving his patrol car.

Anybody he talked to, if you asked them what Sgt. Brown was wearing, they are going to tell you, his

uniform. Because nobody ever saw him out of uniform. It was like a power thing with him, you know. At all hours of the night, whether he was on days or nights or whatever.

You've got to think what kind of people these are, because they're in this drug thing. I've got a guy who says he's picked up dope from James Brown. He's bought dope from James Brown.

I got a guy who says he went out to Bob Glaze—this same guy says he'd get a phone call from Wayne Toliver, one of the attorneys in town who I know the children fingered as being a pedophile—he would get a phone call from him and he would be told to go out to Glaze's property and he'd go out to Glaze's property and pick up the dope and he'd then go drop it off. He was like a runner.

Rick: Are we talking about cocaine?

J. Doe: Yes. This guy named names and I've got him on tape. He named the judge, the sheriff, the police chief, and the state representative.

Where these suicides took place, that's where it gets so strange. Where these suicides took place is the Wimberlys'. Next door to them is a man named Jerry Hataway. Jerry Hataway killed his mother, his daddy and his grand-daddy in 1968 and buried them in the pasture over an argument about a calf. He did 2 years and got off. He's been back in Upshur County living out there, doing his drugs. Tiny's son has been in jail umpteens-million times for drugs. He drives him up to do a little bit of time, and he drives him back. The law is not involved very much at all. Tiny Garrison was an attorney in '68 and he represented Hataway, the guy that killed his family and buried them in the pasture.

When you go back through some of the killings years ago, the same names pop up and have been running this town forever. And they've had just carte blanche to do what they want to.

Brown doesn't have a case. Do you have a copy of Shane Phelps' speech, that he read at that Grand Jury thing? Shane Phelps, a prosecutor, used the words, "He is innocent." Now, I've never even heard a jury use those words. They say they are guilty or not guilty. Shane Phelps came out, and that is the attachment to Brown's lawsuit—Shane Phelps' speech! Shane Phelps handed James Brown a lawsuit. James Brown is not suing the county. He is not suing the city. He is not suing the Grand Jury. He is suing just those five people for 20 million dollars. And twelve men and women are the ones who indicted this man—and all that was ever wanted was for it to go to trial and have twelve men and women decide a verdict. And that never happened. The Attorney General's office came in here and they stopped it. And Scott Lyford spent months conducting his investigation and the Attorney General's office dropped it in 9 days. There's no way that they went through everything in 9 days. Besides the fact, the date on the AG's report—it's dated the June 20, 1994 and they hadn't even talked to Scott's key witness until the 23rd. It just reeks. And then they only talked to her for 5 minutes.

Sheila Burns, who was in jail with Connie Martin, she's married to a man named David Sterling. David Ross Sterling is in prison right now. He's a major cocaine runner but he was not busted in Upshur County—he was busted in Titus County in a sting operation. I have somebody who will put James Brown in David Roth Sterling's house, as friendly, not as a police officer. But he was in uniform. David Roth Sterling went down for all this major drugs, guns, and all kinds of stuff. Sheila Burns, the one that was in the cell with Connie, who said that Connie said it was all a lie—Sheila was arrested and bonded out. It was a deal with

her bondsman that she would pay so much out to pay out her bond—she didn't pay it. The bond was revoked and she was put back in jail with Geneva and Tammy. She was PR'd, she didn't show up for docket call because she was placed back in jail again with Wanda Kerr. Tiny Garrison PR'd her a second time. She didn't show up for docket call again. She was arrested again. This time she was put back in jail with Connie Martin. Connie made it known she was afraid of Sheila, very afraid.

When Connie was bonded out, late in the evening, the prison phones were turned off. 2:00 A.M. a call was made to Gilmer—everybody knew Connie was out. Sheila Burns had called Gilmer from the jail to let people know. That means that the phones were turned on specifically so Sheila Burns could make that call.

Sheila came out with this story that Connie Martin said it was all a lie—and I'll be damned if she wasn't PR'd for the third time!

Sheila was an obvious plant in that jail cell. That's exactly what she was.

Tiny Garrison, when he lowered James Brown's bond, even said, "If you can't come up with it, I'll lower it some more next week." He said that, in the courtroom. He said he wanted an honest effort to come up with the bond. They took property for the bond that is obviously not worth that much money. Then everybody else, Don put up a little bit. Maybe Geneva and Gene put up a little bit. Danny and Wendell and Wanda, the three big ones, as far as the child abuse—Wanda's pled guilty. Wendell is a convicted child molester, and Danny is crazy and harassing people. Those three got out on PR bonds.

And isn't it interesting that Danny Kerr got out the day the police station was broken into. He got out at 2:30 that afternoon [Halloween]—the police station was broken into around 8:30 that night, they think. I don't think it was broken into. I think those records were gone and they had to come up with a reason why. The elections were coming up. They didn't know who was going to win. They had to come up with a reason why those documents were no longer there—I think they just walked out the front door on a slow day.

Nobody is doing anything. Nobody. [End quoting.]

QUESTIONS THAT WON'T GO AWAY

1. Why is it that when the Attorney General's office took over this investigation, there was NO TRANSITION OR DEBRIEFING WITH THE INVESTIGATORS IN THE CASE. THE LYFORD TEAM HAD BEEN ADVISED THAT THERE WOULD BE A 3-5 WEEK TRANSITION AND DEBRIEFING PERIOD AND WHEN IT CAME TIME FOR THE TRANSITION IT WAS ABRUPT AND IMMEDIATE. WHY?
2. Why were the witnesses who had provided testimony which implicated James Brown never questioned by the AG's office?
3. Why was Connie Martin only questioned for 5 minutes, and then days after the Attorney General's

report had been issued and 1 day before the Grand Jury hearing?

4. Why is it so very apparent in reading the Attorney General's report that the clear agenda of the AG's office was to clear James Brown rather than to investigate the facts of the case and pursue the prosecution of those involved in the Kelly Wilson affair?

5. Why did officials abuse powers and authority in this case to the extent that allowed them to trample the civil rights of Marie Kerr, by informing her there was a gag order on this case and she was not to discuss it with anyone—even after those charged were acquitted?

6. Why were Connie Martin's civil rights violated to the extent that, while incarcerated, she was denied phone calls to any member of the Lyford team?

7. Why was all of the jewelry in evidence, clearly belonging to victim children, actually returned to Geneva Kerr?

8. Why was Shane Phelps allowed to make an erroneous statement to the press concerning the Grand Jury status of one "James Brown"?

9. Why has Texas Attorney General Dan Morales not become personally involved in this far reaching investigation that simply won't "go away"?

10. Why has the clear Mena, Arkansas cocaine connection not been examined in Gilmer? Could it possibly be that those among the power structure of Gilmer are directly involved, as implied in the interview with J. Doe?

11. Connie Martin was in the Upshur County Jail during the Grand Jury hearing. Why was she never called to testify?

12. Wanda Kerr pled guilty to child abuse on December 3, 1993. Why is it that she has never been sentenced?

13. Why did the Lyford investigative team receive no cooperation from either the Attorney General's office or local law enforcement?

14. Why was the Lyford team informed by the Gilmer Police Department that a key piece of evidence in the Kelly Wilson case has been withheld, and would not be shared with anyone, including the Lyford team—but was shared only with James Brown's attorney? Why?

15. Why is there constant denial about a cover-up in this case, when the records were supposedly stolen right out of the Gilmer Police Department? And, why is there constant denial about a cover-up in this case, when records are missing at the State Attorney General's office?

16. Why is it that the reputations of Debbie Minshew, Ann Goar, Barbara Bass, Scott Lyford, Steve Baggs, and Brooks Fleig have been trashed by the media throughout this case, when, in point of fact, every

one of these people have performed their responsibilities with integrity and honor and have worked toward the protection of the children rather than perpetuating the outrageous abuses which have been showered upon them? Why?

17. When will PRS [Texas Department of Protective and Regulatory Services] be held accountable for its actions?

18. How can PRS possibly justify any thought of action against Barbara Bass?

19. Who is pulling PRS's strings in this outrageous and outlandish scenario?

20. Why was someone such as Dr. Bruce Perry, of WACO experience, brought in for these particular children?

21. Why was Dr. Bruce Perry so quick to disbelieve the children in this case, when his own wife was the murdered victim of Satanic ritual?

22. By whose authority is Dr. Perry granted deep pockets and the right to pull these children into the clinical setting to be drugged and studied like animals?

23. Why have all reports to the *CONTACT* office indicated that Sgt. Brown wore his uniform all the time, both on and off duty—in direct contradiction of the AG's report?

24. At what point will Gov. George Bush and Attorney General Dan Morales be held directly accountable for their lack of prosecutorial action on these cases?

25. At what point will Shane Phelps be held accountable for overstepping his legal authority, abusing power and violating civil rights?

26. At what point will U.S. Attorney General Janet Reno and FBI Director Louis Freeh be held accountable for their lack of prosecutorial leadership in these ongoing cases of extreme criminal activity involving kidnapping across state lines, child abuse, rape, torture, and multiple murders?

SOME CLOSING COMMENTS

Have we, as a society, become so enamored with violence, rape and abuse of all kinds that the flagrant violation of human dignity is simply ignored in an effort to save some weird sense of small-town "face"?

Has the price of the human life become so diminished that torture and assault against little children becomes somehow "acceptable losses"?

How sick, degrading, perverted, and demented do actions need to be for any men within law enforcement to step forward with integrity to take a stand?

Are those "in the know" within any small town any less culpable than the very perpetrators themselves?

How high is the human price which is paid as a result of those in positions with access to truth who remain in a state of total “denial”?

What cost is paid by those with weak hearts, dim souls, and no courage as the offenses continue to tread on the very face of humanity itself?

God weeps as He witnesses the darkness descend upon the land.

When is enough, enough?

As one insightful man put it, “All that is necessary for evil to triumph is for good men to do nothing.” Or, put another way, have we sunk to such a level as a “civilized” society, that the collective consciousness of thinking people has become “thumbs down”, throw the children to the lions?

CHAPTER 9

NEW GAIA OFFERS JOURNEY TO HEALTH

WHAT SHOULD WE BE TAKING?

by Sandra Tulanian, D.C. 5/26/95

The times in which we live in do not afford us the opportunity to keep life simple enough that health can be taken for granted by just ingesting food and water. The game rules have been changed by bureaucrats (directed by crooks higher up the ladder) who dictate questionable farming practices which, when added to the already choking pollutions of our atmosphere and water, ends up depleting the soil, the food supply, plus the air & water we breath of many of the essential nutrients that would otherwise allow our bodies to function optimally.

Aside from a core of products that New Gaia carries which will be discussed further on in this article, two brand new products are being offered that are extremely important to present first. They are **GaiaCol** and **OxySol**. **GaiaCol** is a combination of Colloidal Silver, Trace Colloidal Gold and Trace Crystalline Drias. This combination of ingredients has produced a product that is so high-frequency and potent that it could quite possibly be the solution to our ongoing fight against the new antibiotic-resistant diseases that we face today. Colloidal Silver was used extensively and very successfully against bacteria, virus, fungi and the like before the advent of the first antibiotic, penicillin; and the uses for Colloidal Gold at that time were just beginning to present themselves. Once the chemical companies began manufacturing the myriad of antibiotics, silver and gold were no longer looked to for treatment. Interestingly, the antibiotics that they were producing had no effect on the more resistant viruses, fungi and parasites yet this did not stop the medical community from prescribing these drugs regardless of the type of infection. Now we face a new generation of bacteria that is completely resistant to any antibiotics merely due to antibiotic overprescribing and resultant survival of the fittest bacteria.

Research has demonstrated that Colloidal Silver is non-toxic to humans and allows no known disease-causing organism to live in its presence. With the addition of Trace Colloidal Gold and Trace Crystalline Drias, the frequency of **GaiaCol** is remarkably enhanced to allow these newer, more powerful viruses and bacteria to be eliminated. **GaiaCol** is gentle enough to use topically on just about any skin, hair, or mouth condition without fear of toxicity and is outstanding for burns. For internal use it is recommended to maintain with 3-4 drops, 3-4 times per day under the tongue and if an infection is present, start with 1 teaspoon the first day and then 10-12 drops, 3-4 times per day under the tongue until the infection clears. Important: Due to the powerful nature of this product, friendly bacteria can be affected so it is advisable to replace the natural intestinal flora with some type of acidophilus/lactobacillus supplement daily or, at the least, when symptoms are noted (symptoms may include cramps, bloating, diarrhea/constipation and a general feeling of malaise).

Please note that this product is said to be perfectly safe for children and pets and can be taken with other medications without incident. Colloidal Silver is not addictive and the body does not build up a tolerance to it. For your information only, Colloidal Silver has been used effectively on the following: acne, conjunctivitis, allergies, rheumatoid arthritis, bladder inflammation, venereal diseases, eczema, appendicitis, boils,

cancer, candida, otitis media, prostate problems, whooping cough, ulcers, plus many, many others. **GaiaCol** can also be used to wet wound dressings and bandages and help heal cuts, scratches, abrasions, fever blisters, etc. There is a myriad of uses for this product which makes **GaiaCol**, in my opinion, one of the most exciting and valuable products on the market today.

OxySol contains Colloidal Silver and Trace Colloidal Gold but has Hydrogen Peroxide (food grade) and other trace minerals to add a new dimension to the effects. Organisms that have plagued us for centuries may be destroyed using this product but the new, more resistant strains will require the higher frequency **GaiaCol**. When added to water, the **OxySol** will help to purify, which makes this ideal for taking to restaurants or for travel. When taken with **GaiaCol** you get a two-fold effect of combating foreign invaders and providing a greater oxygen content for the health of the cells from the Hydrogen Peroxide. **OxySol** is wonderful topically as well, but is not advised for burns (use **GaiaCol** for burns). The trace minerals found in **OxySol** are vital to our health because the nutrients that were once taken for granted in our vegetables and fruits have been systematically farmed out of our soil. These trace minerals need to be replaced, otherwise our bodies continue to be open season for aggressive bugs. Another use for **OxySol** is to rid the oral cavity of harmful bacteria by brushing your teeth with 4 drops **Oxysol** on your toothbrush or use as a mouthwash or gargle. The recommended amount to take daily for system clearing is 6-10 drops, 3 times a day on an empty stomach. If taken with **GaiaCol**, reduce both daily requirements in half. If you are adding **OxySol** to your water, just add 1-2 drops in each glass of water. Both **OxySol** and **GaiaCol** are very powerful additions to our pursuit of health.

Without these “food”-based essential nutrients our immune systems and body physiologies are sitting ducks for any attack—from chemical and biological “warfare” practices, to increased nuclear radiation pollution, as well as from other high-energy photon bombardment as this planet prepares itself for the upcoming changes.

It is up to all individual to take personal responsibility for their health and prioritize the needs their bodies may have at this time as well as pay special attention to the needs of their children. I hope to describe here some good products available to you so that your search for a basic, complete arsenal against disease can come to an end.

New Gaia has presented many products to the public that you can pick or choose for individual needs. But there is a core of products, which should be taken regularly, that is felt to be essential to health and well being. These products are: **Gaiandriana, AquaGaia, Gaialyte, Kombucha Tea, Kombucha Vinegar, CarbraGaia, GaiaCleanse Program, Chlorella, Spelt, and 3-In-1**. Each of these provides a service to the body that is a necessary assistant to the other. We will discuss each one individually to provide you with the information you need to understand why these items are necessary.

The physiology of the body is basically governed by the actions and programming found within the cell. The cells make up the tissues of the body, the tissues make up the organs such as the heart or liver which, in turn, make up the organ systems that work in harmony with each other to keep the entire body functioning. If the cell structure has been altered or is malfunctioning (for whatever reason), every organ system is affected. To what degree they are affected depends on the offending substance that has caused the cell’s breakdown or the length of time that cell has been subjected to abuse.

Gaiandriana is a product that is said to help correct the faulty programming that has occurred at the cell level by correcting into perfection the cell's DNA/RNA blueprint. Viruses, unlike bacteria, have the ability to fuse with the DNA strand within the cell, creating a mutation to that cell. By perfecting the DNA/RNA blueprint, the cell may be returned to a level of vitality which allows it to fight off an incoming virus and maintain the homeostasis within the cell and, in turn, within the organ systems.

This is essential for the immune organ system, because without healthy cells that can fight off offenders like free radicals, viruses, and cumulative levels of radiation, the immune system is overtaxed to the point of exhaustion—eventually leading to dis-ease. Another benefit from consuming **Gaiandriana** is its ability and nature to thrive on the invisible, higher photon frequencies which are bombarding us daily. **Gaiandriana** is able to speed up the frequency levels of the cells to more nearly match the energies pouring in and assaulting the body. This, in turn, can offer a two-fold benefit: One benefit is the ability of the cell to withstand and actually adapt to these otherwise damaging energies; the other benefit is to help protect ourselves from mind manipulation through pulse beams that are irradiating mankind relentlessly. Originally the dose was 10 drops, 3 times per day, under the tongue. However, with the growing number of “manufactured” epidemics and other stepped-up plans for our demise by the Elite, perhaps more is better. One ounce or more per day may produce faster and more effective results.

Aquagaia was introduced to benefit the mitochondria system that lies within the cell. The mitochondria is the energy producer of the cell and is essential to convert the food we eat into usable cell fuel and to produce enzymes that are absolutely necessary for survival of the body system.

Aquagaia is also said to feed on vessel plaques adhering to blood vessel linings. Most all of us, by the age of twenty, have plaques developing on the arterial walls due to the American diet that is filled with saturated fats, high protein, white flour products, and limited consumption of fresh fruits and vegetables. This product provides added fuel to any compromised system to assist in strengthening the immune system, as well as cleaning out blood vessels and enhancing the pliability of the vessel walls throughout the body. Both **Gaiandriana** and **AquaGaia** work in harmony to strengthen and eliminate mutations of all cells by working together within the cell structure itself.

Another product that you will find essential in your daily regime is **Gaialyte**. This is a fully integrated electrolyte liquid that is brought forth from the **Kombucha Tea**. The combination of tea and juice, vitamins, minerals, Gingko Biloba, Echinacea, **Chlorella**, oxygenators, Aloe Vera and **Gaiandriana** are a powerful combination that helps boost energy levels as well as provides electrolyte balance within the body to help enhance the performance of the **Gaiandriana** within the cells. Electrolytes are substances which dissociate into ions in solution and thus become capable of conducting electricity. The balance of these electrolytes in the body will aid in the protection from the various high-frequency energies that we are now subjected to as well as enhance the effectiveness of all the other products you are consuming for your health.

Another beverage that should be taken in a dose of approximately 8 ounces per day is the “**Tea Breeze**” **Kombucha Tea**. Enough can not be said about this fermented drink from the mushroom fungus found long ago by a prominent Japanese woman in a town called Kargasok, Russia. What she found amongst these villagers astounded her. The women were virtually without wrinkles or other signs of aging and the overall population was comprised of unusually healthy people. She was told that these people drank 8

ounces of **Kombucha Tea** daily. She brought the mushroom fungus back to Japan and, today, over a million Japanese people drink the fermented tea daily. With the high content of special proteins and enzymes, this tea is said to reduce cholesterol, restore hair growth, strengthen eyesight, help insomnia, aid in weight reduction, help with allergies, bronchitis, asthma and a myriad of other debilitating conditions including the prevention of certain cancers.

While these are claims from people who have used or researched the product, it would simply be prudent to regard **Kombucha Tea** as a must to add to your daily health regime. Many people make their own tea with the mushroom that is available through New Gaia Products, but for those of us with limited time, the ready-made tea in the 1-liter and 2-liter bottles is both delicious and convenient. Try mixing the tea with the **Gaialyte** and your favorite juice, or just drink it straight.

There is a **Kombucha Vinegar** that has been developed which offers similar properties to unpasteurized apple cider vinegar, which has been used for centuries to care for all types of ailments. This product packs a punch when fighting off the common cold and is great as a digestive aid. Many are using this product in their salad dressings or other recipes to enhance the nutrition that their families receive. While **Kombucha Vinegar** is not recommended for canning or preserving, it certainly is recommended for general consumption.

CarbraGaia is the membrane that is found in the mushroom fungus of the **Kombucha Tea** bathed in a nurturing amniotic-like fluid of **Gaiandriana** to aid the body in repair of connective tissue. This product was designed to replace the need for Shark Cartilage supplement, which has been well researched and documented in recent years and which is said to program the body to never develop cancer tumors. By mixing one teaspoon in to any of the above mentioned drinks, you add one more weapon to your arsenal in the quest to build the immune system to its optimum healthy state.

A 14-day program called **GaiaCleanse** has been developed that assists in the elimination of the nasty parasites found within the body. Very few people realize the kinds and types of diseases that these parasites can contribute to, such as Cancer, AIDS, Hepatitis, Hodgkin's Disease, Diabetes, just to name a few. There have been reports that people have been able to turn their conditions around by utilizing a program such as the **GaiaCleanse Program** to eliminate the myriads of parasites, such as flukes, keeping house in their internal organs.

The beauty of this program is that 14 days every 3 months is all that is required to insure a body that is free of most parasites. The **GaiaCleanse** line includes tinctures that can be mixed in any one of the above beverages or in juice. There is also an intestinal cleanse that comes in the kit to ensure proper elimination and cleansing during the two weeks. These steps to health are important if one is to realize optimum health within the cellular structure and organ tissues of the body.

Chlorella is a single-celled, fresh-water algae which is a nutritionally balanced whole food that is extremely high in protein (60%) and contains more than 20 essential vitamins and minerals, 19 of the 22 essential and non-essential amino acids, enzymes, plus **Chlorella** growth factor. The combination of these factors results in a product that has been found to be excellent in the healing of wounds, injuries and ulcers, immune strengthening, age retardation, protection against radiation, normalizing digestion and bowel function, and protection against toxic pollutants, to name but a few of the benefits.

Chlorella is a rich source of chlorophyll, which is extremely effective in controlling body odor both internally and externally. The suggested daily consumption is 3 grams per day, but dosages should be adjusted to your individual needs. Many times the alkaline reserves in the body are so depleted that **Chlorella**, in larger doses, is warranted.

Moreover, because of its superb food value, **Chlorella** is an important addition to anyone's emergency food storage stash.

As part of an ongoing nutritious diet, the grain of **Spelt** (*Triticum Spelta*) should be added to every diet in replacement of the standard wheat grain. **Spelt** is superior to wheat in that it contains more protein, crude fiber, and fats than wheat. It also contains special carbohydrates (Mucopolysaccharides) which help stimulate the all-important immune system.

Many people who are allergic to wheat find **Spelt** to be easily digestible. What is most exciting is the delicious nutty flavor that **Spelt** offers to any baking needs. Another advantage of **Spelt** is the large amount of vitamin B-17 found in the grain (also known as Laetrile) which has a reputation for retarding cancerous cell growth and aiding in the healing of other serious illnesses. **Spelt** also has an exceptionally thick husk around the center grain, which protects it from all kinds of pollutants and insects far better than happens with other grains. The **Spelt** grain can be ground up into flour and used in any recipe where flour is required. New Gaia offers the whole **Spelt** grain bread mixes, or the grain itself to be ground into flour, or the flour already milled for your convenience. This simple addition to your family's diet can provide a wealth of extra nutrition for your loved ones as well as a great taste experience.

The last product I wish to discuss is a newer product of which you may not be fully aware. Many of you have heard of the latest craze using a product called "Pycnogenols". Pycnogenol comes from the bark of the pine tree and is said to have remarkable anti-oxidant properties that are aiding in the relief of a number of chronic conditions. The **3-In-1** product offered by New Gaia has been found to be superior to Pycnogenol. The research that has been conducted on the elements found in Pine Bark were primarily conducted on Grape Seed Extract because this, too, had the components that offered the superior anti-oxidant protection.

What was discovered is that the Grape Seed Extract was even superior to the Pine Bark in that it contains a higher level and higher potency of OPCs (Oligomeric proanthocyanidins) which are the active ingredient for free-radical scavenging. These OPCs found in the Grape Seed Extract are known for their instant bioavailability to seek out nasty free radicals and produce rapid counter-effect results. While no claims are here being made for the healing qualities of any product, the OPCs found in Grape Seed Extract have been identified with: Anti-aging protection, improved vision, decrease in wrinkles, resistance to mental deterioration, reduced risk of heart disease, reduced risk of stroke, enhanced immune system, faster healing, subdued PMS, and reduced inflammation of arthritis.

The other substances found in **3-In-1** are Ester-C and Aloe Vera. Ester-C is found to get into the blood stream faster and in larger amounts than other forms of vitamin C and wastes only a fraction of what other vitamin C products lose through elimination. It is also found to penetrate white blood cells more efficiently, which is necessary for their metabolism. There is also a reduction, if not an elimination, of the side effects from the acidity of regular Vitamin C because Ester C has a neutral pH. Each capsule also contains 150 mgs. of Aloe Vera which is the equivalent of one-and-one-half ounces of natural Aloe Vera juice. Ex-

cerpted from an article by John C. Pittman, M.D., we read: “Acemannan, a mucopolysaccharide, is a long-chain sugar which is found as an active ingredient in Cold Processed Whole Leaf Aloe. It interjects itself into all cell membranes. This causes an increase in the fluidity and permeability of the membrane, allowing toxins to flow out of the cell more easily and nutrients to enter the cell. The net result may improve cellular metabolism throughout the body, resulting in a boost of energy production.”

These three powerful ingredients are found in one product called **3-In-1**. It is a potent product that should be utilized by anyone suffering from a chronic condition or for those wishing to maximize the functioning of their immune system on a day-to-day basis.

All the above products discussed: **OxySol, GaiaCol, Gaiandriana, AquaGaia, Gaialyte, Kombucha Tea, Kombucha Vinegar, CarbraGaia, GaiaCleanse, Chlorella, Spelt and 3-In-1** can be the keys to a healthier and more vibrant life by reinvigorating the immune system, increasing the metabolic activity of the cells, providing more complete nourishment to allow the organ systems to function in harmony with one another, and to increase our bodies’ overall frequency levels to withstand the onslaught of various high-frequency energies that are thrown our way. These products work synergistically together to maximize the effects of each product.

Of course, right thinking, right exercise, and right eating are absolutely necessary to add to any health regime, but the benefits and gifts found within these various products are priceless to our well being in this high-stress world.

New Gaia Products

1 (800) NEW-GAIA
(639-4242)

***For information and
a free catalog***

CHAPTER 10

NEWS ITEMS

MILLIONS SIPPING POLLUTED WATER, 2 GROUPS REPORT

A News Bite From *The Orlando Sentinel*, 6/2/95:

More than one in five Americans—53 million people—unknowingly drink tap water polluted with sewage, lead, radiation or other contaminants, two environmental groups said Thursday.

Nearly 1,000 deaths each year and at least 400,000 cases of waterborne illness may be attributed to contaminated water, according to a report by the Natural Resources Defense Council and the Environmental Working Group.

The groups predicted that deaths would increase and water quality would worsen if a House-approved bill passes Congress to weaken the 1972 Clean Water Act. President Clinton has promised to veto such a GOP measure. The groups put water from 21 cities on a “watch list.” The only Florida city on the list is New Port Richey in Pasco County.

* * *

DOUBLE SCOURGE: AIDS, TB EXACERBATE EACH OTHER

A News Bite From *The Orlando Sentinel*, 6/3/95:

GENEVA—Twin epidemics of AIDS and tuberculosis are spiraling out of control as each disease speeds the spread of the other, the World Health Organization said Friday in announcing efforts to tackle the double scourge. By the end of the decade, tuberculosis will speed the deaths of a third of AIDS sufferers, the U.N. health agency said. The forecast explosion in AIDS cases, especially in Southeast Asia, is likely to increase the spread of TB, it said. “As a result of past neglect, TB has already spiraled out of control,” said Dr. Paul Nunn, head of research for WHO’s TB program.

* * *

ARGENTINE TOWN REELS FROM VIRUS

A News Bite From *The Orlando Sentinel*, 6/2/95:

BUENOS AIRES, Argentina (AP)—A mystery killer virus that has nestled in a remote Andean mountain village has doctors at a loss and local residents feeling like social outcasts.

The virus, which so far has neither name nor cure, has claimed four victims since January in El Bolson, a village 1,100 miles south of Buenos Aires.

Residents fear the picturesque village, a refuge for aging-hippies and renowned for its leisurely life-style and nature trails, will be starved of vital tourism revenue.

“We’re being treated like lepers,” said Claudio Levi, a 34-year-old artisan. “Last week a television crew arrived wearing masks to film what they thought was the Latin American plague.”

Levi said the few tourists who have set foot in El Bolson bring their own food and claim the problem stems from a lack of hygiene.

“Public health officials, who think the virus is carried by rodents, say it could be a strain of the hantavirus that struck the Southwestern United States two years ago.

The virus in El Bolson has flu-like symptoms similar to the hantavirus except patients have serious breathing problems in the final stage of the illness, said Dr. Ana Briggiler, who is studying the virus at the laboratory in Buenos Aires.

CHAPTER 11

TREASONOUS ADL PROPAGANDA FROM MISHPUCKA AGENT, SEN. DIANNE FEINSTEIN

NOTICE TO THE PEOPLE
by Gary Wean May 31, 1995

I came into possession of a “super-confidential” letter [*see below right*] composed by Dianne Feinstein during her 1992 campaign for United States Senator. Under her strictest of orders this letter was sent only to Jews—asking for money.

But what was she pledging? What was she offering to the Jews? Dianne Feinstein was giving away, “handing-over” the United States of America to the ADL Mishpucka.

Dianne Feinstein, like the evil, treasonous Rabbi Meir Kahane, has dual-citizenship; her only concern is for Israel—like the Rabbi, she flies there regularly and often. Feinstein’s letter outlines outrageous, undeniable evidence that her only loyalty is to the Jews and a foreign country, Israel.

At the very beginning, the first paragraph of her letter, Dianne talks of her old friends in Israel, reaffirms her personal commitment to the existence, security and flourishing of Israel. Who does she pledge to support as a U.S. Senator (sure as hell not America). “I intend to be an outspoken and vigilant supporter of the state of Israel.”

Fourth paragraph: “My own commitment to Israel is long-standing and deeply rooted.” Her grandfather, Sam Goldman left Poland in 1890, made his way to Boston, then San Francisco. There he opened two stores. Did old “Sam” assimilate into this nation? Was he filled with gratitude? Did he do something for America? Hell no! Old Sam Goldman was too busy making money, Jew-style. He built three synagogues in California and founded the Hebrew Free Loan Association. (Did good old Sam charge interest with his “Free Loans”?)

Read paragraph eight carefully. Dianne says, “I tried to do as my grandfather did—to give back to the community I belong to.” Think about that. What community does she belong to—America or Israel? Here’s your answer: “When I became Mayor of San Francisco, I helped build a Holocaust memorial on city land (taxpayers’ property), a stirring monument to those who suffered so terribly.” First of all, everybody knows the Holocaust never happened—the Jews aren’t the only people who have suffered; and second, how are our children and our grandchildren involved in their so-called Holocaust which allegedly happened over fifty years ago in Europe—another continent.

Feinstein’s only concern is for Israel in peril, quote, “I intend to go to the Senate committed to maintaining a strong and lasting U.S-Israel relationship. Since 1948, Israel’s struggle for survival has cost twenty thousand of her sons and daughters and that struggle continues today.”

But know this, Israel attacked Lebanon and killed hundreds of thousands of innocent men, women, and children, but when Israeli soldiers started getting killed, they quickly asked the U.S. to send in American

soldiers to protect them. Over three hundred American servicemen were blown up and killed because they were trying to protect the Jews. The Jews killed and wounded nearly every sailor on the *U.S.S. Liberty* and strafed their life boats in unabated attack for several hours.

Dianne Feinstein says, “Go to Israel and see how beautiful it is.” But while you are there, be sure to visit the terrible prison camps where the Jews herd and confine the Arabs after they steal their land. The so-called death camps during WWII are rest homes compared to what Feinstein’s Jews are doing to the Arabs.

Feinstein screams, “The last thing Israel needs is George Bush throwing roadblocks in Israel’s way by senseless delay on loan guarantees,” and she, “took as a very deep, personal affront, as a Jew, the President’s comments about the ‘Jewish Lobby’ swarming over Capitol Hill.”

What Feinstein is whining about here is the 10 billion dollars the Jews demanded from America. The American people don’t owe the Jews one single dime. The American people work for every dime they’ve got and Feinstein and the rest of the Jews can do the same.

Feinstein talks about hate—but she is filled with such hate for Christian Americans that it bursts out from her pores. Outside of stealing Americans’ money, Feinstein’s all-encompassing goal is to strip Americans of their guns.

Feinstein has just arranged with Israel for a free trip to Israel for all the new Senators and Representatives and their spouses that we just elected to look out for America’s interests. They will be indoctrinated by the Jews’ propaganda that their first loyalty and concern must be to Israel.

The American people of every state in the Union must write letters, make phone calls, and send faxes to each and every one of these elected persons, that if they take this FREE trip to Israel, they have only a few months left in office and they will be gone.

And no wonder that every country in the world hates America and Americans and wishes us evil. Note the following countries: Belarus, Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Moldova, Poland, Romania, Russia, Slovakia, and Ukraine—on April 5, 1995 Feinstein, Specter and Schumer gave orders to the following congressmen: Dole, Helms, Pell, Daschle, Gingrich, Gilman, Hamilton, and Gephardt that they must put pressure (harsh threats) against these countries stressing that even though they have already made restitution to Jews, they must make further restitution for the Jews.

They have ordered Secretary of State Warren Christopher to notify these countries that if they don’t give the Jews further restitution, they will face the wrath of America. Robert Dole says that this a “matter of both law and justice”.

What right does America have to bludgeon all the countries of the world and interfere with their internal, sovereign affairs on behalf of Jews? We are Americans. Robert Dole and Jesse Helms and all the rest of these old treasonous scumbags who have led us into this horrible trap for years must be removed, immediately. All the new ones who are eager to run to Israel to get their orders also must be removed immediately.

America must look to our own internal affairs—preserve our own sovereignty.

Feinstein says Israel is in peril. Forget Israel—that’s their problem. America is in far greater peril. Let’s look to that. Write letters, phone, and fax. Everybody in America—I mean everybody—let them know we aren’t about to take it anymore.

/s/Gary L. Wean

* * *

LETTER FROM DIANNE FEINSTEIN

Dear Friend,

Not long ago, I had the privilege of returning to Israel as part of the President’s Mission of the UJA. It was a chance to reacquaint myself with old friends—and to re-affirm my personal commitment to the existence, security and flourishing of the state of Israel.

To me, this visit was a fitting prelude to my 1992 campaign for the United States Senate—because, in the Senate, I intend to be an outspoken and vigilant supporter of the state of Israel. I need you to support my campaign because, at a particularly crucial time in its history, Israel urgently needs committed friends in the United States Senate.

If you’ve been to Jerusalem, you know what the old city is like when the sun is setting, what it’s like to be at the Western Wall, what a thrill it is to walk down the excavated passageway where giant blocks of Herodian stones form the base of the original Temple of Solomon. And, of course, today one sees the welcome presence of Soviet and Ethiopian Jews as they settle into a new way of life.

Especially in these momentous hours, one cannot visit Israel and return to America without a deepened commitment to a nation where our people worship God, unabashedly, in a homeland for the Jewish people. My own commitment to Israel is long-standing and deeply rooted.

My grandfather, Sam Goldman, left his small Polish town near the Russian border in 1890 at the age of fourteen to escape the pogroms that abused and brutalized Jews during that time.

Alone, he stowed away on a ship bound for America, and landed in Boston where he worked as a cobbler, making shoes for handicapped feet. Eventually, he worked his way out West to San Francisco where he met and married another immigrant, Lily Kaflin, and opened two small stores on Mission and Market Streets.

In the course of his life, my grandfather founded three synagogues in California. He was active in founding the Hebrew Free Loan Association and in supporting the Jewish Home for the Aged. I’ve tried to do as my grandfather did—give back to the community I belong to. When I became Mayor of San Francisco,

I helped build a Holocaust memorial on city land—a stirring monument to those who suffered so terribly. I led a delegation to Israel and re-energized the San Francisco-Haifa Sister City relationship. I spoke out and worked tirelessly to make it clear that our city simply would not tolerate hate and discrimination.

Now, in a time of opportunity and peril for the state of Israel, I intend to go to the Senate committed to maintaining a strong and lasting U.S.-Israel relationship. Since 1948, Israel has struggled mightily to survive. That struggle for survival has cost Israel twenty thousand of her sons and daughters and that struggle continues today.

America's bond with Israel is both strategic and moral. That bond must never be broken, never weakened by those who seek to drive a wedge between Israel and the United States. As the only true democracy in the Middle East, Israel is an indispensable ally. As a nation whose strategic position, strength, stability, and reliability we can count on, Israel has earned a right to expect our unswerving friendship. In a world characterized by ever-shifting alliances between nations, Israel is a true and steadfast friend. Especially in times like these, we need Senators who understand that. I will be that kind of Senator.

When I visited Jerusalem a few months ago, I went up to the Haas Promenade and looked out over the City. I saw busloads of Ethiopian Jews and Jews from the Soviet Union arriving to share the view of this holiest of cities with unparalleled expressions of thankfulness and awe.

Each of us should be proud and thankful for the energy, spirit and commitment with which Israel is handling the Exodus. Israel and her leaders are working hard to build the kind of housing and job opportunities necessary to properly repatriate 1 million expected refugees.

It is not an easy task. It is like taking a country the size of France and bringing it into America. The last thing Israel needs is George Bush throwing roadblocks in her way by senseless delay on loan guarantees aimed at easing the burden of repatriation.

I, for one, took as a very deep, personal affront, as a Jew, the President's comments about the "Jewish lobby swarming over Capitol Hill". Jewish Americans have every right to present our interests and points of view to members of Congress; and when the day comes that we don't have that right, this will no longer be the United States of America.

We must remain constantly vigilant not only in our defense of Israel, but also in our resistance to anti-Semitism in the life of our own nation. The fact that David Duke has been able to build a constituency for hate across America is a frightening reminder that there are no permanent victories in our battle against intolerance and prejudice.

It is because I understand this that I am running for the United States Senate, and it is because I know you understand that I am turning to you for support. You can count on me to stand up for Israel and against prejudice. Now, I need to count on you to stand with me in one of the most critical elections of 1992—the California Senate race. Together, we can play our vital role in protecting the security of a nation that deserves the friendship and respect of freedom-loving people everywhere.

If you share my views, if you share my all-abiding commitment to peace and security for Israel, now is the

time for you to act. Please send a generous contribution of \$500, \$250, \$100, or even \$50—and, I urge you, send it today.

Sincerely,

/s/ Dianne Feinstein

P.S. Unfortunately, the Middle East is not the only place in the world filled with hatred. Right now, David Duke wants to bring his message of hate to Washington. The time to fight back is now and, with your help, that's what I intend to do.

CHAPTER 12

A RECENT MESSAGE

From Ronn Jackson

June 3, 1995: The bombing in Oklahoma City was a direct result of the action of the federal government. The government must create civil discord and make you believe problems exist, when in reality those problems are mostly imaginary. Government needs to propagate and justify its existence, and you are being misled and conned!! In the instance of Oklahoma City, many of your fellow Americans lost their lives. Make no mistake, government's next move will be larger in scope and they will continue to commit these atrocities until they convince you government is the answer and solution to every problem.

The interview with *Dateline NBC* was held on 6/1/95. The staff asked many questions and if the integrity of what I said remains intact and is telecast in context, then the awakening process of this country will be in full progress. I do not trust our tyrannical government and, as of this date, the show will be aired on 6/20/95. My fellow Americans, in this interview, I publicly stated that I and most Americans were much more intelligent than the President of the United States, and that if Bill Clinton continues to talk as he is doing now, we will have the first President of the United States ever charged under *Article III, Section 3 of the Constitution*.

In Light and Freedom

/s/ Ronn Jackson

* * *

A RECENT MESSAGE

From Ronn Jackson

June 5, 1995: To find out if your currency and stock markets are stable, start redeeming your stocks and bonds. Brokers can only pay about two cents on the dollar. Sure, the first few people will get their money and then you will find the Dow Jones averages are as phony and artificial as your government. Your country isn't as prosperous and well-off as your government states it is.

The Hillbilly-Socialist in the White House finally broke the ice, and complained about the many fax networks in our country. Our words are reaching everywhere. It is nice to see those idiots in Washington, D.C. squirm a little. This is just the beginning. After members of the federal government appear before a Constitutional Tribunal, each will be doing more than squirming.

The *Monroe Doctrine* specifically states what this country's policy is toward sending troops to foreign lands to fight. Exercising the War Powers Act, which is non-positive law, just proves you only represent government and not the people. The truth is absolute and cannot be changed or denied.

1995 is the year that our nation will be returned to a republic as a direct result of the spirit of freedom and the dedicated work of its patriotic citizens. The *Constitution* shall prevail for all of history!

In Light and Freedom,
/s/ Ronn Jackson

* * *

A RECENT MESSAGE
From Ronn Jackson

June 9, 1995: In the past few days, I have received considerable information on Senator Sam Nunn. His connection to Project Monarch and one of its offshoots, MK-ULTRA, is enough to turn your stomach. My fellow Americans, why do you continue to sanction this conduct by your federal leaders? Do you have any conception of how many of the lives of your fellow citizens have been damaged by your servants and employees, the United States Government? If you do not know what Project Monarch is all about, then I suggest you do your homework! I also suggest that before you allow any needle stuck in your arm or have your skin broken by a needle by anyone, you know that person very well—especially those of you who frequent public health facilities. The truth is absolute and cannot be changed or denied.

1995 is the year that our nation will be returned to a republic as a direct result of the spirit of freedom and the dedicated work of its patriotic citizens. The *Constitution* shall prevail for all of history!

In Light and Freedom

/s/Ronn Jackson

Phone: (702) 727-0546
Fax: (702) 727-7496

CHAPTER 13

GOOD NEWS! FROM MICHAEL MAHOLY

June 5, 1995

Dear Rick,

I would like to personally thank you and all of the readers who have expressed their best wishes and deep concern pertaining to my well-being over the recent weeks. It was through the direct efforts of all of you that the B.O.P. [*Bureau of Prisons*] and D.O.J. [*Department of Justice*] personnel involved stepped forward and realized that all of you were monitoring this critical situation carefully and, in fact, let no harm come to me.

To help explain this incident to all of you better, I will take you back to the beginning. It all started when a repeat career felon named Norman Wright was called to the office on Sunday, May 7, 1995, in regard to a complaint to the Lt. that he was suspected of an alleged escape attempt. After receiving this news, Wright approached me and accused me of telling this to the officials. I told him that this was not true and that I had no knowledge of this at all. Wright then told me that it did not make a difference whether I did or did not tell, that he was going to tell the Lt. that I also planned to escape with him and that, if he got transferred, I also would be shipped.

With this statement from Wright, I had no choice but to relay what he had told me to the officer in charge. That way, I would be covered as to any implication of involvement to any of this. Wright was then picked up and taken away to the hole—or as it is properly known, Administrative Detention, Hold-over. Wright remained there for just two days and had told the officials more lies, resulting in them coming and throwing me in AD/HO.

In order to save you from the very unpleasant, inhumane conditions that I was subjected to, I will cut to the chase here and eliminate much printing space in the *CONTACT*, space that could be used for much more important articles. I will, however, tell you that in all the places on this Earth that I have ever been, and all the capers, missions, and covert operations I have participated in, the AD/HO unit of the infamous Leavenworth Federal Prison was the worst place I have ever been or experienced.

I was also accused of Subversive Actions against the government, due to some reading material I had in my locker. This was a rumor started by a new guard and could not be left uninvestigated by the authorities. Word of this had spread through the prison like wildfire, and I was actually some type of local hero among much of the prison population, and will be probably for some time to come. It was in the last couple of days that the Regional Director himself came to my 4 by 9-foot cell that was located in the Cuban lockdown unit, and told me that over the course of the last couple of days, his office, along with several other offices in the B.O.P. and D.O.J. had received hundreds of letters, phone calls, faxes, and inquiries into my state of affairs. This was not normal, he said, and asked me, “Could you call the dogs off?” My reply was simple, stating that they started all of this and now it looks like my friends, my watchers, would keep on bombarding the offices with more and more mail, letters, whatever it takes to see this matter through, unless changes

are made—and fast!!

The very next day, the camp administrator came to me with paper work, telling me that I was going to be transferred to another camp—a very nice camp—in South Dakota. But he had more to say. He said that I would be trusted to go the camp on my own signature, without an armed escort of the U.S. Marshals, free of any chains, leg irons, or handcuffs! Immediately, I thought the worst: that this was some type of set-up, but he assured me that this was no trick, no gimmick. So I signed the necessary paperwork, took a bus 400 miles to my new home, here in Yankton, South Dakota.

I would like to thank all of you once again for everything all of you have done to make this possible. My new address will be printed, and I would like to hear from all of you A.S.A.P. I have spent all my postal funds on calls, stamps, and trying to contact help. As soon as I can get some stamps, I will start writing all of you who have written to me. Sorry, but at the time, I could not answer you, but promise to make every effort to reach you. As soon as I find out more about this place, I'll relay all the data to you. If any of you would like a visitor's form, please send for them or ask me for a form.

My future goal, as always, is to get back to my story of the C.I.A. Pipeline and tell the whole true story—to expose all of the crooks that took part.

My special thanks to our Canadian friends who are also worried about our homeland. Thanks again, everyone. I hope to hear from all of you soon.

In Light and Love,
/s/ Michael Maholy

Michael Maholy
#19365-009,
Kingsbury Bldg. Rm 001
P.M.B. 700
Yankton, SD 57078

REMEMBER: Please include his name and inmate number on any U.S. Postal Money Orders. **Also,** each letter must have your name and return address on the envelope.

CHAPTER 14

THE NEWS DESK
by Phyllis Linn 6/9/95

MEDIA CONTINUES CASTIGATION OF “FAR RIGHT”

The articles continue to pour in—frenzied pieces designed to condition the public that the “patriots” are criminally radical crazies. The Media-Smear-Of-The-Week! **Militias** were the first focus of attack, then “**right wing**” talk-show hosts, followed by “**anarchy on the Internet**”—each perfectly orchestrated. In each case, the concepts at issue are made to look not only ridiculous, but dangerously so. How do they do it? The use of trigger words is basic to their repertoire—they get lots of mileage from those. Another key to successful media propaganda is what they DON’T SAY—the hidden, underlying **assumptions** that are projected to condition and mislead the populace. With this technique, statements are couched, not as opinion, but as fact so obvious as to need no elaboration—a given.

A headline from the June 4 issue of the *CHICAGO TRIBUNE*, “Far Right’s paranoia seeping into mainstream”, provides a classic example. The first three words pack a punch—combining a trigger expression (“far right”) with an assumption (“paranoia”). Since the writer **assumes** that the “far right” is “paranoid”, the reader is lead to do the same. There is NO discussion in this *Tribune* article as to the merits of the beliefs in point. The word “paranoia” dismisses them as “having no basis in fact”. The subheading, “Wild theories gaining a voice in legislatures”, is a restatement—“wild” implies “beyond the range of acceptability”, and “theories” connotes “unproven”.

Here’s an assumption-packed quote from this same article, [quoting]:

“There is a very real reason to be concerned about these trends,” said Ken Toole, president of the Montana Human Rights Network, which monitors right-wing extremist groups. [*Note how the wording here grants this speaker legitimacy. This group was mentioned in a less-than-affirmative light two weeks ago in the NEWS DESK.*] “People need to recognize that as these **bizarre ideas** [*Is that an assumption or what?!*] gain currency in legislative and political arenas, that has the effect of emboldening the **wackos** on the ground. [*Does Mr. Toole make the slightest effort to demonstrate this alleged mental instability?!*] When you get people who are **clearly fringe** [*another assumption!*], being listened to in the august [*a pro-government assumption, this time!*] halls of government, that has tremendous legitimizing power.” [End of quoting.]

There are a couple of reasons for reading the news analytically. It helps to keep the blood pressure at manageable levels, possibly even preventing ulcers and apoplectic fits!! And it is important to **KNOW** your enemy—to understand his methods of deception! Who is your enemy? An enemy is simply one who opposes another in thought. It doesn’t necessarily involve negative emotional trappings. The enemy of truth is untruth, and ignorance is the key to success for the masters of the lie .

JIM GUY TUCKER INDICTED!

We've read about some of his criminal escapades in Michael Maholy's C.I.A. Pipeline series (in previous issues of *CONTACT*). We wrote and called and faxed him on behalf of Richard Snell (to no avail). Now some of Governor Tucker's chickens are coming home to roost, as reported by Jeff Gerth in the June 8 issue of *THE DAILY NEWS*, [quoting:]

WASHINGTON—A federal grand jury Wednesday indicted the governor of Arkansas, Jim Guy Tucker, charging him with making false statements to obtain a federally backed loan and conspiring to defraud the IRS. The indictment says that Tucker tried to hide profits from a multimillion-dollar cable television deal.

YOUTH ACTION NEWS DETHRONES NEWT

The May 1995 issue of *YOUTH ACTION NEWS* is a refreshing example of responsible journalism. What's the difference? Simply this: In his article, "The Global Annihilation of America", C.B. Baker painstakingly backs up each allegation with pages of documentation from a variety of sources and official records. Due to space constraints, only a brief excerpt is presented here; for a complete (8 page) copy, send \$5 to *Youth Action News*, P.O. Box 312, Alexandria, Virginia 22313. [Quoting:]

The liberal establishment media has been conducting a highly coordinated propaganda campaign that is designed to create a "conservative" IMAGE for the new Republican Speaker of the House of Representatives, Newt Gingrich. As a result, many Americans HAVE BEEN DUPED INTO BELIEVING THAT GINGRICH IS A GENUINE "CONSERVATIVE" and a strong "OPPONENT" of President Clinton. The media report about a conflict between Speaker Gingrich and President Clinton is a clever disinformation SMOKE SCREEN that is designed to conceal their close collaboration in key areas. In reality, BOTH Bill Clinton and Newt Gingrich consider themselves to be revolutionaries and change agents. On the really big issues, such as the survival and sovereignty of the United States, Clinton and Gingrich are New World Order, ideological twin brothers.

When the Republicans recently took control of the House of Representatives, Gingrich quickly implemented a new set of rules that ended the old seniority system. "Many of Gingrich's proposals to 'reform' Congress have more to do with elevating the power of the speaker's office. Abandoning seniority as the main criterion for appointing committee chairs, for example, makes those appointed more obedient to him," (4/95 *MOTHER JONES MAGAZINE*). BECAUSE HE NOW HAS SUCH VAST POWER OVER THE LEGISLATIVE PROCESS, IT IS VITAL THAT LOYAL AMERICANS DISCOVER THE FACTS ABOUT GINGRICH'S POLITICAL RECORD.

Because of his pro-Stalin foreign and big government tyrannical domestic policies, no real conservative was ever fond of President Franklin Roosevelt. Economist Murray N. Rothbard reported: "Gingrich has repeatedly emphasized his devotion to FDR", (labeling him the greatest figure in the Twentieth Century).

Contrary to his claims of being against big government intervention into the U.S. economy, Congressman Gingrich has voted for a whole series of laws that EXPAND the power of the Federal government AGAINST individuals and companies. On May 16, 1979, he supported the Alaska Lands Bill that made American more dependent upon foreign oil producers (by "locking out 68 million acres of land as untouchable

‘wilderness’”). In Dec. 1987, he supported Federal spending of \$307 million for continuing the Environmental Stalinists’ favorite legislation—the Endangered Species Act (which “put the rights of bugs, snakes, rats, and owls above the rights of” the American people. In May 1990, Gingrich supported the expansion of the Environmental Protection Agency to cabinet level status.

The 2/12/95 nationally syndicated column of Robert D. Novak reported that for many years, Speaker Gingrich has been a member of David Rockefeller’s infamous one-world-government-promoting Council on Foreign Relations (CFR).

In 1979, Gingrich voted to vastly expand the Federal Government by creating the cabinet level Department of Education. He later voted for a whole series of big-spender Federal education bills. In 1990, Gingrich voted for the powerful (UNFUNDED MANDATES) Americans With Disabilities Act, which requires small businesses and cities to spend tens of billions of dollars. That same law allowed the Federal Government to conduct KGB-style actions against all private employees who “discriminate” against AIDS carriers.

Since the early 1970’s, Newt Gingrich has been closely associated with, and a dedicated follower and proselytizer of the ideology of Alvin and Heidi Toffler. The 1/1/95 *WASHINGTON TIMES* reported that the Tofflers’ “ideas about historical, cultural, and economic change have profoundly influenced Gingrich, who is “now in a prime position to bring about that change.””

The *NEW YORKER MAGAZINE* reported: “There is an eerie similarity between Tofflerism and Marxism. Look at the correspondencies. Marxism sees civilization as proceeding in three stages, each of which corresponds to one of the Tofflers’. In the Marxist analysis, feudalism (the equivalent of the Tofflers’ agrarian First Wave), gives way to capitalism (the equivalent of the Tofflers’ industrial Second Wave), and capitalism, in turn gives way to communism (the equivalent of the Tofflers’ cybernetic Third Wave). Each stage eventually becomes obsolete, and the next emerges, with much pain and dislocation, from the collapsing ruin of its predecessor.”

This ideological similarity between Tofflerism and Marxism, explains why Newt Gingrich is actually working so closely with the White House Marxist duo, Bill Clinton and Al Gore, Jr.

In 1973, David Rockefeller formed his Trilateral Commission, which promoted the deindustrialization of America and the transfer of U.S. jobs and manufacturing capacity to Third World nations...The Tofflers’ ideology presents a PHILOSOPHICAL JUSTIFICATION FOR THE TRILATERALIST DEINDUSTRIALIZATION OF AMERICA. And this ideology explains why Newt Gingrich is such a fanatical supporter of NAFTA, GATT, and the Mexican bail-out.

Writing in the 10/31/93 *NEW YORK TIMES*, the Tofflers described their coming Globalist “utopia”: “Nations, as such, are becoming less important. Powerful transnational businesses are creating information networks that ‘bypass the nation-state framework’.”

Describing loyal Americans who fight against the wrecking of America’s vital industrial base as “Second Wavers”, the Tofflers stated (10/13/94 *NEW YORK TIMES*): “Millions of middle-class and poor Americans also resist the transition to the Third Wave (deindustrialization) out of an often justified fear that they

will be left behind and slide further down the economic and social slope.” The Tofflers described the battle over the NAFTA Treaty as one “in which Second Wavers were defeated by Third Wavers”, such as Gingrich.

In January, shortly after the Mexican peso collapse, Treasury Secretary Robert Rubin and President Clinton announced the first Mexican rescue plan—a huge \$40 BILLION U.S. taxpayer bailout. Clinton’s original scheme required the prompt support of Congress. The White House quickly met with Congressional leaders and Federal Reserve Chairman Greenspan. The 1/20/95 *WALL STREET JOURNAL* reported: Republican Speaker of the House, Newt “GINGRICH, BECAME THE MOST VOCAL SUPPORTER OF THE RESCUE at the White House meeting.”

Gingrich actually coached Clinton on better propaganda methods to be employed in selling the bailout to the public, telling the President that he should “spend more time explaining” the proposal, (2/13/95) *THE NATION*).

The plotters behind the Mexican bailout conspiracy have devised an odious new scheme to loot the funds of insurance and commercial bank accounts. Treasury Secretary Robert E. Rubin, Federal Reserve Chairman Alan Greenspan, House Speaker Newt Gingrich, and House Banking Chairman James Leach are all trying to repeal the Glass-Steagall Act that forbids the merger of securities firms and banks. They claim that such a repeal “would foster competition”. In truth, such a repeal is extremely dangerous to the stability of the entire U.S. financial system. Repeal of Glass-Steagall will let the big bankers and plutocrats utilize vacuum cleaner-like action to suck up insurance reserves and commercial bank deposits throughout America.

The 2/28/95 *WALL STREET JOURNAL* reported that Goldman Sachs & Co. and J.P. Morgan & Co. support Congressman Leach’s bill, which permits “THE BANKING ORGANIZERS TO ENJOY GREATER POWERS THAN THEY MIGHT OTHERWISE HAVE”...The real purpose of the Glass-Steagall Act repeal is to LOOT THE NATION’S ECONOMIC RESOURCES TO PAY FOR EXPECTED upcoming bailouts in other countries AROUND THE WORLD. [End of quoting.]

AMATEUR RELIC HUNTERS HARASSED BY FEDERAL AGENCIES

Even in the mainstream media, stories abound relating a wide range of governmental intrusions into the lives of U.S. citizens. Here’s one by Valerie Richardson which appeared in the May 22-28 issue of the *WASHINGTON TIMES WEEKLY*, [quoting:]

For Billy Ray Shivers, hunting for old metal tokens and other relics in the abandoned sawmills near his east Texas home was nothing but a harmless hobby. It became something else the day federal agents raided his home. On March 1, 1992, the retired Air Force master sergeant was searching for tokens with two friends at the Aldridge Sawmill in the Angeliana National Forest when he was accosted by agents from the U.S. Forest Service. Although Sgt. Shivers told them he had permission from a local ranger to search there, they confiscated his metal detector, token pouch and digger.

Two days later, the agents returned, this time to Sgt. Shivers’ home in Longview, Texas. With them were three armed police officers. As one officer stood guard over his wife, Mary, the agents went through the

house “like a storm,” said Sgt. Shivers. “They took everything I had except the furniture—my grandfather’s pocket watch, my wife’s college papers, books, jars of wheat pennies,” Sgt. Shivers recalled. “They tried to take my war medals from Vietnam—my Bronze Star, my Cross of Gallantry. I told them they’d have to kill me to do it.”

As shocked as Sgt. Shivers was at his treatment at the hands of government agents, he was even more astonished when he was accused of violating the Archaeological Resources Protection Act (ARPA). Passed in 1979, the law is aimed at prosecuting looters who plunder the nation’s historic ruins for profit. According to Sgt. Shivers and other collectors, the law is being used increasingly to harass hobbyists motivated by their enthusiasm for historical relics. In the process, they say, longtime collectors of musket balls, coins or Indian arrowheads are being subjected to government-sponsored searches, seizures, even jail.

[What’s next??!]

* * *

SEE NEXT PAGE

PART OF BRITISH OPERATION:
A GUN-WAVING LARRY NICHOLS

This is part of an article by Anton Chaitkin in the May 29 issue of *THE NEW FEDERALIST* headlined “British Terrorists Set Up Dumb U.S. ‘Tories’ as Fake ‘Patriots’”. Hmmmmm, I notice that this Lyndon LaRouche publication always “sticks up for “Bill Clinton. So, be sure your common sense and discernment antennae are tuned!

LARRY NICHOLS WAVING GUN